

# A weakly normal ultrafilter amenable to its ultrapower.

Moti Gitik\*

June 25, 2020

## Abstract

We build a weakly normal ultrafilter which is amenable to its ultrapower. This answers a question of G. Goldberg [1].

## 1 Introduction.

In [1], G. Goldberg gives a surprising construction of a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter amenable to its own ultrapower. The ultrafilter that he constructs is not weakly normal. Goldberg asked if it is possible to produce a weakly normal ultrafilter which is amenable to its own ultrapower.

The purpose of this note is to give an affirmative answer.

Let us state basic definitions.

**Definition 1.1** A set  $A$  is called *amenable to  $M$*  iff  $A \cap M \in M$ .

It is a basic fact that a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter  $U$  over a cardinal  $\kappa$  cannot belong to the transitive collapse  $M_U$  of its ultrapower, see 1.14 of [6].

A natural weakening of the property “ $U \in M_U$ ” is an amenability, i.e., “ $U \cap M_U \in M_U$ ”.

It follows from [6], 1.14 that if  $U$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter over a cardinal  $\kappa$  and  $M_U \supseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ , then  $U$  cannot be amenable to  $M_U$ , since then  $U \cap M_U = U$ . In particular, a  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter on  $\kappa$  is not amenable to its own ultrapower.

However, by Goldberg [1], it is not true in general, and we may have amenability for a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter  $U$  over a cardinal  $\kappa$ , if the assumption  $M_U \supseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  is dropped. Goldberg used  $\kappa^{++}$ -supercompact cardinal  $\kappa$  in his construction.

---

\*The work was partially supported by ISF grant no. 1216/18. We are grateful to Gabriel Goldberg for asking the question and to the referee of the paper for his kind suggestions and corrections.

**Definition 1.2** An ultrafilter  $U$  over a cardinal  $\kappa$  is called *uniform* iff for every  $A \in U$ ,  $|A| = \kappa$ .

**Definition 1.3** An ultrafilter  $U$  over a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  is called *weakly normal* iff for every  $A \in U$  and for every regressive function  $f : A \rightarrow \kappa$  there is  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $\{\nu \in A \mid f(\nu) < \alpha\} \in U$ .

We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4** *Assume GCH and suppose that  $\kappa$  is  $\kappa^+$ -supercompact cardinal of Mitchell order 2, i.e.,  $\kappa$  is  $\kappa^+$ -supercompact in the ultrapower by a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$ . Then, in a cardinal preserving generic extension, there is a uniform  $\kappa$ -complete weakly normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa^+$  which is amenable to its ultrapower.*

In the last section, starting with a stronger assumption, a forcing free construction of a uniform  $\kappa$ -complete weakly normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa^+$  which is amenable to its ultrapower is given.

Our notation are standard. We refer to the classical books of T. Jech [3] and A. Kanamori [4] for facts on large cardinals and to the article by J. Cummings [2] for forcing with large cardinals.

Following G. Goldberg [1], we denote by  $j_U : V \rightarrow M_U \simeq \text{Ult}(V, U)$  the elementary embedding corresponding to an ultrafilter  $U$ .

## 2 The construction

Assume GCH. Suppose that  $W$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  such that some normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  belongs to  $M_W$  (the ultrapower by  $W$ ), i.e.,  $W$  has a Mitchell order at least 1 among normal ultrafilters over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$ .

Note that  ${}^{\kappa^+}M_W \subseteq M_W$ , and so, each normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  in  $M_W$  is such also in  $V$ .

Consider  $U = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j_W(X)\}$ , i.e., the normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa$  to which  $W$  projects. The function  $P \mapsto P \cap \kappa$  is a projection. Let  $k : M_U \rightarrow M_W$  be the canonical elementary embedding, i.e.,  $k(j_U(f)(\kappa)) = j_W(f)(\kappa)$ .

Note that  $\text{crit}(k) = (\kappa^{++})^{M_U}$  and  $k((\kappa^{++})^{M_U}) = (\kappa^{++})^{M_W} = \kappa^{++}$ .

