

INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP  $GL(n)$   
OVER A  $\Psi$ -ADIC FIELD

I. N. Bernshtein and A. V. Zelevinskii

1. Let  $F$  be a local non-Archimedean field and  $G_n = GL(n, F)$ . If  $\beta = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$  is the decomposition of the number  $n$ , and  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$  representations\* of the groups  $G_{n_1}, \dots, G_{n_r}$ , then using the standard construction of induction we may construct a representation  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  of the group  $G_n$  (see [1, p. 11], or Paragraph 5 below). As H. Jacquet proved (see [1 and 2]), any irreducible representation  $\omega$  of  $G_n$  is imbedded in a representation of the form  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ , where all the  $\rho_i$  are irreducible and cuspidal.† Therefore, henceforward we shall assume everywhere that the  $\rho_i$  are irreducible and cuspidal.

2. THEOREM 1. The representation  $\pi = i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  has finite length not exceeding  $r!$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $\pi = i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  and  $\pi' = i(\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_s)$  be representations of the group  $G_n$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (I)  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  have a common subfactor-representation;
- (II) the sets of composition factors of  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  coincide;
- (III)  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \pi') \neq 0$ ;

(IV)  $r = s$ , and the sets  $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  and  $(\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_s)$  may be obtained one from the other by some permutation.

Let  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  be representations of the groups  $G_m$  and  $G_{m'}$ , respectively. They are said to be  $\nu$ -connected if  $m = m'$  and either  $\rho \approx \nu\rho'$  or  $\rho' \approx \nu\rho$ , where  $\nu$  is a character of the group  $G_m$ , defined by the formula  $\nu(g) = |\det g|$  (here  $|\cdot|$  is the standard norm in the field  $F$ ).

THEOREM 3 (Irreducibility Criterion). The representation  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  is irreducible if and only if no two of the representations  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$  are  $\nu$ -connected.

3. We would like to describe the structure of subrepresentations of  $\pi = i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ . We shall give a complete description in the case when all the  $\rho_i$  are distinct. In this case the set  $\Omega$  of composition factors of  $\pi$  is single. Therefore, by setting a correspondence between each subrepresentation  $\tau \subset \pi$  and the set of its composition factors  $\Omega(\tau) \subset \Omega$ , we obtain an inclusion of the structure of the subrepresentations of  $\pi$  in the structure of subsets of  $\Omega$  (i.e.,  $\Omega(\tau + \tau') = \Omega(\tau) \cup \Omega(\tau')$ ,  $\Omega(\tau \cap \tau') = \Omega(\tau) \cap \Omega(\tau')$ ).

Let  $\sigma = (\rho_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \rho_{\sigma_r})$  be some ordering of the set  $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$ . Set  $\pi_\sigma = i(\rho_{\sigma_1}, \dots, \rho_{\sigma_r})$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that  $\Omega = \Omega(\pi_\sigma)$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the set of pairs of  $\nu$ -connected representations amongst the  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$  (clearly,  $|\Delta| \leq r-1$ ). For each ordering  $\sigma$  define a function  $f_\sigma$  on  $\Delta$ , with  $f_\sigma((\rho, \nu\rho)) = 0$  if  $\rho$  precedes  $\nu\rho$  in the ordering  $\sigma$ , and  $f_\sigma((\nu\rho, \rho)) = 1$  if  $\nu\rho$  precedes  $\rho$ .

Proposition 1. a) Each  $\pi_\sigma$  contains a unique irreducible subrepresentation  $\omega_\sigma$ .

\*We shall consider only algebraic representations, i.e., those for which the stabilizer of every vector is open.

†Cuspidality is understood in the sense of [2, 3, and 4]; in [1] such representations are called absolutely cuspidal.

Moscow State University. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 74-75, July-September, 1976. Original article submitted September 29, 1975.

This material is protected by copyright registered in the name of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$7.50.

b) The following conditions are equivalent: (I)  $\pi_\sigma \approx \pi_{\sigma'}$ , (II)  $\omega_\sigma \approx \omega_{\sigma'}$ , (III)  $f_\sigma = f_{\sigma'}$ .

c) Let  $\mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$  be the set of functions  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Define a map  $\alpha: \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$ , such that  $\alpha(\omega_\sigma) = f_\sigma$ . Then  $\alpha$  is well-defined and gives a bijection between  $\Omega$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$ ; in particular,  $|\Omega| = 2^{|\Delta|} \leq 2^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\sigma$  be some ordering. Then for each  $\delta \in \Delta$  there exists a subrepresentation  $\tau_{\sigma, \delta} \subset \pi_\sigma$  such that  $\alpha(\Omega(\tau_{\sigma, \delta})) = \{f \in \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) \mid f(\delta) = f_\sigma(\delta)\}$ . The subrepresentations  $\tau_{\sigma, \delta}$  generate the structure of the subrepresentations in  $\pi_\sigma$ .

