

DISTRIBUTIONAL LIMITS FOR HYPERBOLIC, INFINITE VOLUME GEODESIC FLOWS

JON AARONSON AND MANFRED DENKER

1. Geodesic flows on surfaces of constant negative curvature.

Let H denote the two-dimensional hyperbolic space and φ^t ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) the geodesic flow on $H \times \mathbb{T}$, where \mathbb{T} is the natural identification of directions. Throughout this note we work with the model of the Poincaré disk instead of the Poincaré upper half-plane (sometimes also called the Lobachevsky plane). So we consider $H = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Let Γ be a discrete group of isometries of H , and let H/Γ denote the surface defined by Γ equipped with the metric induced by the hyperbolic metric ρ (see [Be]). The space of line elements of H/Γ is $X_\Gamma := (H/\Gamma) \times \mathbb{T} = (H \times \mathbb{T})/\Gamma$ (also equipped with the induced metric) and the geodesic flow transformations on X_Γ are defined by

$$\varphi_\Gamma^t \Gamma(\omega) = \Gamma \varphi^t(\omega).$$

We consider the measure m = hyperbolic area \times normalised Lebesgue measure on $H \times \mathbb{T}$ and the corresponding induced measure m_Γ on $(H/\Gamma) \times \mathbb{T}$.

For a compact surface the dynamical system $(H/\Gamma, (\varphi_\Gamma^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ is an Anosov system ([An1], [An2]), the measure m_Γ is finite and φ_Γ is a Bernoulli flow. This is proven by using the existence of expanding and contracting flow invariant foliations (also used by Anosov and Sinai to show that φ_Γ is a K-flow (cf. [An2])) and applying the Ornstein isomorphism theory (Ornstein, Weiss [O-W]). Here we are mainly interested in the non-compact case and our result holds for conservative geodesic flows φ_Γ . The following characterisation of these dynamical systems is given in the work of Hopf and Tsuji (cf. [Ho1], [Ho2], [Ts1] and [Ts2]), which uses methods from potential theory.

Theorem HT.

The geodesic flow φ_Γ is either totally dissipative, or conservative and ergodic.

The geodesic flow is conservative iff

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma y)} = \infty.$$

This research was supported by a grant from G.I.F., the German-Israel Foundation for Scientific Research and Development.

As in Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 216 (1997), Din. Sist. i Smezhnye Vopr., 181–192.

A new formulation of these conditions in terms of Brownian motion has been given by Sullivan (see [Su]).

The series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma y)}$ is called the *Poincaré series*. The *asymptotic Poincaré series* is defined by

$$a_\Gamma(x, y; t) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma; \rho(x, \gamma y) \leq t} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma y)} = \int_0^t a_\Gamma(x, y; ds),$$

where $a_\Gamma(x, y; \cdot)$ denotes the distribution function of the measure on \mathbb{R} putting mass $|\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \rho(x, \gamma y) = s\}| \exp[-s]$ on the point $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The asymptotic Poincaré series is up to asymptotic equivalence independent of x and y and denoted by $a_\Gamma(t)$. Here we use $a_\Gamma(ds) = a_\Gamma(0, 0, ds)$. For surfaces H/Γ of finite volume, the Poincaré series always diverges, and indeed, $a_\Gamma(t) \propto t$ (as can be deduced from the ergodic theorem). There are also surfaces of infinite volume with divergent Poincaré series, and the following theorem is shown in [A-S]:

Theorem AS. *Any conservative geodesic flow φ_Γ is rationally ergodic with return sequence proportional to $a_\Gamma(t)$.*

In fact, the proportionality factor turns out to be 8π , when the specific measure $dA \times d\theta$ (as introduced above) is used. This can be deduced from our proofs below. The proof of the theorem relies on the estimate

$$\forall x, y \in H/\Gamma, \epsilon > 0, \exists M \geq 0$$

$$0 \leq \int_{\Delta(y, \epsilon)} S_t(1_{\Delta(x, \epsilon)})^2 dm_\Gamma \leq M \left(\int_{\Delta(y, \epsilon)} S_t(1_{\Delta(x, \epsilon)}) dm_\Gamma \right)^2 \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where $\Delta(z, \epsilon)$ is defined as below. In this note, we prove an extension of this estimate for p -th moments, and this provides the distributional limit theorem:

