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#### Abstract

We establish conditions for aperiodicity of cocycles (in the sense of $[\mathbf{G H}$ ), obtaining, via a study of perturbations of transfer operators, conditional local limit theorems and exactness of skew-products. Our results apply to a large class of Markov and non-Markov interval maps, including beta transformations.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ be a non-singular transformation, and $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a measurable function taking values in a locally compact Abelian polish (LCAP) group $\mathbb{G}$. We say that $\phi$ is aperiodic [GH] if the only solutions for $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda g / g \circ T$ a.e. with $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}},|\lambda|=1$ and a measurable transfer function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ are $\gamma \equiv 1, \lambda=1$ and $g$ constant almost everywhere. This condition is crucial for establishing a local limit theorem (LLT) for the $m$-distributions of $\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ T^{i}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, and exactness for the skew-product $T_{\phi}(x, t)=(T x, t+\phi(x))$ (see [G], GH], [AD2]).

We focus on fibred systems. A fibred system is a quintuple $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ where $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is a non-singular transformation on a $\sigma$-finite measure space and $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a finite or countable partition $\bmod m$ such that:
(1) $\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} T^{-i} \alpha$ generates $\mathcal{B}$;
(2) every $A \in \alpha$ has positive measure;
(3) for every $A \in \alpha,\left.T\right|_{A}: A \rightarrow T A$ is bimeasurable invertible with non-singular inverse.

The first aim of the paper is to find sufficient conditions for the aperiodicity of $\alpha$-measurable $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ where $\mathbb{G}$ is a LCAP group.

The reader is invited to prove this when $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is independent in the sense that $m\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} T^{-j} A_{j}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{n} m\left(A_{j}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \alpha$, and $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(\alpha$-measurable $)$ does not take values in a non-trivial, closed coset of $\mathbb{G}$ (see also $\$ 2$ ).

In case $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is Markov, i.e. $T A$ is $\alpha$-measurable for all $A \in \alpha$, and if also $\alpha$ is finite and $m$ is an equilibrium measure (see Ke1), one can use the work of Livsic $\mathbf{L}$ to obtain periodic point conditions for aperiodicity. Simpler conditions have been established in AD1 for the $\alpha$-measurable case, using a technique of Kowalski Ko1.

[^0]The non-Markov case is not so well-understood. Morita has a condition for aperiodicity for a certain class of non-Markov Lasota-Yorke maps ( $\mathbf{M}$, proof of theorem 5.2), but this class does not include the $\beta$-transformation (see below). Kowalski also has a related result ( $\mathbf{K o 2}$, theorem 9). We also mention Nicol and Scott [NS who provide rigidity results for the equation $\phi=h-h \circ T$ with $T$ the $\beta$-transformation and $\phi$ Lipschitz or Hölder on $[0,1]$ (see also Pollicott and Yuri (PY).

We give a brief account of our results on aperiodicity. We consider fibred systems which are skew-product rigid ( $\$ 2$, Definition 11), a property shared, for example, by many piecewise monotonic interval maps. For such systems, we identify a collection of sets $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}(\$ 3$, Definition 2) for which we prove (theorem 22): if $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda g / g \circ T$ a.e., then $g$ has a version which is constant on every element of $\mathcal{M}_{r e c}$.

We then study the collection $\mathcal{M}_{r e c}$, seeking conditions for it to cover $X$ with overlaps, so that every function which is constant on every element of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ is necessarily constant everywhere. We call systems of this type almost onto, in analogy with the Markov case which was discussed in AD1. We give examples of skew-product rigid almost onto systems in $\$ 4$.

For these systems, if $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda g / g \circ T$, then $g$ is constant, and the dynamical aperiodicity condition reduces to aperiodicity of the distribution of $\phi$, i.e. the nonexistence of non-trivial $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ such that $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda$ a.e. This is equivalent to $\{\phi(x)-\phi(y): x, y \in X\}$ generating a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$.

Our tests for aperiodicity in non-Markov situations are complemented by a corresponding study of perturbations of transfer operators. In $\S 5$ we prove continuity of perturbations for a large class of expanding interval maps, which leads to sufficient conditions for the exactness of skew products and to conditional local limit theorems.

As an illustration, consider the $\beta$-transformation $T:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], T(x)=$ $\beta x \bmod 1$ for $\beta>1$, together with its absolutely continuous invariant probability measure $d \mathbb{P}=q(x) d x$ (see Parry $\mathbf{\mathbf { P }}$ ). Define for $x \in[0,1], X_{n}(x):=\left[\beta T^{n-1} x\right]$. The sequence $\left\{X_{n}(x)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is called the (greedy) $\beta$-expansion of $x$, because

$$
x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^{n}} X_{n}(x)
$$

We apply our results to the study of the stochastic behaviour of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$. If $\beta$ is an integer, then $X_{n}$ are i.i.d's. We prove that the following stochastic properties, well-known for i.i.d's (see Feller [F], §IV. 6 and $\S$ VII.4), persist for non-integer $\beta$ (when $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ may not be Markov):
(1) de Moivre's approximation: If $S_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}$, then

$$
\sigma \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}=k_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{k_{n}-n E\left(X_{1}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \rightarrow x
$$

uniformly as $x \in K$ for all $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ compact.
(2) Asymptotics of random walks on $\mathbb{R}$ driven by " $\beta$-jumps": Suppose that $\psi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $E(\psi)=0$ and $\psi(x)=a_{[\beta x]}$ where $\left\{a_{i}-a_{j}: 0 \leq\right.$ $i, j \leq[\beta]\}$ are rationally independent, then $T_{\psi}$ is conservative, exact and pointwise dual ergodic with $a_{n}\left(T_{\psi}\right) \propto \sqrt{n}$ (as defined in e.g. [A]).
(3) The Hewitt-Savage zero-one law: Call $x, y \in[0,1] \beta$-exchangeable if their $\beta$-expansions differ by a finite permutation. If a Borel set $E$ satisfies

$$
x \in E, y \text { and } x \text { are } \beta \text {-exchangeable } \Longrightarrow y \in E
$$

then $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is equal to zero or one.
De Moivre's approximation follows from aperiodicity of $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \phi(x):=[\beta x]$ (see $\mathbf{R E}]$ ), and the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law (for non-integer $\beta$ ) follows from the aperiodicity of $F^{\#}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}, F^{\#}(x)=\left(\delta_{\phi(x), 1}, \ldots, \delta_{\phi(x),[\beta]}\right)$ (see [G]). Details are given in $\$ 6$.

## 2. Fibred Systems, Skew-Products and skew-Product rigidity

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a fibred system. Elements of $\alpha_{n}:=\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \alpha$ are called cylinders of length $n$. We agree to call $X$ (the) cylinder of length zero. We denote the cylinder of length $n$ which contains $x \in X$ by $\alpha_{n}(x)$. We say that a set $E \subseteq X$ is almost open $\bmod m$, if for almost every $x \in E$, there exists an $n$ such that $\alpha_{n}(x) \subseteq$ $E \bmod m$. Ergodic sums of $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ are denoted by $\phi_{n}:=\phi+\phi \circ T+\ldots+\phi \circ T^{n-1}$.

Throughout $m_{\lambda}$ will denote Lebesgue measure. A piecewise monotonic (resp. increasing) map of the interval is a triple $(X, T, \alpha)$ where $X$ is an interval, $\alpha$ is a finite or countable generating partition $\left(\bmod m_{\lambda}\right)$ of $X$ into open intervals, and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a map such that $\left.T\right|_{A}$ is continuous and strictly monotonic (resp. increasing) for each $A \in \alpha$. For piecewise monotonic maps of the interval equipped with a non-atomic measure, all cylinders are intervals, and therefore a set is almost open iff it is equal to an open set $\bmod m_{\lambda}$.

Recall that the Frobenius-Perron operator or transfer operator of a non-singular transformation $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is the (unique) operator $P_{T}: L^{1}(m) \rightarrow L^{1}(m)$ which satisfies

$$
\forall g \in L^{\infty}, f \in L^{1} \int g \cdot P_{T} f d m=\int g \circ T \cdot f d m
$$

If $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is a fibred system, then $T: A \rightarrow T A$ has a non singular inverse $v_{A}: T A \rightarrow A$ for each $A \in \alpha$, and the Frobenius-Perron operator of $T$ is

$$
P_{T} f=\sum_{A \in \alpha} 1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot f \circ v_{A}, \text { where } v_{A}^{\prime}:=\frac{d m \circ v_{A}}{d m}
$$

We are interested in the collection of all skew-products of the form

$$
\tau_{S}: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y, \tau_{S}(x, y)=(T x, S(\alpha(x))(y))
$$

where $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a Lebesgue probability space, $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ is the collection of its automorphisms (invertible bi-measurable measure-preserving transformations), and $S: \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ is arbitrary. We call these transformations skew-products over $\alpha$. We note for future reference that $\tau_{S}^{n}(x, y)=\left(T^{n} x, S\left(\alpha_{n}(x)\right)(y)\right)$, where for every cylinder $C=\left[A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right], S(C):=S\left(A_{n-1}\right) \circ \ldots \circ S\left(A_{0}\right)$, and that the transfer operator of $\tau_{S}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{\tau_{S}} f\right)(x, y)=\sum_{A \in \alpha} 1_{T A}(x) v_{A}^{\prime}(x) f\left(v_{A}(x), S(A)^{-1} y\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. A fibred system $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is called skew-product rigid if a.e. $x \in X$ is included in a cylinder of finite measure, and if for every invariant density $h(x, y)$ (not necessarily integrable) of an arbitrary skew-product over $\alpha,[h(\cdot, y)>0]$ is almost open for a.e. $y \in Y$.

The following proposition shows a stronger property for independent fibred systems.
Proposition 1 (M1 $)$. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be an independent fibred system and suppose that $S: \alpha \rightarrow$ Aut $(Y)$. If $h \in L^{1}(m \times \mu)$ satisfies $P_{\tau_{S}} h=\lambda h$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$, then $h$ is $X \times \mathcal{F}$-measurable.
Proof. A calculation shows that

$$
P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h(x, y)=P_{T}^{n}\left(h\left(\cdot, S\left(\alpha_{n}(\cdot)\right)^{-1}(y)\right)(x) .\right.
$$

To see that $h$ is $X \times \mathcal{F}$-measurable, let $h_{n}$ be $\alpha_{n} \times \mathcal{F}$-measurable so that $\| h-$ $h_{n} \|_{L^{1}(m \times \mu)} \rightarrow 0$. Evidently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h_{n}(x, y)=P_{T}^{n}\left(h_{n}\left(\cdot, S\left(\alpha_{n}(\cdot)\right)^{-1}(y)\right)(x)=\right. \\
&=E\left(h_{n}\left(\cdot, S\left(\alpha_{n}(\cdot)\right)^{-1}(y)\right)=E\left(P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h_{n} \mid X \times \mathcal{F}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This allows us to bound $\|h-E(h \mid X \times \mathcal{F})\|_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left\|h-P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h\right\|_{1}+\left\|P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h-P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h_{n}\right\|_{1}+ \\
& +\left\|E\left(P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h_{n} \mid X \times \mathcal{F}\right)-E\left(P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h \mid X \times \mathcal{F}\right)\right\|_{1}+\left\|E\left(P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h \mid X \times \mathcal{F}\right)-E(h \mid X \times \mathcal{F})\right\|_{1} \leq \\
& \quad \leq 2\left\|h-P_{\tau_{S}}^{n} h\right\|_{1}+2\left\|h-h_{n}\right\|_{1}=2\left|1-\lambda^{n}\right| \cdot\|h\|_{1}+2\left\|h-h_{n}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit inferior of this estimate is zero, so $h=E(h \mid X \times \mathcal{F})$ almost everywhere.
Corollary 1. If $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is independent, $\mathbb{G}$ is a LCAP group, $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is $\alpha$-measurable and does not take values in a non-trivial, closed coset of $\mathbb{G}$, then $\phi$ is aperiodic.
Proof. Suppose that $\gamma \circ \phi=\frac{\lambda g \circ T}{g}$ where $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ is measurable. Setting $Y=\mathbb{S}^{1}, \mu=$ Lebesgue measure and $S(a)(y):=\gamma \circ \phi(a) y$ we see that $P_{\tau_{S}} h=\lambda h$ where $h(x, y):=g(x) y$. By the previous proposition $h(x, y)=h(y)$, whence $\gamma \circ \phi \equiv \lambda$. It follows that $\gamma \equiv 1=\lambda$.

