



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Approximation Theory ■■■ (■■■) ■■■-■■■

JOURNAL OF
Approximation
Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jat

Approximation by polynomials and ridge functions of classes of s -monotone radial functions

V.N. Konovalov^{a,1}, D. Leviatan^{b,*}, V.E. Maiorov^c

^a*Institute of Mathematics, NAS of Ukraine, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine*

^b*Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel*

^c*Technion I.I.T., 32000 Haifa, Israel*

Received 7 February 2007; received in revised form 11 October 2007; accepted 20 October 2007

Communicated by Martin Buhmann

Abstract

We obtain estimates on the order of best approximation by polynomials and ridge functions in the spaces L_q of classes of s -monotone radial functions which belong to the space L_p , $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$.

© 2007 Published by Elsevier Inc.

MSC: 41A46

Keywords: s -Monotone functions; Radial functions; Polynomials; Ridge functions

1. Introduction and the main results

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, be a finite interval (open, half-open, or closed). Given $s \geq 1$, a function $x : I \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is called s -monotone on I if for every collection of $(s + 1)$ distinct points $t_0, \dots, t_s \in I$ the corresponding divided difference $[x; t_0, \dots, t_s]$ is nonnegative. For $s = 1, 2$, s -monotone functions are nondecreasing or convex on I , respectively. Thus, the parameter s characterizes the shape of functions. Note that if a function x is s -times differentiable on I , then x is s -monotone if and only if $x^{(s)}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: vikono@imath.kiev.ua (V.N. Konovalov), leviatan@math.tau.ac.il (D. Leviatan), maiorov@tx.technion.ac.il (V.E. Maiorov).

¹ Part of this work was done while the first author visited Tel Aviv University and Technion I.I.T. Haifa in January 2005 and June 2006.

1 It is well known (see [2,18,21]) that for $s \geq 2$, if x is s -monotone, then $x^{(s-2)}$ is convex and
 2 locally absolutely continuous in I . Hence $x^{(s-1)}$ exists a.e. and is monotone nondecreasing, which
 3 in turn implies that $x^{(s)} \geq 0$ a.e. in I . Actually, the usual derivative $x^{(s-1)}$ exists except perhaps
 4 at a denumerable set of points of I , and the one-sided limits $x^{(s-1)}(t \pm)$ exist everywhere. The
 5 left and right derivatives $x_-^{(s-1)}(t)$ and $x_+^{(s-1)}(t)$ exist at any interior point $t \in I$, and at the end-
 6 points of I the respective one-sided derivatives of order $(s-1)$ exist but may be infinite. The
 7 one-sided derivatives $x_-^{(s-1)}$ and $x_+^{(s-1)}$ are nondecreasing on I , and at all interior points t , where
 8 the $s-1$ th derivative does not exist, we will denote $x^{(s-1)}(t) := (x_-^{(s-1)}(t) + x_+^{(s-1)}(t))/2$.
 9 Finally, for every $k \leq s-2$ the derivative $x^{(k)}$ which exists in any open subinterval of I is
 10 $(s-k)$ -monotone.

11 We denote by $\Delta_+^s(I)$, the set of all s -monotone functions on I . If W is a class of functions
 12 defined on I , then we set $\Delta_+^s W(I) := \Delta_+^s(I) \cap W$. By $L_p(I)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the usual
 13 space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite norm $\|x\|_{L_p(I)}$, and its unit
 14 ball $B_p(I)$.

15 Let $d \geq 1$ and let \mathbb{B}^d be the open d -dimensional unit ball in the space \mathbb{R}^d . A function $x : \mathbb{B}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$
 16 is called radial on the ball \mathbb{B}^d if $x(t) = y(|t|)$, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{B}^d$, where $|t| := (t_1^2 + \dots + t_d^2)^{1/2}$. By $\Delta_+^{s,d}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ we denote the set of all radial functions $x : \mathbb{B}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that
 17 the univariate functions $y(\tau)$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$, belong to the class $\Delta_+^s[0, 1]$ and satisfy the conditions
 18 $y_+^{(k)}(0) = 0$, $k = 0, \dots, s-1$. We call these functions s -monotone radial functions. If W is a
 19 class of functions defined on \mathbb{B}^d , then we denote $\Delta_+^{s,d} W(\mathbb{B}^d) := \Delta_+^{s,d}(\mathbb{B}^d) \cap W$. Again, $L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$,
 20 $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, denotes the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions $x : \mathbb{B}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite norm
 21 $\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}$, and $B_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ is its unit ball.

22 The main goal of our paper is to estimate the orders of best approximation by polynomials and
 23 ridge functions of the classes $\Delta_+^{s,d} B_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ in the spaces $L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$.

24 By $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the space of polynomials

$$P_n(t) := \sum_{|k| \leq n} a_k t^k, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

25 where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, $|k| := k_1 + \dots + k_d$, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t^k := t_1^{k_1} \dots t_d^{k_d}$.

26 Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n(I)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ the restrictions of $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on I and \mathbb{B}^d , respectively,
 27 and let

$$E(\Delta_+^s B_p(I), \mathcal{P}_n(I))_{L_q(I)} := \sup_{x \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)} \inf_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)} \|x - P_n\|_{L_q(I)},$$

$$31 \quad E(\Delta_+^{s,d} B_p(\mathbb{B}^d), \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d))_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} := \sup_{x \in \Delta_+^{s,d} B_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \inf_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)} \|x - P_n\|_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)}.$$

32 Let $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} := \partial \mathbb{B}^d$ be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . For $d > 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ the nonlinear
 33 manifold of ridge functions

$$R_n(t) := \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(a_k \cdot t), \quad t \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

- 1 where $r_k : I \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is any univariate function, $a_k \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and $a_k \cdot t$ is the usual scalar product in
 2 \mathbb{R}^d . We also let $\mathcal{R}_{n,q}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ denote the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ such that $r_k \in L_q(I)$,
 3 $k = 1, \dots, n$, and let

$$E\left(\Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right), \mathcal{R}_{n,q}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} := \sup_{x \in \Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \inf_{R_n \in \mathcal{R}_{n,q}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \|x - R_n\|_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)}.$$

- 5 For any radial function $x \in L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)$ we denote by

$$E\left(x, \mathcal{P}_n\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \|x - P\|_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)}$$

- 7 and

$$E\left(x, \mathcal{R}_{n,q}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} := \inf_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{n,q}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \|x - R\|_{L_q\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)}$$

- 9 the deviations of x in the space $L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)$ from the space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and the manifold $\mathcal{R}_{n,q}(\mathbb{B}^d)$,
 10 respectively.

- 11 Ridge functions have many applications in various areas of mathematics and its applications.
 12 The question of approximation by ridge functions has been intensively investigated in recent years.
 13 However, very little is known about the exact orders of best approximation by ridge functions
 14 of any nontrivial function classes. The first such result was obtained in [14] for Sobolev classes
 15 $W_2^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$, namely,

$$E\left(W_2^r\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right), \mathcal{R}_{n,2}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_2\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \asymp n^{-r/(d-1)},$$

- 17 where for sequences a_n and b_n , $n \geq 1$, of positive numbers a_n and b_n we write $a_n \asymp b_n$, $n \geq 1$,
 18 if there exist constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$ such that $c_1 \leq a_n/b_n \leq c_2$, for all $n \geq 1$. The interested reader
 19 should see related results in [6,15,17,23].

- 20 Throughout the paper p' denotes the conjugate of $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, that is, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By
 21 $c := c(\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma)$ we denote various constants which depend on the given parameters, but may
 22 differ from one another even if they appear in the same line. Finally, let $I := (-1, 1)$ and let $|J|$
 23 denote the length of the interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We are ready to state the main results.

- 25 **Theorem 1.** For $s = 1$, if $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $q \neq p/2$, and if $q = p = \infty$, then for $n > 1$,

$$E\left(\Delta_+^1 B_p(I), \mathcal{P}_n(I)\right)_{L_q(I)} \asymp n^{-\min\{1/q, 2/q-2/p\}},$$

- 27 if $s = 1$ and $1 \leq q = p/2 < \infty$, then there exist constants $c_* = c_*(p) > 0$ and $c^* = c^*(p)$ such
 28 that for $n > 1$,

$$29 \quad c_* n^{-2/p} \leq E\left(\Delta_+^1 B_p(I), \mathcal{P}_n(I)\right)_{L_{p/2}(I)} \leq c^* n^{-2/p} (\ln n)^{1/p'},$$

and if $s > 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, then for $n > 1$,

$$31 \quad E\left(\Delta_+^s B_p(I), \mathcal{P}_n(I)\right)_{L_q(I)} \asymp n^{-2/q+2/p}.$$

- 32 The reader may find it interesting to compare the results of Theorem 1 with earlier estimates
 33 of the widths of classes of s -monotone functions (see [5,9–11]).

1 For the classes of s -monotone radial functions we have,

Theorem 2. Let $d > 1$ and $n > 1$. For $s = 1$, $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $q \neq p/2$, and if $q = p = \infty$,

$$E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{P}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \asymp n^{-\min\{1/q, 2/q-2/p\}},$$

5 if $s = 1$ and $1 \leq q = p/2 < \infty$, then there exist constants $c_* = c_*(d, p) > 0$ and $c^* = c^*(d, p)$ such that

$$c_* n^{-2/p} \leq E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{P}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_{p/2}(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c^* n^{-2/p} (\ln n)^{1/p'},$$

and if $s > 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{P}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \asymp n^{-2/q+2/p}.$$

11 It is well known (see, e.g., [20, p. 169]), that for $d > 1$, the space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ can be embedded in the manifold $\mathcal{R}_{cn^{d-1}}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ where $c = c(d)$. Thus, an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is,

Corollary 3. Let $d > 1$ and $n > 1$. For $s = 1$, if $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $q \neq p/2$, and if $q = p = \infty$,

$$E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{R}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-\min\{1/(q(d-1)), (2/q-2/p)/(d-1)\}},$$

15 if $s = 1$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, and $q = p/2$, then

$$E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{R}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_{p/2}(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-2/(p(d-1))} (\ln n)^{1/(p'(d-1))},$$

17 and if $s > 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$E \left(\Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right), \mathcal{R}_n \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_q(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq cn^{-(2/q-2/p)/(d-1)},$$

19 where $c = c(d, s, p, q) > 0$.