Theorem AD. *Let φ_Γ be a conservative geodesic flow, whose return sequence $a(t)$ is regularly varying with index $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then for any $f \in L^1_+(m_\Gamma)$ the sequence $S_t(f)/a(t)$ converges in distribution to a random variable $Y_\alpha \int_{X_\Gamma} f dm_\Gamma$ where*

$$S_t(f) := \int_0^t f \circ \varphi_\Gamma^s ds,$$

and Y_α has the Mittag-Leffler distribution of order α given by

$$E(\exp(zY_\alpha)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)^n z^n}{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{C}$ when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and for $|z| < 1$ when $\alpha = 0$.

Here, *convergence in distribution* means that for any bounded continuous function $g : [0, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any probability measure $q \ll m$, we have

$$\int_{X_\Gamma} g\left(\frac{S_t(f)}{a(t)}\right) dq \rightarrow E(g(Y_\alpha m_\Gamma(f)))$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $m_\Gamma(f) = \int_X f dm_\Gamma$.

The theorem is applicable to Abelian covers of compact surfaces. It follows from estimations of numbers of closed geodesics that $a_\Gamma(t) \propto \sqrt{t}$ when H/Γ is a \mathbb{Z} -cover of a compact surface, and that $a_\Gamma(t) \propto \log t$ when H/Γ is a \mathbb{Z}^2 -cover of a compact surface (see [Ad-S], [Ph-S], [La], [Po-S]).

The proof of the theorem uses the method of Darling-Kac [D-K], (see also [Aa]). To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to apply this method in the absence of some convenient Frobenius-Perron operator.

2. Preliminaries from hyperbolic geometry and geodesic flows.

Consider the hyperbolic space $H := \{z \in \mathcal{C} : |z| < 1\}$ equipped with the arclength

$$ds(u, v) := 2 \frac{\sqrt{du^2 + dv^2}}{1 - u^2 - v^2},$$

and the area

$$dA(u, v) := 4 \frac{dudv}{(1 - u^2 - v^2)^2}.$$

The *hyperbolic distance* (cf. [Be]) between $x, y \in H$ is denoted by

$$\rho(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_\gamma ds : \gamma \text{ is an arc joining } x \text{ and } y \right\} = 2 \tanh^{-1} \frac{|x - y|}{|1 - \bar{x}y|}.$$

Note that with this metric H/Γ has curvature -1 , while the metric used in [A-S] gives curvature -4 .

For $x \in H$, and $\epsilon > 0$, set

$$N_\rho(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in H : \rho(x, y) < \epsilon\}, \quad \Delta(x, \epsilon) := N_\rho(x, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{T}.$$

Consider the angle set subtended by $N_\rho(y, \epsilon)$ at $0 \notin N_\rho(y, \epsilon)$,

$$\Lambda(y, \epsilon) := \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : \exists r \in (0, 1) \ni \rho(y, re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon\}.$$

We note that

$$(1) \quad \Lambda(y, \epsilon) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : \|\theta - \arg y\| < \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{(1 - |y|^2) \tanh \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{|y|(1 - \tanh^2 \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \right)\},$$

where $\|\theta\| := \theta \wedge (2\pi - \theta)$ $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

In order to see this, let $\delta = \tanh \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then

$$N_\rho(y, \epsilon) = B \left(\frac{(1 - \delta^2)y}{1 - \delta^2|y|^2}, \frac{\delta(1 - |y|^2)}{1 - \delta^2|y|^2} \right)$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the Euclidean ball of radius r ,

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathcal{C} : |x - y| < r\}.$$

