

# Time-Dependent Two-Dimensional Fourth-Order Problems: Optimal Convergence

J.-P. Croisille and D. Fishelov

**Abstract** Here we present a new approach for the analysis of high-order compact schemes for the clamped plate problem. A similar model is the Navier-Stokes equation in streamfunction formulation. In our book "Navier-Stokes Equations in Planar Domains", Imperial College Press, 2013, we have suggested fourth-order compact schemes for the Navier-Stokes equations. The same type of schemes may be applied to the clamped plate problem. For these methods the truncation error is only of first-order at near-boundary points, but is of fourth order at interior points. It is proven that the rate of convergence is actually four, thus the error tends to zero as  $O(h^4)$ .

## 1 Introduction

The 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equations  $\partial_t(\Delta\psi) + (\nabla^\perp\psi) \cdot \nabla(\Delta\psi) = \nu\Delta^2\psi$ , where  $\psi$  is the streamfunction, play an important role in various areas of physics. In [2] we suggested fourth-order compact schemes for the NS problem, including important foundations for their error analysis.

In Section 2 we analyze the error for the two-dimensional problem  $\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u = f$ -the time-dependent clamped plate problem. This is related to the time dependent Navier-Stokes equations since both equations include the biharmonic operator. We prove that even though the truncation error is only  $O(h)$  at near boundary points, the scheme is fourth-order accurate and the error is  $O(h^4)$ , where  $h$  is the mesh size. Similar situations occur also for the high-order finite difference schemes suggested in [1] and [6].

---

J.-P. Croisille

Department of Mathematics, Univ. de Lorraine, Metz 57045, France e-mail: jean-pierre.croisille@univ-lorraine.fr

D. Fishelov

Department of Mathematics, Afeka Tel-Aviv Academic College of Engineering, Tel-Aviv 69107, Israel e-mail: dali@afeka.ac.il

## 2 The equation $\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u = f$

Consider the fourth-order partial differential problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \Delta^2 u &= f(x, y, t), & (x, y) &\in (0, 1) \times (0, 1), & t > 0, \\ u(0, y, t) &= u(1, y, t) = 0, & u_x(0, y, t) &= u_x(1, y, t) = 0, & 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ u(x, 0, t) &= u(x, 1, t) = 0, & u_y(x, 0, t) &= u_y(x, 1, t) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(x, y, 0) &= g(x, y), & (x, y) &\in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In order to approximate the solution of Equation (1), we lay out a uniform grid  $(x_j, y_k) = (\frac{j}{N}, \frac{k}{N})$ ,  $j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\hat{f}(t)$  be the evaluation of  $f$  at the grid points. Then, we define a grid function  $v_{j,k}(t)$ , which serves as an approximation of  $u(x_j, y_k, t)$  for  $j, k = 0, \dots, N$ , to be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v_{j,k}(t) + \tilde{\Delta}_h^2 v_{j,k}(t) &= \hat{f}_{j,k}(t), & j, k &= 1, \dots, N-1, \\ v_{0,k}(t) &= v_{N,k}(t) = 0, & (v_x)_{0,k}(t) &= (v_x)_{N,k}(t) = 0, & k = 0, \dots, N, \\ v_{j,0}(t) &= v_{j,N}(t) = 0, & (v_y)_{j,0}(t) &= (v_y)_{j,N}(t) = 0, & j = 0, \dots, N, \\ v_{j,k}(0) &= g_{j,k}, & j, k &= 0, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here

$$\tilde{\Delta}_h^2 = \delta_x^4 + \delta_y^4 + 2[\delta_x^2 \delta_y^2 - \frac{h^2}{12}(\delta_x^4 \delta_y^2 + \delta_y^4 \delta_x^2)], \quad (3)$$

where, for  $j, k = 1, \dots, N-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_x^4 v)_{j,k} &= \frac{12}{h^2}(\delta_x v_x - \delta_x^2 v)_{j,k}, \\ (\delta_y^4 v)_{j,k} &= \frac{12}{h^2}(\delta_y v_y - \delta_y^2 v)_{j,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_x v_x)_{j,k} &= (\delta_x v)_{j,k}, \\ (\sigma_y v_y)_{j,k} &= (\delta_y v)_{j,k}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_x w)_{j,k} &= \frac{1}{6}(w_{j-1,k} + 4w_{j,k} + w_{j+1,k}), \\ (\sigma_y w)_{j,k} &= \frac{1}{6}(w_{j,k-1} + 4w_{j,k} + w_{j,k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus, the approximated solution satisfies

$$\partial_t v_{j,k}(t) + \tilde{\Delta}_h^2 v_{j,k}(t) = \hat{f}_{j,k}(t), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (7)$$

