

## Problem assignment 7.5

### Representations of Finite Groups.

Joseph Bernstein

May 10, 2007.

#### Brauer's Induction Theorem.

Here I will give a proof of Brauer induction theorem.

In the proof I use several lemmas (lemmas 1 - 5) which are pretty standard. I leave them, as exercises.

**Definition.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. A finite group  $E$  is called **p-elementary** if it is isomorphic to a direct product of a cyclic group  $C_m$  of order  $m$  prime to  $p$  and a  $p$ -group  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.** Show that a subgroup of an elementary group is elementary.

Fix a finite group  $G$  and denote by  $R(G)$  its character ring. We will prove

**Brauer Induction Theorem.** The group  $R(G)$  is spanned by representations of the form  $Ind_E^G(\chi)$ , where  $E \subset G$  is an elementary subgroup and  $\chi$  a one dimensional representation of  $E$ .

**Proof.**

**Step 1.** Set  $I(G) = \sum_E Ind_E^G(R(E)) \subset R(G)$ . It is enough to prove

**Statement 1.**  $I(G)$  contains the element  $1 = \mathbf{1}_G$ .

Indeed, from projection formula it is clear that  $I(G)$  is an ideal of  $R(G)$ . If it contains 1 it coincides with  $R(G)$ .

On the other hand, for an elementary group  $E$  any irreducible representation  $\rho$  is induced from a character of some subgroup  $E'$  since  $E$  is nilpotent.

Since  $E'$  is elementary this shows that  $R(E)$  is spanned by representations induced from characters of elementary subgroups, and hence  $R(G) = I(G)$  is spanned by such induced characters.

For every finite group  $H$  let  $C(H)$  denote the space of complex valued functions on  $H$  invariant under conjugation.  $C(H)$  is an algebra with respect to multiplication. For any subgroup  $D \subset H$  we have restriction and induction morphisms  $Res_H^D : C(H) \rightarrow C(D)$  and  $Ind_D^H : C(D) \rightarrow C(H)$ .

**Definition.** A character system  $Q$  is a correspondence which assigns to every finite group  $H$  a subgroup  $Q(H)$  of the space  $C(H)$  such that these subgroups are closed with respect to multiplication, restriction and induction.

**Examples.**

(i)  $Q(H) = R(H)$ . Here we identify  $R(H)$  with a subgroup  $Ch(H) \subset C(H)$  using the morphism  $\pi \mapsto ch(\pi)$ .

(ii)  $Q(H) = C(H)$ .

(iii)  $Q(H) = C_{\mathbf{Z}}(H)$ , the subgroup of integer valued functions.

**Step 2.** Let  $n = \#(G)$ ,  $\mu_n \subset \mathbf{C}$  be the group of  $n$ -th roots of 1. Let  $\Lambda$  denote subring of  $\mathbf{C}$  generated by  $\mu_n$ .

Consider the character system  $R_{\Lambda}$  defined by  $R_{\Lambda}(H) = \Lambda \cdot R(H) \subset C(H)$ . As before, we set  $I_{\Lambda}(G) = \sum_{E \subset G} Ind_E^G(R_{\Lambda}(E))$ .

It is enough to prove

**Statement 2.**  $1 \in I_{\Lambda}(G)$ .

In order to see that **Statement 2.** implies **Statement 1.** we will use the following

**Lemma 2.** There exists a homomorphism of groups  $\nu : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\nu(1) = 1$ .

Notice that for any group  $H$  there exists unique morphism of groups  $\nu = \nu_H : R_\Lambda(H) \rightarrow R(H)$  such that  $\nu(\lambda r) = \nu(\lambda)r$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $r \in R(H)$ . This is true since  $R(H)$  has a basis  $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$  of irreducible representations which stays a basis in  $C(H)$ .

Clearly the system of morphisms  $\nu_H$  is compatible with restriction and induction. In particular, this implies that  $\nu(I_\Lambda(G)) \subset I(G)$ .

Now if we know that  $1 \in I_\Lambda$  we get that  $1 = \nu(1) \in \nu(I_\Lambda) \subset I$ .

**Step 3.** Consider the character system  $Q(H) = R_\Lambda(H) \cap C_{\mathbf{Z}}(H)$ , i.e. we consider all functions  $f \in R_\Lambda(H)$  that take only integer values. Consider the ideal  $J = \sum_{E \subset G} \text{Ind}_E^G(Q(E))$ . Our aim is to prove

**Statement 3.**  $1 \in J$

**Step 4.** It is enough to prove the following

**Statement 4.** For any prime number  $p$  and any integer  $N$  we have  $1 \in J(\text{mod } p^N)$ , i.e.  $1 \in J + p^N C_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ .

The fact that statement 4 implies statement 3 follows from the following general

**Lemma 3.** Let  $L$  be a lattice, i.e. a group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}^r$ . Consider a tower of subgroups  $A \subset B \subset L$ . Then in order to show that  $A = B$  it is enough to check that  $A \equiv B(\text{mod } p^N)$  for all primes  $p$  and all integers  $N$  (here  $A \equiv B(\text{mod } p^N)$  means  $A + p^N L = B + p^N L$ ).

**Step 5.** Fix a prime number  $p$  and show that the statement 4 holds for powers of  $p$ . In fact in order to do this it is enough to show much weaker

**Statement 5.** There exists a function  $f \in J$  such that for every element  $g \in G$  its value  $f(g)$  is prime to  $p$ .