We'll write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda(y, \epsilon)\| &= 2 \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{(1 - |y|^2) \tanh \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{|y|(1 - \tanh^2 \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{2(1 - |y|^2) \tanh \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{1 - \tanh^2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \text{ as } |y| \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

We also need the following fact: Suppose that $x, y \in H$, $|y| > |x|$, and $\|\arg y - \arg x\| = \theta$, then

$$(2) \quad \rho(0, y) \geq \rho(0, x) + \rho(x, y) - \frac{2\theta}{1 - |x|^2}.$$

This can easily be seen as follows: Let $x' \in H$, $|x'| = |x|$, and $\arg x' = \arg y$, then

$$\rho(0, y) = \rho(0, x') + \rho(x', y) \geq \rho(0, x) + \rho(x, y) - \rho(x', x),$$

and clearly

$$\rho(x', x) \leq \frac{2\theta}{1 - |x|^2}.$$

Finally, we mention a last evident fact:

$$(3) \quad A(N(x, \epsilon)) \sim \pi \epsilon^2 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

3. Proof of the theorem

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X_\Gamma)$, $p \geq 1$ and $t > 0$. Define $a^A(p, t) : X_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$a^A(p, t) = \int \dots \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p < t} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_A \circ \varphi_\Gamma^{t_\nu} dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

Then

$$(S_t(1_A))^p = p! a^A(p, t),$$

and

$$a^A(p+1, t)(\omega) = \int_0^t 1_A(\varphi_\Gamma^s \omega) a^A(p, t-s)(\varphi_\Gamma^s \omega) ds.$$

Set

$$\bar{a}^A(p, t) = \int_A a^A(p, t) dm_\Gamma,$$

and, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} u^A(p, \lambda) &= \int_0^\infty a^A(p, t) e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ \bar{u}^A(p, \lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \bar{a}^A(p, t) e^{-\lambda t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

In case $A = \Delta(x, \epsilon) = N_\rho(x, \epsilon) \times \mathcal{I}$ we shall omit the index A . Also note that in this case (see [A-S] or the proof of the Geometric Lemma below)

$$\bar{a}^\Delta(1, t) \sim 8\pi A(N)^2 a_\Gamma(t).$$

Our goal is the

Main Lemma.

$$(4) \quad \exists M > 0 \quad \exists \forall t > 0, p \geq 1 \quad \bar{a}^\Delta(p, t) \leq M^p a_\Gamma(t)^p$$

and

$$(5) \quad \bar{u}^\Delta(p, \lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{u}(\lambda)^p m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{p+1} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\bar{u}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m_\Gamma(\Delta)^2} \int_0^\infty m_\Gamma(\Delta \cap \varphi_\Gamma^{-t} \Delta) e^{-\lambda t} dt$.

We first show how Theorem AD is obtained from the Main Lemma.

Proof of Theorem AD. Since $a_\Gamma(t)$ is regularly varying, it has a representation $a_\Gamma(t) = t^\alpha L(t)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and some slowly varying function L . An application of Karamata's Tauberian theorem to the Laplace transform in (5) (as in the proof of theorem 1 in [D-K]) shows for $p \geq 1$

$$\int_\Delta \left(S_t(1_\Delta) \right)^p dm_\Gamma \sim m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{p+1} p! \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)^p}{\Gamma(1+p\alpha)} a_\Gamma(t)^p$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since the bound in (4) is uniform over p we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Delta e^{z S_t(1_\Delta) a_\Gamma^{-1}(t)} dm_\Gamma &= \sum_{p=0}^\infty \frac{1}{p!} \int_\Delta \left(z S_t(1_\Delta) a_\Gamma^{-1}(t) \right)^p dm_\Gamma \\ &\rightarrow m_\Gamma(\Delta) \sum_{p=0}^\infty z^p m_\Gamma(\Delta)^p \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)^p}{\Gamma(1+p\alpha)} = m_\Gamma(\Delta) E(\exp(z Y_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the Main Lemma follows from the following two facts:

Geometric Lemma. *Let $x \in H$. There is a function $\eta(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, $p \geq 1$ and all t sufficiently large*

$$\bar{a}(p+1, t) = e^{\pm \eta(\epsilon)} 8\pi m_\Gamma(\Delta) \int_0^t \bar{a}(p, t-s) a_\Gamma(ds),$$

where $\Delta = \Delta(x, \epsilon)$.

