

First, before we will talk about our results for shmidt, games we will talk about hausdorff dimension.

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, $s \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$. for $\delta > 0$, $E \subseteq X$ define $\mathcal{H}_{s, \delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_j (\text{diam}(A_j))^s : E \subseteq \bigcup_j A_j, \text{diam}(A_j) \leq \delta \right\}$

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup \{ \rho(x, y) \mid x, y \in A \}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_s(E) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{s, \delta}(E).$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(E) = \inf \{ s \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{H}_s(E) = 0 \} = \sup \{ s \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{H}_s(E) = \infty \}$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(B(2^n)) = n$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(B(2^n)) = n$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(B(2^n)) = n$$

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(B(2^n)) = n$$

Definition

We say that a countable family A of compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is tree-like if every A_k is finite sub-collection and

1) $A_0 = \{A_0\}$

2) $m(A) > 0 \quad \forall A \in A$

3) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall A, B \in A_k \quad A=B \text{ or } m(A \cap B) = 0$

4) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, B \in A_k \quad \exists A \in A_{k-1} \quad B \subset A$

5) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall A \in A_{k-1} \quad \exists B \in A_k \text{ such that } B \subset A$.

Define the (non-empty) limit set of A :

$$A_\infty = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{A \in A_k} A, \text{ and define the } k\text{-th stage diam: } d_k(A)$$

$$\text{by } d_k(A) = \max_{A \in A_k} \text{diam}(A).$$

we say that A is strongly tree-like if also $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k(A) = 0$.
Further, we define $d_k(A) = \min_{B \in A_k} \frac{m(\bigcup_{A \in A_{k+1}} A \cap B)}{m(B)}$

Lemma

Let A be a strongly tree-like collection of subsets of A_0 . Then for any open U which intersect A_∞

$$\dim(A_\infty \cap U) \geq n - \limsup \frac{\sum_{i=0}^k \log d_i(A)}{\log d_k(A)}$$

Theorem

For every S winning set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and non-empty winning set U $\dim_H(S \cap U) = n$, i.e., $U \cap S$ have full Hausdorff dimension

Idea of the proof of the lemma

we define sequence of measures by:

$$\nu_k(A) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} \frac{\mu(\bigcup A_k(B) \cap A)}{\mu(\bigcup A_k(B))} \nu_{k-1}(B) \quad (\text{base, } \nu_0 = \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0})$$

put attention that for every k $\nu_k(\bigcup A_k) = \mu(A_0)$

and for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_k, \ell \leq k$ $\nu_\ell(A) = \nu_k(A)$.

there exist $\nu_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{weak}} \nu$ and we can prove that it's

- cauchy sequence on the weak topology so the limit exist, $\nu_n \xrightarrow{\text{weak}} \nu$. now use Frostman lemma and look at ν .

Let $E = \mathbb{R}^n$, and define $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of nonempty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. $\Psi(x, t) = B(x, e^{-t})$. say $w \in W(w, w' \in \mathbb{R}^n, t)$ if $\Psi(w') \subset \Psi(w)$.

We look on this as a Schmit game - each time if Bob choose x, t on his k -th step, then $B_k = \Psi(x, t)$ (I mean, the t which he choose on the original game is e^{-t}), and Alice choose $x' \in B_k$, $t' = t - \log 2$ such that $B(x', e^{-t' + \log 2}) = B(x', 2 \cdot e^{-t}) \subset B(x, e^{-t})$.

Definition

$\Omega_t = \{(x, t) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ so Ω is a disjoint union of slices Ω_t $t \in \mathbb{R}$. for every $s > 0$ and $w \in \Omega$ define $I_s(w) = \{w' \in \Omega_{t+s} : w' \leq w\}$. In other words, $I_a(w)$ and $I_b(w)$ are the sets of allowed moves of Bob and Alice.

by Definition clearly that (take x to be the center of the ball):

MSG(0) condition: $\forall s > 0$ $I_s(w) \neq \emptyset \forall w \in \Omega$ and $s > 0$.