21 Our next result generalizes to $d > 2$ the corresponding result by Oskolkov [17, Theorem 1], which was obtained for $d = 2$. Its proof is closely related to that of error estimates of optimal cubature formulas, in the sense of Kolmogorov–Nikolskii, for spherical harmonics (see details in [3]). Our proof closely follows Oskolkov's.

Theorem 4. Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d > 1$. There exist $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(d) > 0$, and integers $\hat{c} = \hat{c}(d)$ and $\check{c} = \check{c}(d)$, such that for any radial function $x \in L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$,

$$\bar{c} E \left(x, \mathcal{P}_{\hat{c}n} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq E \left(x, \mathcal{R}_{n^{d-1}, 2} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq E \left(x, \mathcal{P}_{\check{c}n} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}.$$

27 Finally, we show that for $q = 2$, in most cases the estimates of Corollary 3 are exact in order. Since the space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ may be embedded in $\mathcal{R}_{cn^{d-1}}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, where $c = c(d)$, the following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3 and Theorem 4. The problem is open for other values of q .

1 **Theorem 5.** Let $d > 1$, $n > 1$, and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $s = 1$ and $p \neq 4$, then

$$E\left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right), \mathcal{R}_{n,2}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_2\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \asymp n^{-\min\{1/(2(d-1)), (1-2/p)/(d-1)\}}.$$

3 If $s = 1$ and $p = 4$ then there exist constants $c_* = c_*(d)$ and $c^* = c^*(d)$ such that

$$c_* n^{-1/(2(d-1))} \leq E\left(\Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_4\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right), \mathcal{R}_{n,2}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_2\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \leq c^* n^{-1/(2(d-1))} (\ln n)^{3/(4(d-1))}.$$

5 If $s > 1$, then

$$E\left(\Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right), \mathcal{R}_{n,2}\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)\right)_{L_2\left(\mathbb{B}^d\right)} \asymp n^{-(1-2/p)/(d-1)}.$$

7 2. Auxiliary lemmas

Let

$$9 \quad t_{n,i} := \cos(n+1-i)\pi/(2(n+1)), \quad i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n+1) \quad (2.1)$$

11 be a partition of $I := (-1, 1)$, and denote $I_{n,0} := (t_{n,-1}, t_{n,1})$, $I_{n,i} := [t_{n,i}, t_{n,i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $I_{n,i} := (t_{n,i-1}, t_{n,i}]$, $i = -1, \dots, -n$. It is readily seen that

$$c_1(n - |i| + 1)/n^2 \leq |I_{n,i}| \leq c_2(n - |i| + 1)/n^2, \quad i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n, \quad (2.2)$$

13 where $0 < c_1 < c_2$ are absolute constants, and that

$$|t_{n,i} - t_{n,j}| \leq \pi^2(|i - j|)(2(n+1) - i - j)/(8n^2), \quad i, j = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n+1). \quad (2.3)$$

15 For the proof of our first lemma see [4, Chapter VII, § 4, p. 274].

17 **Lemma 6.** For each $v \geq 1$, there exist polynomials $P_{v,n,i}(\cdot)$, $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, of degree $\leq 2v(2n-1) + 1$ and a constant $c = c(v) > 0$ such that

$$P_{v,n,-i}(-t) = P_{v,n,i}(t), \quad t \in I, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

$$19 \quad \sum_{i=-n}^n P_{v,n,i}(t) \equiv 1, \quad t \in I, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$21 \quad |P_{v,n,i}(t)| \leq c(|i - j| + 1)^{-2v+1}, \quad t \in I_{n,j}, \quad i, j = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n. \quad (2.5)$$

For $s \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$23 \quad (m)_s := \begin{cases} \binom{m+s-2}{s-1}, & m \geq 1, \\ 0, & m < 1. \end{cases}$$

The next result is proved in [5, Lemma 2].

1 **Lemma 7.** Given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that b has nonzero entries. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $M \geq 0$, and let

$$\Omega_{s,n,p}(b) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\ell_p} \leq M, \quad \tilde{\omega}_i := b_i \sum_{j=1}^i (i-j+1)_s \omega_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

3 Then,

$$\max_{\omega \in \Omega_{s,n,p}(b)} |\langle a, \omega \rangle| = M \|u\|_{\ell_{p'}}, \quad u_i := b_i^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} (-1)^k \binom{s}{k} a_{i+k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

5 where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and where $\langle a, \omega \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \omega_i$.

Next is a lemma which was proved in [12, Lemma 3].

7 **Lemma 8.** Let $I = (-1, 1)$, $s \geq 1$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $x \in \Delta_+^s L_p(I)$, let

$$\tilde{x}(t) := x(t) - \pi_s(t; x; 0), \quad t \in I,$$

9 where

$$\pi_s(t; x; 0) := \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} x^{(k)}(0) \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad t \in I$$

11 is the Taylor polynomial of x about $t = 0$, and we recall that $x^{(s-1)}(0) := (x_-^{(s-1)}(0) + x_+^{(s-1)}(0))/2$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(s, p)$ such that

$$13 \quad \|\tilde{x}(\cdot)\|_{L_p(I)} \leq c \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(I)}.$$

15 We need some Remez-type inequalities, the first of which is well known (see, e.g., [16, p. 113, Theorem 14].

Lemma 9. Let $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $I = (-1, 1)$, and

$$17 \quad I_n := \left(-1 + 1/n^2, 1 - 1/n^2\right).$$

19 Then there exists a constant $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(q) \geq 1$ such that for any polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$ the inequality holds

$$\|P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \leq \bar{c} \|P_n\|_{L_q(I_n)}.$$

21 The next Remez-type inequality is known for $q = \infty$ (see, e.g., [1, p. 414, E21]). We have not found reference for the case $1 \leq q < \infty$ so we prove it below.

23 **Lemma 10.** Let $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $I := (-1, 1)$, and

$$I_n := (-1/(4n), 1/(4n)).$$

25 Then there exists $\hat{c} = \hat{c}(q) > 0$ such that for any polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$ the inequality holds

$$\|P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \leq \hat{c} \|P_n\|_{L_q(I \setminus I_n)}.$$

1 **Proof.** Lemma 10 is trivial for $n = 1$, so let $n > 1$. Set

$$\tau_{n,i} := \begin{cases} (i - 1/2)/n, & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ (i + 1/2)/n, & i = -1, \dots, -n, \end{cases}$$

3 and for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $l_{n,i}(t; \tau)$, $i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, the Lagrange fundamental polynomials of degree $(2n - 1)$ the points $\{\tau_{n,i} + \tau\}$, namely,

$$5 \quad l_{n,i}(t; \tau) = \prod_{j=\pm 1, j \neq i}^{\pm n} \frac{t - \tau_{n,j} - \tau}{\tau_{n,i} - \tau_{n,j}}, \quad i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm n.$$

Evidently, every polynomial P_n of degree $\leq n$ may be represented as

$$7 \quad P_n(t) = \sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} P_n(\tau_{n,i} + \tau) l_{n,i}(t; \tau), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |l_{n,i}(\tau; \tau)| &= \prod_{j=\pm 1, j \neq i}^{\pm n} \frac{|\tau_{n,j}|}{|\tau_{n,i} - \tau_{n,j}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{i - 1/2} \cdot \frac{(1 - 1/2) \cdots (n - 1/2)}{(n + i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(1 - 1/2) \cdots (n - 1/2)}{(n - i)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2^{n-1}(n + i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2^n(n - i)!}. \end{aligned}$$

9 Hence, for $1 \leq i < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |l_{n,i}(\tau; \tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n \cdots 2(n + i - 1)} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(n - i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(n - i) \cdot 2(n + 1) \cdots 2(n + i - 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(n - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{2n - 1}{2n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2j - 1}{2j} \cdot \frac{2j + 1}{2j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i - 1} \cdot \frac{2n - 1}{2n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{4j^2 - 1}{4j^2} \leq \frac{1}{2i - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $i = n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |l_{n,n}(\tau; \tau)| &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2^{2n-1}(2n - 1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2n - 1} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n - 1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(n - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n - 1} \cdot \frac{2n - 1}{2n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{4j^2 - 1}{4j^2} \leq \frac{1}{2n - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

1 Due to symmetry similar estimates are valid for $i = -1, \dots, -n$. Thus, we conclude that

$$|l_{n,i}(\tau; \tau)| \leq 1/|i|, \quad i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm n, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3 Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n(\tau)| &\leq \sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |P_n(\tau_{n,i} + \tau)| |l_{n,i}(\tau; \tau)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |P_n(\tau_{n,i} + \tau)| |i|^{-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |P_n(\tau_{n,i} + \tau)|^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |i|^{-q'} \right)^{1/q'}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we have applied Hölder's inequality.

5 Since $q' > 1$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |i|^{-q'} \right)^{1/q'} \leq \left(2 \int_1^\infty \tau^{-q'} d\tau \right)^{1/q'} = (2(q' - 1))^{1/q'} =: \check{c}.$$

7 Hence,

$$|P_n(\tau)|^q \leq \check{c}^q \sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} |P_n(\tau_{n,i} + \tau)|^q, \quad \tau \in I_n,$$

9 and integrating both sides of the inequality over $\tau \in I_n$ yields

$$\int_{I_n} |P_n(\tau)|^q d\tau \leq \check{c}^q \sum_{i=\pm 1}^{\pm n} \int_{\tau_{n,i}-1/(4n)}^{\tau_{n,i}+1/(4n)} |P_n(\tau)|^q d\tau.$$

11 Note that the intervals $(\tau_{n,i} - 1/(4n), \tau_{n,i} + 1/(4n))$, $i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, are piecewise disjoint and are contained in $I \setminus I_n$. Hence,

$$13 \quad \int_{I_n} |P_n(\tau)|^q d\tau \leq \check{c}^q \int_{I \setminus I_n} |P_n(\tau)|^q d\tau,$$

so that,

$$15 \quad \|P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \leq (\check{c}^q + 1)^{1/q} \|P_n\|_{L_q(I \setminus I_n)} =: \hat{c} \|P_n\|_{L_q(I \setminus I_n)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

17 We need a simple result for the multivariate case.

19 **Lemma 11.** Let $d \geq 1$, $s \geq 1$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. There exist constants $c_* = c_*(d, p) > 0$ and $c^* = c^*(d, p) > 0$ such that for any $x \in \Delta_+^{\circ, s} L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ the inequalities hold

$$c_*(d, p) \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|y\|_{L_p[0,1]} \leq c^*(d, p) \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}, \quad (2.6)$$

21 where $x(t) = y(|t|)$, $t \in \mathbb{B}^d$.