The lemma can be strengthened to the following form: $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $p \geq 1$, $t > 0$

$$\bar{a}(p+1, t) = e^{\pm \eta(\epsilon)} e^{\pm \kappa(t)} 8\pi m_\Gamma(\Delta) \int_0^t \bar{a}(p, t-s) a_\Gamma(ds),$$

where $\kappa(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Probabilistic Lemma. *Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and let $\Delta = \Delta(x, \epsilon_0)$. Then for $A \in \mathcal{B}(X_\Gamma) \cap \Delta$ and $p \geq 1$*

$$\int_A u^A(p, \lambda) dm_\Gamma \sim \frac{m_\Gamma(A)^{p+1}}{m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{p+1}} \int_\Delta u^\Delta(p, \lambda) dm_\Gamma$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of the Main Lemma. (4) follows immediately from an iterated application of the Geometric Lemma.

In particular, choosing $A = N(x, \epsilon') \times \mathbb{T}$ for ϵ' small,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}^A(p+1, \lambda) &= e^{\pm\eta(\epsilon')} 8\pi m_\Gamma(A) \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \bar{a}^A(p, t-s) e^{-\lambda t} a_\Gamma(ds) dt \\ &= e^{\pm\eta(\epsilon')} 8\pi m_\Gamma(A) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{a}^A(p, r) e^{-\lambda(r+s)} a_\Gamma(ds) dr \\ &= e^{\pm\eta(\epsilon')} 8\pi m_\Gamma(A) \bar{u}^A(p, \lambda) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} a_\Gamma(ds). \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this estimate gives

$$\bar{u}^A(p, \lambda) = e^{\pm p\eta(\epsilon')} m_\Gamma(A)^p \bar{u}^A(0, \lambda) \left(8\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} a_\Gamma(ds) \right)^p.$$

By the Probabilistic Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(p, \lambda) &\sim \frac{m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{p+1}}{m_\Gamma(A)^{p+1}} \bar{u}^A(p, \lambda) \\ &= e^{\pm p\eta(\epsilon')} \frac{m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{p+1}}{m_\Gamma(A)} \bar{u}^A(0, \lambda) \left(8\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} a_\Gamma(ds) \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} a_\Gamma(dt) &= \lambda \int_0^\infty a_\Gamma(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &\sim \frac{\lambda}{8\pi m_\Gamma(\Delta)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t m(\Delta \cap \phi_\Gamma^{-s}(\Delta)) ds e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi m_\Gamma(\Delta)^2} \int_0^\infty m(\Delta \cap \phi_\Gamma^{-s}(\Delta)) e^{-\lambda s} ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{u}^A(0, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty \bar{a}(0, t) e^{-\lambda t} dt = m_\Gamma(\Delta) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dt.$$

4. Proof of the Geometric Lemma.

For $\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p$ (resp. $\underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^p$) we denote its coordinates by γ_k (resp. t_k), $k = 1, \dots, p$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ define

$$I_p(t) = \{\underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^p : 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p < t\}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed and $N = \Delta \times \mathbb{T}$ as before, where $\Delta = \Delta(x, \epsilon)$. We assume ϵ to be sufficiently small.

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}(p, t) &= \int_{\Delta} a(p, t) dm_{\Gamma} \\
&= \int_{\Delta} \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\Delta} \circ \varphi_{\Gamma}^{t_{\nu}} dt dm_{\Gamma} \\
&= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p} \int_{\Delta} \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\gamma_{\nu} \Delta} \circ \varphi^{t_{\nu}} dt dm \\
&= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p} \int_N \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\gamma_{\nu} N \times \mathbb{T}} \circ \varphi^{t_{\nu}}(z, \theta) dt d\theta dA(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_p(t, z) &= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p} \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\gamma_{\nu} N \times \mathbb{T}} \circ \varphi^{t_{\nu}}(z, \theta) dt d\theta \\
&= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p} \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_{\nu} N}(\tanh t_{\nu} e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta \\
(6) \quad &= \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_{\nu} N}(\tanh t_{\nu} e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta,
\end{aligned}$$

so $\bar{a}(p, t) = \int_N \psi_p(t, z) A(dz)$.

