

Infinite Games with Perfect Information

Definitions and Notations

Def. A win-lose game Γ is a collection of objects:

$$(x_0, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, x, f, S, S_I, S_{\bar{I}})$$

- $x_0 \in X$
- $X_I \cap X_{\bar{I}} = \emptyset, X_I \cup X_{\bar{I}} = X$
- $f: X \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow X$ onto

$$\forall x \in X \quad \exists n \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } f^n(x) = x_0$$

- S is the set of all sequences satisfying:

$$S(0) = x_0 \quad \forall i \geq 0 : S(i) = f(S(i+1))$$

- $S_I \cap S_{\bar{I}} = \emptyset, S_I \cup S_{\bar{I}} = S$

* a play of Γ is an element of S . Player I wins if it belongs to S_I .

Def. If $\Gamma = (x_0, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, x, f, S, S_I, S_{\bar{I}})$ is a game, the set

$\Sigma_I(\Gamma)$ - the set of strategies for player I

$$= \{ \tau \in X^{X_I} : \sigma(x) \in f^+(x) \}$$

$\Sigma_{\bar{I}}(\Gamma)$ defined respectively

* we'll denote the elements of Σ_I by τ for the convenience

* $s = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ is the unique play which results if the two players pick the strategies σ, τ .

Satisfying:

- $s(0) = x_0$

- $s(n) \in X_I \rightarrow s(n+1) = \sigma(s(n))$

- $s(n) \in X_{\bar{I}} \rightarrow s(n+1) = \tau(s(n))$

Def. Γ a win-lose game,

$\Sigma^w_I(\Gamma)$ - the sets of all winning strategies.

$$= \{\sigma \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma) : \forall \tau \in \Sigma_{\bar{I}}(\Gamma), \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \in S_I\}$$

$\left[\Sigma^w_{\bar{I}}(\Gamma) \text{ respectively} \right]$

Def. A win-lose game Γ is strictly determined

if $\Sigma^w_I(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ or $\Sigma^w_{\bar{I}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$

The Indeterminacy Theorem

We construct an example of an infinite game with perfect information which is not strictly determined.

$$\cdot X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{0,1\}^n \quad S = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$X_I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{even}}} \{0,1\}^n, \quad X_{\bar{I}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}} \{0,1\}^n, \quad x_0 - \text{the sequence of length } 0.$$

facts

$$1. |\Sigma_I(\Gamma)| = |\Sigma_{\#}(\Gamma)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

Proof: every function from X_I to $\{0,1\}^*$ determines and is determined by a strategy $\sigma \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma)$.

$$\Rightarrow |\Sigma_I(\Gamma)| = |\{0,1\}^{|X_I|}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

$$2. \forall \tau_0 \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma), |S_{\tau_0}| = |\{<\sigma, \tau_0> \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma)\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

$$\forall \tau_0 \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma), |S_{\tau_0}| = |\{<\sigma_0, \tau> \mid \tau \in \Sigma_{\#}(\Gamma)\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

Proof: By the map $\varphi: \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow S_{\tau_0}, \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto s$

$$\text{where } s(0) = x_0, s(2n+1) = a_n, s(2n) = \tau_0(s(2n-1))$$

$s \in S_{\tau_0}$, because for each such play, there is $\sigma \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma)$ s.t. $s = <\sigma, \tau_0>$

Moreover, the map is injective.

$$\Rightarrow 2^{\aleph_0} = |\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |S_{\tau_0}| \leq |S| = 2^{\aleph_0}$$

Using Axiome of Choice

Let α be the least ordinal s.t. there are 2^{\aleph_0} ordinals less than α .

By (1) we can index the strategies in $\Sigma_I(\Gamma)$ as $\tau_\beta, \beta \in \{\gamma \mid \gamma < \alpha\}$

Similarly, $\tau_\beta \in \Sigma_I(\Gamma)$.

Choose $s_0 \in S_{\tau_0}$, and choose $t_0 \in S_{\tau_0}, t_0 \neq s_0$

Proceed inductively: if s_β, t_β have been chosen for all $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$

$$|\{t_\gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\}| < 2^{\aleph_0} \rightarrow S_{\tau_\beta} \setminus \{t_\gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\} \neq \emptyset$$

choose one element and call it s_β .

similarity, $S_{\alpha\beta} \setminus \{s_\gamma \mid \gamma \leq \beta\} \neq \emptyset$. Call one of its elements t_β

Define $A = \{s_\gamma \mid \gamma > \alpha\}$, $B = \{t_\gamma \mid \gamma < \alpha\}$ $A, B \subseteq S$

We show that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, i.e. $\forall \gamma, \beta \quad s_\gamma \neq t_\beta$:

CASE I if $\gamma \leq \beta \rightarrow t_\beta \in S_{\alpha\beta} \setminus \{s_\delta \mid \delta \leq \beta\} \rightarrow t_\beta \notin \{s_\delta \mid \delta \leq \beta\}$

CASE II if $\gamma > \beta \rightarrow s_\gamma \in S_{\gamma\beta} \setminus \{t_\delta \mid \delta < \gamma\} \rightarrow s_\gamma \notin \{t_\delta \mid \delta < \gamma\}$

We now partition S into two sets S_I, S_{II} s.t. $A \subseteq S_I$, $B \subseteq S_{II}$

Finally, we show that $\sum^w_I(\Gamma) = \sum^w_{II}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$

Let $\sigma \in \sum_I(\Gamma)$. So it has an index, say β .