We discuss some other examples. Consider the following properties for a piecewise monotonic map of the interval $(X, T, \alpha)$ :
(A) Adler's condition: for all $A \in \alpha,\left.T\right|_{A}$ extends to a $C^{2}$ map on $\bar{A}$ and $T^{\prime \prime} /\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ is bounded on $X$.
(F) Finite images: $\{T A: A \in \alpha\}$ is finite.
(U) Uniform expansion: $\inf \left|T^{\prime}\right|>1$.
(N) Non-uniform expansion: there is a finite set of partition sets $\zeta \subseteq \alpha$ such that every $Z \in \zeta$ has an indifferent fixed point $x_{Z} \in \partial Z$ with Thaler's assumptions:
(a) $T x \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow x_{Z}, x \in Z]{ } x_{Z}$ and $T^{\prime} x \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow x_{Z}, x \in Z]{ } 1$.
(b) $x_{Z}$ is a one-sided regular source: $T^{\prime}$ decreases on $\left(-\infty, x_{Z}\right) \cap Z$ and increases on $\left(x_{Z}, \infty\right) \cap Z$ (one of these conditions is empty).
(c) for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\rho(\epsilon)>1$ such that $\left|T^{\prime}\right| \geq \rho(\epsilon)$ on

$$
X_{\epsilon}:=X \backslash \bigcup_{Z \in \zeta} Z \cap\left(x_{Z}-\epsilon, x_{Z}+\epsilon\right)
$$

Piecewise monotonic maps $(X, T, \alpha)$ of the interval with properties $(\mathrm{A}),(\mathrm{F}),(\mathrm{U})$ (respectively (A),(F),(N)) will be called AFU maps (respectively AFN maps). They admit at least one finite (respectively $\sigma$-finite) absolutely continuous invariant measure $m$, cf. [Z1]. In this context, $\mathcal{B}$ will always denotes the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.

Suppose that $(X, T, \alpha)$ is an AFU map, and let $h(x, y)$ be some invariant density of an arbitrary skew-product over $\alpha$, then:
(1) If $\alpha$ is a Markov partition, then it can be shown that for almost every $y$, $h(\cdot, y): I \rightarrow I$ has a piecewise Hölder version (see Ko1 and proposition 3.6 in (AD1).
(2) When $\alpha$ is not necessarily a Markov partition, it can be shown that for almost every $y, h(\cdot, y): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a version with bounded variation (see lemma 4 in Ko2).
Thus, AFU maps (with or without the Markov property) are skew-product rigid. Actually the same is true for AFN maps, which shows that this property of fibred systems does not depend on the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure.

Theorem 1. AFN maps are skew-product rigid.
We begin with an account of the basic structure of AFN maps (cf. [Z1, Z2]): Every AFN map has an absolutely continuous, invariant measure (a.c.i.m.) $m \ll$ $m_{\lambda}$ with the following decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\biguplus_{i=1}^{N} \biguplus_{j=0}^{N_{i}-1} T^{j} X_{i} \bmod m, T^{N_{i}} X_{i}=X_{i} \bmod m_{\lambda} \\
& \text { and } X=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \biguplus_{j=0}^{N_{i}-1} T^{j} X_{i}\right) \bmod m_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Each $X_{i}$ is a finite union of intervals and $T^{N_{i}}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$ is conservative exact. Moreover, $m\left(X_{i}\right)=\infty$ iff $X_{i}$ contains a (possibly one-sided punctured) neighbourhood of $x_{Z}$ for some $Z \in \zeta$, and in this case $N_{i}=1$.

The restriction of an AFN (resp. AFU) map to one of its ergodic components $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N_{i}-1} T^{j} X_{i}$ 's is called a basic AFN (resp. AFU) map.

The proof of theorem 1 is based on an inducing procedure which we now describe. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a conservative ergodic measure-preserving fibred system. Fix some $\alpha$-measurable set $A$ with an $\alpha$-measurable partition $\eta$ (for interval maps this will be the partition into connected components), and write $A=\biguplus_{i \in \Lambda} A_{i}$ with $A_{i} \in \alpha$. The induced system on $A$ is the fibred $\operatorname{system}\left(A, \mathcal{B}_{A}, m_{A}, T_{A}, \alpha_{A}\right)$ where $\mathcal{B}_{A}:=\{E \in \mathcal{B}: E \subseteq A\}, m_{A}=\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{B}_{A}}, T_{A}=T^{\varphi}$ where

$$
\varphi(x)=1_{A}(x) \inf \left\{n \geq 1: T^{n}(x) \in A\right\}
$$

and $\alpha_{A}=\alpha_{A}(\eta)=\left\{\left[A_{i}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}, C\right]: i \in \Lambda, n \geq 0, B_{j} \in \alpha \backslash\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k \in \Lambda}, C \in \eta\right\}$.
Lemma 1. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a conservative ergodic measure-preserving fibred system. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n, k \forall C \in \alpha_{k}, T^{n}(C) \text { is almost open mod } m \text {. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is some $\alpha$-measurable set $A$ such that $\left(A, \mathcal{B}_{A}, m_{A}, T_{A}, \alpha_{A}\right)$ is skew-product rigid, then so is $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$.
Proof. Fix some skew-product over $\alpha, \tau=\tau_{S}: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ where $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is some standard probability space, and suppose $h(x, y) \geq 0$ is an invariant density for $\tau$. We show that $[h(\cdot, y)>0]$ is almost open $\bmod m$ for a.e. $y$.

We check that $\tau$ is conservative. Indeed, for every $B \in \alpha$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{B \times Y} \circ \tau^{n} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{B} \circ T^{n}=\infty \quad m \times \mu-\text { almost everywhere in } B \times Y
$$

so $B \times Y$ is in the conservative part of $\tau$ for all $B \in \alpha$. It follows that we can induce $\tau$ on $A \times Y$. The result is a skew-product over $\alpha_{A}, \tau_{S_{A}}: A \times Y \rightarrow A \times Y$ where $S_{A}: \alpha_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ is

$$
S_{A}\left(\left[A_{i}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}, A_{j}\right]\right):=S\left(B_{n-1}\right) \circ \ldots \circ S\left(B_{1}\right) \circ S\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

The set $A \times Y$ is a sweep-out set for $\tau$, because $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau^{-k}(A \times Y)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{-k} A \times Y$ and $T$ is conservative ergodic. We can therefore apply Kac's formula. Writing $\widetilde{h}=h \cdot 1_{A \times Y}$ and recalling the definition of the Frobenius-Perron operator of $\tau$, $P_{\tau}$, we get for all $f \in L^{\infty}(X \times Y)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \times Y} f h d(m \times \mu) & =\int_{A \times Y} \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi-1} f \circ \tau^{i} h d(m \times \mu) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{X \times Y} 1_{[\varphi=n] \times Y} \widetilde{h} f \circ \tau^{i} d(m \times \mu) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} \int_{X \times Y} P_{\tau}^{i}\left(1_{[\varphi=n] \times Y} \widetilde{h}\right) f d(m \times \mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
h=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\tau}^{n}\left(\widetilde{h} 1_{[\varphi>n] \times Y}\right) .
$$

If $\widetilde{\tau}=(\tau)_{A \times Y}=\tau_{S_{A}}$, then $\widetilde{h} d(m \times \mu)$ is $\widetilde{\tau}$-invariant. By assumption, the system $\left(A, \mathcal{B}_{A}, m_{A}, T_{A}, \alpha_{A}\right)$ is skew-product rigid, and it is easy to use this to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\widetilde{h}(\cdot, y)>0] \text { is almost open } \bmod m \text {, for } \mu \text {-a.e. } y \in Y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $H^{y}:=[h(\cdot, y)>0]$, then (1) gives, $\bmod m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{y} & =\left\{x \in X: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{\tau}^{n}\left(\widetilde{h} 1_{[\varphi>n] \times Y}\right)>0\right\} \\
& =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{x \in X: P_{\tau}^{n}\left(\widetilde{h} 1_{[\varphi>n] \times Y}\right)>0\right\} \\
& =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{x \in X: \sum_{C \in \alpha_{n}} 1_{T^{n} C}(x) v_{C}^{\prime}(x) \widetilde{h}\left(v_{C}(x), S(C)^{-1}(y)\right) 1_{[\varphi>n]}\left(v_{C}(x)\right)>0\right\} \\
& =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{C \in \alpha_{n}} T^{n}(C) \cap T^{n}([\varphi>n] \cap C) \cap\left\{x \in X: \widetilde{h}\left(v_{C}(x), S(C)^{-1}(y)\right)>0\right\} \\
& =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{C \in \alpha_{n}} T^{n}([\varphi>n] \cap C) \cap\left\{x \in X: \widetilde{h}\left(v_{C}(x), S(C)^{-1}(y)\right)>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$T^{n}([\varphi>n] \cap C)$ is a union of images of cylinders, so it is almost open mod $m$ by (2). We claim that $G(y, n, C):=\left\{x \in X: \widetilde{h}\left(v_{C}(x), S(C)^{-1}(y)\right)>0\right\}$ is almost open $\bmod m$ for $\mu$-almost all $y \in Y$.

By (3), and since $\alpha_{A}$-cylinders are $\alpha$-cylinders, there exists some $Y_{1} \subseteq Y$ such that

$$
\mu\left(Y \backslash Y_{1}\right)=0 \text { and } \forall y \in Y_{1} A \cap[\widetilde{h}(\cdot, y)>0] \text { is } m \text {-almost open. }
$$

Set $Y^{\prime}:=\bigcap\left\{S\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(Y_{1}\right): C^{\prime}\right.$ is a cylinder $\}$. Since for every $C^{\prime}, S\left(C^{\prime}\right) \in A u t(Y)$, $\mu\left(Y \backslash Y^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\forall y \in Y^{\prime} \forall C^{\prime} \in \alpha_{n}, C^{\prime} \cap\left[\widetilde{h}\left(\cdot, S\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{-1} y\right)>0\right]$ is $m$-almost open.
Now fix $y \in Y^{\prime}$. Since $m \circ v_{C}^{-1} \sim m$, for almost every $x \in\left[\widetilde{h}\left(v_{C}(x), S(C)^{-1} y\right)>0\right]$ there is a cylinder $B$ such that

$$
v_{C}(x) \in B \subseteq C \cap\left[\widetilde{h}\left(\cdot, S(C)^{-1} y\right)>0\right]
$$

Choose, using (2), a cylinder $D \subseteq \alpha_{n}(x) \cap T^{n}(B)$ which contains $x$. If $x^{\prime} \in D$, then $v_{C}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in B$ and so $h\left(v_{C}\left(x^{\prime}\right), S(C)^{-1} y\right)>0$. It follows that $x \in D \subseteq G(y, n, C)$. This shows that $G(y, n, C)$ is almost open for all $y \in Y_{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $C \in \alpha_{n}$. Since, again by 2$], T^{n}([\varphi>n] \cap C)$ is almost open mod $m$, we have that $H^{y}=[h(\cdot, y)>0]$ is almost open mod $m$ for $\mu$-almost every $y$, completing the proof.

Proof of theorem 1. We can assume without loss of generality that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)$ is conservative and ergodic (otherwise decompose $T$ to its basic components as explained in the the beginning of the section, and treat each component separately).

Lemma 8 of [Z2] shows that every conservative ergodic AFN-map has an $\alpha_{2}{ }^{-}$ measurable sweep out set $A \subseteq X$ with a finite partition $\eta$ into connected components such that the induced system on $A$ is AFU, and hence skew-product rigid. It follows from lemma 1 that ( $X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha_{2}$ ) also has this property. (AFN maps are piecewise monotonic, so (22) holds, because cylinders are intervals, and images of intervals are almost open.) It remains to observe that $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is skew-product rigid as soon as $\left(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha_{2}\right)$ is.

## 3. Aperiodicity

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a fibred system. Elements of

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\left\{T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x): n \geq 1, x \in X\right\} \cup\{X\}
$$

are called image sets. We will be mainly interested in fibred systems for which every image set is almost open. This is the case for piecewise monotonic maps of the interval, for example.