Next consider

$$\Gamma_0 := \{ \underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p : \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_{\nu} N}(\tanh t_{\nu} e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta > 0 \}.$$

Since ϵ is so small so that $\{\gamma N\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ are disjoint and since φ_z is ρ -preserving, it follows that if $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma_0$, then

$$\rho(\gamma_{k+1}(x), z) \geq \rho(\gamma_k(x), z) \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq p-1,$$

Denote $\Lambda_{\gamma} = \Lambda(\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma(x), \epsilon)$, the angle set subtended by $\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma N$ at 0, then by (1),

$$\|\Lambda_{\gamma}\| \sim 2\epsilon(1 - |\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma(x)|^2) \text{ as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_p^{\pm} &:= \{ \underline{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma^p : \rho(\gamma_{k+1}(x), z) \geq \rho(\gamma_k(x), z), \\
&\quad \|\arg \varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_k(x) - \arg \varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_{k+1}(x)\| \leq \frac{\|\Lambda_{\gamma_k}\| \pm \|\Lambda_{\gamma_{k+1}}\|}{2} \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq p-1 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\Gamma_p^- \subseteq \Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_p^+.$$

Clearly, if $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma_0$, then

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^p \Lambda_{\gamma_k} \neq \emptyset,$$

and hence for $1 \leq k \leq p-1$,

$$\|\arg \varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_k(x) - \arg \varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_{k+1}(x)\| \leq \frac{\|\Lambda_{\gamma_k}\| + \|\Lambda_{\gamma_{k+1}}\|}{2}.$$

On the other hand, if $\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma_p^-$, then all balls $\phi_z^{-1} \gamma_\nu N$ lie in the shadow of the first ball ($\nu = 1$), and hence $\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_0$.

Setting, for $p \geq 1$, $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_p)$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p$,

$$\Gamma_0(\underline{t}) = \{\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma_0 : |\rho(z, \gamma_k(x)) - t_k| \leq \epsilon \ \forall k\}$$

$$\Gamma_p^\pm(\underline{t}) = \{\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p) \in \Gamma_p^\pm : |\rho(z, \gamma_k(x)) - t_k| \leq \epsilon \ \forall k\}$$

we have from (6) that

$$\psi_p(t, z) = \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_0(\underline{t})} \prod_{\nu=1}^p 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_\nu N}(\tanh t_\nu e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta.$$

It follows that

$$\psi_p^-(t, z) \leq \psi_p(t, z) \leq \psi_p^+(t, z),$$

where

$$\psi_p^\pm(t, z) = \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_p^\pm(\underline{t})} 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_p N}(\tanh t_p e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta.$$

For $\beta \in \Gamma$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\pm(\beta) = & \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \geq \rho(z, \beta(x)) - \epsilon, \\ & |\arg \varphi_z^{-1} \gamma(x) - \arg \varphi_z^{-1} \beta(x)| < \frac{\|\Lambda_\beta\| \pm \|\Lambda_\gamma\|}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $\underline{t}' = (t_1, \dots, t_{p-1})$, $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_p)$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p$