Also,  $|j_U(\kappa)| = \kappa^+$  and  $|j_W(\kappa)| = \kappa^{++}$ .

The elementarity of  $k$  implies that

$$M_U \models \kappa \text{ is a } \kappa^+ \text{ - supercompact cardinal.}$$

Let  $W_0^U \in M_U$  be such that

$$M_U \models W_0^U \text{ is a normal ultrafilter over } \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+).$$

Set  $W_0 = k(W_0^U)$ . Then

$$M_W \models W_0 \text{ is a normal ultrafilter over } \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+).$$

Hence,  $W_0$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  in  $V$ , as well.

Let us fix a set  $K_0^U \in W_0^U$  such that the function  $P \mapsto \text{sup}(P)$  is one-to-one on it.

It exists by a classical result of R. Solovay [5].

Let  $K = k(K_0^U)$ . Further, dealing with extensions of  $W_0$ , we will restrict to this  $K$ .

Force a Cohen function to every inaccessible non-measurable cardinal  $\nu < \kappa$  with the usual Easton support.

Formally, we define the Easton support iteration

$$\langle P_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\beta \mid \alpha \leq \kappa, \beta < \kappa \rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_\beta$  is trivial, unless  $\beta$  is an inaccessible non-measurable cardinal, and in this case let  $\mathcal{Q}_\beta$  be the Cohen forcing over  $\beta$  in  $V^{P_\beta}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\beta = \{f \in V^{P_\beta} \mid f : \beta \rightarrow 2, |f| < \beta\}.$$

Let  $G_\kappa$  be a generic subset of  $P_\kappa$ .

Denote  $V[G_\kappa]$  by  $V^*$ .

Let us extend  $U, W, W_0^U, W_0, j_U, j_W, k, j_{W_0^U}$  and  $j_{W_0}$ .

Start with  $j_U : V \rightarrow M_U$ . Construct in  $V[G_\kappa]$  a master condition sequence  $\{p_\nu \mid \nu < \kappa^+\} \subseteq M_U[G_\kappa]$  for the forcing  $j_U(P_\kappa)/G_\kappa$ <sup>1</sup>.

Let  $G_{j_U(\kappa)}$  be an  $M_U$ -generic subset of  $j_U(P_\kappa)$  that it generates.

Then  $j_U$  extends to

$$j_U^* : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow M_U[G_{j_U(\kappa)}]$$

and  $U$  extends to

$$U^* = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j_U^*(X)\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>It is an increasing sequence of elements of  $j_U(P_\kappa)/G_\kappa$  which meets every dense open subset of  $j_U(P_\kappa)/G_\kappa$  belonging to  $M_U[G_\kappa]$ .

It follows that  $M_{U^*} = M_U[G_{j_U(\kappa)}]$  and  $j_{U^*} = j_U^*$ . We refer to Cummings [2] for more details. Now we extend  $j_W$  and  $k$ .

Proceed as follows.

Consider  $k''\{p_\nu \mid \nu < \kappa^+\}$  in  $M_W[G_\kappa]$ . It is a set in  $M_W[G_\kappa]$ , due to the closure of  $M_W[G_\kappa]$  under  $\kappa^+$ -sequences of its elements. Also this set consists of  $\kappa^+$ -many compatible conditions in  $P_{j_W(\kappa)}/G_\kappa$ .

The forcing  $P_{j_W(\kappa)}/G_\kappa$  is at least  $\kappa^{++}$ -closed (in  $M_W[G_\kappa]$ ).

Hence there is a condition  $q$  which is stronger than every  $k(p_\nu), \nu < \kappa^+$ . Now, we construct in  $V[G_\kappa]$  a master condition sequence  $\{q_\nu \mid \nu < \kappa^{++}\} \subseteq M_W[G_\kappa]$  for the forcing  $j_W(P_\kappa)/G_\kappa$  with  $q_0 \geq q$ .