Let  $u^*(t)$  be the evaluation of  $u$  on the grid points at time  $t$ . Then,

$$\partial_t u_{j,k}^*(t) + \tilde{\Delta}_h^2 u_{j,k}^*(t) = \hat{f}_{j,k}(t) - r_{j,k}(t) \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (8)$$

where  $r(t)$  is the truncation error. By Taylor expansions, if  $u$  has continuous derivatives up to order 8, the components of the truncation error  $r$  for all  $t$  may be written as (see [2] Proposition 10.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{j,k} &= O(h^4) \quad j, k = 2, \dots, N-2, \\
r_{1,k} &= O(h), \quad r_{N-1,k} = O(h), \quad k = 1, \dots, N \\
r_{j,1} &= O(h), \quad r_{j,N-1} = O(h), \quad j = 1, \dots, N.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Define the error  $e(t) = v(t) - u^*(t)$ . Then, by subtracting (8) from (7), we have

$$\partial_t e(t) + \tilde{\Delta}_h^2 e(t) = r(t). \tag{10}$$

The following Optimal Convergence Theorem holds (see [2], [5], [4]).

**Theorem 1** (One-dimensional case) *Suppose that the vector  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)}$ , containing the truncation errors, satisfies*

$$\tau_1 = O(h) \quad \tau_j = O(h^4), \quad j = 2, \dots, N-2, \quad \tau_{N-1} = O(h). \tag{11}$$

Then, the operator  $\delta_x^{-4}$ , operating on  $\tau$  satisfy

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq N-1} |(\delta_x^{-4} \tau)_j| \leq Ch^4, \quad \text{where } C \text{ does not depend on } N. \tag{12}$$

We relate the grid function  $v_{j,k}$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, N-1$  with the column vector

$$V = [v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{N-1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{N-1,2}, \dots, v_{1,N-1}, \dots, v_{N-1,N-1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^2}. \tag{13}$$

The bottom ordering of vector  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^2}$  is obtained by letting the index  $j$  vary first while keeping  $k$  fixed, then vary the index  $k$  (see [3]). Then, we relate the two-dimensional finite difference operators with matrix operators of size  $(N-1) \times (N-1)$  for  $N \geq 2$ , acting on a vector  $V$ . Most of those operators are obtained as Kronecker products of  $(N-1) \times (N-1)$  matrices. Recall that the Kronecker product of the matrices  $G \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$  and  $H \in \mathbb{M}_{p,q}$  is the matrix  $G \otimes H \in \mathbb{M}_{mp,nq}$  defined by

$$G \otimes H = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1,1}H & g_{1,2}H & \dots & g_{1,n}H \\ \dots & & & \\ \dots & & & \\ g_{m,1}H & g_{m,2}H & \dots & g_{m,n}H \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Let the matrix  $B$  represent the biharmonic discrete operator in one dimension and the matrix  $D$  represent  $-\delta_x^2$  (or  $-\delta_y^2$ ) in one dimension. Then,  $I \otimes B$  and  $B \otimes I$  represent the biharmonic operators  $\delta_x^4$  and  $\delta_y^4$ , respectively. Similarly,  $I \otimes D$  and  $D \otimes I$  represents the operator  $-\delta_x^2$  and  $-\delta_y^2$ , respectively. In addition,

$$R(t) = [r_{1,1}, \dots, r_{N-1,1}, r_{1,2}, \dots, r_{N-1,2}, \dots, r_{1,N-1}, \dots, r_{N-1,N-1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^2} \tag{15}$$

is related to the truncation error. Therefore, inequality (12) may be written in vector notation as follows.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $R(t) = R^{(1)}(t) + R^{(2)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^2}$ , where*

$$R^{(1)}(t) = [r_{1,1}, 0, \dots, 0, r_{N-1,1}, r_{1,2}, \dots, r_{N-1,2}, \dots, r_{1,N-1}, 0, \dots, 0, r_{N-1,N-1}]^T, \tag{16}$$

$$R^{(2)}(t) = [0, r_{2,1}, \dots, r_{N-2,1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 0, r_{2,N-1}, \dots, r_{N-2,N-1}, 0]^T. \quad (17)$$