Definition 2. A cylinder $C$ of length $n_{0}$ is called a cylinder of full returns, if for almost all $x \in C$ there exist $n_{k} \uparrow \infty$ such that $T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)=C$. In this case we say that $T^{n_{0}}(C)$ is a recurrent image set, and write

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}:=\{J: J \text { is a recurrent image set }\} .
$$

Here, we agree to call $X$ is a cylinder of length zero.
A measurable map $f: X \rightarrow S$ ( $S$ some arbitrary set) is called a colouring of a collection $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$, if $\left.f\right|_{C}$ is almost everywhere equal to a constant for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. The constant colourings are called trivial colourings.

Definition 3. A fibred system is called almost onto if all the colourings of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ are trivial (in particular, $X=\bigcup \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }} \bmod m$ ). A map for which $X \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ is called quasi-beta.

The beta transformation is quasi-beta (see 4.2 ). Other examples of almost-onto maps (quasi-beta and not quasi-beta) are given in $\$$

Theorem $2\left(\mathcal{M}_{r e c}\right.$-measurability of the transfer function $\left.g\right)$. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a skew-product rigid measure-preserving fibred system whose image sets are almost open. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a LCAP group. If $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda g / g \circ T$ a.e. where $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is $\alpha$-measurable, $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$, then $g$ is constant on every recurrent image set.

Corollary 2. If in addition $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is almost onto, then $\phi$ is aperiodic iff the group generated by $\{\phi(x)-\phi(y): x, y \in X\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{G}$.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda g / g \circ T$. By theorem 2, $g$ is a colouring of $\mathcal{M}_{r e c}$, whence constant. It follows that $\gamma \circ \phi=\lambda$ and the corollary easily follows.

Remark 1. If $\alpha$ is a Markov partition and $T$ is conservative, then $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}=\{T A$ : $A \in \alpha\}$ and the theorem reduces to theorem 3.1 in AD1.

Proof. In this case, every cylinder of positive measure is a cylinder of full returns, and for every cylinder $C=\left[A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n_{0}-1}\right], T^{n_{0}}(C)=T\left(A_{n_{0}-1}\right)$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}=\{T(A): A \in \alpha\}$. The map is almost onto iff the only colouring of $\{T(A): A \in \alpha, m(A)>0\}$ is trivial, and this is equivalent to the almost onto condition mentioned in [AD1]: $\forall A, A^{\prime} \in \alpha, \exists B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n} \in \alpha$ such that

$$
m\left(T A \cap T B_{1}\right), m\left(T B_{1} \cap T B_{2}\right), \ldots, m\left(T B_{n} \cap T A^{\prime}\right)>0
$$

This reduces theorem 2 to theorem 3.1 in AD1.
Remark 2. If $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is Markov and skew product rigid, then it is almost onto iff $F^{\sharp}$ is aperiodic, where fixing $a_{0} \in \alpha, F^{\sharp}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\alpha \backslash\left\{a_{0}\right\}}$ is defined by $F^{\sharp}(x)_{a}:=\delta_{a, \alpha(x)} \quad\left(a \in \alpha \backslash\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)$. Thus the almost onto condition in Corollary 1 cannot be omitted.

Proof. Almost onto implies $F^{\sharp}$ aperiodic by Corollary 1.
To see the converse, it suffices to show that if $T$ is not almost onto, then there exists an $\alpha$ measurable $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is not aperiodic, even though $\{\phi(x)-\phi(y)$ : $x, y \in X\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}$.

If the system is not almost onto, then there exists some $\alpha$-measurable two-set partition $\widetilde{\alpha}=\left\{A_{-}, A_{+}\right\}$of $X$ such that each $T A, A \in \alpha$, is contained in $A_{-}$or in $A_{+}$. (Let $\alpha_{*}$ be the finest partition with the property that each $T A$ is contained in some atom of $\alpha_{*}$. By assumption, $\alpha_{*}$ is nontrivial. Fix any $A_{-} \in \alpha_{*}$ and let $A_{+}:=X \backslash A_{-}$.) Define

$$
\phi(x):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in X_{0}:=\{x: \widetilde{\alpha}(x)=\widetilde{\alpha}(T x)\} \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in X_{1}:=\{x: \widetilde{\alpha}(x) \neq \widetilde{\alpha}(T x)\}\end{cases}
$$

which is measurable $\alpha$ since the sets $X_{0}, X_{1}$ are. By transitivity, the $X_{i}$ are nonempty. Letting

$$
g(x):= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \in A_{+} \\ -1 & \text { if } x \in A_{-}\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
e^{i \pi \phi}=\frac{g}{g \circ T}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { on } X_{0} \\ -1 & \text { on } X_{1}\end{cases}
$$

This shows that $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is not aperiodic, even though $\{\phi(x)-\phi(y): x, y \in X\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of theorem 2. The proof is based on the following statement:

Given $(*)$ the proof of the theorem is as follows. Fix $J \in \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$, and choose some cylinder of full returns $C \in \alpha_{n_{0}}$ such that $J=T^{n_{0}}(C)$. Let $g(C)$ be the value of $g$ on $C$, and define $v_{C}: J \rightarrow C$ to be the inverse of $T^{n_{0}}: C \rightarrow J$. Then $\gamma \circ \phi_{n_{0}}=\lambda^{n_{0}} g / g \circ T^{n_{0}}$, whence $\gamma \circ \phi_{n_{0}} \circ v_{C}=\lambda^{n_{0}} g \circ v_{C} / g$. Therefore, if $\phi_{n_{0}}(C)$ is the value of $\phi_{n_{0}}$ on $C$, then

$$
g(x)=\frac{\lambda^{n_{0}} g(C)}{\gamma\left(\phi_{n_{0}}(C)\right)} \quad(x \in J)
$$

which proves that $g$ is constant on $J$. This proves the theorem.
We prove $(*)$ first under the additional assumption that $T$ is quasi-beta, and then in the general case. We use the following concept, essentially due to to Kowalski Ko1, Ko2:

Definition 4. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a fibred system.
(1) A skew-product over $\alpha$ is called simple if each of its invariant densities $h(x, y)$ satisfies $[h>0] \in \alpha \otimes \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{1}$
(2) $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is weak quasi-Markov (wqM), if all skew-products over $\alpha$ are simple.

Remark 3. 1) These definitions can be made with $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ replaced by the collections of the null-preserving transformations of $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, or the non-singular transformations of $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. The corresponding properties are then called strong quasi-Markov (sqM) and quasi-Markov (qM). Note that Ko1 states $q M \Rightarrow \alpha$ is a Markov partition, but only proves $s q M \Rightarrow \alpha$ is a Markov partition.
2) It is not hard to show that a probability preserving fibred system $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is wqM iff for every $S: \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ every $\tau_{S}$-invariant set is $\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}$-measurable.
3) Using 2) it is not hard to show that if $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is an almost onto, wqM probability preserving fibred system, and $S: \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$, then the joint ergodicity of $\{S(a): a \in \alpha\}$ implies the ergodicity of $\tau_{S}$, and indeed, $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ is an eigenvalue for $\tau_{S}$ iff there is an $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $h \circ S(a)=\lambda h$ for all $a \in \alpha$.

Returning to the proof of theorem 2 , we show that if $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is skewproduct rigid and is quasi-beta, then it is weakly quasi-Markov. We then show that the weak quasi-Markov property implies $(*)$, thus proving the theorem in the case of quasi-beta systems.
Step 1. A skew-product rigid fibred system which is quasi-beta is weak quasiMarkov.

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a skew-product rigid quasi-beta fibred system. Fix some standard probability space $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and let $\tau_{S}: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ be some skew-product over $\alpha$. We must show that every non-negative measurable solution of $P_{\tau_{S}} h=h$ satisfies $[h>0] \in \alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Fix such an $h$ and set $E:=[h>0]$.

Recall that the $y$-section of a set $E$ is $E^{y}:=\{x \in X:(x, y) \in E\}$. For every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ set $F_{E}(B):=\left\{y \in Y: B \subseteq E^{y} \bmod m\right\}$. This is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable, because

[^1]$E \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{F}{ }^{2}$ We show that $E=E_{1} \bmod m \times \mu$, where
$$
E_{1}:=\left\{(x, y) \in E: \alpha_{1}(x) \times\{y\} \subseteq E\right\} \equiv \bigcup_{A \in \alpha} A \times F_{E}(A)
$$
thereby proving the proposition.
We claim that
$$
E=\bigcup_{C \text { cylinder }} C \times F_{E}(C) \bmod m \times \mu
$$
(1) $R H S \subseteq L H S$ : Enough to see that for every cylinder $C$, $(m \times \mu)([C \times$ $\left.\left.F_{E}(C)\right] \backslash E\right)=0$. This is because $\left[C \times F_{E}(C)\right] \backslash E=\bigcup_{y \in F_{E}(C)}\{x \in C: x \in$ $\left.C \backslash E^{y}\right\} \times\{y\}$ and every $y$-section of this set has measure zero.
(2) To see the other inclusion, fix $y$ and suppose $x \in(L H S \backslash R H S)^{y}:=\{x:$ $(x, y) \in L H S \backslash R H S\}$. Then $x \in[h(\cdot, y)>0]$ and there is no $n$ such that $\alpha_{n}(x) \subseteq[h(\cdot, y)>0]$. The system being skew-product rigid, we find that
$$
m\left((L H S \backslash R H S)^{y}\right)=0 \text { for } \mu \text {-a.e } y \in Y
$$

It follows from Fubini's theorem that $L H S \subseteq R H S \bmod m \times \mu$.
Therefore, if $E \neq E_{1} \bmod m \times \mu$, then there is a cylinder of positive measure $C=\left[A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n-1}\right]$ such that $\mu\left(F_{E}(C) \backslash F_{E}\left(A_{0}\right)\right)>0$ (otherwise $C \times F_{E}(C) \subseteq E_{1}$ for all cylinders $C$, and this implies $\left.E_{1} \supseteq E\right)$. If $F:=F_{E}(C) \backslash F_{E}\left(A_{0}\right)$, then $E \backslash E_{1} \supseteq C \times F \bmod m \times \mu$. This shows that if $E \neq E_{1} \bmod m \times \mu$, then there is a cylinder $C$ and an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable $F$ such that

$$
E \backslash E_{1} \supseteq C \times F \text { and }(m \times \mu)(C \times F)>0
$$

We show that $C \supseteq \widetilde{C}$ where $\widetilde{C}=\left[A_{0}, \ldots A_{N-1}\right]$ is a cylinder of length $N$ such that if $\widetilde{S}=S(\widetilde{C})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\widetilde{C})>0, T^{N}(\widetilde{C})=X, \mu(F \cap \widetilde{S}(F))>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quasi-beta property is that for a.e. $x \in C, T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=X$ infinitely often. It follows that $C \supseteq C^{\prime}=\left[A_{0}, \ldots, A_{m-1}\right]$ where $A_{i} \in \alpha, m\left(C^{\prime}\right)>0$, and $T^{m}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=X$. Set $\bar{S}:=S_{A_{m-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_{A_{0}}$. This is an automorphism of $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, so there exists some $k \geq 1$ such that $\mu\left(F \cap \bar{S}^{k}(F)\right)>0$. If $\widetilde{C}:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{-i m} C^{\prime} \in \alpha_{k m}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{m k}(\widetilde{C}) & =T^{m k}\left(C^{\prime} \cap T^{-m} C^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap T^{-(k-1) m} C^{\prime}\right) \\
& =T^{m k}\left(\left(\left.T^{m}\right|_{C^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(C^{\prime} \cap T^{-m} C^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap T^{-m(k-2)} C^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =T^{m(k-1)}\left(C^{\prime} \cap T^{-m} C^{\prime} \cap \ldots \cap T^{-m(k-2)} C^{\prime}\right)=\ldots=T^{m}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=X
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, note that the local invertibility property of $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ and $T^{N} \widetilde{C}=X$ imply that $m(\widetilde{C})>0$, so (4) is satisfied with $N=m k$ and $\widetilde{C}$.

