

# DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON A CUBIC CONE

I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gel'fand, and S. I. Gel'fand

Consider in the space  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with the coordinates  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  the surface  $X$  defined by the equation  $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = 0$ . We prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $D(X)$  be the ring of regular differential operators on  $X$ , and  $D_a$  the ring of germs at the point 0 of analytic operators on  $X$ . Then*

1°. *the rings  $D(X)$  and  $D_a$  are not Noetherian;*

2°. *for any natural number  $k$  the rings  $D(X)$  and  $D_a$  are not generated by the subspaces  $D_k$  ( $D_{ak}$ , respectively) of operators of order not exceeding  $k$ . In particular, the rings  $D(X)$  and  $D_a$  are not finitely generated.*

Theorem 1 answers questions raised in Malgrange's survey article [1].

The ring  $D(X)$  has an interesting structure (see Proposition 1).

We denote by  $E(X)$  the ring of regular functions on

$X$  ( $E(X) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/[x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3]$ ) and by  $D(X)$  the ring of regular differential operators on  $X$ . By  $D_k$  we denote the space of operators of order not exceeding  $k$ . Setting  $a_\lambda(f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$  and

$b_\lambda(\mathcal{D})(f) = a_\lambda(Da_{\lambda^{-1}}(f))$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we define an action of the group  $\mathbb{C}^*$

in the spaces  $E(X)$  and  $D(X)$ . It is clear that  $E(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} E^i(X)$ , where

$E^i(X)$  is the finite-dimensional space of homogenous functions of degree  $i$

on  $X$ . We call an operator  $\mathcal{D} \in D(X)$  homogenous of degree  $i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) if

$b_\lambda(\mathcal{D}) = \lambda^i \mathcal{D}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (equivalent definition:  $\mathcal{D}(E^n(X)) \subset E^{n+i}(X)$

for all  $n$ ). We denote by  $D^i$  the space of all such operators and set

$D_k^i = D^i \cap D_k$ .

**LEMMA 1.** a)  $D_k = \bigoplus_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} D_k^i$ ; b)  $D(X) = \bigoplus_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} D^i$ .

**PROOF.** a) Let  $\mathcal{D} \in D_k$ . We define the operator  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$  in  $E(X)$  as follows: if  $f \in E^n(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}f = (\mathcal{D}f)^{(n+i)}$  (that is, the component of degree of homogeneity  $n+i$  of the function  $\mathcal{D}f$ ).

It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in D_k$  and therefore  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in D_k^i$ . Since any operator of order not exceeding  $k$  is defined by its values on the space

$\bigoplus_{j=0}^k E^j(X)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$  is not equal to 0 for finite  $i$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{D} = \sum \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$ .

b) This statement follows directly from a):

$$\text{We set } I = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} .$$

PROPOSITION 1.

1°.  $D^i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ .

2°.  $D^0$  is generated by the elements  $1, I, I^2, \dots$

3°.  $D_k^1 / (D_{k-1}^1 + ID_{k-1}^1) = C^3$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ).

We derive Theorem 1 from Proposition 1.

It is easy to verify that  $D_k \cdot D_l \subset D_{k+1}$  and  $D^i \cdot D^j \subset D^{i+j}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D} \in D^i$ , then  $[I, \mathcal{D}] = I\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}I = i\mathcal{D}$ .

For any natural number  $k$  we set  $J_k = \sum_{n \geq 0} I^n D_k^1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} D^i$  and

$A_k = D^0 + J_k$ . It follows from Proposition 1 and the formulae above that  $J_k$  is a two-sided ideal in the ring  $D(X)$ , and that  $A_k$  is a subring of  $D(X)$ .

If  $l > k$ , then  $J_l \supsetneq J_k$ . From this it follows that the ring  $D(X)$  is not Noetherian. Since  $A_l \supsetneq A_k \supset D_k$  for  $l > k$ , the ring  $D(X)$  is not generated by the subspace  $D_k$ .

Consider the ring  $D_a$  of germs at 0 of analytic differential operators on  $X$ .

The group  $C^*$  acts in this ring. Every element can be expanded in a convergent series  $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in D_a$  is a homogenous operator of degree  $i$ , and the order of  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$  does not exceed that of  $\mathcal{D}$  (specifically,  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int b_\lambda(\mathcal{D}) \lambda^{-i-1} d\lambda$ , where the integral is taken over the unit circle in the  $\lambda$ -plane).