Then,

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq (N-1)^2} |(I \otimes B^{-1})R^{(1)}(t)|_m \leq Ch^4, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (18)$$

where  $I \otimes B^{-1}$  represents the operator  $\delta_x^{-4}$ , and

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq (N-1)^2} |(B^{-1} \otimes I)R^{(2)}(t)|_m \leq Ch^4, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (19)$$

where  $(B^{-1} \otimes I)$  represents the operator  $\delta_y^{-4}$ .

**Proof** We may write (16) and (17) as  $R^{(1)} = [R_1^{(1)}; \dots; R_{N-1}^{(1)}]$  and  $R^{(2)} = [R_1^{(2)}; \dots; R_{N-1}^{(2)}]$ , respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{(1)} &= [r_{1,1}, 0, \dots, 0, r_{N-1,1}]^T, & R_1^{(2)} &= [0, r_{2,1}, \dots, r_{N-2,1}, 0]^T, \\ R_j^{(1)} &= [r_{1,j}, \dots, r_{N-1,j}]^T, \quad j = 2, \dots, N-2, & R_j^{(2)} &= [0, \dots, 0]^T, \quad j = 2, \dots, N-2, \\ R_{N-1}^{(1)} &= [r_{1,N-1}, 0, \dots, 0, r_{N-1,N-1}]^T. & R_{N-1}^{(2)} &= [0, r_{2,N-1}, \dots, r_{N-2,N-1}, 0]^T. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using the definition of a Kronecker product, we have

$$I \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad (I \otimes B)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } (I \otimes B^{-1})R(t) = \left[ B^{-1}R_1^{(1)}(t), B^{-1}R_2^{(1)}(t), \dots, B^{-1}R_{N-2}^{(1)}(t), B^{-1}R_{N-1}^{(1)}(t) \right]^T.$$

By the optimal convergence theorem

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq (N-1)^2} |(I \otimes B^{-1})R^{(1)}(t)|_m \leq Ch^4, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (22)$$

Hence (18) holds. By a similar proof (19) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 2** Suppose the solution  $u(x, y, t)$  to the system (1) has derivatives up to order 8 with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ , then the error  $e(t)$  is bounded by

$$|e(t)|_h \leq Ch^4, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (23)$$

where  $|e(t)|_h = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} h^2 |e_{j,k}(t)|^2}$  and  $C$  depends only on  $u_0(x, y)$  and  $T$ .

**Proof** Define  $E(t)$  as the vector containing the components of the error at time  $t$

$$E = [e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{N-1,1}, e_{1,2}, \dots, e_{N-1,2}, \dots, e_{1,N-1}, \dots, e_{N-1,N-1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)^2}. \quad (24)$$

The operator  $\tilde{\Delta}_h^2$  may be represented by the matrix  $A$  of size  $(N-1)^2 \times (N-1)^2$  (see [3]), where

$$A = I \otimes B + B \otimes I + 2[(I \otimes D)(D \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(I \otimes D)(B \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(D \otimes I)(I \otimes B)]. \quad (25)$$