We can now derive the contradiction which proves that $E \neq E_{1} \bmod m \times \mu$ is impossible. Set $\widetilde{F}=F \cap \widetilde{S}(F)$, and consider $\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{F}$. By construction,

$$
A_{0} \times \widetilde{F} \subseteq T^{N} \widetilde{C} \times(F \cap \widetilde{S}(F)) \subseteq \tau_{S}^{N}(\widetilde{C} \times F) \subseteq \tau_{S}^{N}(C \times F) \subseteq \tau_{S}^{N}(E) \subseteq E
$$

[^2]because $E=[h>0]$ and $h$ is an invariant density, so $\left.\tau_{S}(E) \subseteq E\right]^{3}$
It follows that $A_{0} \times \widetilde{F} \subseteq E_{1}$, whence $\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{F} \subseteq E_{1}$. But this is impossible, since $\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{F} \subset C \times F \subseteq E \backslash E_{1} \bmod m \times \mu$ and $(m \times \mu)(\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{F})>0$.
Step 2. The weak quasi-Markov property implies that $g$ is $\alpha$-measurable for measure-preserving fibred systems.
$\operatorname{Proof}([\mathrm{Kow}],[\mathrm{AD}])$. Set $\psi:=\gamma \circ \phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$. Let $Y=\mathbb{S}^{1}$ equipped with Lebesgue measure $\mu$, and consider $S: \alpha \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ given by $S(A)(y)=\bar{\lambda} y \cdot \psi(A)$ where $\psi(A)$ is the value of $\psi$ on $A$. The corresponding skew-product, $\tau_{S}: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$, is $\tau_{S}(x, y)=(T x, \bar{\lambda} y \cdot \psi(x))$.

A calculation shows that $h(x, y):=g(x) \cdot y$ satisfies $h \circ \tau_{S}=h$. Thus, every level set of $h, A_{t}=[h<t]$ is $\tau_{S}$-invariant, and since $T$ preserves $m, 1_{A_{t}}$ is an invariant density for $\tau_{S}$. By the weak quasi-Markov property, $A_{t} \in \alpha \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$, and it follows that $h$ is $\alpha \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$-measurable. This can only happen if $g$ is $\alpha$-measurable.

This proves ( $*$ ) and the theorem in the case when $T$ is quasi-beta.
We now consider the general case. First, we note that we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda=1$. Indeed, suppose $\lambda=e^{i \theta}$, and define $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}:=\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{\phi}(x)=(\phi(x),-\theta)$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}(x, t)=e^{i t} \gamma(x)$. Then $\widetilde{\gamma} \circ \widetilde{\phi}=g / g \circ T$, and $\widetilde{\phi}$ is $\alpha-$ measurable, so we in the situation of the theorem but with $\lambda=1$. Henceforth, assume that $\lambda=1$.

Next fix some cylinder $C \in \alpha_{n_{0}}$ of full returns. If $n_{0}=0, T$ is quasi-beta and we are done, so assume that $n_{0}>0$. Next define
$\varphi_{C}(x):=1_{C}(x) \inf \left\{n \geq 1: T^{n}(x) \in C\right\}, \alpha_{C}:=\left\{\alpha_{\varphi_{C}(x)+n_{0}}(x): x \in X\right\}, T_{C}:=T^{\varphi_{C}}$
and let $m_{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{C}$ be the restrictions of $m$ and $\mathcal{B}$ to $C$. Then $\left(C, \mathcal{B}_{C}, m_{C}, T_{C}, \alpha_{C}\right)$ is a fibred map with almost open image sets (w.r.t $\left(T_{c}, \alpha_{C}\right)$ ).

We claim that this system is quasi-beta. Indeed, $C$ has full returns, so for almost every $x \in C$ there are $n_{k} \uparrow \infty$ with $T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)=C$. Since $n_{k}$ is a time of return, there exists some $m_{k}$ such that

$$
n_{k}=\varphi_{C}(x)+\varphi_{C}\left(T_{C} x\right)+\ldots \varphi_{C}\left(T_{C}^{m_{k}-1} x\right)
$$

By the definition of $\alpha_{C}$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{C}\right)_{m_{k}}(x)=\alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)
$$

whence $\left(T_{C}\right)^{m_{k}}\left(\alpha_{C}\right)_{m_{k}}(x)=T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)=C$.
If we set $\phi_{C}:=\phi+\phi \circ T+\ldots \phi \circ T^{\varphi_{C}-1}$, we get for almost every $x \in C$, $\gamma \circ \phi_{C}=g / g \circ T_{C}$. Since $\phi_{C}$ is $\alpha_{C}-$ measurable and $T_{C}$ is quasi-beta, we have by the first part of the proof that $g$ is constant on $C$, whence ( $*$ ).

To conclude this section we mention another aspect of cylinders of full returns:
Remark 4 (Relation to Iterated Function Systems). We can also characterize cylinders of full returns in terms of a suitable iterated function system (IFS). Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a fibred system, and let $\widetilde{\alpha}_{+}:=\left\{A \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_{n}: m(A)>0\right\}$. Given $C \in \widetilde{\alpha}_{+}$we let $\mathcal{W}_{C}:=\left\{W \in \widetilde{\alpha}_{+}: T^{|W|} W \supseteq C\right\}$ and notice that $\left[W_{0}, \ldots, W_{k-1}\right] \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{C}$ implies $\left[W_{i}, \ldots, W_{k-1}\right] \in \mathcal{W}_{C}$ for $i<k$.

[^3]Consider the IFS $\mathfrak{X}_{C}:=\left\{v=\left.\left(\left.T^{|W|}\right|_{W}\right)^{-1}\right|_{C}: W \in \mathcal{W}_{C}\right\}$ consisting of maps $v: C \rightarrow X$. Observe that if $v_{i}=\left.\left(\left.T^{\left|W_{i}\right|}\right|_{W_{i}}\right)^{-1}\right|_{C} \in \mathfrak{X}_{C}, i \in\{1,2\}$, and $v_{2}(C) \cap C \neq$ $\varnothing$, then $v_{2}(C) \subseteq C$ (since $\left.v_{2}(C)=\left[W_{2}, C\right] \in \xi_{\left|W_{2}\right|+|C|}\right)$ and $v_{1} \circ v_{2} \in \mathfrak{X}_{C}$ with $v_{1} \circ v_{2}(C)=\left[W_{1}, W_{2}, C\right]$.

Therefore, if $x=v_{1} \circ \ldots \circ v_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$ for some $x_{n} \in C$ and $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}_{C}$, then $x \in[W, C]$ for some $W \in \mathcal{W}_{C}$ with $|W| \geq n$. Hence if $\mathfrak{X}^{*} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_{C}$ and $X^{*} \subseteq X$ are such that $X^{*}=\bigcup_{v \in \mathfrak{X}^{*}} v\left(C \cap X^{*}\right)$, then any $x \in X^{*}$ belongs to infinitely many [ $W, C$ ], $W \in \mathcal{W}_{C}$. By lemma 2, if $X^{*}$ has positive measure, then $C$ is a cylinder of full returns.

Specifically, if we let $\mathcal{W}_{C}^{*}:=\left\{W \in \mathcal{W}_{C}: \nexists W_{1} \in C \cap \mathcal{W}_{C}\right.$ such that $W=\left[W_{0}, W_{1}\right]$ with $\left.\left|W_{i}\right|>0\right\}$ and define $\mathfrak{X}_{C}^{*}$ like $\mathfrak{X}_{C}$ with $\mathcal{W}_{C}$ replaced by $\mathcal{W}_{C}^{*}$, lemma 2 also shows necessity of $X$ being covered $(\bmod m)$ by the images of $v \in \mathfrak{X}_{C}^{*}$, so that

$$
C \text { is a cylinder of full returns iff } X=\bigcup_{v \in \mathfrak{X}_{C}^{*}} v(C \cap X) \quad(\bmod m) .
$$

## 4. Examples II: Almost Onto Systems

4.1. Finding recurrent image sets. The following result contains the information we need on the structure of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ :
Theorem 3 (The family of recurrent image sets). Let T be a basic AFU map with partition $\alpha$ and absolutely continuous invariant measure $m$. Then:
(1) $J$ is a recurrent image set iff $\left[T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=J\right.$ infinitely often $]$ has positive measure, and in this case this set is of full measure.
(2) If $\inf \left|\left(T^{N}\right)^{\prime}\right|>2$, then at least one of the elements of $\alpha_{N}$ is a cylinder of full returns.
(3) If $J$ is a recurrent image set for $T$, and $J \supseteq C$ where $C$ is a cylinder, then $T^{|C|}(C)$ is again a recurrent image set.
(4) $X$ is covered (up to finitely many points) by some finite $\mathcal{M}_{r e c}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$.

In what follows $y$ is called a fixed point in a cylinder $A$ if
(1) $T$ is orientation preserving in $A, y \in \bar{A}$ and $T(x) \rightarrow y$ as $x \rightarrow y$ in $A$, or
(2) $T$ is orientation reversing in $A, y \in \operatorname{int}(A)$, and $T(x) \rightarrow y$ as $x \rightarrow y$ in $A$.
(This is intended to prevent ambiguity when $y$ is a discontinuity point.)
Theorem 4 (Recurrent image sets at fixed points). Let $(X, T, \alpha)$ be a basic $A F U$ map, and suppose $y$ is a fixed point in $A \in \alpha$. If $T(A) \supseteq A$, then each of the images $T\left(I_{1}\right), T\left(I_{2}\right)$ of the components $I_{1}, I_{2}$ of $A \backslash\{y\}$ is covered by a recurrent image set.

In particular, if $T$ has a full branch, then there are two recurrent image sets $J, J^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ such that $X=J \cup J^{\prime}$ up to end points of $J, J^{\prime}$ (and if $y \in \partial A$, then $J=J^{\prime}$ ).

The theorem suggests the following test for the almost onto property: Define the full-image transition graph $\mathcal{J}=(T \alpha, \rightsquigarrow)$ by requiring that $I \rightsquigarrow J$ iff $I$ covers some $C \in \alpha$ with $T C=J$. Then:

Corollary 3. Let $T$ be a basic AFU-map on the interval $X$ with $\bigcup T \alpha$ connected, and suppose that $\inf _{X}\left|T^{\prime}\right|>2$ or that there is an orientation preserving fixed point at $\partial A$ for some $A \in \alpha$. Then $T \alpha \cap \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }} \neq \varnothing$, and if $\mathcal{J}$ is irreducible, then $T$ is almost onto.

Proof. Part 2 of theorem 3 and theorem 4 show that under the assumptions of the corollary, $T \alpha \cap \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }} \neq \varnothing$. It is enough to show that $T \alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ (since $\cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$, too, is connected in this case). Theorem 3 says that there is some $J \in T \alpha \cap \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$, and that if $J=J_{0} \rightsquigarrow J_{1} \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow J_{k-1}$, then each $J_{i}$ is in $T \alpha \cap \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$.

Example 1. Set $Z_{i}=\left[\frac{i}{4}, \frac{i+1}{4}\right),(i=0, \ldots, 3)$ and fix $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Let $T_{\theta}:[0,1) \rightarrow$ $[0,1)$ be the map given by $\left.T\right|_{Z_{i}}$ maps $Z_{i}$ affinely onto $B_{i}$, where $B_{0}=B_{2}=[0,1-\theta)$ and $B_{1}=B_{3}=[\theta, 1)$, together with $\alpha=\left\{Z_{0}, \ldots, Z_{3}\right\}$. Then $T_{\theta}$ is almost onto but not quasi-beta.
Proof. T $\alpha=\{[0,1-\theta),[\theta, 1)\}$ and $[0,1-\theta) \rightsquigarrow[\theta, 1) \rightsquigarrow[0,1-\theta)$ so that the conditions of the lemma are satisfied, whence $T$ is almost onto. $T$ is not quasi-beta because there are no cylinders with image equal to the whole interval.

The remainder of this section is dedicated to the proof of theorem 3. We need the following lemma:

Lemma 2. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a conservative ergodic fibred system. The following are equivalent:
(1) $C \in \alpha_{n_{0}}$ is a cylinder of full returns,
(2) $\varphi^{C}(x):=\min \left\{n \geq 1: T^{n} \alpha_{n+|C|}(x)=C\right\}$ is finite for $m$-a.e. $x \in C$.
(3) There exists $M$ of positive measure such that for almost every $x \in M$, there are $n_{k} \uparrow \infty$ with $T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)=C$.
(4) For almost every $x \in X$, there are $n_{k} \uparrow \infty$ such that $T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}+n_{0}}(x)=C$.