4. In the case when several of the representations  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$  coincide, the structure of the subrepresentations of  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  is considerably more complex. For example, the representation  $i(1, \nu, 1)$  of the group  $G_3$  decomposes into the direct sum of two distinct irreducible subrepresentations; but for the representation  $i(1, \nu, 1, \nu)$  of  $G_4$ , the structure of the subrepresentations is infinite.

5. In the formulation of further results we shall need several definitions. Denote by  $\text{Alg } G$  the category of algebraic representations of the topological group  $G$ . Let  $G'$  and  $U$  be closed subgroups of  $G$ , for which  $G'$  normalizes  $U$  and  $G' \cap U = \{e\}$ ; let  $\theta$  be a character of the group  $U$  which normalizes  $G'$ . Define functors  $I_{U, \theta}$  and  $i_{U, \theta}$  from  $\text{Alg } G'$  into  $\text{Alg } G$ .

Let  $\rho \in \text{Alg } G'$  act in the space  $V$ . Define  $I_{U, \theta}(\rho)$  (or explicitly  $I_{U, \theta}(G, G', \rho)$ ) as a representation of the group  $G$  by right translations in the space of functions  $f: G \rightarrow V$ , satisfying the conditions:

1)  $f(hug) = \text{mod}_U^{1/2}(h) \cdot \theta(u) \cdot \rho(h) f(g)$  (here  $h \in G', u \in U, g \in G$ , and  $\text{mod}_U(h)$  is the modulus of the automorphism  $u \rightarrow huh^{-1}$  of the group  $U$ , see [5]).

2. There exists a neighborhood  $N_f$  of the identity in  $G$  such that  $f(gx) = f(g)$  for all  $g \in G, x \in N_f$ .

Denote by  $i_{U, \theta}(\rho)$  the subrepresentation in  $I_{U, \theta}(\rho)$ , which acts on the subspace of functions which are finite with respect to the modulus of the subgroup  $G'U$ .

**Example.** Let  $G = G_n, \beta = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$  the decomposition of the number  $n, P_\beta \subset G$  the corresponding parabolic subgroup,  $U$  the unipotent radical of  $P_\beta$ , and  $G' = G_{n_1} \times \dots \times G_{n_r}$  the Levi subgroup. Then if  $\rho_i \in \text{Alg } G_{n_i}, i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r) = i_{U, 1}(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_r) = i_{G', 1}(\rho_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_r)$ .

6. Let  $P = P_n \subset G_n$  be the subgroup of matrices whose last line is of the form  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Our aim is to study the restriction of the representation  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  to  $P$ . Let  $U$  be the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices,  $M \subset U$  the unipotent radical of  $P$  and  $\theta$  a character of  $U$  defined by the formula  $\theta((u_{ij})) = \psi(\sum u_{i, i+1})$ , where  $\psi$  is a non-trivial additive character of the field  $F$ . Define functors  $\Phi^+: \text{Alg } P_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Alg } P_n$  and  $\Psi^+: \text{Alg } G_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Alg } P_n$ , setting  $\Phi^+ = i_{M, \theta}, \Psi^+ = i_{M, 1}$ . Note that these functors take irreducible representations into irreducible representations.

The following theorem describes the composition factors of the restriction of  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  to  $P$ . It is useful in the computation of zeros and poles of the Gel'fand-Kazhdan  $\Gamma$ -function (see [3]).

**THEOREM 4.** For each subset  $J$  of the set of indices  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , set  $\tau_J = (\Phi^+)^{m-1} \circ \Psi^{+i}(\rho_j \mid j \in J) \in \text{Alg } P$  (here  $m = \sum n_j, j \in J$ ). Then for  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  there exists a filtration by  $P$ -subrepresentations whose set of factors coincides with  $\{\tau_J \mid J \neq \emptyset\}$ .

7. Set  $\tau = \tau_n = I_{G', \theta}(P, \{e\}, 1)$ . The representation  $\pi \in \text{Alg } P$  is called nonsingular if  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \tau) \neq 0$  (see [2]). If in these circumstances  $\pi$  coincides with  $\tau$ , we say that  $\pi$  admits the Kirillov model; it is easily shown that in this case all morphisms from  $\pi$  into  $\tau$  are proportional.