If  $f \in E^n(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} f$  is a homogenous analytic function of degree of homogeneity  $n + i$ ; hence  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} f \in E^{n+i}(X)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in D^i \subset D(X)$  (it is clear that if  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} f = 0$  for all  $f \in E(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} = 0$ ). It follows from Proposition 1 that every operator  $\mathcal{D} \in D_a$  can

be expanded in a series  $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in D^i$ .

Let  $J_{ak} = \{\mathcal{D} \in D_a \mid \mathcal{D}^{(i)} \in J_k \text{ for all } i\}$ , and let  $A_{ak} = D^0 + J_{ak}$ . Then  $J_{ak}$  is a two-sided ideal in the ring  $D_a$ , and  $A_{ak}$  is a subring of  $D_a$ . Since  $J_{al} \supsetneq J_{ak}$  and  $A_{al} \supsetneq A_{ak} \supset D_{ak}$  for  $l > k$ , it follows that  $D_a$  is not Noetherian and is not generated by a subspace  $D_{ak}$ , where  $k$  is any natural number. Theorem 1 is now proved.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Consider the non-singular algebraic manifold  $X_0 = X \setminus 0$ .

LEMMA 2. a) The embedding of  $X_0$  in  $X$  induces an isomorphism  $E(X) \rightarrow E(X_0)$  of rings of regular functions on  $X$  and  $X_0$ .

b) The embedding of  $X_0$  in  $X$  induces an isomorphism  $D(X) \rightarrow D(X_0)$  of regular differential operator rings.

PROOF. a) follows from the fact that  $X$  is a normal manifold and that  $\text{codim} \{0\}$  in  $X$  is greater than 1. Since  $X$  is an affine manifold, a) implies b).

Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_k^i$  the sheaf of germs of the differential operators  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $X_0$  of order not exceeding  $k$  that satisfy the condition  $[I, \mathcal{D}] = i\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $D_k^i = \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{D}_k^i)$ .

Consider the projective manifold  $\bar{X} = X_0/C^*$ . It is known that  $\bar{X}$  is an elliptic curve. By  $\pi$  we denote the natural projection  $\pi: X_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}$ .

Consider the sheaves  $\Delta_k^i = \pi_*(\mathcal{D}_k^i)$  on the manifold  $\bar{X}$ . It is clear that  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \Delta_k^i) = \Gamma(X_0, \mathcal{D}_k^i) = D_k^i$ .

By  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  we denote the sheaf of functions on  $X_0$  that are homogenous of degree 1, and we set  $\mathcal{L} = \pi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ ;  $\mathcal{L}$  is a sheaf on  $\bar{X}$ .

The following facts are easy to verify:

1°.  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\Delta_k^i$  are sheaves of modules over the sheaf of rings  $O_{\bar{X}}$ .

2°.  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf;  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}) = C^3$ .

3°.  $\Delta_k^i = \Delta_k^0 \otimes \mathcal{L}^i$ , this isomorphism being consistent with the natural embeddings  $\Delta_k^i \rightarrow \Delta_l^i$  for  $l > k$ .

4°. Set  $\sigma_k = \Delta_k^0 / \Delta_{k-1}^0$ . Then  $\sigma_k = S^k(\sigma_1)$  (where  $S^k$  is the  $k$ -th symmetric power of the sheaf).

5°. By  $\tilde{N}$  we denote a subsheaf in  $\mathcal{D}_1^0$ , whose sections on every neighbourhood are defined as  $\{f(x)I\}$ , where  $f(x)$  is a function of degree of homogeneity 0. Then the sheaf  $N = \pi_*(\tilde{N})$  on  $\bar{X}$  is a subsheaf of  $\Delta_1^0$ . We regard  $N$  as a subsheaf of  $\sigma_1 = \Delta_1^0 / \Delta_0^0$ .

6°. The map  $1 \mapsto I$  defines the isomorphism of sheaves  $O_{\bar{X}} \cong N$ .

7°. Set  $\mathcal{K} = \sigma_1 / N$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is an invertible sheaf naturally isomorphic to the tangent sheaf to  $\bar{X}$ .