In particular, if the system is conservative ergodic and $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$, then for a.e. $x \in X, T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=J$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ is trivial.
In order to prove that $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$, it is enough to prove that $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ because $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ (take $M=C$ ). Consider the full return map $T^{C}$ defined a.e. on $C$ by $T^{C} x:=T^{\varphi^{C}(x)} x \in C$, whose natural partition $\alpha^{C}$ is given by $\alpha^{C}(x)=$ $\alpha_{\varphi^{C}(x)+|C|}(x)(\bmod m)$. Then $\left.\left.m\right|_{C} \circ\left(T^{C}\right)^{-1} \ll m\right|_{C}$, so that $\varphi^{C} \circ T^{C}$ is defined a.e. on $C$, and (by induction) so are all powers $\left(T^{C}\right)^{n}, n \geq 1$, proving (1).

We prove $(3) \Rightarrow(4)$. For this purpose define $\mathcal{F}_{\ell}: X \rightarrow 2^{\alpha_{\ell}}, \ell \geq 1$ by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\ell}(x):=\left\{C \in \alpha_{\ell}: T^{n} \alpha_{n+\ell}(x)=C \text { for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Observe that for every $x, T^{n} \alpha_{n+\ell}(x)=T^{n-1} \alpha_{n+\ell-1}(T x): \subseteq$ is a set-theoretic identity and this forces $=$ because both sets are $\ell$-cylinders. It follows that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\ell} \circ T \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{\ell}
$$

Our system is assumed to be conservative ergodic. It is not difficult to deduce from this that $\mathcal{F}_{\ell}$ is constant a.e. on $X$, so that if $C \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in M$ and $m(M)>0$, then $C \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in X$, whence (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4).

The last implication $(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial, so the lemma is proved.
Proof of theorem 3. The proof uses Canonical Markov Extensions (C.M.E.), which we now turn to describe. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the collection of image sets of $T$, and define for every $J \in \mathcal{M}, \widehat{J}:=J \times\{J\}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}:=\{\widehat{J}: J \in \mathcal{M}\}$, and define

$$
\widehat{X}:=\bigcup \widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{T}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}, \widehat{T}(x, J):=(T x, T(\alpha(x) \cap J))
$$

We equip $\widehat{X}$ with the natural Borel structure induced by $\widehat{J} \cong J$. Note that $\pi: \widehat{X} \rightarrow$ $X, \pi(x, J)=x$ is a factor map, and that $\widehat{\alpha}:=\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \vee \pi^{-1} \alpha$ is a Markov partition for $\widehat{T} .(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T}, \widehat{\alpha})$ is called the Canonical Markov Extension of $(X, T, \alpha)$ (Hofbauer [H], Keller Ke2).

We define the levels of the extension as follows: Level zero is $X \times\{X\}$, and Level $n$ for $n \geq 1$, is $\bigcup\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{n} \backslash \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{n-1}\right)$, where

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{n}=\left\{\widehat{J}: J \in T^{k} \alpha_{k}, k \leq n\right\} \text { and } \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{0}=\{X \times\{X\}\}
$$

The height $\Lambda(\widehat{x})$ of $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}$ is the index of the level set which contains $\widehat{x}$. Some basic properties of $\widehat{T}$ (see $\mathbf{K e 2}$ for proofs):
(1) The collection of image sets of $\widehat{T}$ is $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}=\{\widehat{J}: J \in \mathcal{M}\}$, and this collection is pairwise disjoint.
(2) $\widehat{T}^{n}(x, J)=\left(T^{n} x, T^{n}\left(\alpha_{n}(x) \cap J\right)\right)$. Since $\alpha_{n}$ shrinks to points, for every $x \in \operatorname{int}(J)$ there is $n_{0}=n_{0}(x)$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, \widehat{T}^{n}(x, J)=$ $\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)\right)$.
(3) $\widehat{\alpha}_{n}(x, J)=\left(\alpha_{n}(x) \cap J\right) \times\{J\}$, and for every $x \in \operatorname{int}(J)$ there is $n_{0}=n_{0}(x)$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}, \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(x, J)=\alpha_{n}(x) \times\{J\}$.
(4) $\widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(x, J)=T^{n}\left(\alpha_{n}(x) \cap J\right) \times\left\{T^{n}\left(\alpha_{n}(x) \cap J\right)\right\}$, and for every $x \in \operatorname{int}(J)$ there is $n_{0}=n_{0}(x)$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}, \widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(x, J)=T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x) \times$ $\left\{T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)\right\}$.
(5) if $|T \alpha|<\infty$, then $\pi^{-1}\{x\} \cap[\Lambda=n]$ is finite for all $n \geq 0, x \in X$.

We will also need the following strong lifting result for basic AFN maps [Z2]: Let $d m=h d m_{\lambda}$ be the a.c.i.m of $T$. There exists a $\widehat{T}$-invariant conservative ergodic Borel measure $\widehat{m}$ such that $\widehat{m} \circ \pi^{-1}=m$, for which the following is true:

$$
\forall \widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \text { if } \widehat{m}(\widehat{J})>0, \text { then }\left.\left.\widehat{m}\right|_{\widehat{J}} \sim m \circ \pi\right|_{J}
$$

This is not stated explicitly in $\mathbf{Z 2}$ but can be derived from results there as follows: Define the regularity of a $\widehat{u}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$which is differentiable on every $\widehat{J}$ to be the supremum over all $\widehat{J}$ of

$$
R_{\widehat{J}}(\widehat{u}):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\sup _{\widehat{J}}\left|\widehat{u}^{\prime} / \widehat{u}\right| & \widehat{u}>0 \text { on } \widehat{J} \\
0 & \widehat{u} \equiv 0 \text { on } \widehat{J} \\
\infty & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof of proposition 1 in [Z2] implies that that $d \widehat{m}=\widehat{h} d \widehat{m_{\lambda}}$ where $\widehat{m_{\lambda}}$ is the sum of the Lebesgue measures on $\widehat{J} \cong J$, and the regularity of $\widehat{h}$ is finite. This implies ( $\dagger$ ).

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{r e c}$ be the collection of recurrent image sets for $\widehat{T}$ (w.r.t. $\widehat{m}$ ), and denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}$the collection of image sets of $\widehat{T}$ with positive $\widehat{m}$ measure. We derive the theorem from the following characterization of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{r e c}=\left\{T^{|C|}(C): C \text { is a cylinder such that } C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)\right\}=\pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)
$$

We explain how this implies the theorem:
Proof of part 1. Lemma 1 says that if $J$ is a recurrent image set, then $\left[T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=\right.$ $J$ infinitely often] has positive (in fact full) measure. We show the other direction. Suppose $m\left[T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=J\right.$ i.o. $]>0$. Then $\widehat{m}\left[T^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x}))=J\right.$ i.o. $]>0$, because
$\widehat{m} \circ \pi^{-1}=m$. But for almost every $\widehat{x}$ if $n$ is large enough, then $\widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(\widehat{x})=$ $T^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x})) \times\left\{T^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x}))\right\}$, so that in fact $\widehat{m}\left[\widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{a}_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x}))=\widehat{J}\right.$ i.o. $]>0$. This means that $\widehat{m}\left[\widehat{T}^{n}(\widehat{x}) \in \widehat{J}\right.$ i.o. $]>0$, whence (trivially) $\widehat{m}\left[\exists n\right.$ such that $\left.\widehat{T}^{n}(\widehat{x}) \in \widehat{J}\right]>$ 0 . The invariance of $\widehat{m}$ now implies that $\widehat{m}(\widehat{J})>0$, so that $J=\pi(\widehat{J}) \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)$. Therefore, by $(\ddagger), J$ is a recurrent image set.

Proof of part 2. Without loss of generality $N=1$ (else work with $\left(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T^{N}, \alpha_{N}\right)$ ). The first telescope lemma of [Z1] says that for almost every $x$ there are $n_{k} \uparrow \infty$ such that $T^{n_{k}} \alpha_{n_{k}}(x)=T \alpha\left(T^{n_{k}-1} x\right)$. There are only finitely many possibilities for $T \alpha\left(T^{n_{k}-1} x\right)$, because of $(\mathrm{F})$. Therefore, there is a $J \in T \alpha$ such that

$$
m\left\{x: T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=J \text { infinitely often }\right\}>0
$$

This implies, by part one, that $J$ is a recurrent image set.
Proof of part 3. Suppose $J \in \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$ and $C \subseteq J$ is a cylinder. By $(\ddagger), J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)$. But this means that $C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)$, so $(\ddagger)$ gives $T^{|C|}(C) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ and part 3 follows.

Proof of part 4. By lemma 6 of [Z2] and the proof of proposition 1 there, we know that $h(x)=\sum_{\pi(\widehat{x})=x} \widehat{h}(\widehat{x})$ outside some countable set $E$, and that for $\varepsilon:=\inf _{X} h / 2>0$ there is some $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{\pi(\widehat{x})=x, \Lambda(\widehat{x})>\eta} \widehat{h}(\widehat{x})<\varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$. Consequently, $\sum_{\pi(\widehat{x})=x, \Lambda(\widehat{x}) \leq \eta} \widehat{h}(\widehat{x})>0$ outside $E$, showing that each $x \in X \backslash E$ is contained in some member $J$ of the finite collection

$$
\mathcal{M}_{r e c}^{\prime}:=\left\{J: \widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+} \cap\{\Lambda \leq \eta\}\right\}
$$

By $(\ddagger), \mathcal{M}_{r e c}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$. But since $X$ only has a finite number of components, we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}^{\prime}$ covers $X$ up to finitely many points. Part 4 follows.

This shows that the theorem follows from $(\ddagger)$, which we now prove, using the following steps:
(A) $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }} \supseteq\left\{T^{|C|}(C): C\right.$ is a cylinder such that $\left.C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)\right\}$
(B) $\left\{T^{|C|}(C): C\right.$ is a cylinder such that $\left.C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)\right\} \supseteq \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{r e c}\right)$
(C) $\pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{r e c}\right) \supseteq \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)$
(D) $\pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right) \supseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$

Proof of $(\mathrm{A})$ : Suppose $C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\text {rec }}\right)$. Then $\widehat{C}:=\widehat{J} \cap \pi^{-1} C \in \widehat{\alpha}_{|C|}$ is a full lift of $C$, i.e. $\pi \widehat{C}=C$, so that each $x \in C$ has a unique lift $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{C}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in C, \alpha_{n+|C|}(x)=\pi\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{n+|C|}(\widehat{x})\right) \cap J=\pi\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{n+|C|}(\widehat{x})\right)$. It follows that $T^{n} \alpha_{n+|C|}(x)=\pi\left(\widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n+|C|}(\widehat{x})\right)$, showing that the full return times $\tau^{C}(x)$ and $\widehat{\tau}^{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{x})$ agree for all $x \in C$ (cf. lemma 22 . It is therefore enough to prove that that $\widehat{C}$ is a cylinder of full returns for $\widehat{T}$ w.r.t. $\widehat{m}$.

By assumption, $\widehat{m}(\widehat{J})>0$. $(\ddagger)$ implies that $\widehat{m}(\widehat{C})>0$, because $\left.\pi\right|_{\widehat{J}}(\widehat{C})=C$ and $m(C)>0$. It follows that $\widehat{C}$ is a $\widehat{T}$-cylinder of positive $\widehat{m}-$ measure, and this means that it is a cylinder of full returns, because $\widehat{T}$ is Markov and $\widehat{m}$ is conservative, and in the Markov case, every cylinder with positive measure is a cylinder of full returns.

Proof of (B): Suppose $J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\text {rec }}\right)$. Then $\widehat{J} \equiv J \times\{J\}$ is a recurrent image set, so by lemma 1 for $\widehat{m}$ a.e. $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}, \quad \widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(\widehat{x})=\widehat{J}$ infinitely often. Thus
for $\widehat{m}$ a.e. $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}, J=\pi(\widehat{J})=\pi\left(\widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(\widehat{x})\right)=T^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x}))$ infinitely often.
If $n$ is large enough $\alpha_{n}(\pi(\widehat{x}))=\pi\left(\widehat{\alpha}_{n}(\widehat{x})\right)$, and this is contained in some element of $\pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{+}\right)$for a.e. $\widehat{x}$. It follows that $J \in\left\{T^{|C|}(C): C \subseteq J \in \pi\left(\mathcal{M}_{+}\right)\right\}$.
Proof of $(\mathrm{C})$ : Suppose $J \in \pi\left(\mathcal{M}_{+}\right)$. Then there is a $\widehat{C} \in \widehat{\alpha}$ such that $\widehat{m}\left(\widehat{C} \cap \widehat{T}^{-1} \widehat{J}\right)>$ 0 . For this partition element, $\widehat{m}[\widehat{J} \cap \widehat{T}(\widehat{C})]=\widehat{m}\left[\widehat{T}^{-1} \widehat{J} \cap \widehat{T}^{-1} \widehat{T}(\widehat{C})\right]>0$. But for the canonical Markov extension, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is a partition, so that if $\widehat{T}(\widehat{C})$ intersects $\widehat{J}$, it is equal to it. Thus $\widehat{J}=\widehat{T}(\widehat{C})$ where $\widehat{C} \in \widehat{\alpha}$ has positive measure. But $\widehat{T}$ is Markov, and for conservative Markov maps every cylinder of positive measure is a cylinder of full returns. It follows that $\widehat{C}$ is a cylinder of full returns, and consequently $\widehat{J}=\widehat{T}(\widehat{C})$ is a recurrent image set for $\widehat{T}$, whence $J \in \pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\text {rec }}\right)$.