1 **Proof.** Using spherical coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} &= c(d, p) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\tau^{(d-1)/p} |y(\tau)| \right)^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c(d, p) \left(\int_0^1 |y(\tau)|^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &= c(d, p) \|y\|_{L_p[0,1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus,

$$3 \quad (c(d, p))^{-1} \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|y\|_{L_p[0,1)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\tau^{(d-1)/p} y(\tau) \right)^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} &\geq \left(\int_{1/2}^1 \left(\tau^{(d-1)/p} y(\tau) \right)^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq 2^{-(d-1)/p} \left(\int_{1/2}^1 (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Keeping in mind that y is nondecreasing on $[0, 1)$, we conclude that

$$\left(\int_0^{1/2} (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{1/2}^1 (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p},$$

7 so that

$$\left(\int_0^1 (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{1/p} \left(\int_{1/2}^1 (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p}.$$

9 Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 (y(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} &\leq 2^{d/p} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\tau^{(d-1)/p} y(\tau) \right)^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^{d/p} (c(d, p))^{-1} \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

11 For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d > 1$, we denote by $G_{d,n}(t)$, $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, the Gegenbauer polynomials defined by the generating function (see, e.g., [19, p. 158])

$$13 \quad (1 - 2tz + z^2)^{-d/2} =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{d,n}(t)z^n, \quad |z| < 1.$$

The Gegenbauer polynomials satisfy the following Rodriguez' formula (see, e.g., [19, p. 158]):

$$15 \quad G_{d,n}(t) = (-1)^n \alpha_{d,n} (1 - t^2)^{-(d-1)/2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n (1 - t^2)^{n+(d-1)/2},$$

1 where

$$\alpha_{d,n} := \frac{(d)_n}{n!2^n(d/2 + 1/2)_n},$$

3 $(d)_0 := 0, \quad (d)_n := d(d+1) \cdots (d+n-1) = \Gamma(d+n)/\Gamma(d).$

It is well known that $\deg G_{d,n} = n$, and the family $\{G_{d,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a complete orthogonal system
5 for the weighted space $L_2(I; w_d)$, where $w_d(t) := (1-t^2)^{(d-1)/2}, t \in I := (-1, 1)$. Also,

$$\int_I G_{d,n}^2(t)w_d(t) dt = \frac{\pi^{1/2}(d)_n\Gamma(d/2 + 1/2)}{(n+d/2)n!\Gamma(d/2)} =: v_{d,n}.$$

7 Thus, if we denote

$$U_{d,n}(t) := v_{d,n}^{-1/2}G_{d,n}(t), \quad t \in [-1, 1],$$

9 then $\|U_{d,n}\|_{L_2(I; w_d)} = 1$, hence the family $\{U_{d,n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a complete orthonormal system for the
weighted space $L_2(I; w_d)$. Also $U_{d,n}(-t) = (-1)^n U_{d,n}(t), t \in [-1, 1]$.

11 The following result is due to Petrushev [19, p. 163], where one may find comments explaining
the nature of the decomposition below (see also [3]). Also note that some ideas of the proof of
13 Lemma 12 are based on the paper by Logan and Schepp [13] about reconstruction of a function
from its projections. In the paper Logan and Schepp considered the special case of $d = 2$, and
15 the Chebyshev ridge polynomials of the second kind as an orthonormal set in the space $L_2(\mathbb{B}^2)$.

Lemma 12. *If $d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1$, then each function $x \in L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ has the unique representation*

$$17 \quad x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{d,n}(\cdot; x), \tag{2.8}$$

where the convergence is in $L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and

$$19 \quad Q_{d,n}(t; x) := v_{d,n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} A_{d,n}(\xi; x) U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot t) d\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{B}^d \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$21 \quad A_{d,n}(\xi; x) := \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} x(\tau) U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$23 \quad v_{d,n} := \frac{(n+1)_{d-1}}{2(2\pi)^{d-1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, the operators $Q_{d,n}(\cdot; x), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are orthogonal projectors from $L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ onto
25 $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d) \ominus \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and the following Parseval identity holds:

$$\|x\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Q_{d,n}(\cdot; x)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{d,n} \|A_{d,n}(\cdot; x)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2. \tag{2.12}$$

1 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{T}^d := [0, 2\pi)^d$ be the d -dimensional torus. By $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we denote the spaces of
all (real-valued) trigonometric polynomials

$$3 \quad T_n(t) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} a_k e^{i(k \cdot t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $|k| := |k_1| + \dots + |k_d|$, $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a_{-k} = \bar{a}_k$.

5 The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 13. *Let $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each constant $0 < c_* < 1$ and every subspace $\mathcal{T}_* \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such
7 that $\dim \mathcal{T}_* \geq c_* \dim \mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{T}^d)$, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $T_* \in \mathcal{T}_*$ such that*

$$\|T_*\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_*\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq c^*,$$

9 where $0 < c^* = c^*(d, c_*) < 1$.

Proof. The proof is based on estimating of volumes of sets for Fourier coefficients of bounded
11 trigonometric polynomials, and can be found in [24] (see also [25, Chapter 2, Section 1]). Note
that the first result for $d = 1$ about estimating of volumes of sets for Fourier coefficients is due to
13 Kashin [8,7]. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1—the upper bounds

15 **Proof.** For $1 \leq q = p \leq \infty$ the upper bounds are trivial, because any $x \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)$ is approxi-
mated at this order by the polynomial $P_n(t) \equiv 0$. Thus we assume that $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$.

17 We fix $s \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, and for the sake of simplicity, we omit them in our notations whenever it is
obvious which s and n apply. Let $I := (-1, 1)$, and let $t_i := t_{n,i}$, $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, be defined
19 by (2.1). Given $x \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)$, denote

$$\pi_i(t; x) := \pi_{s,i}(t; x) := \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} x^{(k)}(t_i) \frac{(t - t_i)^k}{k!}, \quad t \in I, \quad i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n.$$

21 We fix $v > (3s - 1/q')/2$ and use the polynomials obtained in Lemma 6, to set

$$P_n(t; x) := P_{s,n}(t; x) := \sum_{i=-n}^n \pi_{s,i}(t; x) P_{v,n,i}(t), \quad t \in I.$$

23 By virtue of (2.4),

$$x(t) - P_n(t; x) = \sum_{i=-n}^n (x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)) P_{v,n,i}(t), \quad t \in I.$$

25 Hence,

$$|x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \leq \sum_{i=-n}^n |x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}(t)|, \quad t \in I. \quad (3.1)$$

27 We first assume that

$$x^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, s-1, \quad (3.2)$$

1 which in turn implies $x^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$, $k = 0, \dots, s-1$, $t \in [0, 1)$, and $(-1)^{s-k} x^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$, $k = 0, \dots, s-1$, $t \in (-1, 0]$.

3 Fix $0 \leq j < n$, and let $t \in I_j \cap [0, 1)$, where $I_j := I_{n,j}$ is defined after (2.1). For $s = 1$, and for $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, if $i \leq j$, then

$$5 \quad |x(t) - \pi_{1,i}(t; x)| \leq |x(t_{j+1}) - x(t_i)| = \sum_{k=i}^j |x(t_{k+1}) - x(t_k)|, \quad (3.3)$$

since x is nondecreasing on $(-1, 1)$. If $i > j$, then for the same reason,

$$7 \quad |x(t) - \pi_{1,i}(t; x)| \leq |x(t_j) - x(t_i)| = \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} |x(t_{k+1}) - x(t_k)|. \quad (3.4)$$

For $s > 1$, by the Taylor remainder formula,

$$9 \quad x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x) = \frac{1}{(s-2)!} \int_{t_i}^t (x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i)) (t - \tau)^{s-2} d\tau.$$

Again for $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$, if $i \leq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(s-1)!} |x^{(s-1)}(t_{j+1}) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i)| |t_{j+1} - t_i|^{s-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s-1)!} |t_{j+1} - t_i|^{s-1} \sum_{k=i}^j |x^{(s-1)}(t_{k+1}) - x^{(s-1)}(t_k)|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

11 since $x^{(s-1)}$ is nondecreasing on $(-1, 1)$. And if $i > j$, then for the same reason

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(s-1)!} |x^{(s-1)}(t_j) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i)| |t_j - t_i|^{s-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s-1)!} |t_j - t_i|^{s-1} \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} |x^{(s-1)}(t_{k+1}) - x^{(s-1)}(t_k)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Put

$$13 \quad \omega_{s,k} := \begin{cases} |x^{(s-1)}(t_{k+1}) - x^{(s-1)}(t_k)|, & k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ |x^{(s-1)}(t_{-1})| + |x^{(s-1)}(t_1)|, & k = 0, \\ |x^{(s-1)}(t_{k-1}) - x^{(s-1)}(t_k)|, & k = -1, \dots, -n+1. \end{cases}$$

Since $j \geq 0$, we have for every $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq 2n + 2 - i - j &= 2(n - j + 1) - (i - j) \\ &\leq 2(n - j + 1) + 2|i - j| \\ &\leq 2(n - j + 1)(|i - j| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

15 Hence, combining (3.3)–(3.6) with (2.3) and (2.5), for every $s \geq 1$, we obtain for $0 \leq i \leq j$

$$\begin{aligned} &|x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}| \\ &\leq cn^{-2s+2} (|i - j| + 1)^{s-2v} (2n + 2 - i - j)^{s-1} \sum_{k=i}^j \omega_{s,k} \\ &\leq cn^{-2s+2} (|i - j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n - j + 1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=i}^j \omega_{s,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

1 for $-n \leq i < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}| \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2} (|i-j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-j+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^j \omega_{s,k},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and finally for $j < i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}| \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2} (|i-j| + 1)^{s-2v+1} (2n+2-i-j)^{s-1} \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} \omega_{s,k} \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2} (|i-j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-j+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} \omega_{s,k}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

3 Clearly, similar estimates hold for $-n < j < 0$, and $t \in I_j \cap (-1, 0]$. Therefore by (2.4), we summarize that for each $-n < j < n$ and $t \in I_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n}^n (|i-j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-|j|+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=k_0(i,j)}^{k_1(i,j)} \omega_{s,k},
 \end{aligned}$$