The tangent sheaf on an elliptic curve  $\bar{X}$  is known to be isomorphic to  $O_{\bar{X}}$ . We fix a certain non-zero global section  $k$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

LEMMA 3. For every  $n > 0$  there exists an exact sequence  $V_n$  of sheaves on  $\bar{X}$

$$0 \rightarrow N^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} \sigma_n \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & N^n & \rightarrow & \sigma_n & \rightarrow & \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N^{n+1} & \rightarrow & \sigma_{n+1} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where every vertical homomorphism is obtained by multiplying  $I \in \Gamma(\bar{X}, N)$  by the section, commutes.

PROOF. Construct the maps  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in a neighbourhood  $U \subset \bar{X}$ . Let  $I$  be a global generating element of the sheaf  $N$ , and let  $\tilde{k}$  be a local section over  $U$  of the sheaf  $\sigma_1$  which becomes  $k \in \Gamma(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K})$  under the map  $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ .

Since the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \sigma_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, it follows that the restriction of  $\sigma_1$  to  $U$  is a free sheaf over  $O_{\bar{X}}$  with the generators  $I$  and  $\tilde{k}$ . Then  $\sigma_n = S^n(\sigma_1)$  is a free sheaf on  $U$  with the generators  $k^i I^{n-i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ). We define the maps  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  by the formulae:  
 $\varphi(I^n) = I^n$ ,  $\psi(\tilde{k}^i I^{n-i}) = ik \otimes (\tilde{k}^{i-1} I^{n-i})$ .

It is easy to verify that the sequence  $(V_n)$  is exact. It is also clear that  $\varphi$  does not depend on the choice of  $\tilde{k}$ . Let us prove that  $\psi$  does not depend on the choice of  $\tilde{k}$ . In fact, let  $\hat{k}$  be another section of the sheaf  $\sigma_2$  on  $U$ . Then  $\hat{k} = \tilde{k} + fI$ , where  $f \in \Gamma(U, O_X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\hat{k}^i I^{n-i}) &= \psi\left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^i C_i^j \tilde{k}^{i-j} f^j\right) I^{n-i+j}\right) = k \otimes \sum_j C_i^j (i-j) \tilde{k}^{i-j-1} I^{n-i+j} = \\ &= i(k \otimes (\hat{k} + fI)^{i-1} I^{n-i}). \end{aligned}$$

So the exact sequence  $(V_n)$  is defined globally.

It follows from the construction of the homomorphisms  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  that the diagram (1) is commutative. This proves Lemma 3.

We are interested in the spaces  $H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_k) = \Gamma(\bar{X}, \sigma_k)$  and  $H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_k)$ .

LEMMA 4.  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_1) = 1$ .

PROOF. Every first-order operator  $\mathcal{D}$  can be split uniquely into a sum  $\mathcal{D} = f + \mathcal{D}'$ , where  $f$  is the operator of multiplication by the function  $f = \mathcal{D}(1)$  and  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} - f$  is differentiation in the ring of functions. From this it follows that  $\Delta_1^0 = \sigma_1 \oplus \Delta_0^0$ . Since  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \Delta_0^0) = \mathbf{C}$ , we need only show that  $\Gamma(\bar{X}, \Delta_1^0)$  is two-dimensional.

Let  $\mathcal{D} \in D_1^0$ . Then  $\mathcal{D} = f + \mathcal{D}'$ , where  $f = \mathcal{D}(1)$ , and where  $f$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have the degree of homogeneity 0; in particular,  $f \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{D}' = cI$  where  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ . Set  $f'_1 = \mathcal{D}'x_1$ ,  $f'_2 = \mathcal{D}'x_2$ ,  $f'_3 = \mathcal{D}'x_3$ . Then  $f'_i \in E^1(X)$  and we can extend them to linear functions

$$f_i \text{ on } \mathbf{C}^3. \text{ The operator } \mathcal{D}' \text{ coincides with } \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + f_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Therefore  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3) = 3(f_2 x_1^2 + f_2 x_2^2 + f_3 x_3^2) = c(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3)$ , where  $c \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  (here equality is considered in the ring  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ ). Since  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  are linear functions, we have  $c \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $f_i = cx_i$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}' = cI$ .

So we have shown that every operator  $\mathcal{D} \in D_1^0$  is of the form  $\mathcal{D} = c_1 + cI$ , where  $c_1, c \in \mathbb{C}$ . The lemma is now proved.

We shall use the following well-known facts on the cohomology of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve (see [2]).

1°. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $\bar{X}$ , then  $H^i(\bar{X}, \mathcal{F})$  for  $i \geq 2$ .

2°.  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ .

3°. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on  $\bar{X}$  and  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}) > 1$ , then  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$  and  $H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}^i) = 0$  for  $i < 0$ .