Proof of (D): Suppose $J \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$. Lemma 1 says that for almost every $x, T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=$ $J$ infinitely often. Since for $\widehat{m}$-a.e. $\widehat{x}, \widehat{T}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_{n}(\widehat{x})=\alpha_{n}(\pi \widehat{x}) \times\left\{T^{n} \alpha_{n}(\pi \widehat{x})\right\}$, we have that with full $\widehat{m}$-probability, $\widehat{T}^{n}(\widehat{x}) \in \widehat{J}$. This is the same as saying that $\widehat{X}=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \widehat{T}^{-n} \widehat{J}$ so we must have $\widehat{m}(\widehat{J})>0$, whence $J=\pi(\widehat{J}) \in \pi\left(\mathcal{M}_{+}\right)$.
Proof of theorem 4. We distinguish two cases, the first one being that there is some neighbourhood $U$ of $y$ in $X$ such that $U \cap A$ is contained in some $J \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$. The cylinders $A_{n}:=[A, \ldots, A] \in \alpha_{n}$ are (possibly one-sided punctured) neighbourhoods of $y$ shrinking toward this point, so that $A_{n} \subseteq J$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. For such $n$ therefore $T A=T^{n} A_{n}=T^{\left|A_{n}\right|} A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ by part 3 of theorem 3 .

As for the second case (where necessarily $y \in \operatorname{int}(A)$ ), theorem 3 part 4 says that there is some finite $\mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text {rec }}$ covering $X$ up to finitely many points, so that $y$ is the common end point of two adjacent members $J, J^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{r e c}^{\prime}$. Define $A_{n}$ as before, then $A_{n} \backslash\{y\} \subseteq J \cup J^{\prime}$ for $n \geq n_{1}$, so that $A_{n} \cap J, A_{n} \cap J^{\prime}$ lift to cylinders $\widehat{A}_{n} \subseteq \widehat{M}$ and $\widehat{A}_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq \widehat{J}^{\prime}$ from $\widehat{\alpha}_{n}$ which have positive measure. Hence $T^{n}\left(A_{n} \cap J\right), T^{n}\left(A_{n} \cap J^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{r e c}$, but as $y$ is a fixed point, these are just the images $T I_{i}$ of the components $I$ of $A \backslash\{y\}$.
4.2. Quasi-Beta Maps. The strongest form of the almost-onto property is the quasi-beta property: $X$ is a recurrent image set. This section collects examples of such maps. (Examples of almost onto maps which are not quasi-beta are given in the previous section.) Note that the $\beta$-transformation is a particular case.

Theorem 5 (Quasi-beta maps I). Let $(X, T, \alpha)$ be a basic AFU-map. Each of the following conditions implies the quasi-beta property:
(1) There exists an $A \in \alpha$ such that $T(A)=X$ and such that one of the end points of $A$ is a fixed point in $A$, or
(2) There exist $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \alpha$ different such that $T\left(A_{1}\right)=T\left(A_{2}\right)=X$.

Proof. This follows from theorem 4. (Notice that $\bar{A}$ contains some fixed point $y$, which is inside $A$ if it is orientation-reversing. Apply theorem 4 to see that each component of $X \backslash\{y\}$ is contained in some recurrent image set. But $A^{\circ}$ is contained in one of these components, so that by part 3 of theorem 3, $T A=X$ is a recurrent image set.)

Stronger assumptions on the image structure of the map enable a more direct proof which does not depend on lifting results for Markov extensions (and also allows indifferent fixed points):

Theorem 6 (Quasi-beta maps II). Let $(I, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a piecewise increasing AFN map of the unit interval together with its a.c.i.m. m. Suppose that for every $A \in \alpha, 0 \in \overline{T a}$, and that $T A_{0}=I$ for some $A_{0} \in \alpha$ with the property that $0 \in \overline{A_{0}}$. Then $(I, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ is quasi-beta.

Proof. We need the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall A \in \alpha_{n} \exists p_{A} \in \bar{A} \text { such that } T^{n} p_{A}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use induction on $n$. For $n=1$ this is part of our assumptions. Assume this holds for $n$ and choose some $A \in \alpha_{n+1}$. Write $A=B \cap T^{-1} C$ with $B \in \alpha, C \in \alpha_{n}$. Since $T$ is piecewise increasing, $B=\left(p_{B}, q_{B}\right)$ and $C=\left(p_{C}, q_{C}\right)$ where $T p_{B}=T^{n} p_{C}=0$, and $\left(\left.T\right|_{A}\right)^{-1} C=\left(\left(\left.T\right|_{A}\right)^{-1} p_{C},\left(\left.T\right|_{A}\right)^{-1} q_{C}\right)$. If $A=B \cap T^{-1} C \neq \varnothing$, either $p_{B} \in \bar{A}$ or $\left(\left.T\right|_{A}\right)^{-1} p_{C} \in \bar{A}$. Both map to 0 under $T^{n+1}$ (because 0 must be a fixed point), so $0 \in T^{n+1} \bar{A}$.

Standard arguments (compare [T], [Z2]) show that AFN maps have a Schweiger collection ( $\mathbf{S c}, \mathbf{A}$ ), i.e. a collection of cylinders $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \alpha_{n}$ with the following properties:
(1) for all $n$ and every $A \in \alpha_{n}, A=\bigcup_{B \in \mathfrak{r}, B \subseteq A} B \bmod m$
(2) there exists some positive constant $M$ such that $\frac{v_{B}^{\prime}(x)}{v_{B}^{\prime}(y)} \leq M$ for every $B \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $m \times m$-almost all $(x, y) \in T^{|B|} B \times T^{|B|} B$.
(3) $A \in \alpha_{n}, B \in \mathfrak{r}, A \cap T^{-n} B \neq \varnothing$ implies $A \cap T^{-n} B \in \mathfrak{r}$.

To prove the quasi-beta property, let $A_{0}:=\left(0, c_{0}\right)$ and define $c_{n} \in A_{0}$ by $T^{n} c_{n}=c_{0}$. Fix some $A \in \alpha_{N}$ and some $B \in \mathfrak{r}$ such that $B \subseteq A \bmod m$, and set $B=\left(p_{B}, q_{B}\right)$. Let $|B|$ denote the length of $B$ as a cylinder (so $B \in \alpha_{|B|}$ ). $T^{|B|}$ is increasing on $B$, so (5) implies that $T^{|B|} B=\left(0, T^{|B|}\left(q_{B}\right)\right)$. Since $c_{n} \downarrow 0$, there is some $n_{0}$ such that $c_{n_{0}}<T^{|B|}\left(q_{B}\right) \leq c_{n_{0}-1}$ (where $c_{-1}:=1$ ). By the mean value theorem and the Schweiger property, if $B^{\prime}:=B \cap T^{-|B|}\left[0, c_{n_{0}}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{m\left(B^{\prime}\right)}{m(B)} \equiv \frac{m\left(B \cap T^{-|B|}\left[0, c_{n_{0}}\right]\right)}{m(B)} & =\left|\frac{v_{B}(0)-v_{B}\left(c_{n_{0}}\right)}{v_{B}(0)-v_{B}\left(T^{|B|} q_{B}\right)}\right| \geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{c_{n_{0}}}{T^{|B|} q_{B}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{c_{n_{0}}}{c_{n_{0}-1}}=\frac{1}{M} \frac{c_{n}-0}{T\left(c_{n_{0}}\right)-T(0)} \geq \epsilon_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}:=M^{-1}\left(\inf \left\{T^{\prime}(x): x \in \overline{A_{0}}\right\}\right)^{-1}$. Furthermore,

$$
B^{\prime}:=B \cap T^{-|B|}\left[0, c_{n_{0}}\right] \equiv B \cap T^{-|B|}\left(A_{0} \cap T^{-1} A_{0} \cap \cdots \cap T^{-n_{0}} A_{0}\right) \in \alpha_{|B|+n_{0}+1}
$$

and (since $\left.T^{|B|} B=\left[0, T^{|B|} q_{B}\right] \supseteq\left[0, c_{n_{0}}\right]\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\left|B^{\prime}\right|} B^{\prime} & =T^{n_{0}+1} T^{|B|}\left(B \cap T^{-|B|}\left[0, c_{n_{0}}\right]\right) \\
& =T^{n_{0}+1}\left[0, c_{n_{0}+1}\right]=T\left[0, c_{0}\right]=T A_{0}=[0,1] \bmod m
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for every $B \in \mathfrak{r} \cap A$ there exists $B^{\prime} \in \alpha_{\left|B^{\prime}\right|}$ with $B^{\prime} \subseteq B, T^{\left|B^{\prime}\right|} B^{\prime}=[0,1]$ and $m\left(B^{\prime}\right) \geq \epsilon_{0} m(B)$. Since $A=\bigcup_{B \in \mathfrak{r}, B \subseteq A} B$, this shows that

$$
m\left\{x \in A: \exists n \geq|A| \text { such that } T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=[0,1] \bmod m\right\} \geq \epsilon_{0} m(a)
$$

It now follows by the method of exhaustion that for almost all $x \in A$ there is $n \geq|A|$ such that $T^{n} \alpha_{n}(x)=[0,1]$. Since $A \in \alpha_{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ were arbitrary, the quasi-beta property follows.
4.3. An instructive counterexample. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}, k>1$, let $\alpha$ be the partition $\left(\bmod m_{\lambda}\right)$ of $X=[0,1]$ into subintervals with end points $i / 2 k, i \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, 2 k\} \backslash\{k\}$, and define $T$ to be the piecewise affinely increasing map, symmetric under $x \longmapsto 1-x$, which maps each $(i / 2 k,(i+1) / 2 k), i<k-1$, onto $(1 / 2,1)$, while $T((k-1) / 2 k,(k+1) / 2 k)=(0,1)$.

Proposition 2. $(X, T, \alpha)$ is a basic exact AFU-map which preserves Lebesgue measure $m_{\lambda},\left|T^{\prime}\right| \equiv k$, and has a full branch. However, the system is not almost onto.
Proof. To see this it is enough to notice that its C.M.E. $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{T}, \widehat{\alpha})$ only has two levels, the higher of which, $\widehat{X} \cap\{\Lambda=1\}$, is forward invariant under $\widehat{T}$, so that the base $\widehat{X} \cap\{\Lambda=0\}$ is dissipative and the only recurrent image sets are the intervals $(0,1 / 2)$ and $(1 / 2,1)$, the upper level is made of (see ( $\ddagger$ ) in the proof of theorem 3).
(Let us also remark that for every non degenerate interval $I$ there is some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{j} I=(0,1 / 2) \cup(1 / 2,1)$, but the fixed point $x=1 / 2$ is not contained in any recurrent image set.)

## 5. Perturbation Theory and Conditional Local Limit Theorems for Interval Maps

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ be a fibred system on a probability space. For $\omega: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ measurable, define

$$
P_{\omega} f:=P_{T}(\omega f) \quad\left(f \in L^{1}(m)\right)
$$

and for $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \phi=\left(\phi^{(1)}, \ldots, \phi^{(d)}\right)$, measurable, and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ set $P_{t}:=$ $P_{\chi_{t}(\phi)}$ where $\chi_{t}(y):=e^{i\langle t, y\rangle}$. In the independent case where $\phi$ is $\alpha$-measurable and $\alpha, T^{-1} \alpha, \ldots$ are independent,

$$
P_{t} 1=E\left(e^{i\langle t, \phi\rangle}\right),
$$

which is why the $P_{t}$ are sometimes called characteristic function operators. The characteristic function operators can be used to study the local and distributional limit behaviour of $\phi_{n}$ in the same way as the characteristic function is used in the independent case. In this section we study these operators for certain piecewise monotonic maps of the interval, and establish the properties needed for proving local and distributional limit theorems.