5 where we denote

$$k_0(i, j) := \begin{cases} i, & 0 \leq i < j \leq n, 0 \leq i = j < n, \\ i + 1, & -n \leq i < 0, i < j \leq n, \\ j, & 0 \leq j < i \leq n, \\ j + 1, & -n < j < 0, j \leq i \leq n, \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$k_1(i, j) := \begin{cases} j, & -n \leq i < j, 0 \leq j < n, \\ j + 1, & -n \leq i < j < 0, \\ i - 1, & -n \leq j \leq i, 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ i, & -n \leq j \leq i < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

7 Hence, integrating over I_j , $-n < j < n$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x - P_n(\cdot; x)\|_{L_q(I_j)} \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2} |I_j|^{1/q} \sum_{i=-n}^n (|i-j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-|j|+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=k_0(i,j)}^{k_1(i,j)} \omega_{s,k} \\
 & \leq cn^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{i=-n}^n (|i-j| + 1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-|j|+1)^{s-1/q'} \sum_{k=k_0(i,j)}^{k_1(i,j)} \omega_{s,k}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Finally, we have to consider the case $j = \pm n$. To this end, let $t \in I_n$ and take $i = n$. Then $x(t) \geq 0$,

9 and further, if $s = 1$, then $x(t) - \pi_{1,n}(t; x) = x(t) - x(t_n) \geq 0$ and if $s > 1$, then

$$x(t) - \pi_{s,n}(t; x) = \frac{1}{(s-2)!} \int_{t_n}^t \left(x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_n) \right) (t-\tau)^{s-2} d\tau \geq 0.$$

1 Hence,

$$0 \leq x(t) - \pi_{s,n}(t; x) \leq x(t),$$

3 and in turn, for $i < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| &\leq |x(t) - \pi_{s,n}(t; x)| + |\pi_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| \\ &\leq x(t) + |\pi_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

So we wish to estimate

$$5 \quad \pi_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left(x^{(k)}(t_n) - \pi_{s-k,i}(t_n; x^{(k)}) \right) \frac{(t - t_n)^k}{k!}.$$

For $s > 1$ and $k < s - 1$, we have

$$7 \quad x^{(k)}(t_n) - \pi_{s-k,i}(t_n; x^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{(s-k-2)!} \int_{t_i}^{t_n} \left(x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i) \right) (t_n - \tau)^{s-k-2} d\tau.$$

Therefore, for $i \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\pi_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{s-2} \frac{(t - t_n)^k}{k!(s-k-2)!} \int_{t_i}^{t_n} \left(x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i) \right) (t_n - \tau)^{s-k-2} d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + |x^{(s-1)}(t_n) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i)| \frac{(t - t_n)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} |t_n - t_i|^{s-k-1} |1 - t_n|^k \right) \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k} \\ &\leq c(n-i+2)^{2s-2} n^{-2s+2} \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

9 where we estimated the sum applying (2.3). Similarly for $-n \leq i < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} |t_n - t_i|^{s-k-1} |1 - t_n|^k \right) \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k} \\ &\leq c(n-i+2)^{2s-2} n^{-2s+2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Note that (3.14) and (3.15) trivially hold for $s = 1$.

11 Substituting (3.14), (3.15) into (3.13) and combining with (2.5) we get

$$|x(t) - \pi_{s,n}(t; x)| |P_{v,n,n}(t)| \leq c|x(t)|,$$

13 and for $0 \leq i < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}(t)| &\leq c|x(t)|(n-i+1)^{-2v+1} \\ &\quad + cn^{-2s+2} (n-i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k}. \end{aligned}$$

1 Finally, for $-n \leq i < 0$,

$$|x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x)| |P_{v,n,i}(t)| \leq c|x(t)|(n-i+1)^{-2v+1} \\ + cn^{-2s+2}(n-i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k}(x).$$

Similar inequalities are valid for $t \in I_{-n}$. Hence, for $t \in I_n$, we obtain by (3.1) that

$$|x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \leq c|x(t)| \sum_{i=-n}^n (n-i+1)^{-2v+1} \\ + cn^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n}^{n-1} (n-i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=k_0(i,n)}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k}, \quad (3.16)$$

3 and similarly for $t \in I_{-n}$,

$$|x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \leq c|x(t)| \sum_{i=-n}^n (n+i+1)^{-2v+1} \\ + cn^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n+1}^n (n+i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{k_1(i,-n)} \omega_{s,k}. \quad (3.17)$$

Since $2v-1 > 1$,

$$5 \sum_{i=-n}^n (|n-i|+1)^{-2v+1} \leq 2 \int_1^\infty \tau^{-2v+1} d\tau = (v-1)^{-1} = c.$$

We conclude from (3.16) that for $t \in I_n$,

$$7 |x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \leq \check{c}n^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n}^{n-1} (n-i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=k_0(i,n)}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k} + c|x(t)|, \quad (3.18)$$

and by (3.17), we have for $t \in I_{-n}$,

$$9 |x(t) - P_n(t; x)| \leq cn^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n+1}^n (n+i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{k_1(i,-n)} \omega_{s,k} + c|x(t)|. \quad (3.19)$$

Now, integrating (3.18) over I_n yields

$$\|x - P_n(\cdot; x)\|_{L_q(I_n)} \leq \check{c}|I_n|^{1/q} n^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n}^{n-1} (n-i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=k_0(i,n)}^{n-1} \omega_{s,k} \\ + c|I_n|^{1/q-1/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I_n)}, \quad (3.20)$$

11 where we applied the inequalities

$$(|a|^q + |b|^q)^{1/q} \leq |a| + |b| \leq 2^{1/q'} (|a|^q + |b|^q)^{1/q},$$

13 and for the last term we used Hölder's inequality.

1 Similarly, integrating (3.19) over I_{-n} yields

$$\|x - P_n(\cdot; x)\|_{L_q(I_{-n})} \leq \check{c}|I_{-n}|^{1/q} n^{-2s+2} \sum_{i=-n+1}^n (n+i+1)^{2s-2v-1} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{k_1(i,-n)} \omega_{s,k} + c|I_{-n}|^{1/q-1/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I_{-n})}. \quad (3.21)$$

We combine now (3.12) with (3.20) and (3.21), to obtain

$$\|x - P_n(\cdot; x)\|_{L_q(I)} \leq cn^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{i=-n}^n \sum_{j=-n}^n (|i-j|+1)^{2s-2v-1} \times (n-|j|+1)^{s-1/q'} \sum_{k=k_0(i,j)}^{k_1(i,j)} \omega_{s,k} + cn^{-2/q+2/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I)}, \quad (3.22)$$

3 where $k_0(i, j)$ and $k_1(i, j)$ where defined in (3.10) and (3.11) for all pairs i, j except for $i = j = \pm n$, where we put $k_0(n, n) = k_0(-n, -n) = 1$ and $k_1(n, n) = k_1(-n, -n) = -1$, so that it is an
5 empty set and thus = 0.

Note that for $k_0(i, j) \leq k \leq k_1(i, j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} n - |j| + 1 &= (n - |k| + 1) + |k| - |j| \leq (n - |k| + 1) + |k - j| \\ &\leq (n - |k| + 1) + (|i - j| + 1) \leq 2(|i - j| + 1)(n - |k| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

7 We first estimate the inner sum dealing with the summation on j from $i \geq 0$ to $n - 1$. By (3.10) and (3.11), we deal with

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=i}^{n-1} (|i-j|+1)^{2s-2v-1} (n-|j|+1)^{s-1/q'} \sum_{k=i}^j \omega_{s,k} \\ &\leq 2^{s-1/q'} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i}^j (|i-j|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v-1} (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k} \\ &= c \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (|i-j|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v-1} \right) (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k} \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} (|i-k|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k}, \end{aligned}$$

9 where we used the fact that $3s - 1/q' - 2v - 1 < -1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (|i-j|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v-1} &\leq \int_k^\infty (|i-\tau|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v-1} d\tau \\ &= \int_{|i-k|+1}^\infty \tau^{3s-1/q'-2v-1} d\tau \\ &= (2v+1/q'-3s)^{-1} (|i-k|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} \\ &= c(|i-k|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v}. \end{aligned}$$

1 The other part of the inner sum is dealt similarly. Thus, substituting in (3.22), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x - P_n(\cdot; x)\|_{L_q(I)} &\leq cn^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{i=-n+1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} (|k-i|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} \\
 &\quad \times (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k} + cn^{-2/q+2/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I)} \\
 &= cn^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=-n+1}^{n-1} (|k-i|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} \right) \\
 &\quad \times (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k} + cn^{-2/q+2/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I)} \\
 &\leq cn^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} (n-|k|+1)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,k} + cn^{-2/q+2/p} \|x\|_{L_p(I)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where again, we used the fact that $3s - 1/q' - 2v < -1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=-n+1}^{n-1} (|k-i|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} &\leq \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (|k-i|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=-n+1}^k (|k-i|+1)^{3s-1/q'-2v} \\
 &\leq 2 \int_1^\infty \tau^{3s-1/q'-2v} d\tau \\
 &= (3s + 1/q - 2v)^{-1} = c.
 \end{aligned}$$

3 Therefore we should estimate how big may the sum on the right-hand side of (3.23) be, when the only constraints on the collection $\{\omega_{s,k}\}$, $-n+1 \leq k \leq n-1$, is that $x \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)$.