Then  $j_W$  extends to

$$j_W^* : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow M_U[G_{j_W(\kappa)}]$$

and  $W$  extends to

$$W^* = \{X \subseteq P_\kappa(\kappa^+) \mid j_W''\kappa^+ \in j_W^*(X)\}.$$

It follows that  $M_{W^*} = M_U[G_{j_W(\kappa)}]$  and  $j_{W^*} = j_W^*$ .

Also,  $k$  extends to

$$k^* : M_U[G_{j_U(\kappa)}] \rightarrow M_W[G_{j_W(\kappa)}],$$

since  $k''G_{j_U(\kappa)} \subseteq G_{j_W(\kappa)}$ .

Again, we refer to Cummings [2] for more details.

Now, inside  $M_{U^*} = M_U[G_{j_U(\kappa)}]$ , we extend  $W_0^U$  to a normal ultrafilter  $W_0^{U^*}$  in the usual fashion, i.e., as above.

Let  $\langle r_\alpha \mid \alpha < (\kappa^{++})^{M_U} \rangle$  be a master condition sequence used for this.

Let us move to  $M_{W^*}$  using  $k^*$ . Consider  $\langle k(r_\alpha) \mid \alpha < (\kappa^{++})^{M_U} \rangle$ .

The forcing which is used in  $M_{W^*}$  in order to construct a master condition sequence is  $\kappa^{++}$ -closed and  $(\kappa^{++})^{M_U} < \kappa^{++}$ .

So there is a single condition  $r \geq k(r_\alpha)$ , for every  $\alpha < (\kappa^{++})^{M_U}$ .

Now, let us use extensions of  $r$  and define, in  $M_{W^*}$ ,  $\kappa$ -many different extensions  $\langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  of  $W_0$ .

Then, for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $W_{0\alpha} \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+))^{M_{U^*}} = W_0^{U^*}$ .

So  $W_0^{U^*}$  is in  $V^* = V[G_\kappa]$  a  $\kappa$ -complete filter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  such that

(\*) for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $W_0^{U^*} \subseteq W_{0\alpha}$ .

In  $M_{U^*}$ , let  $\langle W_{0\alpha}^* \mid \alpha < j_U(\kappa) \rangle = j_{U^*}(\langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle)$ .

Consider

$$j_{W_{0\kappa}^*} : M_{U^*} \rightarrow M_{W_{0\kappa}^*} \text{ and } i = j_{W_{0\kappa}^*} \circ j_{U^*} : V^* \rightarrow M_{W_{0\kappa}^*}.$$

The embedding  $i$  is actually the ultrapower embedding by  $U^* - \lim \langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ . Note that the family  $\langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  consists of normal ultrafilters, and so, it is a discrete family. In particular, every element of this ultrapower is definable from  $j_{W_{0\kappa}}^*[j_U(\kappa^+)]$  and points in the range of  $i$ .

Note that if  $X \in W_0^{U^*}$ , then by (\*), for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $X \in W_0^{U^*} \subseteq W_{0\alpha}$ . Hence  $j_{U^*}(X) \in W_{0\kappa}^*$ . So,

$$j_{W_{0\kappa}}^*[j_U(\kappa^+)] \in i(X).$$

Set, for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,

$$W'_{0\alpha} = \{\{\sup(P) \mid P \in A\} \mid A \in W_{0\alpha}\} \text{ and } W' = \{\{\sup(P) \mid P \in A\} \mid A \in W_0^{U^*}\}.$$

Then again:

(\*\*) for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $W' \subseteq W'_{0\alpha}$ .

Also, if  $X \in W'$ , then by (\*\*), for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $X \in W' \subseteq W'_{0\alpha}$ . Hence  $j_U(X) \in W_{0\kappa}^{*'}$ . So,

$$\sup(j_{W_{0\kappa}}^*[j_U(\kappa^+)]) \in i(X).$$

Define an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $\kappa^+$  by setting

$$X \in \mathcal{V} \text{ iff } \sup(i[\kappa^+]) \in i(X).$$

Clearly, such defined  $\mathcal{V}$  is a weakly normal.

