

FROBENIUS NUMBERS

Definition 1. Denote

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d = \{a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1\}$$

the set of primitive lattice points in \mathbb{R}^d .

Given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 2}^d$, any sufficiently large integer $N > 0$ can be represented as $N = m \cdot a$ with $m \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 0}^d$. The largest integer which is not a **non-negative** integer combination of a_1, \dots, a_d is the Frobenius number of a

$$F(a) = \max \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{m \cdot a > 0 : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d\}.$$

We also define

$$f(a) = F(a) + a_1 + \dots + a_d,$$

the largest integer which is not a **positive** integer combination of a_1, \dots, a_d .

Theorem 1. (*Sylvester*) For $d = 2$

$$F(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2.$$

For $d \geq 3$ no explicit formula is known.

RESULTS

Definition 2. Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} and let

$$\Delta = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i \leq 1 \right\}$$

be the $d - 1$ -dimensional simplex. The covering radius of Δ with respect to L is

$$Q_0(L) = Q(\Delta, L) = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : L + t\Delta_{d-1} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}.$$

Notation. Let $G_0 = \mathrm{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_0 = \mathrm{SL}_{d-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\Omega_0 = G_0/\Gamma_0$ is the space of unimodular lattices in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . Let μ_0 be a left G_0 invariant probability measure on Ω_0 .

Theorem 2. (Marklof 2010) Let $d \geq 3$.

- (1) There exists a continuous non-increasing function $\Psi_d(R) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\Psi_d(0) = 1$, such that for any bounded set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero, and any $R \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^d} \# \left\{ a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 2}^d \cap T\mathcal{D} : \frac{f(a)}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} \leq R \right\} = \frac{\text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)} (1 - \Psi_d(R))$$

In fact

$$1 - \Psi_d(R) = \mu_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}).$$

- (2) Q_0 is a continuous function on Ω_0 .
 (3) $\mu_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\})$ is continuous in R , that is $\mu_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) = R\}) = 0$ for any $R > 0$.

Steps in the proof of (1):

- For $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 2}^d$ there exists $L_a \in \Omega_0$ such that

$$\frac{f(a)}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} = Q_0(L_a).$$

- For every bounded connected non-empty open subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_i < x_d < 1\}$ with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero

$$|T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d| \sim \frac{T^d \text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)}.$$

- The set of lattices $\{L_a : a \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d\}$ becomes equidistributed in Ω_0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$, that is, for any bounded continuous function ϕ on Ω_0

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{a \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_a) = \frac{\text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\mu_0.$$

- Since $E_{\leq R} \{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) \leq R\}$ has boundary of measure zero we can apply the above to $\phi = \chi_{E_{\leq R}}$.

Theorem 3. (Sylvester): $\Psi_2(R) = \begin{cases} 1 & R < 1 \\ 0 & R \geq 1 \end{cases}$.

Indeed here $\Delta = [0, 1]$ and the covering radius with respect to the lattice \mathbb{Z} , which is the unique element in the space of one-dimensional lattices of unit covolume, and so

$$\mu_0(\{L \in \Omega_0 : Q_0(L) > R\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & R < 1 \\ 0 & R \geq 1 \end{cases} = \Psi_2(R).$$

Theorem 4. (Strombergsson 2012) : For $d \geq 3$, $\Psi_d(R) = \frac{d}{2\zeta(d-1)}R^{-(d-1)} + O_d\left(R^{-d-\frac{1}{d-2}}\right)$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

For $d = 3$ there is an explicit formula for $\Psi_3(R)$ by Shur, Sinai and Ustinov (2009).

Theorem 5. (Han Li 2014) There exists $k > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$, any non-empty connected open subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_d < 1, 0 < x_i < d\}$ which has thin boundary (boundary contained in a union of finitely many connected smooth submanifolds, each with dimension $< d$), there exists $C_{R,\mathcal{D}}$ such that for every $T \geq 1$

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \# \left\{ a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{\geq 2}^d \cap T\mathcal{D} : \frac{f(a)}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{1/(d-1)}} \leq R \right\} - \frac{\text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)} (1 - \Psi_d(R)) \right| < \frac{C_{R,\mathcal{D}}}{T^k}.$$

FROBENIUS NUMBERS AND COVERING RADIUS

Lemma 1. (Kannan 1992) Let $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$. Then

$$F := F(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, a_d - 1\}} t_l - a_d$$

where $t_l \in \mathbb{N}$ smallest integer $\equiv l \pmod{a_d}$ which is a non-negative integer combination of a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} .