5 To this end we set

$$\omega_{s,0}^+ := |x^{(s-1)}(t_1)|, \quad \omega_{s,i}^+ := \omega_{s,i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

7 and

$$\omega_{s,0}^- := |x^{(s-1)}(t_{-1})|, \quad \omega_{s,i}^- := \omega_{s,i}, \quad i = -1, \dots, -n+1,$$

9 and we will estimate the two sums separately. In order to estimate the first sum we write $I^+ := [0, 1)$, and we will estimate from below the values $\|x\|_{L_p(I^+)}$. Let $I_0^+ := [t_0, t_1]$ and put $I_i^+ := I_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We take $1 \leq p < \infty$, the case $p = \infty$ is analogous. Then

$$\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(I^+)}^p = \sum_{i=0}^n \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(I_i^+)}^p. \tag{3.24}$$

13 By virtue of (3.2), $x(t) \geq 0$ and $\pi_{s,0}(t; x) \equiv 0$, for $t \in I^+$, so that for $t \in I_i^+, i = 0, \dots, n$,

$$x(t) = (x(t) - \pi_i(t; x)) + \sum_{j=1}^i (\pi_j(t; x) - \pi_{j-1}(t; x)),$$

1 where for $i = 0$, the second sum is empty, thus = 0. For $s > 1$, applying the Taylor remainder
 formula, we get

$$3 \quad x(t) - \pi_{s,i}(t; x) = \frac{1}{(s-2)!} \int_{t_i}^t \left(x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_i) \right) (t-\tau)^{s-2} d\tau \geq 0,$$

as $x^{(s-1)}$ is nondecreasing. Hence, we proceed with $i \geq 1$, and obtain

$$5 \quad x(t) \geq \sum_{j=1}^i (\pi_{s,j}(t; x) - \pi_{s,j-1}(t; x)), \quad t \in I_i^+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.25)$$

7 which is clearly valid for $s = 1$. Since $\pi_{s,j}^{(k)}(t; x) = \pi_{s-k,j}(t; x^{(k)})$, $k = 0, \dots, s-1$, it follows
 that

$$\pi_{s,j}(t; x) - \pi_{s,j-1}(t; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \left(x^{(k)}(t_j) - \pi_{s-k,j-1}(t_j; x^{(k)}) \right) \frac{(t-t_j)^k}{k!}.$$

9 Again, if $s > 1$ and $k \leq s-2$, then, applying the Taylor remainder formula, we get by the
 monotonicity of $x^{(s-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{(k)}(t_j) - \pi_{s-k,j-1}(t_j; x^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(s-2-k)!} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left(x^{(s-1)}(\tau) - x^{(s-1)}(t_{j-1}) \right) (t-\tau)^{s-2-k} d\tau \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

11 Hence,

$$\left(x^{(k)}(t_j) - \pi_{s-k,j-1}(t_j; x^{(k)}) \right) \frac{(t-t_j)^k}{k!} \geq 0, \quad t \in I_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq i,$$

13 so that it follows from (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{s,j}(t; x) - \pi_{s,j-1}(t; x) &\geq \left(x^{(s-1)}(t_j) - \pi_{1,j-1}(t_j; x^{(s-1)}) \right) \frac{(t-t_j)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \\ &\geq \left(x^{(s-1)}(t_j) - x^{(s-1)}(t_{j-1}) \right) \frac{(t-t_j)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \\ &\geq \frac{(t-t_j)^{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \omega_{s,j-1}^+, \quad t \in I_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

15 Note that (3.27) is valid for $s = 1$, in fact with an equality sign in that case. Denote $\bar{t}_i :=$
 $(t_i + t_{i+1})/2$. Then for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1$ the inequalities hold

$$t - t_j \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=j}^i |I_k^+|, \quad t \in [\bar{t}_i, t_{i+1}].$$

17 Combining with (3.27) and substituting in (3.25), we get

$$x(t) \geq c \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\sum_{k=j}^i |I_k^+| \right)^{s-1} \omega_{s,j-1}^+, \quad t \in [\bar{t}_i, t_{i+1}),$$

1 whence for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|x\|_{L_p(I_i^+)} \geq \|x\|_{L_p[\bar{t}_i, t_{i+1}]} \geq c |I_i^+|^{1/p} \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\sum_{k=j}^i |I_k^+| \right)^{s-1} \omega_{s,j-1}^+.$$

3 Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{L_p(I^+)}^p &\geq c \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i^+| \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \left(\sum_{k=j}^i |I_k^+| \right)^{s-1} \omega_{s,j-1}^+ \right)^p \\ &\geq c \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i^+|^{(s-1)p+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (i-j+1)^{s-1} \omega_{s,j-1}^+ \right)^p \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{c(n-i+1)^{s-1/p'}}{n^{2s-2/p'}} \sum_{j=1}^i (i-j+1)_s \omega_{s,j-1}^+ \right)^p, \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where for the second inequality we used the fact that

$$\left(\sum_{k=j}^i |I_k^+| \right)^{s-1} \geq (i-j+1)^{s-1} |I_i^+|^{s-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n,$$

which readily follows from $|I_1^+| \geq |I_2^+| \geq \dots \geq |I_n^+|$, and we applied $(m)_s \asymp m^{s-1}$, and the third inequality follows by (2.2).

Going back to (3.23), but limiting for a moment the discussion to I_+ , we see that we should consider the extremal problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+2)^{s-1/q'} n^{-2s+2/q'} \omega_{s,i-1}^+ \rightarrow \sup, \tag{3.29}$$

with $\omega_{s,i}^+ \geq 0, i = 0, \dots, n-1$, satisfying

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{(n-i+1)^{s-1/p'}}{n^{2s-2/p'}} \sum_{j=1}^i (i-j+1)_s \omega_{s,j-1}^+ \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|x\|_{L_p(I^+)}, \tag{3.30}$$

and for $p = \infty$, a similar, appropriate inequality. We thus apply Lemma 7 for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, with $\omega := (\omega_{s,0}^+, \dots, \omega_{s,n-1}^+)$,

$$a_i := ((n-i+2)/n^2)^{s-1/q'}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$b_i := ((n-i+1)/n^2)^{s-1/p'}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

That is, we have to estimate the $L_{p'}$ -norm of $u := (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ where

$$u_i := \left(n^2 / (n-i+1) \right)^{s-1/p'} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} (-1)^k \frac{s}{k} \left((n-i-k+2) / n^2 \right)^{s-1/q'},$$

1 and we note that for $i = 1, \dots, n - s$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= n^{2/p-2/q} (n-i+1)^{-s+1/p'} \sum_{k=0}^s (-1)^k \frac{s}{k} (n-i-k+2)^{s-1/q'} \\ &=: n^{2/p-2/q} (n-i+1)^{-s+1/p'} \Delta_{-1}^s (n-i+2)^{s-1/q'}, \end{aligned}$$

where we note that Δ_{-1}^s is the s th difference with the step $h = -1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{-1}^s (n-i+2)^{s-1/q'} \right| &= c \left| \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 (n-i+2 - \tau_1 - \cdots - \tau_s)^{-1/q'} d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_s \right| \\ &\leq c (n-i+2-s)^{-1/q'} \\ &= c (1-s/(n-i+2))^{-1/q'} (n-i+2)^{-1/q'} \\ &\leq c (1-s/(s+1))^{-1/q'} (n-i+2)^{-1/q'} \\ &= c (s+1)^{1/q'} (n-i+2)^{-1/q'}, \end{aligned}$$

3 so that for $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} \left(\left| \Delta_{-1}^s (n-i+2)^{s-1/q'} \right| (n-i+1)^{-s+1/p'} \right)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} (n-i+1)^{-(s-1/q+1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-(s-1/q+1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where $c = c(s, q)$. In order to estimate the sum on the right-hand side of (3.31) we have to separate to various cases of s, p and q .

5

For $s = 1$ and $1 \leq q < p/2 \leq \infty$, it follows that $(s-1/q+1/p)p' = (1-1/q+1/p)p' < 1$,

7 so that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-(1-1/q+1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq cn^{1/q-2/p}, \quad 1 \leq q < p/2 \leq \infty. \quad (3.32)$$

9 If $1 \leq q = p/2 \leq \infty$, we have $(1-1/p)p' = p'/p' = 1$, so that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-(1-1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq c(\ln n)^{1/p'}, \quad 1 \leq q = p/2 \leq \infty. \quad (3.33)$$

11 And if $p/2 < q \leq p \leq \infty$ (note that this excludes $q = p = \infty$), we have $(1-1/q+1/p)p' > 1$, so that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-(1-1/q+1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq c, \quad p/2 < q \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.34)$$

13

where, in all the above cases, $c = c(p, q)$.

1 For $s > 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, we have $(s - 1/q + 1/p)p' > 1$, except when $s = 2, q = 1$ and $p = \infty$, so that

$$3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-(s-1/q+1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq \begin{cases} c \ln n, & s = 2, q = 1, p = \infty, \\ c & \text{all other cases of } s > 1, 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.35)$$

5 where $c = c(s, p, q)$. Note that (3.34) and (3.35) are valid also for $p = q = 1$, where the left-hand side is understood as the sup-norm. Thus, for a moment, we separate the case $s = 2, q = 1$, and $p = \infty$, and conclude that for all other cases it follows by (3.31)–(3.35) that

$$7 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-s} |u_i|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq \begin{cases} cn^{-1/q}, & s = 1, 1 \leq q < p/2 \leq \infty, \\ cn^{-2/p}(\ln n)^{1/p'}, & s = 1, 1 \leq q = p/2 \leq \infty, \\ cn^{-2/q+2/p}, & s = 1, p/2 < q \leq p \leq \infty, \\ cn^{-2/q+2/p}, & s > 1, 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

9 where $c = c(s, p, q)$, and that the last two inequalities in (3.36) are valid also for $p = q = 1$, where the left-hand side is understood as the sup-norm.

For $i = n + 1 - s, \dots, n$ we take the crudest estimate

$$11 |u_i| \leq n^{2/p-2/q} (n - i + 1)^{-s+1/p'} 2^s (n - i + 2)^{s-1/q'},$$

and we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=n+1-s}^n |u_i|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq cn^{2/p-2/q} \left(\sum_{i=n+1-s}^n (n - i + 1)^{(1/q-1/p)p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\ \leq cn^{2/p-2/q}, \quad (3.37)$$

13 where $c = c(s, p, q)$.

Therefore, combining (3.36) and (3.37), we obtain by virtue of Lemma 7,

$$n^{-2s+2/q'} \sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 2)^{s-1/q'} \omega_{s,i-1}^+ \\ \leq c \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(I^+)} \begin{cases} n^{-\min\{1/q, 2/q-2/p\}}, & s = 1, 1 \leq q < p/2 \leq \infty, \\ n^{-2/p}(\ln n)^{1/p'}, & s = 1, 1 \leq q = p/2 \leq \infty, \\ n^{-2/q+2/p}, & s = 1, p/2 < q \leq p \leq \infty, \\ n^{-2/q+2/p}, & s > 1, 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.38)$$

15 where $c = c(s, p, q)$, and again, the last two inequalities in (3.38) are valid also for $p = q = 1$, where the left-hand side is understood as the sup-norm.

