## Problem assignment 6.

## Algebra B3 – Commutative Algebra

Joseph Bernstein

December 12, 2012.

## On the notion of Hilbert polynomial.

I. **Preparation about sequences.** Consider the group F consisting of sequences of integers  $f = \{f(i)\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us introduce an equivalence relation on F by  $f \sim h$  if f(i) = h(i) for  $i \gg 0$ .

We say that a sequence f is **eventually polynomial** if there exists a polynomial  $P \in \mathbf{Q}[t]$  such that f is equivalent to the sequence P(i). It is clear that such polynomial P is uniquely defined.

Consider the difference operator  $\Delta : F \to F$  defined by  $\Delta(f)(i) = f(i+1) - f(i)$ **1.** Let d be a natural number. Show that a sequence  $f \in F$  is eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq d$  iff  $\Delta^{d+1}(f) \sim 0$ ; this is also equivalent to the condition that  $\Delta(f)$  is eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq d - 1$ .

II. **Hilbert polynomial.** Fix an arbitrary field K. Consider an algebra  $A = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  and introduce on it **algebra filtration**  $\{A_k\}$ , where  $A_k = \{P \in A | deg P \leq k\}$  (this is an increasing filtration).

Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Fix a system of generators  $m_1, ..., m_r$ and consider a filtration of M defined by a system of subspaces  $M_k = A_k m_1 + A_k m_2 + ... + A_k m_r$ .

Our goal is to prove the following fundamental result due to Hilbert.

**Theorem A.** The sequence  $f_M(i) = \dim_K M_i$  is eventually polynomial.

It is convenient to formulate and prove slightly more general result.

**Definition.** (i) A filtration  $\Phi$  of M is a collection of finite dimensional subspaces  $\Phi_k(M) = M_k \subset M$  defined for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  that satisfies the following conditions.

(a)  $M_k \subset M_l$  for  $k \leq l$ ,  $M_k = 0$  for  $k \ll 0$  and  $\bigcup M_k = M$ .

(b)  $A_k M_l \subset M_{k+l}$ 

(ii) Filtration  $\Phi$  is called a **good filtration** if it satisfies

(c) For large k we have  $A_1 M_k = M_{k+1}$ .

Clearly the filtrations considered in Theorem A are good. So we will prove more general result

**Theorem B.** Suppose  $\Phi = \{M_k\}$  is a good filtration of an A-module M.

(i) For any A-submodule  $L \subset M$  consider the induced filtration  $\Phi_L$  on L defined by  $L_k = L \bigcap M_k$ . Then it is a good filtration.

(ii) The sequence  $f(i) := \dim M_i$  is eventually polynomial.

**Rees construction.** Let us describe a construction, essentially due to Rees, that allows to reduce many questions about filtered algebras and modules to questions about graded algebras and modules.

**Definition**. Let C be an algebra that we consider with trivial filtration. Given a filtered C-module V (with increasing filtration  $\Phi$ ) we define a graded C[t]-module  $R(V) = R_{\Phi}(V)$  to be a submodule of  $M[t, t^{-1}]$  given by  $R(V) = \bigoplus_k M_k t^k$ .

Clearly if A is a filtered C-algebra then R(V) is a graded C[t]- algebra, if M is a filtered A-module then R(M) is a graded R(A)-module.

**[P] 2.** Show that R(V)/tR(V) = gr(V).

**3.** Check that a filtration  $\Phi$  of the A-module M is good iff the R(A)-module  $R_{\Phi}(M)$  is finitely generated.

For an A-submodule  $L \subset M$  consider the induced filtration  $\Phi_L$ . Then R(L) is a R(A)-submodule of R(A)-module R(M). Hence Hilbert basis theorem implies (i).

Coming back to the proof of theorem B let us notice that in our case the algebra R(A) is just a polynomial algebra  $C = k[t_0, t_1, ..., t_n]$ , where all variables  $t_i$  have degree 1 ( $t_0$  corresponds to t and  $t_i$  corresponds to  $tx_i$ ).