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. If $N \equiv 0 \pmod{a_d}$ then $N = 0 \cdot a_1 + \dots + 0 \cdot a_{d-1} + k \cdot a_d$ and so $F \geq N$.

Claim. Assume $N \equiv l \pmod{a_d}$. N is a non-negative integer combination of $a_1, \dots, a_d \iff N \geq t_l$.

Proof of claim. (\Leftarrow) $N = t_l + k \cdot a_d$. (\Rightarrow) Definition of t_l .

So $t_l - a_d$ is the largest integer congruent to $l \pmod{a_d}$ which is not a non-negative integer combination. \square

Definition 3. Let $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$.

$$M_a = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{a_d} \right\}, \quad S_a = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i \leq 1 \right\}$$

note that for $\alpha > 0$ we have $\alpha S_a = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1} : \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i \leq \alpha \right\}$, and that

$$\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) \cdot S_a = \Delta.$$

Theorem 6. (Kannan) Let $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ and M, S as above. Then $f(a) = Q(S, L)$.

Proof. Set $M := M_a$, $S := S_a$, $f := f(a)$ and $Q := Q(S, M)$.

(\leq) Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i \equiv l \pmod{a_d}$. By definition of t_l there exist $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i = t_l = l + x_d \cdot a_d.$$

Denote $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$, then $y - x' \in M$. Since $x' \in t_l S$ then $(y - x') + t_l S$ contains $y = (y - x') + x'$. Since $t_l \leq F + a_d$ then

$$\mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \subset (F + a_d) S + M.$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, then $[z] \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ and $z - [z] \in I^{d-1}$. Since $I^{d-1} \subset \alpha S \iff \alpha \geq \sum a_i \cdot 1$, then

$$z - [z] \in (a_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}) S$$

and so

$$\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} + (a_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}) S \subset (a_1 + \dots + a_d + F) S + M = fS + M$$

therefore $Q \leq f$.

(\geq) We begin with the following claim:

Claim. $F + a_d$ is the smallest t such that $\mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \subset tS + M$.

Proof of claim. Let $t' < F + a_d$ and assume $\mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \subset t'S + M$. Let $l \in \{1, \dots, a_d - 1\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ so that $\sum a_i y_i \equiv l \pmod{a_d}$. There exists $x \in M$ such that $y \in t'S + x$, and so $y - x \in t'S$. Therefore $y - x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$ and $\sum a_i (y_i - x_i) \leq t'$. Since $\sum a_i (y_i - x_i) \equiv l \pmod{a_d}$ we get $t_l \leq t'$. since this is true for all l we have by the previous theorem and our assumption

$$F \leq t' - a_d < F$$

which is a contradiction. We have seen in the first part of the proof that $\mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \subset (F + a_d) S + M$ and so

$$F + a_d = \min \{t > 0 : \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \subset tS + L\}.$$

Back to the proof: There exists $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ such that for every $x \in M$ with $y - x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$

$$\sum a_i (y_i - x_i) \geq F + a_d$$

because otherwise we contradict the minimality proved above. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and define $p = (p_1, \dots, p_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ by $p_i = y_i + (1 - \varepsilon)$. Suppose $q \in M$ such that $p - q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$. Since $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ then $y - q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$.

$$\sum a_i (p_i - q_i) = \sum a_i (p_i - y_i) + \sum a_i (y_i - q_i) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum a_i + (F + a_d)$$

This is true for every ε and so if $p \in QS + M$ then

$$Q \geq \sum a_i (p_i - q_i) \geq F + (a_1 + \dots + a_d) = f$$

□

DYNAMICS AND LATTICES

Definition 4. For $T > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with $y_i \neq 0$, define

$$D(T) = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/d-1} I_{d-1} & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \quad n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d-1} & 0 \\ x^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m(y) = \begin{pmatrix} m'(y) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $m'(y) = (y_1 \cdots y_{d-1})^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$. Clearly $D(T), n(x), m(y) \in G = \text{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 5. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $a_d \neq 0$, then

$$\hat{a} = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_d}, \dots, \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_d} \right)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}.$$