17 Recall that (3.38) is yet to be established for the case $s = 2, q = 1$, and $p = \infty$. However, we observe that if $x \in \Delta_+^2 L_\infty(I)$, $x' \in \Delta_+^1 L_1(I)$ and $\|x'\|_{L_1(I^+)} = \|x\|_{L_\infty(I^+)}$. Furthermore, $\omega_{1,i}^+(x') = \omega_{2,i}^+(x), i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Hence, we know by the above proof that

$$n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 1) \sum_{j=1}^i (i - j + 1) \omega_{2,j-1}^+ \leq c \|x'\|_{L_1(I^+)},$$

21 and we wish to estimate

$$n^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 2)^2 \omega_{2,i-1}^+ \rightarrow \sup.$$

1 Thus, we apply Lemma 7 with $p = 1$,

$$a_i := (n - i + 2)^2/n^4, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

3
$$b_i := (n - i + 1)/n^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

5
$$\omega_i := \omega_{2,j-1}^+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, we have to estimate the sup-norm of $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, where

7
$$u_i := b_i^{-1}(a_{i+1} - a_i) \leq cn^{-2},$$

and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^n (n - i + 2)^2 \omega_{2,i-1}^+ &\leq c \|x'\|_{L_1(I^+)} n^{-2} \\ &= c \|x\|_{L_\infty(I^+)} n^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

9 This is (3.38) for $s = 2$, $q = 1$, and $p = \infty$.

Similar estimates for the interval I^- .

11 We thus have established Theorem 1 for functions $x \in \Delta_+^s L_p(I)$ which satisfy the conditions (3.2). In the general case, we consider the function

13
$$\tilde{x} := x - \pi_s(\cdot; x; 0), \quad t \in I,$$

where we recall that $\pi_s(\cdot; x; 0)$ is the Taylor polynomial of degree $\leq s - 1$ about $t_0 := 0$. Clearly,

15 $\tilde{x} \in \Delta_+^s L_p(I)$ and \tilde{x} satisfies (3.2). Since

$$P_{s,n}(t; \tilde{x}) = P_{s,n}(t; x) - \pi_s(t; x; 0), \quad t \in I,$$

17 it follows that

$$x(t) - P_{s,n}(t; x) = \tilde{x}(t) - P_{s,n}(t; \tilde{x}), \quad t \in I,$$

19 and by Lemma 8,

$$\|\tilde{x}\|_{L_p(I)} \leq c \|x\|_{L_p(I)},$$

21 where $c = c(s, p)$. Hence, the upper estimates are valid for all $x \in \Delta_+^s L_p(I)$.

23 The degree of the polynomials $P_{s,n}(\cdot; x)$ does not exceed $2v(2n - 1) + s$ where $v = v(s, p, q)$ is fixed. So the proof of the upper bounds of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1—the lower bounds

25 **Proof.** Given $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, let $s \geq 1$ and $n > 1$. Set

$$\zeta_{s,p,n}(t) := \lambda_{s,p,n}(t - t_n)_+^{s-1}, \quad t \in I = (-1, 1), \quad (4.1)$$

27 where

$$t_n := 1 - 1/(32n^2),$$

1 and $\lambda_{s,p,n}$ is such that

$$\|\xi_{s,p,n}\|_{L_p(I)} = 1.$$

3 Clearly, $\xi_{s,p,n} \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{s,p,n}^{-1} &= \left(\int_{t_n}^1 (t - t_n)^{(s-1)p} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_0^{1/(32n^2)} \tau^{(s-1)p} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= cn^{-2s+2/p'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = c(s, p)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{s,p,n}\|_{L_q(I)} &= cn^{2s-2/p'} \left(\int_{t_n}^1 (t - t_n)^{(s-1)q} dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &=: c_* n^{-2/q+2/p}, \end{aligned}$$

5 where $c_* := c(s, p, q)$.

Let $I_n := (-1 + 1/(4n)^2, 1 - 1/(4n)^2)$, and let $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(q) \geq 1$ be the constant from Lemma 9.

7 Set $c^* := c_*/(2\bar{c})$, and assume to the contrary that there exists a polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$ such that

$$9 \quad \|\xi_{s,p,n} - P_n\|_{L_q(I)} < c^* n^{-2/q+2/p}. \quad (4.2)$$

Taking into account that $\xi_{s,p,n}(t) \equiv 0, t \in I_n$, we see that

$$11 \quad \|P_n\|_{L_q(I_n)} < c^* n^{-2/q+2/p},$$

so that by virtue of Lemma 9 we obtain,

$$13 \quad \|P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \leq \bar{c} \|P_n\|_{L_q(I_n)} < \bar{c} c^* n^{-2/q+2/p} = (c_*/2) n^{-2/q+2/p}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{s,p,n} - P_n\|_{L_q(I)} &\geq \|\xi_{s,p,n}\|_{L_q(I)} - \|P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \\ &> c_* n^{-2/q+2/p} - (c_*/2) n^{-2/q+2/p} \\ &= (c_*/2) n^{-2/q+2/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

15 Since $c^* \leq c_*/2$, (4.2) implies

$$\|\xi_{s,p,n} - P_n\|_{L_q(I)} < (c_*/2) n^{-2/q+2/p},$$

17 a contradiction to (4.3).

Therefore, we have proved that for every $s \geq 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, if $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$, then

$$19 \quad \|\xi_{s,p,n} - P_n\|_{L_q(I)} \geq c^* n^{-2/q+2/p}. \quad (4.4)$$

This proves the lower bounds in Theorem 1 for $s > 1$.

21 Now consider

$$\eta_p(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (-2, 0], \\ 1, & t \in (0, 2), \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

1 which is clearly in $\Delta_+^1 B_p(I)$. Let $\hat{c} = \hat{c}(q) \geq 1$ be the constant from Lemma 10. Set $c^* := 2^{-2-2/q} \hat{c}^{-1}$, and assume to the contrary that there exists a polynomial $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$, such that

$$3 \quad \|\eta_p - P_n\|_{L_q(I)} < c^* n^{-1/q}.$$

Let $I_n := (-1/(8n), 1/(8n))$, and consider the function

$$5 \quad \zeta_{p,n}(t) := \eta_p(t + 1/(8n)) - \eta_p(t - 1/(8n)), \quad t \in I.$$

Then, the polynomial

$$7 \quad P_n^*(t) := P_n(t + 1/(8n)) - P_n(t - 1/(8n)), \quad t \in I,$$

obviously satisfies

$$9 \quad \|\zeta_{p,n} - P_n^*\|_{L_q(I)} < 2c^* n^{-1/q}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $\zeta_{p,n}(t) \equiv 0, t \in I \setminus I_n$, it follows that

$$11 \quad \|\zeta_{p,n}\|_{L_q(I)} = \|\zeta_{p,n}\|_{L_q(I_n)} = 2^{-2/q} n^{-1/q}$$

and

$$13 \quad \|P_n^*\|_{L_q(I \setminus I_n)} < 2c^* n^{-1/q}.$$

Thus, we conclude by virtue of Lemma 10 that

$$15 \quad \|P_n^*\|_{L_q(I)} \leq \hat{c} \|P_n^*\|_{L_q(I \setminus I_n)} < 2\hat{c}c^* n^{-1/q} = 2^{-1-2/q} n^{-1/q}.$$

Hence,

$$17 \quad \|\zeta_{p,n} - P_n^*\|_{L_q(I)} \geq \|\zeta_{p,n}\|_{L_q(I)} - \|P_n^*\|_{L_q(I)} > 2^{-1-2/q} n^{-1/q}. \quad (4.7)$$

On the other hand, $2c^* \leq 2^{-1-2/q}$, so that (4.6) yields

$$19 \quad \|\zeta_{p,n}(\cdot) - P_n^*(\cdot)\|_{L_q(I)} < 2^{-1+1/p-2/q} n^{-1/q},$$

a contradiction to (4.7).

21 Therefore we proved that for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, if $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(I)$, then

$$\|\eta_p(\cdot) - P_n(\cdot)\|_{L_q(I)} \geq c^* n^{-1/q}. \quad (4.8)$$

23 Combining (4.4) and (4.8) we obtain the lower bounds in Theorem 1 for $s = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

25 5. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. For $d = 1, b^1 = (-1, 1) =: I$. Given $x \in \Delta^{\circ,s} B_p(b^1)$, this is exactly $x \in \Delta_+^s B_p(I)$ which, in addition, is an even function, satisfying $x^{(k)}(0) = 0, k = 0, \dots, s - 1$. Therefore this is covered by Theorem 1.

29 For $d > 1$ and $x \in \Delta_+^{\circ,s} B_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$, we recall the function $y(|t|) = x(t), t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{B}^d$, which by Lemma 11 satisfies (2.6). We extend its definition to $I = (-1, 1)$ by symmetry, so that

$$31 \quad \|y\|_{L_p(I)} \leq 2c^*(d, p) \|x\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)}. \quad (5.1)$$

1 From the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the polynomials $P_{s,n}(\cdot; y)$, and we define $P_{s,n}(t; x) :=$
 2 $P_{s,n}(|t|; y)$. Applying (2.7) (note that unlike (5.1), it does not require any properties of the function
 3 under the norm), we obtain

$$\|x - P_{s,n}(\cdot; x)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq c(d, p) \|y - P_{s,n}(\cdot; y)\|_{L_p(0,1)}.$$

5 Then by Theorem 1 and (5.1), we establish the upper bounds in Theorem 2.

We turn to the lower bounds. Let $\xi_{s,p,n}(\tau)$ be the function of one variable defined by (4.1).

7 Set $\xi_{s,p,n}^\circ(t) := \alpha \xi_{s,p,n}(|t|)$, $t \in \mathbb{B}^d$, where $\alpha = \alpha(d, p)$ is a normalizing factor such that
 8 $\|\xi_{s,p,n}^\circ\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} = 1$. Let

$$9 \quad \theta_p(\tau) := \begin{cases} 0, & \tau \in [0, 1/2], \\ 1, & \tau \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Set $\theta_p^\circ(t) := \gamma \theta_p(|t|)$, $t \in \mathbb{B}^d$, where $\gamma = \gamma(d, p)$ is a normalizing factor such that $\|\theta_p^\circ\|_{L_p(\mathbb{B}^d)} = 1$.