It is clear that the theorem  ${\cal B}$  follows from the following

**Theorem C.** Consider the algebra  $C = K[t_0, t_1, ..., t_n]$  and define the grading  $C = \bigoplus C^k$  on it by condition  $deg(t_i) = 1$ . Fix a graded C-module  $N = \bigoplus N^k$ .

Suppose we know that C-module N is finitely generated. Then the sequence  $f_N(i) := \dim N^i$  is eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ .

**Proof.** Consider the operator  $T: N \to N$  of degree 1 given by multiplication by  $t_n$ . Let us denote by K and C its kernel and cokernel.

**4.** Check that  $f_N(i+1) - f_N(i) \equiv f_C(i+1) - f_K(i)$ 

Now note that on the modules K and C the operator  $t_n$  is zero, so they are finitely generated modules over the algebra  $C' = K[t_0, t_1, ..., t_{n-1}].$ 

Using induction in n we can assume that the sequences  $f_K$  and  $f_C$  are eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq n-1$ . But then it means that the sequence  $\Delta(f)$  is eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq n-1$  and hence f is eventually polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ .

**Remarks.** (i) Note that in fact we can start our induction from the case n = -1, i.e. C = K.

(ii) The most non-trivial step in this proof is the fact that the C-module K is finitely generated - this is Hilbert's basis theorem.

## III. Some problems about Hilbert polynomials.

**[P] 5.** Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a finitely generated K-algebra and M a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}$ -module.

Let us fix a system of generators  $x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then M becomes a module over the polynomial algebra  $A = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ .

Let us choose a good filtration on M and consider the corresponding Hilbert polynomial  $f_M(i)$ .

(i) Show that the degree d(M) of the polynomial  $f_M$  and its first coefficient e(M) do not depend on the choice of a good filtration on M.

(ii) Show that the degree d(M) does not depend on the choice of generators of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}$ .

We call this invariant d(M) the "functional dimension" of M.

**[P] 6.** (i) Show that if L is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule of M then  $d(M) = \max(d(L), d(M/L))$ .

(ii) Let T be an endomorphism of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module M. Show that if T is injective then d(M/TM) is strictly less then d(M) (we assume  $M \neq 0$ ).

(iii) Suppose that we have a vector space M that is a module over two commutative finitely generated algebras A and B. Let us assume that it is finitely generated over A and also over B, so we can define two invariants  $d_A(M)$  and  $d_B(M)$ .

Show that if the actions of A and B on the module M commute, then  $d_A(M) = d_B(M)$ .

**[P] 7.** Let X be an affine algebraic variety, M a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  -module. We define the support of M to be the subset  $\sup(M) \subset X$  defined by the ideal  $I = Ann(M) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

Show that d(M) equals dim  $\sup(M)$ .

8. Prove that the dimension function  $\dim_H(X)$  defined using Hilbert polynomial definition has the following properties. Let  $\pi : X \to Y$  be a morphism of affine algebraic varieties

(i) Suppose that  $\pi$  is a finite morphism (e.g. a closed embedding). Then  $\dim_H X \leq \dim_H Y$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\pi$  is a finite epimorphism. Then  $\dim_H X = \dim_H Y$ .

(iii) Suppose  $\pi$  is an imbedding of a basic open subset (i.e.  $X = Y_f$ ). Then  $\dim_H X \leq \dim_H Y$ 

**[P] 9.** Show that Hilbert polynomial definition of dimension for algebraic varieties is equivalent to Krull's definition.

(\*) 10. Using Hilbert polynomial definition of dimension prove directly the **Principle ideal theorem.** 

Let X be an irreducible affine algebraic variety,  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , Z = Z(f) the zero set of the function f. Suppose that dim  $Z \leq \dim X - 2$ . Then Z is empty.