

# Automorphic Forms - Home Assignment 7

Joseph Bernstein

January 24, 2013

Our primary goal in this exercise is to explicitly compute the L-function  $L(\chi, s)$  for the global field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and to find its functional equation.

## Question 1

We first need to do some (rather trivial) Fourier transforms on  $p$ -adic numbers. Recall that the  $p$ -adic exponent  $e_p : \mathbb{Q}_p^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is given by:

$$e_p(x) = \exp(-2\pi i\{x\}),$$

where  $\{x\}$  is the fractional part of  $x$ , that is, a rational number  $\{x\} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x - \{x\} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $f \in S(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be any Schwartz function (locally constant and compactly supported function). Define the Fourier transform  $F\{f\}$  of  $f$  to be the integral

$$F\{f\}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x)e_p(-xy)dx,$$

where  $dx$  is the standard Haar measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , that is, the unique measure satisfying  $\mu(1 + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p) = p^{-n}$  (in particular, the set  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has volume 1).

We also denote (for a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ ):

$$\mathbf{1}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Show that

$$F\{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

(b) Show that

$$F\{\mathbf{1}_{p^n\mathbb{Z}_p}\} = p^{-n}\mathbf{1}_{p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

(c) Show that

$$F\{\mathbf{1}_{a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p}\}(y) = e_p(-ay)p^{-n}\mathbf{1}_{p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

## Question 2

Now, for the interesting part. Let  $\chi : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a smooth (that is, locally constant) multiplicative character. Recall that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\chi,s}(\phi) = Z_p(\chi, \phi, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \phi(x)\chi(x)|x|^s d^\times x.$$

(a) Recall the definition of  $\gamma_p(\chi, s)$ , given by  $F(\mathcal{E}_{\chi,s}) = \gamma_p(\chi, s)\mathcal{E}_{\chi^{-1}, 1-s}$ . By applying both sides to the test function  $\phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , show that when  $\chi$  is unramified, we have

$$\gamma_p(\chi, s) = \frac{1 - \chi(p)^{-1}p^{s-1}}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}}.$$

- (b) When  $\chi$  is ramified, define its *conductor*  $N$  to be the least integer such that  $\chi|_{1+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p} = 1$ . That is, we have that  $\chi$  is constant and equal to  $\chi(1) = 1$  on the neighborhood  $1 + p^N\mathbb{Z}_p$  of 1, and  $N$  is the least such number. Using the test function  $\phi = \mathbf{1}_{1+p^N\mathbb{Z}_p}$ , show that for  $\chi$  ramified,

$$Z_p(\chi^{-1}, \phi, 1-s) = \frac{p}{p-1} p^{-N},$$

$$Z_p(\chi, F\{\phi\}, s) = \frac{p}{p-1} p^{-N} \frac{p^{Ns}}{\chi(p^N)} \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,p)=1}}^{p^N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{p^N}} \chi(j).$$

Conclude that,

$$\gamma_p(\chi, s) = \frac{p^{Ns}}{\chi(p^N)} \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,p)=1}}^{p^N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{p^N}} \chi(j).$$

- (c) Recall that we defined the local L-function to be  $L_p(\chi, s) = (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$  when  $\chi$  is unramified, and that we defined  $L_p(\chi, s) = 1$  when  $\chi$  is ramified. Finally, recall that we had:

$$\frac{Z_p(\chi, F\{\phi\}, s)}{L_p(\chi, s)} = \varepsilon_p(\chi, s) \frac{Z_p(\chi^{-1}, \phi, 1-s)}{L_p(\chi^{-1}, 1-s)}.$$

Deduce that

$$\varepsilon_p(\chi, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is unramified,} \\ \frac{p^{Ns}}{\chi(p^N)} \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,p)=1}}^{p^N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{p^N}} \chi(j) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

### Question 3

Our goal now is to compute the L-function at  $\infty$ . So, let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be some smooth multiplicative character. Let  $\chi(r) = |r|^\nu \text{sign}(r)^\delta$ , where  $\delta = 0, 1$ . When  $\delta = 0$ , we say that  $\chi$  is *unramified*, and if  $\delta = 1$ , we say that  $\chi$  is *ramified*.

Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\chi, s}(\phi) = Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \chi(x) |x|^s d^\times x,$$

where  $d^\times x = \frac{dx}{|x|}$ .

- (a) Show that for any Schwartz function  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ , the function  $Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)$  converges absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s + \nu) > 0$ .
- (b) We would like to show that  $Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)$  has meromorphic continuation in  $s$ , and can only have specific poles. Show that if  $\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1$ , where  $\phi_1$  is supported away from 0 (so that  $\phi_1(x) = 0$  for  $|x|$  sufficiently small), then the poles and meromorphic continuation properties of  $Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)$  depend only on  $\phi_0$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $\phi$  is analytic in some neighborhood of 0 (that is, it has a Taylor series at 0 converging to itself in some neighborhood).

Show that if  $\delta = 0$ , then  $Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)$  can only have poles at

$$s + \nu = 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots,$$

with residues

$$\phi(0), \frac{\phi^{(2)}(0)}{2!}, \frac{\phi^{(4)}(0)}{4!}, \frac{\phi^{(6)}(0)}{6!}, \dots$$

respectively.

Show that if  $\delta = 1$ , then  $Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)$  can only have poles at

$$s + \nu = -1, -3, -5, \dots,$$

with residues

$$\phi'(0), \frac{\phi^{(3)}(0)}{3!}, \frac{\phi^{(5)}(0)}{5!}, \dots$$

respectively.