11 Evidently, $\xi_{s,p,n}^\circ, \theta_p^\circ \in \Delta_+^{\circ,1} B_p(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and Lemma 7 together with (4.2) and (4.4) yield the required
 12 lower bounds. This completes the proof. \square

13 6. Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. It is well known that the space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ can be embedded in the manifold $\mathcal{R}_{m,q}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, where
 15 $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $m = \binom{n+d-1}{n}$. Since $m \asymp n^{d-1}$, the inequality

$$E\left(x, \mathcal{R}_{n^{d-1},2}(\mathbb{B}^d)\right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq E\left(x, \mathcal{P}_{cn}(\mathbb{B}^d)\right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \quad (6.1)$$

17 is obvious.

Thus we will prove the lower bounds. Fix any points $a_l \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $l = 1, \dots, m$, on the sphere
 19 \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and let $r_l, l = 1, \dots, m$, be arbitrary univariate functions from $L_2(I)$ where $I := (-1, 1)$.
 Evidently, the function

$$21 \quad R_m(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m r_l(a_l \cdot t), \quad t \in \mathbb{B}^d$$

belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{m,2}(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Given a radial function $x \in L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)$ we are going to estimate the norm
 23 $\|x - R_m\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}$ from below.

Denote $\rho_l(t; a_l) := r_l(a_l \cdot t)$, $l = 1, \dots, m$, $t \in \mathbb{B}^d$. Then by (2.10) we have

$$25 \quad A_{d,k}(\xi; x - R_m) = A_{d,k}(\xi; x) - A_{d,k}(\xi; R_m) = A_{d,k}(\xi; x) - \sum_{l=1}^m A_{d,k}(\xi; \rho_l(\cdot; a_l)).$$

Since x is radial on \mathbb{B}^d , it follows that $A_{d,k}(\xi; x)$ does not depend on $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and we may write

$$27 \quad A_{d,k}(x) := A_{d,k}(\xi; x), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (6.2)$$

1 Thus,

$$A_{d,k}(\xi; x - R_m) = A_{d,k}(x) - \sum_{l=1}^m A_{d,k}(\xi; \rho_l(\cdot; a_l)), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (6.3)$$

3 Note that (2.10) implies that $A_{d,2j-1}(x) = 0$. For the function x is radial and the polynomial $U_{d,2j-1}$ is odd on the interval I .

5 If we decompose each univariate function $r_l(t)$, $t \in I$, into its Fourier–Gegenbauer series

$$r_l(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{r}_{l,j} U_{d,j}(t),$$

7 where

$$\hat{r}_{l,j} := \int_I r_l(t) U_{d,j}(t) w_d(t) dt,$$

9 then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{d,k}(\xi; \rho_l(\cdot; a_l)) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} \rho_l(\tau; a_l)(\tau) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} r_l(a_l \cdot \tau) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{r}_{l,j} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} U_{d,j}(a_l \cdot \tau) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Now, the following are well-known properties of $U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot t)$.

11 (i) For any fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ the function $U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot t)$, $t \in \mathbb{B}^d$, belongs to the space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{B}^d)$ and is orthogonal to the space $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ (see [19, p. 162, (3.4)]), i.e.,

$$13 \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} P(t) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot t) dt = 0, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^d).$$

(ii) For any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ we have (see [19, p. 164, (3.10)])

$$15 \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot t) U_{d,n}(\eta \cdot t) dt = \frac{U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot \eta)}{U_{d,n}(1)}.$$

17 (iii) Let $\mathcal{P}_n^h(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree n on \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ denote the space of spherical harmonics of degree n on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , i.e., $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is the set of those functions on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} which are the restriction to \mathbb{S}^{d-1} of a function from $\mathcal{P}_n^h(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is harmonic in \mathbb{R}^d . Then for each $H \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{H}_{n-2i}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, and any fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, we have (see [19, p. 165, (3.17)])

$$21 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} H(\xi) U_{d,n}(\xi \cdot \eta) dt = \frac{U_{d,n}(1)}{v_{d,n}} H(\eta).$$

It follows from (i) that for any a_l , $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$,

$$23 \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} U_{d,j}(a_l \cdot \tau) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau = 0, \quad k \neq j.$$

1 Hence, by (6.4),

$$A_{d,k}(\xi; \rho_l(\cdot; a_l)) = \hat{r}_{l,k} \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} U_{d,k}(a_l \cdot \tau) U_{d,k}(\xi \cdot \tau) d\tau$$

$$= \hat{r}_{l,k} \frac{U_{d,k}(a_l \cdot \xi)}{U_{d,k}(1)},$$

which substituted into (6.3) yields

$$3 \quad A_{d,k}(\xi; x - R_m) = A_{d,k}(x) - \sum_{l=1}^m \hat{r}_{l,k} \frac{U_{d,k}(a_l \cdot \xi)}{U_{d,k}(1)}. \tag{6.5}$$

5 Since as functions of ξ , $A_{d,k}(\xi; x - R_m)$ and $U_{d,k}(a_l \cdot \xi)$ belong to the space $H \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[n/2]} \mathcal{H}_{n-2i}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ (see [19, p. 165], for explanation), we conclude that

$$\|A_{d,k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} = \sup_H \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} A_{d,k}(\xi; x - R_m) H(\xi) d\xi,$$

7 where the supremum is taken over all $H \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[n/2]} \mathcal{H}_{n-2i}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, such that $\|H\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq 1$. Therefore, by virtue of (6.5) and (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_{d,k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \\ &= \sup_H \left(A_{d,k}(x) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} H(\xi) d\xi - \sum_{l=1}^m \hat{r}_{l,k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{U_{d,k}(a_l \cdot \xi)}{U_{d,k}(1)} H(\xi) d\xi \right) \\ &= \sup_H \left(A_{d,k}(x) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} H(\xi) d\xi - \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{\hat{r}_{l,k}}{\nu_{d,k}} H(a_l) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

9 We will prove that there exist constants $k(d, m)$ and $c = c(d) > 0$, such that

$$\|A_{d,k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \geq c |A_{d,k}(x)|, \quad k > k(d, m). \tag{6.7}$$

11 To this end we note that the inequality is trivial for $k = 2j - 1$ since $A_{d,2j-1}(x) = 0$. Hence we restrict ourselves to even k 's.

13 Let $\mathcal{P}_n^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ be the restriction to \mathbb{S}^{d-1} of the space $\mathcal{P}_n^h(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is well known (see, e.g., [22]) that

$$15 \quad \mathcal{P}_{n-2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{[n/2]} \mathcal{H}_{n-2i}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \quad j = 0, \dots, [n/2],$$

so that for $n = 2k$ we have

$$17 \quad \mathcal{P}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_{2i}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \quad j = 0, \dots, k.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, of all spherical polynomials of the form

$$19 \quad \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\xi) = \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_d=j} \alpha_{j_1, \dots, j_d} \xi_1^{2j_1} \dots \xi_d^{2j_d}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \tag{6.8}$$

1 where $(j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ and $\alpha_{j_1, \dots, j_d} \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$ be its subspace of all spherical
 2 polynomials $S_{2j}^h \in \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ which satisfy

$$3 \quad S_{2j}^h(a_l) = 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, m. \quad (6.9)$$

By virtue of (6.6) we conclude that

$$5 \quad \|A_{d,2k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \geq A_{d,2k}(x) \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} \sup_{S_{2j}^h} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} S_{2j}^h(\xi) d\xi,$$

where the supremum is taken over the spherical polynomials $S_{2j}^h \in \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$, $0 \leq j \leq k$,
 7 such that $\|S_{2j}^h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq 1$.

Now,

$$9 \quad \dim \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = \binom{j+d-1}{j},$$

since the collection of all monomials $\xi_1^{2j_1} \dots \xi_d^{2j_d}$, $j_1 + \dots + j_d = j$, is linearly independent
 11 on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . We impose in (6.9) at most m linear restrictions on the coefficients of the spherical
 polynomials in $\mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$. Hence,

$$13 \quad \dim \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}) \geq \binom{j+d-1}{j} - m, \quad j = 0, \dots, k. \quad (6.10)$$

Let $\varphi := (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{d-1})$ be the spherical coordinates on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} defined by $\xi_1 = \cos \varphi_1$, $\xi_2 =$
 15 $\sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2, \dots, \xi_{d-2} = \sin \varphi_1 \dots \sin \varphi_{d-3} \cos \varphi_{d-2}$, $\xi_{d-1} = \sin \varphi_1 \dots \sin \varphi_{d-2} \cos \varphi_{d-1}$,
 $\xi_d = \sin \varphi_1 \dots \sin \varphi_{d-2} \sin \varphi_{d-1}$, where $0 \leq \varphi_i \leq \pi$, $1 \leq i \leq d-2$, and $0 \leq \varphi_{d-1} < 2\pi$. With
 17 $\xi = \xi(\varphi)$, the surface element $d\xi$ of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , becomes

$$d\xi = J(\varphi) d\varphi,$$

19 where the Jacobian is given by

$$J(\varphi) := (\sin \varphi_1)^{d-2} (\sin \varphi_2)^{d-3} \dots \sin \varphi_{d-2}. \quad (6.11)$$

21 It is easy to verify that for each $S_{2j}^h \in \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$ the function $T_{2j}^h(\varphi) := S_{2j}^h(\xi(\varphi))$,
 $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$, belongs to the space $\mathcal{T}_{2k}(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})$ of trigonometric polynomials on the torus \mathbb{T}^{d-1} . We
 23 denote the collection of these functions by $\mathcal{T}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{T}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}) &= \dim \mathcal{S}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}) \\ &\geq \binom{j+d-1}{j} - m, \quad j = 0, \dots, k, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

where we applied (6.10). It follows from (6.8) that $T_{2j}^h(\varphi)$ is even with respect to each variable
 25 φ_i , $i = 1, \dots, d-2$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} S_{2j}^h(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T_{2j}^h(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \quad (6.13)$$

1 and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (S_{2j}^h(\xi))^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} (T_{2j}^h(\varphi))^2 |J(\varphi)| d\varphi. \quad (6.14)$$

3 By virtue of (6.11) and (6.13) we obtain

$$\|A_{d,2k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \geq \frac{A_{d,2k}(x)}{2^{d-2}} \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} \sup_{T_{2j}^h} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T_{2j}^h(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi, \quad (6.15)$$

5 where, by (6.14), the supremum is taken over all $T_{2j}^h \in \mathcal{T}_{2j}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} (T_{2j}^h(\varphi))^2 |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \leq 1, \quad j = 0, \dots, k. \quad (6.16)$$

7 Let $k(d, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest k satisfying $k^{d-1} \geq 2^{2d-1} (d-1)!m$, and take $k \geq k(d, m)$. Denote $k' := 2[k/2]$, and consider the subspace

$$9 \quad \mathcal{T}_* := \mathcal{T}_{k'}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}).$$

Since

$$11 \quad \binom{k'/2 + d - 1}{k'/2} \geq \frac{k^{d-1}}{4^{d-1}(d-1)!},$$

it follows by (6.12) that

$$13 \quad \dim \mathcal{T}_{k'}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}) \geq \frac{k^{d-1}}{2^{2d-1}(d-1)!}.$$

However,

$$15 \quad \dim \mathcal{T}_{2k}(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}) \leq 5^{d-1} k^{d-1}.$$

Hence,

$$17 \quad \dim \mathcal{T}_* = \dim \mathcal{T}_{k'}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\}) \geq c_* \dim \mathcal{T}_{2k}(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}),$$

where $c_* := 1/(5^{d-1} 2^{2d-1} (d-1)!)$.

