

Extended Picard complexes
for linear algebraic groups and
homogeneous spaces

Mikhail Borovoi

October 14, 2005

(This is a joint work with Joost van Hamel.)

Notation

Let k be a field of characteristic 0, then

\bar{k} denotes a fixed algebraic closure of k .

Let X/k be a smooth algebraic variety, then

$\bar{X} = X \otimes_k \bar{k}$;

$\bar{k}[X]$ is the ring of regular functions on \bar{X} ;

$\bar{k}(\bar{X})$ is the field of rational functions on \bar{X} .

Set $U(\bar{X}) = \bar{k}[X]^\times / \bar{k}^\times$, the group of invertible regular functions on \bar{X} modulo constants. It is a $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module.

Proposition (Rosenlicht 1961). *Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over k . Then $U(\bar{G}) = \mathbf{X}^*(\bar{G})$.*

Here \mathbf{X}^* denotes the character group. The proposition says that any invertible regular function f on \bar{G} , such that $f(1) = 1$, is a character.

Recall the definition of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\bar{X})$. Let $\text{Div}(\bar{X})$ denote the divisor group of \bar{X} . For $f \in \bar{k}(\bar{X})^\times / \bar{k}^\times$, let $\text{div}(f)$ denote the divisor of f . Then by definition

$$\text{Pic}(\bar{X}) = \text{coker}[\bar{k}(\bar{X})^\times / \bar{k}^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(\bar{X})].$$

Clearly $\text{Pic}(\bar{X})$ is a Galois module.

We wish to define a complex of Galois modules of length 2 whose cohomology groups are $U(\bar{X})$ and $\text{Pic}(\bar{X})$. We define

$$\text{UPic}(\bar{X}) = [\bar{k}(\bar{X})^\times / \bar{k}^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(\bar{X})].$$

We say that $\text{UPic}(\bar{X})$ is the *extended Picard complex* of \bar{X} . Here [] show that $\bar{k}(\bar{X})^\times / \bar{k}^\times$ is in degree 0, and $\text{Div}(\bar{X})$ is in degree 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0(\text{UPic}(\bar{X})) &= \ker(\text{div}) = U(\bar{X}) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\text{UPic}(\bar{X})) &= \text{coker}(\text{div}) = \text{Pic}(\bar{X}). \end{aligned}$$

We regard $\text{UPic}(\bar{X})$ as an object of the derived category of Galois modules. We wish to compute $\text{UPic}(\bar{X})$ (up to a quasi-isomorphism).

Derived categories

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, for example the category of Γ -modules where Γ is a group. Let K^\bullet be a complex in \mathcal{A} , i.e. a sequence of objects and morphisms

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} K^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} K^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots$$

such that

$$d^{i+1} \circ d^i = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

We write $\mathcal{H}^i(K^\bullet) = \ker d^i / \operatorname{im} d^{i-1}$ for the i -th cohomology of K^\bullet . A morphism of complexes $\varphi: K^\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet$ induces

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\varphi): \mathcal{H}^i(K^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(L^\bullet).$$

A morphism of complexes φ is called a *quasi-isomorphism* if all $\mathcal{H}^i(\varphi)$ are isomorphisms. Any isomorphism of complexes is a quasi-isomorphism, but there exist quasi-isomorphisms which are not isomorphisms.

Example 1. Let $\eta: A \rightarrow B$ be an epimorphism, then the obvious morphism of complexes

$$[\ker \eta \rightarrow 0] \longrightarrow [A \rightarrow B]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Example 2. Let $\eta: A \rightarrow B$ be a monomorphism, then the obvious morphism of complexes

$$[A \rightarrow B] \longrightarrow [0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \eta]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Example 3. Let $\eta: A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism, then the obvious morphism of complexes

$$[0 \rightarrow 0] \longrightarrow [A \rightarrow B]$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

A complex K^\bullet is called *bounded* if it has only finite number of $K^i \neq 0$.

Definition. The derived category $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is the category, whose objects are the bounded complexes in \mathcal{A} , and whose morphisms are the morphisms of complexes, the formal inverses of quasi-isomorphisms, and their products.

We want to compute $\text{UPic}(\overline{X})$ as an object of the derived category, that is up to a quasi-isomorphism.

Remark. If $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) = 0$, then

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{X}) \simeq [U(\overline{X}) \rightarrow 0] = U(\overline{X})$$

(Example 1). If $U(\overline{X}) = 0$, then

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{X}) \simeq [0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{X})]$$

(Example 2). We see that the complex $\text{UPic}(\overline{X})$ is interesting when $U(\overline{X}) \neq 0$ and $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \neq 0$.