$$\Gamma_p^\pm(\underline{t}) := \{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma^p : (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}) \in \Gamma^\pm(\underline{t}'), \gamma_p \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-1}), |\rho(z, \gamma_p(x)) - t_p| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_p^\pm(t, z) &= \int_0^1 \int_{I_p(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_p^\pm(\underline{t})} 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma_p N}(\tanh t_p e^{i\theta}) dt d\theta \\ &= \int_{I_{p-1}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-1}^\pm(\underline{t}')} \int_0^1 \int_{\tanh t_{p-1}}^{\tanh t} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-1}) \\ |\rho(z, \gamma(x)) - \tanh^{-1} t| \leq \epsilon}} 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma N}(r e^{i\theta}) \frac{dr d\theta}{1-r^2} dt' \\ &\sim \int_{I_{p-1}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-1}^\pm(\underline{t}')} \int_{N_\rho(0, t) \setminus N_\rho(0, t_{p-1})} \frac{1-|\omega|^2}{4|\omega|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-1})} 1_{\varphi_z^{-1} \gamma N}(\omega) dA(\omega) dt' \\ &\sim A(N) \int_{I_{p-1}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-1}^\pm(\underline{t}')} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-1}) \\ \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \gamma(x))} dt' \\ &=: A(N) \Phi_p^\pm(t, z). \end{aligned}$$

The inductive step on Φ_p^\pm is (with $\underline{t}'' = (t_1, \dots, t_{p-2})$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p^\pm(t, z) &= \int_{I_{p-1}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-1}^\pm(\underline{t}')} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-1}) \\ \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \gamma(x))} d\underline{t}' \\ &= \int_{I_{p-2}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-2}^\pm(\underline{t}'')} \left(\int_{t_{p-2}}^t \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho(z, |\beta(x)| - \tau) \leq \epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \gamma(x))} d\tau \right) d\underline{t}'' \\ &\sim 2\epsilon \int_{I_{p-2}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-2}^\pm(\underline{t}'')} \left(\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho(z, \beta(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \gamma(x))} \right) d\underline{t}'' . \end{aligned}$$

Fixing $\beta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(z, \gamma(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \gamma(x))} &= \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \beta^{-1}\Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(z, \beta\kappa(x)) \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(z, \beta\kappa(x))} \\ &\sim e^{-\rho(z, \beta(x))} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \beta^{-1}\Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(x, \kappa(x)) \leq t - \rho(z, \beta(x)) \pm 2\epsilon}} e^{-\rho(x, \kappa(x))} \end{aligned}$$

by (2).

Let $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $\Omega(\beta)$ denote the interval in S^1 such that for $\xi \in \Omega(\beta)$ the ray $\beta^{-1}(0)\xi$ intersects $\beta^{-1}N_\rho(\beta(x), \epsilon)$. It is easily seen that

$$(7) \quad \beta^{-1}\Gamma^\pm(\beta) \sim \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \arg \gamma(x) \in \Omega(\beta)\} \text{ where } |\Omega(\beta)| \rightarrow \theta(\epsilon) \text{ as } |\beta(x)| \rightarrow 1,$$

where $2\pi|\Omega(\beta)|$ denotes the arc length of $\Omega(\beta)$ and where (this can be deduced from $\cosh(\epsilon) = 2/|\xi - \eta|$ where ξ, η are the endpoints of a geodesic tangent to the geodesic ball of radius ϵ and center 0)

$$(8) \quad \theta(\epsilon) \sim 4\epsilon \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

It has been shown in [A-S], that for a suitable measure μ on H/Γ

$$\frac{1}{a_\Gamma(t)} \int_0^t 1_{N_\rho(z, \epsilon) \times \mathcal{I}}(y, \cdot) \circ \varphi^{-s} ds \rightarrow \mu(N)$$