Note that  $j_U[\kappa^+]$  is unbounded in  $j_U(\kappa^+)$ .

Hence,

$$\sup(i[\kappa^+]) = \sup(j_{W_{0\kappa}^*}[j_U(\kappa^+)]).$$

So,

$$X \in \mathcal{V} \text{ iff } \sup(i[\kappa^+]) = \sup(j_{W_{0\kappa}^*}[j_U(\kappa^+)]) \in i(X).$$

Then  $\mathcal{V} \supseteq W'$  and  $\mathcal{V} \cap M_{W_{0\kappa}^*} = \mathcal{V} \cap M_{U^*} = W'$ .

Also,  $j_{W_{0\kappa}^*}[j_U(\kappa^+)]$  is  $[id]_{W_{0\kappa}^*}$  and by Solovay, in  $M_{U^*}$ , the ultrafilter

$$W_{0\kappa}^{*'} = \{X \subseteq j_U(\kappa^+) \mid \sup(j_{W_{0\kappa}^*}[j_U(\kappa^+)]) \in j_{W_{0\kappa}^*}(X)\}$$

is isomorphic to  $W_{0\kappa}^*$ , since the function  $P \mapsto \sup(P)$  is one to one on a big set.

In particular they share the same ultrapower.

Then we have that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $U^* - \lim \langle W'_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ . So,  $M_{\mathcal{V}} = M_{W_{0\kappa}^*}$  and  $j_{\mathcal{V}} = i$ .

Recall that we have  $\mathcal{V} \cap M_{W_{0\kappa}^*} = \mathcal{V} \cap M_{U^*} = W'$  and  $W' \in M_{U^*}$  implies that  $W' \in M_{W_{0\kappa}^*}$ , since the models  $M_{U^*}$  and  $M_{W_{0\kappa}^*}$  agree about subsets of  $j_U(\kappa)$ , and in particular, of  $(\kappa^{++})^{M_{U^*}}$ . Hence,  $W' \in M_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \cap M_{\mathcal{V}} = W'$ .

In addition,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a uniform  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter over  $\kappa^+$ , by its definition.

So,  $\mathcal{V}$  is as desired, i.e., it is a weakly normal  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter over  $\kappa^+$  which is amenable to its ultrapower.

### 3 A construction without forcing.

The construction of a weakly normal ultrafilter amenable to its ultrapower of the previous section was based on the property (\*).

Namely we needed  $U^*$ ,  $W_0^{U^*}$  and a sequence  $\langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  such that

1.  $U^*$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa$ ,
2.  $W_0^{U^*} \in M_{U^*}$  and  $M_{U^*} \models W_0^{U^*}$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^+)$ ,
3.  $\langle W_{0\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is a sequence of pairwise different normal ultrafilters over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^+)$ ,
4. for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $W_0^{U^*} \subseteq W_{0\alpha}$ .

Let us argue that it is possible to insure all these conditions starting with a bit stronger assumption, but without the use of forcing.

Let us assume GCH<sup>2</sup>.

We proceed as in the previous section, but up one cardinal.

Suppose that  $W$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^{++})$  such that some normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^{++})$  belongs to  $M_W$ , i.e.,  $W$  has a Mitchell order at least 1 among normal ultrafilters over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^{++})$ .<sup>3</sup>

Note that  ${}^{\kappa^{++}}M_W \subseteq M_W$ , and so, each normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^{++})$  in  $M_W$  is such also in  $V$ .

Consider  $U = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \kappa \in j_W(X)\}$ , i.e., the normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa$  to which  $W$  projects. The function  $P \mapsto P \cap \kappa$  is a projection. Let  $k : M_U \rightarrow M_W$  be the canonical elementary embedding, i.e.,  $k(j_U(f)(\kappa)) = j_W(f)(\kappa)$ .

<sup>2</sup>Using obvious adaptations it is possible to remove GCH assumptions.