Indeed, suppose we found such function  $f$ . Then the function  $f_1 = f^{p-1}$  lies in  $J$  since  $J$  is an ideal and it satisfies  $f_1 \equiv 1 (\text{mod } p C_{\mathbf{Z}}(G))$ .

Now we recursively define a sequence of functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots \in J$  by  $f_{k+1} = f_k^p$  and see that  $f_k \equiv 1 (\text{mod } p^k C_{\mathbf{Z}}(G))$ , which proves the statement 4.

**Step 6.** Fix a prime number  $p$ . An element  $g$  of a finite group  $G$  is called **p-regular** if  $\text{ord}(g)$  is prime to  $p$  and it is called **p-singular** if  $\text{ord}(g)$  is a power of  $p$ .

Let us remind the following standard

**Lemma 4.** (Jordan decomposition). (i) Let  $G$  be a finite group. Every element  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written as  $g = g_r g_s$ , where  $g_r$  and  $g_s$  are commuting p-regular and p-singular elements of  $G$ .

These elements are called p-regular and p-singular parts of  $g$ .

Let us note that the uniqueness in the Jordan decomposition implies that the maps  $g \mapsto g_r$  and  $g \mapsto g_s$  are compatible with morphisms of groups. In particular, they map conjugacy classes into conjugacy classes.

**Step 7.** It is enough to prove the following

**Statement 7.** Let  $a \in G$  be a  $p$ -regular element. Then there exists a function  $f_a \in J$  such that  $f_a(x) = 0$  if element  $x_r$  is not conjugate to  $a$  and  $f_a(x)$  is prime to  $p$  if  $x_r$  is conjugate to  $a$ .

Indeed, it is clear that the function  $f$  which is a sum of functions  $f_a$  over representatives  $a$  of  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes satisfies conditions of statement 5.

**Step 8. Proof of statement 7.** So now we fixed a prime number  $p$  and a  $p$ -regular element  $a \in G$ .

Set  $m = \text{ord}(a)$  and denote by  $D$  the cyclic subgroup generated by  $a$ . Let us denote by  $C(a)$  the centralizer of the element  $a \in G$ .

Let us fix a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$  of the group  $C(a)$  and set  $E = D \times S \subset C(a)$ .

It is easy to see that  $E$  is an elementary subgroup and the projection  $p : E \rightarrow D$  coincides with the map  $x \mapsto x_r$ .

Consider the function  $\phi \in C(E)$  defined by  $\phi(x) = 0$  if  $p(x) \neq a$  and  $\phi(x) = m$  if  $p(x) = a$ .

**Claim.** (i)  $\phi \in Q(E)$

(ii) The function  $f_a = \text{Ind}_E^G(\phi)$  satisfies the conditions of statement 7.

**Proof of claim.**

(i) Function  $\phi$  takes integer values. Also we can write it in the form  $\phi = \sum_{\chi} \chi(a^{-1}) \cdot \chi'$ , where the sum is taken over all characters  $\chi$  of the group  $D$  and  $\chi'$  is the character of the group  $E$  defined by  $\chi'(x) = \chi(p(x))$ .

Since coefficients  $\chi(a^{-1})$  lie in  $\Lambda$  we see that  $\phi \in R_{\Lambda}(E)$ , i.e.  $\phi \in Q(E)$ .

(ii) This is a straightforward computation. By definition

(\*)  $f_a(x) = \sum_{g \in G/E} \phi_!(g^{-1}xg)$ , where

$\phi_!$  is the extension by 0 of the function  $\phi$  to  $G$ .

Let  $x \in G$ . If  $x_r$  is not conjugate to  $a$  then all the terms in the sum are 0 since the function  $\phi_!$  is supported on elements which regular part equals  $a$ .

Assume now that  $x_r$  is conjugate to  $a$ . By conjugating we can assume that  $x_r = a$ .

Let us write Jordan decomposition  $x = at$ , where  $t = x_s$ . It is clear that  $t \in C(a)$ .

It is clear that in the sum (\*) above non-zero contribution is given only by terms  $g$  such that  $(g^{-1}xg)_r$  equals to  $a$ . Since  $(g^{-1}xg)_r = g^{-1}x_r g = g^{-1}ag$  this means that  $g \in C(a)$ . Thus we have

(\*\*)  $f_a(x) = \sum_{g \in C(a)/E} \phi_!(g^{-1}xg)$ ,

Let us denote the set  $C(a)/E$  by  $Y$  and consider the subset

$Z = \{g \in Y \mid g^{-1}tg \in S\}$ .

It is clear from the formula (\*\*) that  $f_a(x) = m \cdot \#(Z)$ .

We want to show that this number is prime to  $p$ .

Note that an element  $g \in Y$  belongs to  $Z$  iff  $g^{-1}tg \in E$ , i.e.  $tg \in gE$ . In other words this is equivalent to the condition that  $y$  is a fixed point of the left

action of  $t$  on  $Y$ . Since the size of  $Y$  is prime to  $p$  this follows from the following general

**Lemma 5.** Let  $t$  be a  $p$ -regular transformation of a finite set  $Y$  and  $Z \subset Y$  be the subset of its fixed points. Then  $\#(Z) \equiv \#(Y) \pmod{p}$ .