This requires some tools in operator theory which we now explain. Recall that a linear operator $P$ on a Banach space $\mathcal{L}$ is quasi compact (on $\mathcal{L}$ ) if for some $N \geq 1, \theta \in(0,1), E_{1}, \ldots, E_{N}$ projections with finite dimensional images, and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{n} f-\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_{k}^{n} E_{k} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq M \theta^{n}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \forall f \in \mathcal{L} \tag{QC}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are situations when the restriction of the Frobenius-Perron operator to a suitable Banach space is quasi-compact. This can be sometime proved using the following concept:

Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}$ be Banach spaces such that $\mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{L}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$.
(1) We call the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ adapted if $\mathcal{L}$-bounded sets are precompact in $\mathcal{C}$.
(2) Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ be an adapted pair of Banach spaces. A linear operator $P: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is said to be a D-F operator on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ if there are $\theta \in(0,1), M>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{n} f\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \theta\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}+M\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{L}
$$

We will call this latter inequality a D-F inequality.
The terminologies 'D-F inequality' and 'D-F operator' are in honour of W. Doeblin and R. Fortet who first considered such operators (in DF ) for the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is the space continuous functions on a compact metric space $X$, and $\mathcal{L}$ is the space of Lipschitz continuous functions on $X$. It was established in DF that a D-F operator on $(C(X), L(X))$ is quasi compact on $L(X)$ and this was generalized in ITM to show that a D-F operator on an adapted pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is quasi compact on $\mathcal{L}$. The proof of this uses inter alia a kind of rigidity of D-F operators: if $P$ is a D-F operator on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$, then

- if $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ satisfy $P f=\lambda f$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}$
- if $\mathfrak{r}(P)$ denotes the spectral radius of $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then $\mathfrak{r}(P) \leq 1$ with equality iff there are some $f \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ satisfying $P f=\lambda f$.
We apply this theory in the context of piecewise monotonic maps with countably many branches. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. For every measurable $f$ on $X$ taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ define $\operatorname{var}_{X}(f):=\sup \sum_{i}\left\|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right\|$ where the supremum ranges over all $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}$ in $X$. For every $f \in L^{1}\left(m_{\lambda}\right)$ set

$$
\|f\|_{B V}:=\|f\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{X} f, \text { where } \bigvee_{X} f=\inf \left\{\operatorname{var}_{X}\left(f^{*}\right): f^{*}=f m_{\lambda}-\text { a.e. }\right\} .
$$

Finally, let $B V:=\left\{f \in L^{1}\left(m_{\lambda}\right):\|f\|_{B V}<\infty\right\}$. It follows from Helly's theorem that the pair $\left(L^{1}\left(m_{\lambda}\right), B V\right)$ is adapted.

Let $(X, T, \alpha)$ be a non-singular piecewise monotonic map of the unit interval. Below $v_{A}^{\prime}$ will always denote a version of this $L_{1}$-function which minimizes variation. We say that $T$ satisfies Rychlik's condition $[\mathbf{R}]$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{A \in \alpha}\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}=: \mathcal{R}<\infty \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1 of [Z1 says that every AFU map satisfies Rychlik's condition. The following result is due to M. Rychlik ( $[\mathbf{R}]$ ):
Proposition 3 (Ergodic properties of Rychlik maps). Suppose that $(X, T, \alpha)$ is a piecewise monotonic interval map satisfying $(R)$ and $(U)$, then so does $\left(X, T^{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$. $T$ has a finite ergodic decomposition into products of finite rotations and mixing maps satisfying $(R)$ and $(U)$. If $T$ is weakly mixing, then its unique invariant probability density $h$ belongs to $B V$, and there are constants $K>0, \theta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{n} f-\left(\int_{X} f d m_{\lambda}\right) h\right\|_{B V} \leq K \theta^{n}\|f\|_{B V}
$$

We will need the following generalization of Proposition 1 of $\mathbf{R}$.
Proposition 4 (D-F inequality for perturbed $P$ ). Suppose that $(X, T, \alpha)$ satisfies $(R)$ and $(U)$ and that $\omega: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ satisfies $C=C_{\omega, \alpha}:=\sup _{A \in \alpha} \bigvee_{A} \omega<\infty$. Then there exist $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $K_{0}, M_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{\omega}^{n} f\right\|_{B V} \leq K_{0} \theta^{n}\|f\|_{B V}+M_{0}\|f\|_{1}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega_{n}:=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega \circ T^{k}$. We claim that $C_{\omega_{n}, \alpha_{n}} \leq n C_{\omega, \alpha} \quad(n \geq 1)$. To see this fix $n \geq 2, A \in \alpha_{n}$, then
$\bigvee_{A} \omega_{n}=\bigvee_{A}\left(\omega \omega_{n-1} \circ T\right) \leq \bigvee_{A} \omega+\bigvee_{A} \omega_{n-1} \circ T \leq \bigvee_{A} \omega+\bigvee_{T A} \omega_{n-1} \leq C_{\omega, \alpha}+C_{\omega_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}}$.
We let $c:=\left(\sup _{A \in \alpha}\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{-1}>1$ and fix $n \geq 1, \epsilon>0$ so that $\theta:=\frac{2(4+n C)}{c^{n}}+2 \epsilon<$ 1. By Rychlik's condition, there is $\beta \subset \alpha_{n}$ finite so that $\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta}\left\|1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}<$ $\frac{1}{c^{n}}$.

Now fix $f \in B V$. Note that for every $A \in \alpha_{n}, v_{A}^{\prime}$ is nonnegative and also $\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{c^{n}}$. Thus for $A \in \beta$ there exists a finite partition $\gamma_{A}$ of $X$ into intervals whose endpoints are points of continuity for $1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}, 1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A}, 1_{T^{n} A} f \circ v_{A}$, so that $\sup _{g \in \gamma_{A}} \bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n}} A v_{A}^{\prime}\right)<\frac{1}{c^{n}}+\epsilon$.

Therefore, since $P_{\omega}^{n} f=\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n}} 1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee\left(P_{\omega}^{n} f\right) & \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha_{n}} \bigvee\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right)=\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n}} \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{A \in \beta} \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta} \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $A \in \beta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right)= \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}} \bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}}\left(\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\bigvee_{g}^{\bigvee}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left\|1_{g \cap T^{n} A} f \circ v_{A}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
&= \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}}\left(\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{1}+\bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right) & \leq 2\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} \omega_{n} f \\
& \leq(2+n C)\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f \\
& \leq \frac{2+n C}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{1}+(3+n C) \bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}}\left(\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right)+\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}}\left(\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\frac{2+n C}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{1}+(3+n C) \bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f\right]\right. \\
& \\
& \left.+\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{1}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{1}+\bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f\right]\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{g \in \gamma_{A}}\left(\left[(3+n C)\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\right] \bigvee_{v_{A}(g)} f\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left[(2+n C)\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{g}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\right] \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{v_{A}(g)} f\right\|_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \bigvee_{A} f+\max _{A \in \beta, g \in \gamma_{A}} \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|1_{A} f\right\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right) & \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{X}\left(1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)+\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{X}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{X}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq\|f\|_{1}+\bigvee_{X} f
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{X}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right) & \leq 2\|f\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{A}\left(\omega_{n} f\right) \\
& \leq(2+n C)\|f\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{A} f \\
& \leq(2+n C)\|f\|_{1}+(3+n C) \bigvee_{I} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}+\bigvee_{X}\left(1_{T^{n} A} \omega_{n} \circ v_{A} f \circ v_{A}\right) \leq(3+n C)\|f\|_{1}+(4+n C) \bigvee_{X} f
$$

Putting things together:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigvee_{X}\left(P_{\omega}^{n} f\right) \leq & \sum_{A \in \beta} \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta} \bigvee\left(P_{T}^{n}\left(1_{A} f \omega_{n}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \sum_{A \in \beta}\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \bigvee_{A} f+\max _{A \in \beta, g \in \gamma_{A}} \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}\left(v_{A}(g)\right)}\left\|f 1_{A}\right\|_{1}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta}\left\|1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left((3+n C)\|f\|_{1}+(4+n C) \bigvee_{X} f\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+(4+n C) \sum_{A \in \alpha_{n} \backslash \beta}\left\|1_{T^{n} A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\right) \bigvee_{X} f+M\|f\|_{1} . \\
\leq & \theta \bigvee_{X} f+M\|f\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate for $\left\|P_{T^{n}}\left(\omega_{N} f\right)\right\|_{\infty}$ follows from the last statement of the previous proposition.
Proposition 5 (Continuity of the perturbation). Suppose that ( $X, T, \alpha$ ) satisfies $(U)$ and $(R)$; and that $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfies $C=C_{\phi, \alpha}:=\sup _{A \in \alpha} \bigvee_{A} \phi<\infty$, then, $s \mapsto P_{s}$ is continuous $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B V, B V)\right)$, moreover

$$
\left\|P_{s}-P_{t}\right\|_{B V} \leq 2 \sum_{A \in \alpha}\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left((2+C\|s\|) \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+\|s-t\| C\right) .
$$

If, in addition, $(X, T, \alpha)$ satisfies AFU, then there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{s}-P_{t}\right\|_{B V} \leq 2 K(2+C\|s\|) \int_{X}\left|1-\chi_{t-s}(\phi)\right| d m_{\lambda}+2 C K(3+2 C\|s\|)\|s-t\| .
$$

Proof. For $g \in B V$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
P_{t} g=P\left(e^{i\langle t, \phi\rangle} g\right)=\sum_{A \in \alpha} \chi_{t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right) 1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot g \circ v_{A},
$$

whence

$$
\left(P_{t}-P_{s}\right) g=\sum_{A \in \alpha} 1_{T A} \chi_{t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\left(1-\chi_{s-t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right) v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot g \circ v_{A} .
$$

Noting that $\left|1-\chi_{s-t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right| \leq\left|1-\chi_{s-t}\left(\phi\left(x_{A}\right)\right)\right|+C\|s-t\|$ for any $x_{A} \in A$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(P_{t}-P_{s}\right) g\right\|_{\infty} & \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha}\left\|1-\chi_{s-t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot g \circ v_{A}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha}\left(\left|1-\chi_{s-t}\left(\phi\left(x_{A}\right)\right)\right|+C\|s-t\|\right)\left\|v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{\infty} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\bigvee\left(\left(P_{t}-P_{s}\right) g \leq \sum_{A \in \alpha} \bigvee\left(\chi_{s}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)-\chi_{t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right) 1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot g \circ v_{A}\right) .
$$

We recall the chain rule for BV functions $\mathbf{A M}$ : Let $A$ be an interval and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a function of bounded variation. Set $\varphi\left(x^{ \pm}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow x, \pm(t-x)>0} \varphi(t)$,
$J_{\varphi}:=\left\{x \in A: \varphi\left(x^{+}\right) \neq \varphi\left(x^{-}\right)\right\}$, and let $\mu_{\varphi}$ be the $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued measure determined by $\mu_{\varphi}((a, b]):=\varphi\left(b^{+}\right)-\varphi\left(a^{+}\right)$. For every continuously differentiable function $F: \operatorname{Conv}[\varphi(A)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
d \mu_{F \circ \varphi}=\left\langle\nabla F \circ \varphi, d \mu_{\varphi}\right\rangle+\sum_{x \in J_{\varphi}}\left[F\left(\varphi\left(x^{+}\right)\right)-F\left(\varphi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)\right] d \delta_{x}
$$

