

Cor 3 let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice, and let $u_1, \dots, u_k$ be linearly independent vectors in L . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) there are $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n \in L$ s.t. $u_1, \dots, u_k, \dots, u_n$ are a basis of L (i.e., $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is a primitive k -tuple)
 (b) $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = L \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$.

Pf (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). It is clear that any $u \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ belongs to both L and $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. For the reverse inclusion, let $u \in L \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ and write $u = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$, where $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n$ are as in (a).

Since the u_i are a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, there is a unique way to write u as a linear combination of $u_1, \dots, u_n$, so $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_k = b_k, a_{k+1} = \dots = a_n = 0$. Thus $u \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) By a corollary from the lecture, there is a basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of L , and $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x) \quad & u_1 = m_{11} v_1 & k \geq i \geq j \geq 1 \\
 & \vdots & m_{kk} > 0 \\
 & u_k = m_{k1} v_1 + \dots + m_{kk} v_k & 0 \leq m_{ij} < m_{ii}
 \end{aligned}$$

Each of $v_1, \dots, v_k$ is in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1, \dots, u_k) \cap L$ by (x) and so by (b), in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$.

Considering (x) and u_1 , this gives $m_{11} = 1, u_1 = v_1$.

Moving to 2nd line in (X), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= m_{21}v_1 + m_{22}v_2 = m_{21}u_1 + m_{22}v_2 \\ &= m_{21}u_1 + m_{22}(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Since u_1, u_2 are linearly independent:

$$1 = m_{22}\beta, \text{ and since } m_{22} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m_{22} = \beta = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (\text{since } \alpha m_{21} < m_{22} = 1) \quad m_{21} = 0 \Rightarrow u_2 = v_2.$$

Continuing inductively in this way we get

$$u_1 = v_1, \dots, u_k = v_k, \text{ and to derive (d) we take } u_{k+1} = v_{k+1}, \dots, u_n = v_n.$$