Hence,  $A$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix. In vector notation Equation (10) may be written as  $\partial_t E(t) + A E(t) = R(t)$ . Multiplying both sides of the last equation by  $e^{At}$ , we have  $\partial_t(e^{At} E(t)) = e^{At} R(t)$ . Integrating the last equation for  $\rho$  from 0 to  $t$  and multiplying by  $e^{-At}$ , we have

$$E(t) = \int_0^t e^{-A(t-\rho)} R(\rho) d\rho. \quad (26)$$

Multiplying  $R(\rho)$  from the left by  $AA^{-1}$  yields

$$E(t) = \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] [A^{-1} R(\rho)] d\rho = \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] [A^{-1}(R^{(1)}(\rho) + R^{(2)}(\rho))] d\rho, \quad (27)$$

where  $R^{(1)}$  and  $R^{(2)}$  are defined in (16) and (17) (see also (20)). We decompose  $E(t)$  in the sum  $E(t) = E^{(1)}(t) + E^{(2)}(t)$ , where

$$E^{(1)} = \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] [A^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho)] d\rho, \quad E^{(2)} = \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] [A^{-1} R^{(2)}(\rho)] d\rho. \quad (28)$$

We show that  $\|E^{(1)}\|_2 \leq Ch^3$  and  $\|E^{(2)}\|_2 \leq Ch^3$ . Using (25), then for the term  $E^{(1)}$  we decompose  $A$  as follows.  $A = (I \otimes B)Q_1$ , where  $Q_1$  is defined by

$$Q_1 = I \otimes I + (I \otimes B)^{-1}(B \otimes I) + 2(I \otimes B)^{-1}[(I \otimes D)(D \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(I \otimes D)(B \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(D \otimes I)(I \otimes B)]. \quad (29)$$

Using (25), then for the term  $E^{(2)}$  we decompose  $A$  as follows.  $A = (B \otimes I)Q_2$ , where  $Q_2$  is defined by

$$Q_2 = I \otimes I + (B \otimes I)^{-1}(I \otimes B) + 2(B \otimes I)^{-1}[(I \otimes D)(D \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(I \otimes D)(B \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{12}(D \otimes I)(I \otimes B)]. \quad (30)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1)}(t) &= \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] Q_1^{-1} [(I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho)] d\rho \\ E^{(2)}(t) &= \int_0^t [e^{-A(t-\rho)} A] Q_2^{-1} [(I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(2)}(\rho)] d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

First we consider  $\|E^{(1)}(t)\|_2$ . Expanding on  $Q_1^{-1} [(I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho)]$ , we prove that the norm of  $Q_1^{-1}$  is bounded from above. Note that (since  $Q_1^{-1}$  and  $Q_1$  are not necessarily symmetric matrices),

$$\|Q_1^{-1}\|_2 = \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq k \leq (N-1)^2} |\lambda_k((Q_1^{-1})^T Q_1^{-1})|}. \quad (32)$$

We show that the eigenvalues of  $(Q_1^{-1})^T Q_1^{-1}$  are positive and bounded from above by 1. Alternatively, we show that eigenvalues of  $Q_1^T Q_1$  are bounded from below by 1. We may decompose  $Q_1$  as a sum  $Q_1 = K_1 + K_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= I \otimes I + (I \otimes B)^{-1}(B \otimes I) \\ K_2 &= 2(I \otimes B)^{-1} \left[ (I \otimes D)(D \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{2}(I \otimes D)(B \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{2}(D \otimes I)(I \otimes B) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Thus,  $Q_1^T Q_1 = (K_1 + K_2)^T (K_1 + K_2) = K_1^T K_1 + (K_1^T K_2 + K_2^T K_1) + K_2^T K_2$ . The matrix  $K_1$  is decomposed as a sum of the two positive definite matrices  $K_1 = P_1 + P_2$ , where  $P_1 = I \otimes I$ ,  $P_2 = (I \otimes B)^{-1}(B \otimes I)$ . Note that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are symmetric positive-definite matrices. Therefore, the matrix  $K_1^T K_1$  may be written as

$$K_1^T K_1 = I \otimes I + 2P_2 + P_2^2. \quad (34)$$

Thus,  $K_1^T K_1$  is a sum of a symmetric positive definite matrix  $I \otimes I$  and a symmetric positive definite matrix  $2P_2 + P_2^2$ . Since all the eigenvalues of  $I \otimes I$  are 1, then all the eigenvalues of  $K_1^T K_1$  are greater than 1. Now we consider the matrix  $K_1^T K_2 + K_2^T K_1$ , which is a symmetric matrix. We show that its eigenvalues are positive. First, the matrix  $K_1$  is symmetric positive definite. Next, the matrix  $K_2$  is a product of two symmetric positive definite matrices  $S$  and  $T$ , where

$$S = 2(I \otimes B)^{-1}, \quad T = (I \otimes D)(D \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{2}(I \otimes D)(B \otimes I) + \frac{h^2}{2}(D \otimes I)(I \otimes B). \quad (35)$$