weakly in $L^2(\mathcal{I})$. By standard arguments it follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(N) a_\Gamma(t) |I| &\sim \int_I S_t(1_\Delta) dt \\ &\sim \sum_{\gamma: \arg(\gamma) \in I \pm \epsilon; \rho(0, \gamma(0)) \leq t} \frac{1}{4} (1 - |\gamma(0)|^2) \mu(N). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \beta^{-1}\Gamma^\pm(\beta) \\ \rho(x, \kappa(x)) \leq t - \rho(z, \beta(x)) \pm 2\epsilon}} e^{-\rho(x, \kappa(x))} &\sim |\Omega(\beta)| a_\Gamma(t - \rho(z, \beta(x)) \pm 2\epsilon). \\ \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \gamma_{p-2}^{-1}\Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho(x, \kappa(x)) \leq t - \rho(z, \beta(x)) \pm 2\epsilon}} e^{-\rho(x, \kappa(x))} &\sim |\Omega(\gamma_{p-2})| a_\Gamma(t - \rho(z, \beta(x)) \pm 2\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3), (7)–(9) we obtain (with $\rho_0 = \rho(z, \beta(x))$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_p^\pm(t, z) \\
&= 2\epsilon \int_{I_{p-2}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-2}^\pm(t')} \left(\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho_0 \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho_0} |\Omega(\beta)| a_\Gamma(t - \rho_0 \pm 2\epsilon) \right) dt'' \\
&\sim 8\epsilon^2 \int_{I_{p-2}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-2}^\pm(t')} \left(\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho_0 \leq t \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho_0} a_\Gamma(t - \rho_0 \pm 2\epsilon) \right) dt'' \\
&\sim 2\epsilon \int_{I_{p-2}(t)} \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{p-2}^\pm(t')} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho(x, \kappa(x)) \leq t - \rho_0 \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(x, \kappa(x))} \sum_{\beta'} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \beta'(x))} dt'' \\
&= 8\epsilon^2 m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{-1} \int_0^t \bar{a}(p-1, t-s) a_\Gamma(ds),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{\beta'} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \beta'(x))} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \beta' \in \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \Gamma^\pm(\gamma_{p-2}) \\ \rho(x, \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \beta'(x)) \leq t - \rho(x, g_{p-2}^{-1}(z)) \pm \epsilon}} e^{-\rho(x, \gamma_{p-2}^{-1} \beta'(x))}.$$

The lemma follows from $m_\Gamma(\Delta) = A(N) \sim \pi\epsilon^2$ (see (3)).

5. Proof of the Probabilistic Lemma.

To prove this, we first show for $\Delta := N_\rho(x, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{T}$ that

$$(10) \quad \exists M_p \ni \int_\Delta u^\Delta(p, \lambda)^2 dm_\Gamma \leq M_p \left(\int_\Delta u^\Delta(p, \lambda) dm_\Gamma \right)^2 \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

To see this, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Delta u^\Delta(p, \lambda)^2 dm_\Gamma &= \int_\Delta \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty a(p, s) a(p, t) e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t} ds dt dm_\Gamma \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\int_\Delta a(p, s) a(p, t) dm_\Gamma \right) e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t} ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Geometric Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Delta a(p, s) a(p, t) dm_\Gamma \leq \left(\int_\Delta a(p, s)^2 dm_\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Delta a(p, t)^2 dm_\Gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= p!^{-2} \sqrt{\int_\Delta S_s^{2p} dm_\Gamma} \sqrt{\int_\Delta S_t^{2p} dm_\Gamma} = \frac{(2p)!}{p!^2} \sqrt{\bar{a}(2p, s) \bar{a}(2p, t)} \\
&\leq M_p a_\Gamma(s)^p a_\Gamma(t)^p \leq M'_p \int_\Delta a(p, s) dm_\Gamma \int_\Delta a(p, t) dm_\Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the above gives (10).

It suffices to show that for $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \subset \Delta$,

$$(11) \quad \frac{u^A(p, \lambda)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda) dm_{\Gamma}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_{\Gamma}(A)^p}{m_{\Gamma}(\Delta)^{p+1}} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Delta).$$

We begin by showing this for $A = \Delta$. Using (10), we get that for fixed $p \geq 1$

$$(12) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\| \frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda) dm_{\Gamma}} \right\|_{L^2(\Delta)} < \infty.$$