Passing to total variations, we see that
$\bigvee_{A} F \circ \varphi=\int_{A}\|\nabla F \circ \varphi\| d\left\|\mu_{\varphi}\right\|+\sum_{x \in J_{\varphi}}\left|F\left(\varphi\left(x^{+}\right)\right)-F\left(\varphi\left(x^{-}\right)\right)\right| \leq 2 \sup _{\operatorname{Conv}[\varphi(A)]}\|\nabla F\| \bigvee_{A} \varphi$
Applying this for $F(x):=e^{i\langle t, x\rangle}-e^{i\langle s, x\rangle}$ and $\varphi:=\phi$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigvee_{A}\left[\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right] \leq 2 C\left(\|t-s\|+\|s\| \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this, we see that for fixed $A \in \alpha$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigvee\left[\left(\chi_{s}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)-\chi_{t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right) 1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime} \cdot g \circ v_{A}\right] \leq \\
\leq & \left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \bigvee\left(1_{T A}\left(\chi_{s}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)-\chi_{t}\left(\phi \circ v_{A}\right)\right) g \circ v_{A}\right)+\bigvee\left(1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right)\|g\|_{\infty} \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right| \\
\leq & \left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left(3\|g\|_{\infty} \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+\bigvee_{A}\left(\left(\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right) g\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left(4\|g\|_{B V} \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+\|g\|_{\infty} \bigvee_{A}\left(\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right)\right) \\
\leq & 2\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\|g\|_{B V}\left((2+C\|s\|) \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+C\|t-s\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the first inequality. To verify the second inequality, note first that under AFU there exists $K>0$ with

$$
\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V} \leq K m_{\lambda}(A) \quad(A \in \alpha)
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|=\sup _{A}\left|1-\chi_{t-s}(\phi)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}(A)} \int_{A}\left|1-\chi_{t-s}(\phi)\right| d m_{\lambda}+\bigvee_{A} \chi_{t-s}(\phi) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m_{\lambda}(A)} \int_{A}\left|1-\chi_{t-s}(\phi)\right| d m_{\lambda}+C\|s-t\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{s}-P_{t}\right\|_{B V} & \leq 2 \sum_{A \in \alpha}\left\|1_{T A} v_{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{B V}\left((2+C\|s\|) \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+C\|t-s\|\right) \\
& \leq 2 K \sum_{A \in \alpha} m_{\lambda}(A)\left((2+C\|s\|) \sup _{A}\left|\chi_{s}(\phi)-\chi_{t}(\phi)\right|+C\|t-s\|\right) \\
& =2 K(2+C\|s\|) \int_{X}\left|1-\chi_{t-s}(\phi)\right| d m_{\lambda}+2 C K(3+2 C\|s\|)\|s-t\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6. Suppose that $(X, T, \alpha)$ a weakly mixing piecewise monotonic map of the interval which satisfies $(U),(R)$ with invariant density $h$. If $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfies $C_{\phi, \alpha}<\infty$, then
(1) there are constants $\epsilon>0, K>0$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$, and continuous functions $\lambda: B(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1), g: B(0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B V, B V)$ such that for $t \in$ $B(0, \epsilon): g(t)$ is a projection, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}[g(t)]=1, P_{t} g(t)=\lambda(t) g(t), \lambda(0)=1$, $g(0) f=\left(\int_{X} f d m_{\lambda}\right) h$ and

$$
\left\|P_{t}^{n} f-\lambda(t)^{n} g(t) f\right\|_{\mathrm{BV}} \leq K \theta^{n}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BV}} \quad \forall|t|<\epsilon, n \geq 1, f \in B V
$$

(2) if $\gamma(\phi)=z \bar{f} f \circ T$ where $\gamma \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}, z \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ measurable, then $f \in B V$;
(3) in case $\phi$ is aperiodic, then for all $0<\delta<M<\infty$ there exist $K>0,0<$ $\rho<1$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{\gamma}^{n} f\right\|_{\mathrm{BV}} \leq K \rho^{n}\|f\|_{B V} \forall f \in B V, n \geq 1, \delta \leq|\gamma| \leq M
$$

Proof. As shown above, $P$ is a D-F operator. By the weak mixing of $T$ and ITM, there exist $M>0, \theta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left\|P^{n} f-\left(\int f d m_{\lambda}\right) h\right\|_{\mathrm{BV}} \leq M \theta^{n}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BV}} \forall f \in \mathrm{BV}
$$

The result now follows as in $\mathbf{N}$ (see also $\mathbf{D S},[\mathbf{R E}]$ and $\S 4$ of $\mathbf{A D 1}$ ).
We can now turn to the results mentioned in the introduction.
Theorem 7 (Exactness of skew products). Let ( $X, T, \alpha$ ) satisfy ( $U$ ) and ( $R$ ), and be weakly mixing with absolutely continuous invariant measure $m$. Suppose that $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $C_{\phi, \alpha}<\infty$. Let $T_{\phi}: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}$ be the skew product

$$
T_{\phi}(x, y)=(T x, y+\phi(x))
$$

equipped with the product measure $m \times m_{\lambda}$. If $\phi$ is aperiodic and for every $\lambda>1$ there exist $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\frac{\phi_{n_{k}}}{\lambda^{n}} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $T_{\phi}$ is exact.

Proof. This follows from proposition 6 as in the proof of theorem 2 of AD2.
The following theorem summarizes the information we need on the characteristic function operators in order to derive conditional LLTs.

Theorem 8 (Expansion of the eigenvalue). Let $(X, T, \alpha)$ be a weakly mixing $A F U$ map, assume $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $C_{\phi, \alpha}<\infty$, and let $\lambda$ is as in the previous proposition.
(1) If $E\left(\phi^{2}\right)<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma^{2}>0$, then

$$
\lambda(t)=1+i t E(\phi)-\frac{t^{2} \sigma^{2}}{2}(1+o(1)) \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

(2) If the distribution of $\phi$ is in the domain of attraction of a stable law of index $p \in(0,2)$, then

$$
\left|\log \lambda(t)-\log E\left(e^{i t \phi}\right)\right|=o\left(|t|^{p} L(1 /|t|)\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. For the first part, check that $t \mapsto P_{t}$ is $C^{2} \quad(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B V, B V))$ with $\frac{d P_{t}}{d t} f=P\left(i \phi e^{i t \phi} f\right)$ and $\frac{d^{2} P_{t}}{d t^{2}} f=-P\left(\phi^{2} e^{i t \phi} f\right)$. This implies that $t \mapsto \lambda(t)$ is $C^{2}$. The Taylor expansion of $\lambda$ is then calculated as in [RE].

The proof of the second part is as in $\S 5$ of AD1], with propositions 5 and 6 replacing theorems 2.4 and 4.1 there.

We now obtain the advertised conditional distributional and local limit theorems.
Theorem 9 (Conditional central and local limit theorems). Let (X,T, $\alpha$ ) be a weakly mixing AFU map, and suppose that $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $C_{\phi, \alpha}<\infty$.
(1) If $E\left(\phi^{2}\right)<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma^{2}>0$, then

$$
P_{n, x}\left(\frac{\phi_{n}-E(\phi)}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \in(a, b)\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{a}^{b} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} d t
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $x \in X$, where $P_{n, x}(A):=P_{T}^{n} 1_{A}(x)$.
(2) If in addition $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is aperiodic, then

$$
\sigma \sqrt{n} P_{n, x}\left(\phi_{n}=k_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{k_{n}-n E(\phi)}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \rightarrow t
$$

uniformly in $x \in X$ and $t \in K$ for all $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ compact.
(3) If in addition $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is aperiodic and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a finite interval, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \sqrt{n} P_{n, x}\left(\phi_{n} \in k_{n}+I\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{|I| \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{k_{n}-n E(\phi)}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \rightarrow t \\
& \quad \text { uniformly in } x \in X \text { and } t \in K \text { for all } K \subset \mathbb{R} \text { compact. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9 follows from (1) of theorem 8 as in RE] (see also AD1]). From (2) of theorem 8, we obtain the analogous stable distributional and local limit theorems. Indeed, it is now routine to check that all the the results in $\S 6$ and $\S 7$ of AD1 are valid for $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ a mixing, probability preserving AFU map and $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfying $C_{\phi, \alpha}<\infty$.

## 6. Application to $\beta$-Expansions

Fix $\beta>1$ and consider $T:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $T x:=\beta x \bmod 1$. Let $q, d \mathbb{P}:=q(x) d x$, and $X_{n}$ be as in the introduction.

## Proof of De Moivre's theorem for $\beta$-expansions

By theorem 1, $T$ is skew-product rigid and almost onto by theorem 5 . Since $1 \in\{[\beta x]-[\beta y]: x, y \in[0,1]\}$, by theorem $2, \phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi(x)=[\beta x]$ is aperiodic. De Moivre's theorem now follows from (2) of theorem 9.

Asymptotics of random walks on $\mathbb{R}$ driven by " $\beta$-jumps": Suppose that $\psi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $E(\psi)=0$ and $\psi(x)=a_{[\beta x]}$ where $\left\{a_{i}-a_{j}: 0 \leq i, \leq\right.$ $[\beta]\}$ are rationally independent, then by the anologue of theorem 7.1 in AD1 for $(X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)$ a mixing, probability preserving AFU map $\psi$ satisfying $C_{\psi, \alpha}<\infty$, $T_{\psi}$ is conservative, exact and pointwise dual ergodic with $a_{n}\left(T_{\psi}\right) \propto \sqrt{n}$.

Proof of the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law for $\beta$-expansions. Let $\mathcal{R} \in$ $\mathcal{B}(X \times X)$ be an equivalence relation with countable equivalence classes. A Borel isomorphism $\psi$ defined on some $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with image $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is a holonomy for $\mathcal{R}$ if $(x, \psi(x)) \in \mathcal{R}$ for any $x \in A$.

A measure $\mu$ is invariant (non-singular) for $\mathcal{R}$, if it is invariant (non-singular) under all holonomies of $\mathcal{R}$. A set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-saturated if $x \in A,(x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow y \in$ $\mathcal{R}$. The measure $\mu$ is ergodic for $\mathcal{R}$ if every $\mathcal{R}$ - saturated set is trivial $\bmod \mu$.

Now consider $X=[0,1]$ and the Borel equivalence relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{T}\left(T_{\beta}\right):=\left\{(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}: \quad \exists N \geq 1 \text { such that } T^{N} x=T^{N} y\right\} \\
& \mathcal{E}_{\beta}:=\left\{(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}:\right. \\
&x \text { and } y \text { are } \beta \text {-exchangeable }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are asked to show the $\mathcal{E}_{\beta}$-ergodicity of Lebesgue's measure.
Lebesgue's measure is $\mathfrak{T}\left(T_{\beta}\right)$-invariant as $\mathfrak{T}\left(T_{\beta}\right)$ is generated by holonomies of form $x \mapsto x+\frac{i}{\beta^{n}}$. Since $\mathfrak{T}\left(T_{\beta}\right) \supset \mathcal{E}_{\beta}$, Lebesgue measure is also $\mathcal{E}_{\beta}$-invariant.

To see that $\mathcal{E}_{\beta^{-}}$saturated sets are trivial (mod Lebesgue measure), recall from ANSS, $F^{\#}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}$ defined by $F^{\#}(x)_{i}=\delta_{[\beta x], i}$ and that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{T}\left(\left(T_{\beta}\right)_{F^{\#}}\right) \cap(X \times\{0\})^{2}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{T}\left(\left(T_{\beta}\right)_{F^{\#}}\right):=\left\{\left((x, n),\left(x^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)\right) \in\left([0,1] \mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}\right)^{2}: \exists N \geq 1, T_{F \neq}^{N}(x, n)=T_{F^{\#}}^{N}\left(x^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

The group generated by $\left\{F^{\#}(x)-F^{\#}(y): x, y \in[0,1]\right\}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}$ whence by the aperiodicity theorem, $F^{\#}$ is aperiodic. By theorem $7 . T_{F \#}$ is exact (with respect to $\left.m \times m_{\mathbb{Z}^{[\beta]}}\right)$. This implies the ergodicity of $\mathcal{E}_{\beta}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here and throughout $\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2}$ denotes the completion of the product $\sigma$-algebra, and invariant densities are not required to be integrable.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ To see this define $\psi(y):=\int_{B} 1_{E}(x, y) d m(x)$. Then $y \in F_{E}(B)$ iff $\psi(y)=m(B)$. The measurability of $F_{E}(B)$ now follows from Fubini's theorem, which says that $\psi$ is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Proof: for every $f \geq 0$ such that $f h \in L^{1}(m \times \mu), \iint 1_{E} f h=\iint 1_{E} \circ \tau_{S} f \circ \tau_{S} h \leq$ $\iint f \circ \tau_{S} h=\iint f h=\iint 1_{E} f h$, so that $\leq$ is actually $=$. Since $f$ was arbitrary, $1_{E} \circ \tau_{S}=1$ a.e. on $E=[h>0]$ so that $\tau_{S}(E) \subseteq E \bmod m$.