Moreover, it's satisfies MSG(1), and MSG(2) conditions and M(1), M(2) conditions

MSG(1): For any $\phi, U \in \mathcal{E}$ there is $w \in \Omega$ such that $\psi(w) \in U$. (clearly since U contain $B_\epsilon(x)$ and take t such that $e^{-t}(\epsilon)$

MSG(2): $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \Omega_t$ $\text{diam}(\psi(w)) \leq 2e^{-t}$
(triangle inequality)

from (2) we get that in step k of Bob $\text{diam}(B_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ (and same with Alice) so

the intersection contain at most 1 point (and contain a point because we can take convergence sub-sequence) and from (1)

every (a,b)-winning set must be dense (if $U \cap S = \emptyset$ Bob choose w such that $\psi(w) \in U$)

$\mu(1) \mu(\psi(w)) > 0 \forall w \in \Omega$ (clear)

$\mu(2)$: For any $a > 0$ there exist $c > 0$ with

the following property: $\forall w \in \Omega$ ~~with $\text{diam}(B_k) < a$~~

and $\forall b > 0 \exists \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N \in I_b(w)$ such that $\psi(\theta_i)$

$i=1, \dots, N$ are essentially disjoint and that

$\mu(\bigcup \psi(\theta_i)) \geq c \mu(\psi(w))$. (if $b < 10$ take a ball centered in the center of w , else



$\psi(w)$ contain a cube of vol $\geq \frac{1}{1000} c \cdot \text{vol}(\psi(w))$

and we can cover at least half of it
 by cubes of side length $2e^{-(t+b)}$ and each
 cube I can cover $\frac{1}{6}$ of her with ball of
 radius $e^{-(t+b)}$

Theorem: Let $\phi \in S$ be a winning set, $a = -\log \alpha$, $b = -\log \beta$
 $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$. Then for any $\phi \in U \subset E$ $\dim_{\text{hausdorff}}(S \cap U) \geq \frac{\log c}{a+b}$
 (c from $\mu(z)$).

proof

we need to find a strongly tree-like collection

A of sets, whose limit set is subset of $S \cap U$.

Bob can start the game and choose $t_1 > 0, w_1 \in \Omega_{t_1}$

such that $\psi(w_1) \subset U$. then, since S is winning,

Alice can choose $w_1 \in I_a(w)$ such that $A_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi(w_1)$

has non-empty intersection with S .

Now let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in I_b(w_1)$ be as in (M_2) .

for $w = w_1$. Each of these could be chosen by

Bob at the next step. Since S is (a, b) winning

for each above choices θ_i Alice can pick $\theta'_i \in I_a(w_i)$

such that every sequence of possible further

moves of Bob can be countered by Alice to win.

The collection of Images $\psi(\theta'_i)$ of these choices of Alice, essentially disjoint in view of (M_2) will comprise the first level A_1 of the tree.

Repeating this for $A_2, A_3, \dots$ clearly that it tree-like collection.

clearly that $\text{diam } A_k \leq C \cdot e^{-\frac{(a+b)k}{\beta}} \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } A_k = 0$

since θ_i satisfy (M_2) , $\mu_U(A) \geq \frac{C}{\beta} \log \tilde{C}(a)$
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \dim(A_k \cap U) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+1) \log \tilde{C}(a)}{\log C - (a+b)k} = n + \frac{\log \tilde{C}(a) - 1}{a+b} n$
 $\downarrow$
 $\forall \beta$

Theorem

There exist a complete metric space, which have hausdorff dimension > 0 , and contain a winning set of hausdorff dimension 0.

proof

define $X = \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (the space of sequences of 0, 1, 2)

with the metric $d(x, y) = 3^{-k}$ $k = \min\{i \mid x_i \neq y_i\}$
($d(x, y) = 0$ for $x = y$). obviously it's compact and complete space. define E to be the set of sequences in which the digit 0 can only be followed by 0.

Then E is close subset of X , so it's a complete metric space with the induce topology.

Let S be the set of sequences in E for which the digit 0 appears. Then S is countable subset of E . since for every ϵ I can cover a point by open set of diam $< \epsilon$. clearly that the hausdorff dimension is 0. its not hard to check that $\dim_H(E) = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} > 0$. we will prove that S is $\frac{1}{27}$

winning set. since on step $k > k_0$ bob choose $r < 1$, then we assume WLOG on step 1 he choose

$w = (x, r)$ $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ take ℓ such that $3^{-(\ell+1)} < r \leq 3^{-\ell}$

Note that $B(w)$ contains all sequences (y_n) with $y_i = x_i \leq \ell$

then Alice choose $(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, x_{\ell+1}, 0, 0) \in B_r(x)$

then for every next choice $B(x', r') \subset B_{\frac{r}{27}}(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, x_{\ell+1}, 0, 0)$

so $d(y, x) < \frac{r}{27} \leq 3^{-(\ell+3)}$. then for $1 \leq i \leq \ell+3$

$y_i = (x_1, \dots, x_{\ell+1}, 0, 0) \Rightarrow y_{\ell+2} = 0$, and for every

~~$z \in B(y, r')$~~ ~~$r' \leq \frac{r}{27}$~~ we have $d(y, z) < 3^{-(\ell+3)}$

~~so $z \neq y$~~ so S is α -winning.