By the construction, there is a play t_β s.t. $t_\beta \in S_{\alpha\beta}$.

Hence there is $\tau \in \sum_{II}(\Gamma)$ s.t. $\langle \tau_\beta, \tau \rangle = t_\beta \in B \subseteq S_{II}$

$\rightarrow \sigma_\beta \notin \sum_I(\Gamma) \rightarrow \sum^w_I(\Gamma) = \emptyset$

Symmetrically, $\sum^w_{II}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$

Thus Γ is not strictly determined.

Reminder - Transfinite Induction: / Recursion

1. Define x_0

$$\alpha+1 = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$$

\uparrow

successor ordinals

2. Given x_β for all $\beta \leq \alpha$, define $x_{\alpha+1}$

limit ordinals

\downarrow

$\cup X$, X is the set of previous ordinals

3. Given x_γ for all $\gamma < \delta$ define x_δ

It is also a Schmidt-game:

can be also a digit game
 $\alpha^*\text{-game}$.
 where $\alpha=2$

Recall - $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ -games

- $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}$, M , $S \subseteq M$ is the winning set

- $\alpha: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow P(M)$

- Ψ -functions: $\Psi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{R})$

$$\text{s.t } \forall C \in \Psi(B) \quad \alpha(C) \subseteq \alpha(B)$$

- \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are Ψ -functions, move 1: $B_1 \in \mathcal{R}'$
 move 2 $w_1 \in \mathcal{F}(B_1)$

$$\begin{array}{l} B_i \in \mathcal{G}(w_{i-1}) \\ w_i \in \mathcal{F}(B_i) \end{array}$$

- $\alpha(B_1) \supseteq \alpha(w_1) \supseteq \alpha(B_2) \supseteq \alpha(w_2) \supseteq \dots$.

if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(B_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(w_i) \subset S$ the White is the winner.

In our example:

- $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^n$

- $M = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$

- $\alpha: \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow P(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$

$$\alpha((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, \dots, x_n = a_n\}$$

- $F: \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^n)$

$$F = G$$

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, \dots, x_n = a_n\}$$

indeed, $\alpha((a_1, \dots, a_n)) \subseteq \alpha((a_1, \dots, a_n))$

The Topology of infinite game

Von Neumann has proved that finite games with perfect information are strictly determined.

$$x \in X \quad U(x) = \{s \mid s \in S \text{ and, for some } i, s(i) = x\}$$

* a neighborhood of s is any $U(x)$ containing s

Thm : the neighborhoods of points of S determine a Hausdorff topology for S . In this topology S is totally disconnected

open game - when S_I is open

Def .. A winlose game $\Gamma' = (x'_0, X'_I, X'_II, x', f', S', S'_I, S'_II)$ is a sub-game of Γ if: $x'_0 = x_0$, $X'_I \subset X_I$, $X'_II \subset X_{II}$, $f' = f|_{X'}$

$$S'_I = S_I \cap S', S'_II = S_{II} \cap S'$$

Thm 4 : if Γ' is a sub-game of Γ then S' is closed, non-empty subset of S .

Proof . Since Γ' is a game, S' cannot be empty.

Let $s \in S \setminus S'$. For some n , $s(n) \notin X'$ from the definitions.

Now if $t \in U(s(n))$ then $t(n) = s(n) \notin X'$. $\Rightarrow U(s(n)) \subseteq S \setminus S'$

$\Rightarrow S \setminus S'$ contains a neighborhood of s . $\Rightarrow S \setminus S'$ open.

Thm 5: If Γ is a game, $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq S$ is closed, then there is a unique subgame of Γ whose space is F .
 (with its relative topology)

Notation: If $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq S$ is closed, then the corresponding game is Γ_F .
 If F is a neighborhood $U(x)$ we'll denote Γ_x .

Def. (1) $\Gamma \cap \Gamma' = (x_0, X_I, X_{I'}, f, S, S_I \cap S_{I'}, S \setminus (S_I \cap S_{I'}))$
 (2) $\Gamma \cup \Gamma' = (x_0, X_I, X_{I'}, X, f, S, S_I \cup S_{I'}, S \setminus (S_I \cup S_{I'}))$

Define only for games with the same $x_0, X_I, X_{I'}, X, f$

(3) $-\Gamma = (x_0, X_I, X_{I'}, X, f, S, S_{I'}, S_I)$ "The negative"

* Example for not strictly determined subgame.

Def: A win-lose game Γ is absolutely determined if all subgames of Γ and $-\Gamma$ are strictly determined.

Thm 6: The union of an open game and absolutely determined game, is strictly determined.

Cor.: An open or closed game is strictly determined

Proof of the cor. Apply Thm 6 to the case where the absolutely determined game is $\Gamma = (x_0, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, f, S, \phi, \Sigma)$ ($S_I = \emptyset$).