Theorem 7. (Han Li) Let $a \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$, then

$$\frac{f(a)}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} = Q_0 \left(m(\hat{a}) D(a_d) n(\hat{a}) \mathbb{Z}^d \cap e_d^\perp \right).$$

Proof. Notice that

$$m(\hat{a}) D(a_d) n(\hat{a}) = \begin{pmatrix} m'(\hat{a}) a_d^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & a_d \end{pmatrix} n(\hat{a}) = \begin{pmatrix} m'(\hat{a}) a_d^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} & 0 \\ a_1 \cdots a_{d-1} & a_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify $e_d^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^d$ with \mathbb{R}^{d-1} in the natural way, and so

$$\begin{aligned} m(\hat{a}) D(a_d) n(\hat{a}) \mathbb{Z}^d \cap e_d^\perp &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m'(\hat{a}) a_d^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} & 0 \\ a_1 \cdots a_{d-1} & a_d \end{pmatrix} z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ last entry of } m(\hat{a}) D(a_d) n(\hat{a}) z \text{ is zero} \right\} \\ &= m'(\hat{a}) a_d^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{a_d} \right\} = m'(\hat{a}) a_d^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} M_a \\ &= \frac{1}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d) M_a \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 \left(m(\hat{a}) D(a_d) n(\hat{a}) \mathbb{Z}^d \cap e_d^\perp \right) &= Q_0 \left(\frac{1}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) M_a \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(a_1 \cdots a_d)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}} Q_0 \left(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) M_a \right) \end{aligned}$$

But we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) &= Q \left((\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}))^{-1} \Delta, M_a \right) \\ &= Q \left(\Delta, \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) M_a \right) = Q_0 \left(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}) M_a \right) \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Definition 6. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_d < 1, 0 < x_i < x_d\}$ and denote

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \{(x, y, z) : (x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_0, z \in \Omega_0\}$$

and the product measure $d_{\mathcal{D}_0} = dx dy d\mu_0(z)$.

Theorem 8. (Han Li) *There exists $\alpha > 0$ so that for \mathcal{C} a relatively compact, open subset of Ω_0 , and \mathcal{C}' a compact subset of \mathcal{C} , for any $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$ with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset \{(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0} : z \in \mathcal{C}'\}$, and any $T > 1$*

$$\left| \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{a \in T\mathcal{D}_0 \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \psi\left(\frac{a}{T}, m(\widehat{a}) D(a_d) n(\widehat{a}) \Gamma \cap e_d^\perp\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \psi(x, y, z) d_{\mathcal{D}_0} \right| \ll |\mathcal{C}|^d \|\psi\|_{C^1} T^{-\alpha},$$

Corollary 1. *For any bounded continuous function ϕ on Ω_0*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{a \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_a) = \frac{\text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\mu_0.$$

Proof. Let ϕ_0 be the function on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ defined by $\phi_0(x, y, z) := \chi_{\mathcal{D}}(x, y) \phi(z)$. Recall $L_a = m(\widehat{a}) D(a_d) n(\widehat{a}) \Gamma \cap e_d^\perp$, then

$$\frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{a \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi(L_a) - \frac{\text{vol}\mathcal{D}}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\Omega_0} \phi d\mu_0 = \frac{1}{T^d} \sum_{a \in T\mathcal{D} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d} \phi_0\left(\frac{a}{T}, L_a\right) - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}} \phi_0 d_{\mathcal{D}_0}$$

We can take $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ and \mathcal{D} has boundary of Lebesgue measure zero and so ϕ_0 can be approximated by smooth functions and the result follows from the theorem. \square

Remark 1. The constants in the theorem are defined as follows: for a smooth function f on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$

$$\|f\|_{C^1} := \|f\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f \right\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_X \|\partial X(f)\|_{L^\infty}, \quad X \in \mathcal{X} \cap \text{Lie}(G_0),$$

where $\mathcal{X} \cap \text{Lie}(G_0)$ is a basis of $\text{Lie}(G_0)$. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow \Omega$ be the natural projection given by $\pi(g) = g\Gamma$.

For $g \in G$ and $x \in \Omega$ we set

$$|g|_\infty := \max\{|a_{ij}| : g = (a_{ij})\}, \quad |x|_\infty := \inf\{|g|_\infty : \pi(g) = x\}.$$

For $\mathcal{C} \subset \Omega$ a Borel subset, we define

$$|\mathcal{C}| := \max(1, \sup\{|x|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{C}\}).$$