Thus,

$$K_2 = ST = ST^{1/2}T^{1/2} = T^{-1/2}T^{1/2}ST^{1/2}T^{1/2} = T^{-1/2}(T^{1/2}ST^{1/2})T^{1/2}. \quad (36)$$

Therefore,  $K_2$  is similar to a positive definite matrix, thus its eigenvalues are positive. Since  $K_1^T$  and  $K_2$  are positive definite matrices, then by a similar argument as in (35)-(36), the eigenvalues of  $K_1^T K_2$  are positive. Similarly, the eigenvalues of  $K_2^T K_1$  are also positive. Therefore, the matrix  $K_1^T K_2 + K_2^T K_1$  is symmetric, having positive eigenvalues. Consider now the symmetric matrix  $K_2^T K_2$ . We have shown that the eigenvalues of  $K_2$  are positive, therefore so are the eigenvalues of  $K_2^T K_2$ . Hence, all the eigenvalues of  $Q_1^T Q_1$  are greater than 1. As a result, all the eigenvalues of  $(Q_1^{-1})^T Q_1^{-1}$  are smaller than 1. Hence,

$$\|Q_1^{-1}\|_2 = \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq k \leq (N-1)^2} |\lambda_k((Q_1^{-1})^T Q_1^{-1})|} \leq 1. \quad (37)$$

are symmetric positive definite matrices. Similarly,  $\|Q_2^{-1}\|_2 \leq 1$ . We continue with bounding  $E^{(1)}(t)$ . The matrix  $e^{-A(t-\rho)}A$  may be diagonalized by a unitary matrix  $Z$ , which is independent of  $t - \rho$  containing the normalized eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix  $A$ . Thus,

$$e^{-A(t-\rho)}A = Z \Lambda(t - \rho) Z^T, \quad (38)$$

where  $\Lambda(\rho) = \text{diag}(e^{-\lambda_1(t-\rho)}\lambda_1, \dots, e^{-\lambda_{(N-1)^2}(t-\rho)}\lambda_{(N-1)^2})$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{(N-1)^2}$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Since  $Z$  is independent of  $t - \rho$ , we obtain from (31) and (38)

$$E^{(1)}(t) = Z \int_0^t \Lambda(t - \rho) Z^T Q_1^{-1} [(I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho)] d\rho. \quad (39)$$

We consider now the component  $i$  (for  $i = 1, \dots, (N-1)^2$ ) of the vector  $E^{(1)}(t)$ .

$$E_i^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)^2} Z_{ik} \int_0^t \Lambda_{k,k}(t - \rho) (Z^T Q_1^{-1} (I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho))_k d\rho. \quad (40)$$

Expanding on  $(Z^T Q_1^{-1} (I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho))_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z^T Q_1^{-1} (I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho))_k &= \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} ((I \otimes B)^{-1} R^{(1)}(\rho))_l \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} \sum_{m=1}^{(N-1)^2} (I \otimes B)_{lm}^{-1} R_m^{(1)}(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$E_i^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)^2} Z_{ik} \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} \sum_{m=1}^{(N-1)^2} (I \otimes B)_{lm}^{-1} \int_0^t \Lambda_{k,k}(t - \rho) R_m^{(1)}(\rho) d\rho. \quad (42)$$

Since  $\Lambda_{k,k}(t - \rho) = e^{-\lambda_k(t-\rho)}\lambda_k$  and  $e^{-\lambda_k(t-\rho)}\lambda_k \geq 0$ , we have (by the extended mean-value theorem for integration)

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^{(1)}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)^2} Z_{ik} \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} \sum_{m=1}^{(N-1)^2} (I \otimes B)_{lm}^{-1} \left[ \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_k(t-\rho)} \lambda_k d\rho \right] R_m^{(1)}(\rho_{m,k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)^2} Z_{ik} [1 - e^{-\lambda_k t}] \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} \sum_{m=1}^{(N-1)^2} (I \otimes B)_{lm}^{-1} R_m^{(1)}(\rho_{m,k}), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where  $0 \leq \rho_{m,k} \leq t$ .