We compute:

- $\text{UPic}(\overline{G})$, where G is a connected linear algebraic group over k ;
- $\text{UPic}(\overline{G/H})$, where G/H is a homogeneous space, G is a connected k -group, $H \subset G$ is a connected k -subgroup.

We start with $\text{UPic}(\overline{G})$, where G is a connected k -group.

If G is a torus, then $\text{Pic}(\overline{G}) = 0$, hence

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) = \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}).$$

If G is semisimple, then $U(\overline{G}) = \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}) = 0$, hence

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) = [0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{G})].$$

We are interested in the general case, when G is neither semisimple nor a torus.

We consider unipotent groups and simply connected semisimple groups.

(1) Let G be a unipotent k -group. We have $U(\overline{G}) = \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}) = 0$. As a variety G is isomorphic to an affine space, hence $\text{Pic}(\overline{G}) = 0$. Thus $\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) = 0$.

(2) Let G be a simply connected semisimple k -group. Then $U(\overline{G}) = \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}) = 0$. We have $\text{Pic}(\overline{G}) = 0$ (Voskresenskiĭ 1969, Fossum and Iversen, 1973, Popov 1974). Thus $\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) = 0$.

According to the Kottwitz Principle, for any connected k -group G one can compute $\text{UPic}(\overline{G})$ from the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$.

Kottwitz Principle:

If an invariant of a connected linear k -group G is trivial for unipotent groups and for simply connected semisimple groups, then it can be computed in terms of the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$.

Here $\pi_1(G)$ is a certain finitely generated Galois module, i.e. a finitely generated abelian group with an action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Examples (Kottwitz 1984):

- The Tamagawa number $\tau(G)$ for groups over a number field;
- The Galois cohomology $H^1(k, G)$ for groups over a p -adic field.

We define the Galois module $\pi_1(G)$.

Let G be any connected linear k -group. Write:

G^u : the unipotent radical of G ;

$G^{\text{red}} = G/G^u$ (it is reductive);

G^{ss} : the derived group of G^{red} (it is semi-simple);

G^{sc} : the universal covering of G^{ss} (it is simply connected semisimple).

Consider the composed map

$$\rho: G^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ss}} \rightarrow G^{\text{red}}.$$

Choose a maximal torus $T \subset G^{\text{red}}$.

Set $T^{\text{sc}} = \rho^{-1}(T) \subset G^{\text{sc}}$, then T^{sc} is a maximal torus in G^{sc} . We have a homomorphism

$$\rho: T^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow T.$$

Definition. $\pi_1(G) = \mathbf{X}_*(\bar{T})/\rho_*(\mathbf{X}_*(\bar{T}^{\text{sc}}))$, where \mathbf{X}_* denotes the cocharacter group, $\mathbf{X}_*(\bar{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}, \bar{T})$.

$\pi_1(G)$ is a Galois module, it does not depend on T .

Examples: $\pi_1(T) = \mathbf{X}_*(\bar{T})$, $\pi_1(\text{PGL}_n) = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ (not μ_n).

If $k = \mathbf{C}$, then $\pi_1(G) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(G(\mathbf{C}))$.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected k -group. Then*

$$\mathrm{UPic}(\overline{G}) \simeq [\mathbf{X}^*(\overline{T}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{T}^{sc})]$$

canonically and functorially.

The relation of Theorem 1 to $\pi_1(G)$ and to the Kottwitz Principle

Let A be an abelian group. How can we define a dual object A^D ? If A is torsion free, we set

$$A^D := \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For a finite group this formula is stupid. So we take a torsion free resolution, i.e a quasi-isomorphism $K^\bullet \rightarrow A$, where K^\bullet is a complex of torsion free abelian groups, and set

$$A^D := \mathrm{Hom}(K^\bullet, \mathbf{Z}).$$

We have a torsion free resolution for $\pi_1(G)$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}) \rightarrow \pi_1(G) \rightarrow 0,$$

hence a quasi-isomorphism

$$\langle \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}) \rangle \longrightarrow \pi_1(G)$$

and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(G)^D &= \text{Hom}(\langle \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_*(\overline{T}) \rangle, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &= [\mathbf{X}^*(\overline{T}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{T}^{\text{sc}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can state Theorem 1 as follows:

Theorem 1'. *Let G be a connected k -group. Then*

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) \simeq \pi_1(G)^D.$$

canonically and functorially.