**THEOREM 5.** The restriction of  $i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$  to  $P$  is nonsingular. It admits the Kirillov model if and only if for any pair of indices  $i, j$ , where  $i < j, \rho_j \neq \nu \cdot \rho_i$ .

**COROLLARY.** The restriction of a nonsingular irreducible representation of  $G_n$  to  $P$  admits the Kirillov model.

This proposition was stated in [3] as a hypothesis.

8. **Notes.** a) The statement of Theorem 1 without the estimate of length, and the implications (I)  $\Leftarrow$  (II)  $\Leftarrow$  (III)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (IV) in Theorem 2, are not new.

b) Theorem 3 gives an estimate of the width of the "critical interval" for complementary series of  $G_n$  (see [4]).

c) Our proof of Theorem 3 is based on Theorem 4; therefore, as distinct from the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, it does not relate to other groups.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. R. Godement and H. Jacquet, Zeta-Functions of Simple Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 260, Springer-Verlag (1972).
2. I. N. Bernshtein and A. V. Zelevinskii, Usp. Matem. Nauk, 31, No. 3, 5-71 (1976).
3. I. M. Gel'fand and D. A. Kazhdan, Funktsional'. Analiz i Ego Prilozhen., 6, No. 4, 73-74 (1972).
4. G. I. Ol'shanskii, Matem. Sb., 93, 218-253 (1974).
5. N. Bourbaki, Integration, Addison-Wesley, New York.

#### THE PROBLEM OF INTEGRAL GEOMETRY ON THE GROUP $P_n(k)$ AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE THEORY OF REPRESENTATIONS

A. D. Gvishiani

Let  $k$  be a local non-Archimedean field,  $O$  the ring of integers of  $k$ ,  $P_0$  the principal maximal prime ideal of this ring, and  $q = \text{Card } O/P_0$ . Denote by  $GL(n, k)$  the group of all nonsingular matrices of order  $n$  with elements in  $k$ , by  $P = P_n(k)$  the subgroup of matrices  $\|g_{ij}\| \in GL(n, k)$  such that  $g_{n1} = \dots = g_{n,n-1} = 0$ , by  $U \subset P$  the upper unipotent subgroup of  $GL(n, k)$ , and by  $Z$  the commutator group of  $U$ . Clearly,  $Z$  is the subgroup of matrices  $z = \|z_{ij}\| \in U$  such that  $z_{i,i+1} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . If  $H$  is a subgroup or factor space in the group  $P$ , denote by  $S(H)$  the space of finite, locally constant complex-valued functions on  $H$ . The functions  $f \in S(H)$  are called Schwarz-Bruhart functions on  $H$ .

Define a linear map from the space  $S(P)$  into the space of functions on  $P \times P$  by the following formula:

$$\varphi(g_1, g_2) = \int_Z f(g_1^{-1} z g_2) dz, \quad f \in S(P), \quad (1)$$

where  $dz$  is an invariant measure on  $Z$ , normalized by the condition  $\int_{Z_0} dz = 1$  and  $Z_0 \subset Z$  is the subgroup of integral matrices. It follows immediately from (1) that  $\varphi(z_1 g_1, z_2 g_2) = \varphi(g_1, g_2)$  for any  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , and consequently  $\varphi$  may be considered as a function on  $Z \setminus P \times Z \setminus P$ .

In this article we shall obtain the converse of (1) and give an application of this formula to the study of regular representations of  $GL(n, k)$ .

1. Consider the generalized function  $|t|^{\lambda-1}/\Gamma(\lambda)$  on  $k$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ), where  $\Gamma(\lambda) = (1 - q^{-\lambda})/(1 - q^{-\lambda-1})$ . \* It follows from the results in [1] that the generalized function  $|t|^{\lambda-1}/\Gamma(\lambda)$ , considered as an analytic function in  $\lambda$ , is an entire function. In view of this,

$$\left. \frac{|t|^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right|_{\lambda=0} = \delta(t), \quad \left. \frac{|t|^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right|_{\lambda=-l+1} = \frac{1 - q^{-l}}{1 - q^{-l-1}} |t|^{-l}, \quad l = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \quad (2)$$

\*The function  $\Gamma(\lambda)$  may also be defined from the equation  $\widetilde{|t|^{\lambda-1}} = \Gamma(\lambda) |t|^{-\lambda}$ , where  $\widetilde{|t|^{\lambda-1}}$  is the Fourier transform of the function  $|t|^{\lambda-1}$  (see [1]).

Moscow State University. Translated from Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 76-77, July-September, 1976. Original article submitted March 26, 1976.

This material is protected by copyright registered in the name of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$7.50.