<sup>3</sup>The referee found a way to weaken this assumption a bit. Namely his argument uses that the Mitchell order on normal fine  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilters on  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa^+)$  has rank  $\kappa^{+++}$ .

Note that  $\text{crit}(k) = (\kappa^{++})^{M_U}$  and  $k((\kappa^{++})^{M_U}) = (\kappa^{++})^{M_W} = \kappa^{++}$ .

Also,  $|j_U(\kappa)| = \kappa^+$  and  $|j_W(\kappa)| = \kappa^{+3}$ .

The elementarity of  $k$  implies that

$$M_U \models \kappa \text{ is a } \kappa^{++} \text{ - supercompact cardinal.}$$

Let  $W_0^U \in M_U$  be such that

$$M_U \models W_0^U \text{ is a normal ultrafilter over } \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^{++}).$$

Set  $W_0 = k(W_0^U)$ . Then

$$M_W \models W_0 \text{ is a normal ultrafilter over } \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^{++}).$$

Hence,  $W_0$  is a normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^{++})$  in  $V$ , as well.

Consider also in  $M_U$  the projection of  $W_0^U$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$ . Denote it by  $R_0^U$ .

Let  $R_0 = k(R_0^U)$ .

Then the following hold:

1.  $R_0$  is normal ultrafilter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  in  $V$ ,
2.  $R_0$  is a projection of  $W_0$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$ ,
3.  $R_0 \cap M_U = R_0^U$ .

The first two items follow by the elementarity of  $k$  and closure properties of  $M_W$ .

The third item follows, since  $\text{crit}(k) = (\kappa^{++})^{M_U} > \kappa^+$ , and so,  $k$  does not move subsets of  $\kappa^+$ .

So, we have:

*a normal ultrafilter  $W_0$  over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^{++})$  and a normal ultrafilter  $U$  over  $\kappa$  such that the projection  $R_0$  of  $W_0$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  is amenable to  $M_U$ .*

Let us argue that this enough for (\*).

**Lemma 3.1** *There are at least  $\kappa^{+3}$ -many different normal ultrafilters over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\kappa^+)$  which extend  $R_0^U$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise.

Let  $Z$  be the set of all such extensions of  $R_0^U$ .

Then  $|Z| \leq \kappa^{++}$ .

We have  $Z \in M_{W_0}$ . Hence,

$$M_{W_0} \models \exists Y (Y \text{ is a maximal family of extensions of } R_0^U \text{ and } |Y| \leq \kappa^{++}).$$

Let  $k_0 : M_{R_0} \rightarrow M_{W_0}$  be the natural elementary embedding. Note that its critical point is  $(\kappa^{+3})^{M_{R_0}}$ . By elementarity of  $k_0$ , the same statement is true in  $M_{R_0}$ . Let  $Y \in M_{R_0}$  be a witness. But now,  $|Y| \leq \kappa^{++}$  implies that  $k_0(Y) = Y$ , and so,  $Y$  is maximal also in  $M_{W_0}$ .

However,  $R_0$  itself extends  $R_0^U$  and  $R_0$  cannot be in  $Y$  since  $R_0 \notin M_{R_0}$ .

Contradiction.

□

## References

- [1] G. Goldberg, The internal relation, arXiv: 1810.04290v2 [math. LO]
- [2] J. Cummings, Iterated forcing and elementary embeddings, Handbook of Set Theory, M. Foreman, A. Kanamori, eds., Springer, 2010, pp.775-884.
- [3] T. Jech, Set Theory.
- [4] A. Kanamori, The Higher Infinite.
- [5] R. Solovay, Strongly compact cardinals and GCH, in Henkin, ed., Proceedings of the Tarski Symposium, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics vol. 25, AMS 1974, pp. 365-372.
- [6] R. Solovay, W. Reinhardt and A. Kanamori, Strong axioms of infinity and elementary embeddings, Annals of Mathematical Logic 13 (1978), pp. 73-116.