- (d) Use the fact that analytic around 0 functions are dense among Schwartz functions to show that the above pole structure applies to any Schwartz function.
- (e) We now know that if we choose some Schwartz function  $\phi$  such that none of its derivatives at 0 are zero (and if  $\chi$  is unramified, we also need that  $\phi(0) \neq 0$ ), then the quotient

$$\frac{Z_\infty(\chi, \psi, s)}{Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s)}$$

will be holomorphic for any other Schwartz function  $\psi$ . This gives us the greatest common divisor of all local zeta functions, but it is only defined up to multiplication by a holomorphic function. So, we must simply make a choice. A particularly convenient choice is  $\phi(x) = \exp(-\pi x^2)x^\delta$ .

Show that for this choice of  $\phi$ , we have

$$L_\infty(\chi, s) = Z_\infty(\chi, \phi, s) = \pi^{-(s+\nu+\delta)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\nu+\delta}{2}\right).$$

#### Question 4

The last ingredient we need is the computation of the local root number at infinity  $\varepsilon_\infty(\chi, s)$ , defined by

$$\frac{Z_\infty(\chi, F\{\phi\}, s)}{L_\infty(\chi, s)} = \varepsilon_\infty(\chi, s) \frac{Z_\infty(\chi^{-1}, \phi, 1-s)}{L_\infty(\chi^{-1}, 1-s)}$$

Recall that the Fourier transform at infinity is given by

$$F\{\phi\}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) e^{-2\pi ixy} dx.$$

- (a) Suppose that  $\chi$  is unramified (so  $\delta = 0$ ). Compute that  $F\{\phi\} = \phi$ , and deduce that in this case,

$$\gamma(\chi, s) = \frac{Z_\infty(\chi, F\{\phi\}, s)}{Z_\infty(\chi^{-1}, \phi, 1-s)} = \frac{L_\infty(\chi, s)}{L_\infty(\chi^{-1}, 1-s)} = \frac{\pi^{-(s+\nu)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\nu}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-(1-s-\nu)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\nu}{2}\right)}.$$

Conclude that if  $\chi$  is unramified, then  $\varepsilon_\infty(\chi, s) = 1$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $\chi$  is ramified (so  $\delta = 1$ ). Compute that  $F\{\phi\} = \frac{1}{i}\phi$ , and deduce that in this case,

$$\gamma(\chi, s) = \frac{Z_\infty(\chi, F\{\phi\}, s)}{Z_\infty(\chi^{-1}, \phi, 1-s)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{L_\infty(\chi, s)}{L_\infty(\chi^{-1}, 1-s)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\pi^{-(s+\nu+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-(2-s-\nu)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{2-s-\nu}{2}\right)}.$$

Conclude that if  $\chi$  is ramified, then  $\varepsilon_\infty(\chi, s) = i^{-1}$ .

**Question 5**

Let us wrap everything up. Let  $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a grossencharacter. Let  $\chi = \prod \chi_v$  be its decomposition over primes. Then we have that

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod L_v(\chi_v, s)$$

satisfies

$$L(\chi^{-1}, 1-s) = \varepsilon(\chi, s)L(\chi, s) = \left( \prod \varepsilon_v(\chi_v, s) \right) L(\chi, s).$$

So, we wish to compute the product of the local root numbers  $\prod \varepsilon_v(\chi_v, s)$ , which were calculated above. We denote  $\chi_{\infty} = |\cdot|^{\nu} \text{sign}(\cdot)^{\delta}$ .

Let

$$S = \{\text{finite primes } p \mid \chi \text{ is ramified at } p\}.$$

- (a) For any Dirichlet character  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , define the *Gauss sum*,

$$G(\rho) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,N)=1}}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{N}} \rho(j).$$

Show that if  $N, N'$  are coprime, and  $\rho' : \mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  is also a Dirichlet character, then

$$G(\rho\rho') = \rho(N')\rho'(N)G(\rho)G(\rho').$$

- (b) We denote the conductor of  $\chi_p$  by  $N_p$ , and we let  $N = \prod_{p \in S} p^{N_p}$ . Also denote

$$\tilde{\chi}(j) = \begin{cases} \prod_{p \in S} \chi_p^{-1}(j) & \text{if } (j, N) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $\tilde{\chi}$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ .

Also show that:

$$\prod_{p \in S} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_p(p^{N_p})} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,p)=1}}^{p^{N_p}-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{p^{N_p}}} \chi_p(j) \right) = \frac{1}{\prod_{p \in S} \chi_p(N)} G(\tilde{\chi}^{-1}).$$

- (c) Show that  $\chi(N) = \chi_{\infty}(N) \prod_{p \in S} \chi_p(N)$ . Conclude that since  $\chi$  is a grossencharacter, then this product is equal to 1.

Also show that if  $p \notin S$ , then  $\chi_p(p) = \chi_{\infty}^{-1} \tilde{\chi}(p) = \tilde{\chi}(p)p^{-\nu}$ .

- (d) Finally, summarize everything and show that if we let

$$L(\chi, s) = \pi^{-(s+\nu+\delta)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\nu+\delta}{2}\right) \prod_{p \notin S} (1 - \tilde{\chi}(p)p^{-(s+\nu)})^{-1}$$

(where  $\chi_{\infty} = |\cdot|^{\nu} \text{sign}(\cdot)^{\delta}$ , as above) then

$$L(\chi^{-1}, 1-s) = \frac{1}{i^{\delta}} N^{(s+\nu)} G(\tilde{\chi}^{-1}) L(\chi, s).$$

- (e) In particular, let  $\chi = 1$  be the trivial character, with  $N = 1$ ,  $\nu = \delta = 0$ ,  $S = \emptyset$ . Show that in this case, if we let

$$L(1, s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s),$$

(where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta function) then

$$L(1, s) = L(1, 1 - s).$$

(Note that we have been implicitly assuming that  $N \neq 1$  when multiplying the Gauss sums above. Verify that everything is still valid when  $N = 1$ .)