19 Applying Lemma 13, it follows that there exists a trigonometric polynomial $T_* \in \mathcal{T}_*$ such that

$$\|T_*\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_*\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})} \geq c^*, \quad (6.17)$$

21 where $0 < c^* = c^*(d, c_*) < 1$.

23 Let $|\Phi|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of the (measurable) subset $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$, and let $T^*(\varphi) := (T_*(\varphi))^2$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$. Then $T^* \in \mathcal{T}_{2k}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$, and by (6.17) we have

$$\|T^*\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T^*(\varphi) d\varphi \geq c_o |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|, \quad (6.18)$$

25 where $c_o := (c^*)^2 / |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|$.

1 If Φ^* is the subset in \mathbb{T}^{d-1} of all points φ such that $T^*(\varphi) \geq c_o/4$, then it follows by (6.18) that

$$|\Phi^*| \geq \int_{\Phi^*} T^*(\varphi) d\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T^*(\varphi) d\varphi - \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1} \setminus \Phi^*} T^*(\varphi) d\varphi \geq 3c_o |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|/4. \quad (6.19)$$

3 For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let $\Phi(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ be the subset of all points φ such that $|J(\varphi)| \geq \alpha$, where the
 5 Jacobian $J(\varphi)$ was given in (6.11). If $d = 2$, then $J(\varphi) \equiv 1$, and if $d > 2$, then $|\Phi(\alpha)|$ is a
 continuous nonincreasing function in α assuming all values from $|\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|$ to 0. Hence, there exists
 $\alpha_* \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$7 \quad |\Phi(\alpha_*)| \geq (1 - c_o/2) |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|,$$

which combined with (6.19) implies that $|\Phi^* \cap \Phi(\alpha_*)| \geq c_o |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}|/4$.

9 Now,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T^*(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \geq \int_{\Phi^* \cap \Phi(\alpha_*)} T^*(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \geq c_o \alpha_* |\Phi^* \cap \Phi(\alpha_*)|/4,$$

11 so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T^*(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \geq c_o^2 |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}| \alpha_* / 16. \quad (6.20)$$

13 Set $T_o(\varphi) := c^\circ T^*(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$, where

$$c^\circ := \left(2^{d-2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \right)^{-1/2}.$$

15 Then $T_o \in \mathcal{T}_{2k}^h(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}; \{a_l\})$, and by (6.18),

$$\frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} (T_o(\varphi))^2 |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \leq 1.$$

17 Hence T_o satisfies (6.16). Moreover, it follows by (6.20) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} T_o(\varphi) |J(\varphi)| d\varphi \geq c^\circ c_o^2 |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}| \alpha_* / 16 > 0.$$

19 Thus, substituting in (6.15) we obtain

$$|A_{d,2k}(x)| \leq \check{c} \|A_{d,2k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}, \quad (6.21)$$

21 where $\check{c} := (c^\circ c_o^2 |\mathbb{T}^{d-1}| \alpha_* / (2^{d+2}))^{-1} > 0$. This proves (6.7).

23 We are ready to conclude the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 4. By virtue of Lemma 12
 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x(\cdot) - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2k(d,m)} Q_{d,k}(\cdot; x) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 &= \sum_{k > 2k(d,m)} \|Q_{d,k}(\cdot; x)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k > 2k(d,m)} v_{d,k} \|A_{d,k}(\cdot; x)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

1 Recall that $A_{d,k}(\cdot; x) = A_{d,k}(x)$, and that $A_{d,2k-1}(x) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\left\| x(\cdot) - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2k(d,m)} Q_{d,k}(\cdot; x) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}| \sum_{k > k(d,m)} v_{d,2k} |A_{d,2k}(x)|^2,$$

3 where $|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . By virtue of (6.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x(\cdot) - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2k(d,m)} Q_{d,k}(\cdot; x) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 &\leq \tilde{c} \sum_{k > k(d,m)} v_{d,2k} \|A_{d,2k}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{d,n} \|A_{d,n}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2 \\ &= \tilde{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Q_{d,n}(\cdot; x - R_m)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2 \\ &= \tilde{c} \|x(\cdot) - R_m(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

where $\tilde{c} := \check{c}|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|$.

5 It is known (see, e.g., [19, p. 163, Remark (i)]) that $Q_{d,k}(\cdot; x) \in \mathcal{P}_k(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore,

$$P(t; x) := \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2k(d,m)} Q_{d,k}(t; x), \quad t \in \mathbb{B}^d$$

7 is a polynomial of the degree $\leq 2k(d, m) \leq \lceil 4(d-1)m^{1/(d-1)} \rceil$. Take $m = n^{d-1}$ so that it is a polynomial of the degree $\leq 4(d-1)n$, and (6.22) yields

$$9 \quad \bar{c} E \left(x, \mathcal{P}_{\hat{c}n} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq \|x(\cdot) - R_{n^{d-1}}(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)},$$

11 where $\bar{c} := \tilde{c}^{-1/2}$ and $\hat{c} := 4(d-1)$. Since this is valid for every $R_{n^{d-1}} \in \mathcal{R}_{n^{d-1},2}(\mathbb{B}^d)$, and we obtain

$$\bar{c} E \left(x, \mathcal{P}_{\hat{c}n} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)} \leq E \left(x, \mathcal{R}_{n^{d-1},2} \left(\mathbb{B}^d \right) \right)_{L_2(\mathbb{B}^d)},$$

13 which concludes the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 4. This completes our proof. \square

References

- 15 [1] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 161, Springer, New York, 1995.
- 17 [2] P.S. Bullen, A criterion for n -convexity, Pacific J. Math. 36 (1971) 81–98.
- 19 [3] R.A. DeVore, K. Oskolkov, P. Petrushev, Approximation by feed-forward neural networks, Ann. Numer. Math. 4 (1997) 261–287.
- 21 [4] V.K. Dzyadyk, Introduction to the Theory of Uniform Approximation of Functions by Polynomials, Moscow, Nauka, 1977 (in Russian).
- 23 [5] J. Gilewicz, V.N. Konovalov, D. Leviatan, Widths and shape-preserving widths of Sobolev-type classes of s -monotone functions, J. Approx. Theory 140 (2006) 101–126.
- 25 [6] Y. Gordon, V. Maiorov, M. Meyer, S. Reisner, On best approximation by ridge functions in the uniform norm, Constr. Approx. 18 (2002) 61–85.

- 1 [7] B.S. Kashin, On certain properties of the space of trigonometric polynomials in connection with uniform convergence,
Soobsch. AN Gruz. SSR 93 (1979) 281–284 (in Russian).
- 3 [8] B.S. Kashin, On certain properties of trigonometric polynomials with the uniform norm, Trudy MIAN 145 (1980)
111–116 (English translation in Proceeding of Steklov Math. Inst., issue 2 (1981)).
- 5 [9] V.N. Konovalov, Shape preserving widths of Kolmogorov-type of the classes of positive, monotone, and convex
integrable functions, East J. Approx. 10 (2004) 93–117.
- 7 [10] V.N. Konovalov, Kolmogorov and linear widths of the classes of s -monotone integrable functions, Ukrainian Math.
J. 57 (2005) 1633–1652 (in Russian).
- 9 [11] V.N. Konovalov, D. Leviatan, Kolmogorov and linear widths of weighted Sobolev-type classes on a finite interval,
II, J. Approx. Theory 113 (2001) 266–297.
- 11 [12] V.N. Konovalov, D. Leviatan, Freeknot splines approximation of Sobolev-type classes of s -monotone functions, Adv.
Comput. Math. 27 (2007) 211–236.
- 13 [13] B. Logan, L. Schepp, Optimal reconstruction of function from its projections, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975) 645–659.
- 15 [14] V.E. Maiorov, On best approximation by ridge functions, J. Approx. Theory 99 (1999) 68–94.
- 15 [15] V.E. Maiorov, Approximation by ridge and radial functions in L_p -spaces, submitted for publication. **Q1**
- 17 [16] P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1979).
- 17 [17] K.I. Oskolkov, Ridge approximation, Chebyshev–Fourier analysis and optimal quadrature formulas, Proc. Steklov
Inst. Math. 219 (1997) 269–280.
- 19 [18] J.E. Pečarić, F. Proschan, Y.L. Tong, Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications, Mathematics
in Science and Engineering, vol. 187, Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- 21 [19] P.P. Petrushev, Approximation by ridge functions and neural networks, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1999) 155–189.
- 23 [20] A. Pinkus, Approximation theory of the MLP model in neural networks, Acta Numer. 8 (1999) 143–196.
- 23 [21] A.W. Roberts, D.E. Varberg, Convex Functions, Academic press, New York, 1973.
- 25 [22] E. Stein, G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ,
1971.
- 27 [23] V. Temlyakov, On approximation by ridge functions, Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina,
1996, 12pp.
- 29 [24] V.N. Temlyakov, Estimations of asymptotic characteristics of classes of functions with bounded mixed derivatives
or differences, Proc. MIAN 189, 138–168 (in Russian).
- 31 [25] V.N. Temlyakov, Approximation of periodic functions, A volume in Computational Mathematics and Analysis Series,
Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York. **Q2**