Homogeneous spaces

Let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space, G a connected k -group, $H \subset G$ a connected k -subgroup. We assume that G^{ss} is simply connected. If not, then we can write $X = G'/H'$, where G', H' are connected and $(G')^{\text{ss}}$ is simply connected.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected k -group, $H \subset G$ a connected k -subgroup, and assume that G^{ss} is simply connected. Then*

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G/H}) \simeq [\mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{H})].$$

We can deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2. Let G be a connected k -group. There exists short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

such that Z is a k -torus (hence connected) and $(G')^{\text{ss}}$ is simply connected. Then $G \simeq G'/Z$, and by Theorem 2

$$\text{UPic}(G) \simeq [\mathbf{X}^*(\overline{G}') \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(\overline{Z})].$$

One can show that this formula is equivalent to that of Theorem 1.

Hypercohomology

Let Γ be a group, K^\bullet a complex of Γ -modules. We can define the hypercohomology groups $H^i(\Gamma, K^\bullet)$. If $K^\bullet = [A \rightarrow B]$ (a complex of length 2), then we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(\Gamma, B) \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma, [A \rightarrow B]) \\ \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma, B) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

We see that $H^i(\Gamma, [A \rightarrow B])$ is a “mixture” of $H^{i-1}(\Gamma, B)$ and $H^i(\Gamma, A)$.

The algebraic Brauer group $\text{Br}_a(X)$

Let X be a smooth k -variety. We write $\text{Br}(X)$ for the cohomological Brauer group of X ,

$$\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

We have canonical morphisms

$$\overline{X} \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Spec}(k)$$

and the induced morphisms

$$\text{Br}(k) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Br}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Br}(\overline{X}).$$

Definition. $\text{Br}_a(X) = \ker \alpha^* / \text{im } \beta^*$.

Theorem 3. *If k is a number field or if X has a k -point, then $\text{Br}_a(X) = H^2(k, \text{UPic}(\overline{X}))$.*

\mathbb{H}_ω^i and smooth compactifications

Let K^\bullet be a complex of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -modules. Define

$$\mathbb{H}_\omega^i(k, K^\bullet) = \ker[H^i(k, K^\bullet) \rightarrow \prod_{\gamma} H^i(\gamma, K^\bullet)]$$

where γ runs over all the pro-cyclic subgroups of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Theorem 4. *Let X_c be a smooth compactification of a smooth k -variety X . Write $\bar{X}_c = X_c \times_k \bar{k}$. Then*

$$\mathbb{H}_\omega^1(k, \text{Pic}(\bar{X}_c)) = \mathbb{H}_\omega^2(k, \text{UPic}(\bar{X})).$$

Remark. If $X = G/H$ where G is a connected k -group and $H \subset G$ is a connected k -subgroup, and G^{ss} is simply connected, then by a difficult theorem of Colliot-Thélène and Kunyavskiĭ, 2005, $H^1(\gamma, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c)) = 0$ for any pro-cyclic subgroup $\gamma \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. It follows that

$$\text{III}_{\omega}^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c)) = H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c)),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c)) &= \text{III}_{\omega}^2(k, \text{UPic}(\overline{X})) \\ &= \text{III}_{\omega}^2(k, [\mathbf{X}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(H)]) \end{aligned}$$

which gives a formula for $H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c))$.

It looks as if one could first compute $\text{UPic}(\overline{X})$, and then obtain $\text{Br}_a(X)$ and $H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}_c))$ from $\text{UPic}(\overline{X})$. Actually it happened the other way around.

B. and Kunyavskii, 2000, proved that

$$H^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{G}_c)) \simeq \mathbb{H}_\omega^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{G}_c)) \simeq \mathbb{H}_\omega^2(k, \pi_1(G)^D).$$

By Theorem 4 we have

$$\mathbb{H}_\omega^1(k, \text{Pic}(\overline{G}_c)) = \mathbb{H}_\omega^2(k, \text{UPic}(\overline{G})).$$

This led us to the formula of Theorem 1':

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G}) \simeq \pi_1(G)^D.$$

B., 1999, conjectured that

$$\text{Br}_a(G/H) \simeq H^2(k, [\mathbf{X}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(H)]).$$

when G^{ss} is simply connected. By Theorem 3 we have

$$\text{Br}_a(G/H) = H^2(k, \text{UPic}(\overline{G/H})).$$

This led us to the formula of Theorem 2:

$$\text{UPic}(\overline{G/H}) \simeq [\mathbf{X}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^*(H)].$$