LEMMA 5. Consider the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow N^n \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{V_n}.$$

Then  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n) = 1$ , and the boundary homomorphism

$\delta_n: H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, N^n)$  is an isomorphism.

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ .

Let  $n = 1$ . We write out the exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}, N) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_1} H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_1} H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \\ \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, N) \xrightarrow{\varphi'_1} H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_1) \xrightarrow{\psi'_1} H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $N$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ . Hence  $\tilde{\varphi}_1$  is an isomorphism (because  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_2) = \dim H^0(\bar{X}, N) = 1$ ). This means that  $\tilde{\psi}_1 = 0$ . Therefore  $\delta_1$  is an isomorphism. Hence  $\varphi'_1 = 0$ , and  $\psi'_1$  is an isomorphism.

Suppose that the lemma has been proved for the sequence  $V_n$ ; let us prove it for  $V_{n+1}$ . We write out the exact cohomology sequences that correspond to the sequences  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$ , and connect them according to diagram (1) (see Lemma 3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H^0(N^n) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\sigma_n) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & H^1(N^n) & \rightarrow & H^1(\sigma_n) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow & \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(N^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1}} & H^0(\sigma_{n+1}) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & H^1(N^{n+1}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\sigma_{n+1}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

It is clear that  $\eta$  is an isomorphism. By the inductive hypothesis  $\delta_n$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\eta\delta_n = \delta_{n+1}\tau \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\delta_{n+1} \neq 0$ . Here  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n \approx \sigma_n$ , and by the inductive hypothesis  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \sigma_n) = 1$ . Therefore  $\delta_{n+1}$  is an isomorphism. It is now clear that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1}$  is an isomorphism and that  $\dim H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_{n+1}) = 1$ . Lemma 5 is now proved.

Statement 2° of Proposition 1 is a direct consequence of this lemma.

For it follows at once from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}^0 \rightarrow \Delta_n^0 \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  that  $\dim D_n^0 \leq \dim D_{n-1}^0 + 1$  and therefore  $\dim D_n^0 \leq n + 1$ . Hence  $D_n^0$  is generated by the elements  $1, I, I^2, \dots, I^n$ .

LEMMA 6. 1°. Let  $i < 0$ . Then a)  $H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}^i) = 0$ ;  
 b)  $H^0(\bar{X}, \Delta_n^i) = 0$ .

Bearing in mind that  $H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}^i) = 0$ , it is easy to prove the lemma by induction over  $n$ .

Lemma 6 implies Statement 1° of Proposition 1.

LEMMA 7. For any  $n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) we have

1°.  $H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

2°. We consider the natural map  $\theta: \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}$  (multiplication by 1) and denote by  $\theta'$  the corresponding cohomology map

$\theta': H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\theta'$  is an embedding, and  $H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L})/\text{Im } \theta'$  is three-dimensional.

3°.  $H^1(\bar{X}, \Delta_n^1) = 0$ .

4°.  $\dim(D_n^1/(D_{n-1}^1 + ID_{n-1}^1)) = 3$ .

PROOF. From the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\theta} \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^n \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the exact cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\theta'} H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}) = H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ , we find by induction on  $n$  that  $H^1(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Here  $\theta'$  is an embedding and  $H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L})/\text{Im } \theta' = H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{K}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}) = H^0(\bar{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{C}^3$ .

From the exact cohomology sequence corresponding to the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}^1 \rightarrow \Delta_n^1 \rightarrow \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

we find by induction on  $n$  that  $H^1(\bar{X}, \Delta_n^1) = 0$  and  $D_n^1/D_{n-1}^1 = H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L})$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Therefore  $D_n^1/(D_{n-1}^1 + ID_{n-1}^1) = H^0(\bar{X}, \sigma_n \otimes \mathcal{L})/\text{Im } \theta' = \mathbb{C}^3$ . The lemma is now proved.

This lemma contains Statement 3° of Proposition 1.

NOTE. By the same method as in Lemma 7 we can show that  $D_k^i = x_1 D_k^{i-1} + x_2 D_k^{i-1} + x_3 D_k^{i-1}$  for  $i > 1$  and for any  $k$ .

## References

- [1] B. Malgrange, Analytic spaces.  
= Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 27: 1 (1972), 147–184.
- [2] J. Serre, Groupes algébriques et corps de classes, Hermann & Cie, Paris 1959.