Given $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$, \exists a subsequence $\lambda'_k \rightarrow 0$ and $h \in L^2(\Delta)$ such that

$$\frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda'_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda'_k) dm_{\Gamma}} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} h,$$

and \exists a further subsequence $\lambda''_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Delta} \left(\frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k) dm_{\Gamma}} - h \right) \left(\frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_{\ell})}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_{\ell}) dm_{\Gamma}} - h \right) dm_{\Gamma} \right| < \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} \quad \forall k < \ell,$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k) dm_{\Gamma}} - h \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda''_k) dm_{\Gamma}} \rightarrow h \text{ a.e. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The set on which this convergence takes place is clearly φ_{Γ} -invariant, and h is also φ_{Γ} -invariant, whence the convergence is a.e. on X_{Γ} , and h is constant. Since, clearly $\int_{\Delta} h dm_{\Gamma} = 1$, we have that $h = \frac{1}{m_{\Gamma}(\Delta)}$.

Now fix $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \subset \Delta$. By the ratio theorem

$$(13) \quad \frac{u^A(p, \lambda)}{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_{\Gamma}(A)^p}{m_{\Gamma}(\Delta)^p} \text{ a.e.}$$

Also, we have, by (12) that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\| \frac{u^A(p, \lambda)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda) dm_{\Gamma}} \right\|_{L^2(\Delta)} \leq \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\| \frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda) dm_{\Gamma}} \right\|_{L^2(\Delta)} < \infty,$$

whence, as above, $\forall \lambda_k \rightarrow 0$, \exists a subsequence $\lambda'_k \rightarrow 0$ and $h \in L^2(\Delta)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{u^A(p, \lambda'_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda'_k) dm_{\Gamma}} \rightarrow h \text{ a.e. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that $\lambda'_k \rightarrow 0$ can be chosen so that in addition,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda'_k)}{\int_{\Delta} u^{\Delta}(p, \lambda'_k) dm_{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m_{\Gamma}(\Delta)} \text{ a.e. as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

whence, by (13)

$$h = \frac{m_\Gamma(A)^P}{m_\Gamma(\Delta)^{P+1}}.$$

- [Aa] J. Aaronson, *The asymptotic distributional behaviour of transformations preserving infinite measures*, J. D'Anal. Math. **39** (1981), 203-234.
- [A-S] J. Aaronson, D. Sullivan, *Rational ergodicity of geodesic flows*, Ergod. Theory & Dynam. Syst. **4** (1984), 165-178.
- [Ad-S] T. Adachi, T. Sunada, *Homology of closed geodesics in a negatively curved manifold*, J. Diff. Geom. **26** (1987), 81-99.
- [An1] D.V. Anosov, *Roughness of geodesic flows on compact Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 1068-1070.
- [An2] D.V. Anosov, *Proceedings of the Steklov Inst. of Math.*, vol. 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1967.
- [Be] A.F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [D-K] D.A. Darling, M. Kac, *On occupation times for Markov processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1957), 444-458.
- [Ho1] E. Hopf, *Statistik der geodätischen Linien in Mannigfaltigkeiten negativer Krümmung*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig **91** (1939), 261-304.
- [Ho2] E. Hopf, *Ergodic theory and the geodesic flow on surfaces of constant negative curvature*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 863-877.
- [La] S. Lalley, *Closed geodesics in homology classes on surfaces of variable negative curvature*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 795-821.
- [O-W] D. Ornstein, B. Weiss, *Geodesic flows are Bernoullian*, Isr. J. Math. **14** (1973), 184-198.
- [Ph-S] R. Phillips, P. Sarnak, *Geodesics in homology classes*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 287-297.
- [Po-S] M. Pollicott, R. Sharp, *Orbit counting for some discrete groups acting on simply connected manifolds with negative curvature*, Invent. Math. **117** (1994), 275-304.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions*, Publ. Math. IHES **50** (1979), 171-202.
- [Ts1] M. Tsuji, *Some metrical theorems in Fuchsian groups*, Kodai Math. Sem. Reports (1950), 89-93.
- [Ts2] M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen Co. Ltd, Tokyo, 1959.

AARONSON: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL.

DENKER: INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STOCHASTIK, UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, LOTZESTR. 13, 37083 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: denker@namu01.gwdg.de