For the closed game, take $(x_0, X_{\bar{I}}, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, f, S, S_{\bar{I}}, S_I)$ which is open correspondences between $\Sigma_I(-\bar{I})$ and $\Sigma_{\bar{I}}(\bar{I})$, $\Sigma_{\bar{I}}(-I)$ and $\Sigma_I(I)$.

Proof of Thm 6: Let $G \subseteq S$ be open, and let Γ be absolutely determined.

We show that $\Gamma' = (x_0, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, X, f, S_I \cup G, S_{\bar{I}} \setminus G)$ is strictly determined.

- Define
- $\Gamma^* = (x_0, X_I, X_{\bar{I}}, X, f, S, G, S \setminus G)$ - The open game
 - $W_I^* = \{x \mid \sum_I^w(\Gamma_x^*) \neq \emptyset\}$ - "winning positions" for player I
 - $F = S \setminus \bigcup_{x \in W_I^*} U(x)$ - closed set

If $U(x) \subset G$ then every $\sigma \in \Sigma_S(\Gamma_x)$ will win for player I, so $x \in W_I^*$.

G is open, so it's the union of all neighborhoods contained in it.

Hence, $G \subset \bigcup_{x \in W_I^*} U(x)$ [for every $s \in G$, there is $U(x)$ s.t. $s \in U(x) \subset G$]

$$F \cap G = \emptyset$$

If $x_0 \in W_I^*$ then any $\sigma \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma_{x_0}^*)$ will win Γ' .

If $x_0 \notin W_I^*$, then there is $s \in S$ s.t. $s(i) \notin W_I^* \quad \forall i$. (Ex)

$\Rightarrow s \in F$. So $F \neq \emptyset$ and we can look at the subgame Γ_F .

Γ is absolutely determined so it's enough to show:

Because subgames are strictly determined

(A) If $\sum_I^w(\Gamma_F) \neq \emptyset \implies \sum_I^w(\Gamma') \neq \emptyset$

(B) If $\sum_{\bar{I}}^w(\Gamma_F) \neq \emptyset \implies \sum_{\bar{I}}^w(\Gamma') \neq \emptyset$

Proof of A: $\forall x \in W_I^*$ choose $\tau_x \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma_x^*)$.

choose $\tau_1 \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma_F)$ and let τ_0 be any extension of τ_1 .

Ex.: There is $\tau \in \sum_I(\Gamma)$ s.t. for each $\tau' \in \sum_I(\Gamma)$ either

$$(*) \langle \tau, \tau' \rangle = \langle \tau_0, \tau' \rangle \in F \quad \text{or}$$

(**) for some $x \in W_I^*$ and $\tau_x \in \sum_I(\Gamma_x)$: $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle = \langle \tau_x, \tau_x \rangle$

- If (*), there is $\tau_1 \in \sum_{II}(\Gamma_F)$ s.t.

$$\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle = \langle \tau_0, \tau' \rangle = \langle \tau_1, \tau_1 \rangle.$$

Since $\tau_1 \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma_F)$, $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in S_I \cap F \subset S_I$

- If (**), then since $\tau_x \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma_x^*)$, $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in G$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in S_I \cup G \Rightarrow \tau \in \sum_I^w(\Gamma)$$

Proof of B: choose $\tau_F \in \sum_{II}^w(\Gamma_F)$. We'll define a winning strategy of player II in Γ' :

If $x \in X_{II}^F$ choose $\tau(x) = \tau_F(x)$. so $\tau(x) \in f^{-1}(x) \setminus W_I^*$.

If $x \in X_{II} \setminus X_{II}^F$ choose any element of $f^{-1}(x)$.

(let $\tau \in \sum_I(\Gamma')$.

- If $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in F$ (By lemma 4.1), by the fact that $\tau_F \in \sum_{II}^w(\Gamma_F)$,

$$\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in F \setminus S_I = (S_I \setminus \bigcup_{x \in W_I^*} f^{-1}(x)) \setminus S_I \subset S_{II} \setminus G. \text{ and we're done.}$$

- If $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \notin F$ then $\langle \tau, \tau' \rangle \in \bigcup_{x \in W_I^*} f^{-1}(x)$. i.e. there's a least integer $n > 0$

s.t. $s(n) \in W_I^*$.

Ex: $\sum_I^w(\Gamma_x^*) \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \sum_I^w(\Gamma_{f(x)}^*) \neq \emptyset$

$$s(n-1) = f(s(n)) \in X_I$$

But if $s(n-1) \in X_{II} \setminus W_I^*$ then there would be $y \in f^{-1}(s(n-1)) \setminus W_I^*$. (Ex.)
[lemm 4.5]

\Rightarrow by the definition of τ , $s(n) = \tau(s(n-1)) \in f^{-1}(s(n-1)) \setminus W_I^*$ in contradiction.

Cor 10. An open or closed game is absolutely determined

Cor 13 An Intersection and Union of open or closed game with absolutely determined game is strictly determined

(Martin 1975) - A Borel game is determined.

[If S_I is Borel set, then Γ is determined]