Let  $L^{(k)} = [R_1(\rho_{1,k}), R_2(\rho_{2,k}), \dots, R_{(N-1)^2}(\rho_{(N-1)^2,k})]^T$ . Using (16), we have

$$L^{(k)} = [O(h), 0, \dots, 0, O(h), O(h), O(h^4), \dots, O(h^4), O(h), \dots, O(h), 0, \dots, 0, O(h)]^T. \quad (44)$$

Define  $V^{(k)} = (I \otimes B)^{-1} L^{(k)}$ . Then, Equation (43) may be written as

$$E_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)^2} Z_{ik} [1 - e^{-\lambda_k t}] \sum_{l=1}^{(N-1)^2} (Z^T Q_1^{-1})_{kl} V_l^{(k)}. \quad (45)$$

By the Corollary 1, Equation (18), we have

$$|V_l^{(k)}| = \left| \sum_{m=1}^{(N-1)^2} ((I \otimes B)^{-1})_{lm} L_m^{(k)} \right| \leq Ch^4, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (46)$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $N$ . Define the vector  $W$  by  $W_l = \max_{k=1, \dots, (N-1)^2} |V_l^{(k)}|$ . By Equation (46) the  $L_2$  norm of the vector  $W$  is bounded by

$$\|W\|_2 \leq Ch^3. \quad (47)$$

Define  $D_1 = \text{diag}(1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t}, \dots, 1 - e^{-\lambda_{(N-1)^2} t})$ . Therefore, Equation (45) yields

$$\|E^{(1)}(t)\|_2 \leq \|Z\|_2 \|D_1\|_2 \|Z^T\|_2 \|Q_1^{-1}\|_2 \|W\|_2. \quad (48)$$

Since  $Z^T = Z^{-1}$  and by Equation (37), we have  $\|Z\|_2 = \|Z^T\|_2 = 1$ ,  $\|Q_1^{-1}\|_2 \leq 1$ . We show now that  $\|D_1\|_2 \leq C$ . Since the eigenvalues  $A$  are positive, we have  $\|D_1\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq (N-1)^2} |1 - e^{-\lambda_j t}| \leq 1$ . We conclude from (48) (47) that  $\|E^{(1)}(t)\|_2 \leq Ch^3$ . Similarly,  $\|E^{(2)}(t)\|_2 \leq Ch^3$ . Therefore, for  $|e(t)|_h = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} h^2 |e_{j,k}|^2}$ , we have  $|e(t)|_h \leq Ch^4$ ,  $0 < t < T$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Numerical Results

Consider the equation  $u_t + \Delta^2 u = f$  with the exact solution  $u = e^{-t}(1 - x^2)^2(1 - y^2)^2$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $t > 0$ , where  $f(x, t)$  is chosen so that  $u$  is the solution of the differential equation above.

**Table 1** Compact scheme for  $u_t + \Delta^2 u = f$  with exact solution:  $u = e^{-t}(1 - x^2)^2(1 - y^2)^2$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $t > 0$ . We present  $|e|_h$  the error in  $u$ , and  $|e_x|_h$  the error in  $u_x$  in the  $l_2$  norm at  $t = 0.25$ .

Mesh	$N = 8$	Rate	$N = 16$	Rate	$N = 32$	Rate	$N = 64$
$ e _h$	1.0819(-4)	3.91	7.2142(-6)	4.00	4.5152(-7)	4.00	2.8221(-8)
$ e_x _h$	1.8773(-4)	3.97	1.2001(-5)	4.01	7.4422(-7)	4.00	4.6480(-8)

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like thank Professor Matania Ben-Artzi from the Hebrew University, for his comments and insights concerning the matter of this work.

### References

1. S. Abarbanel, A. Ditzkowski and B. Gustafsson, "On Error Bounds of Finite Difference Approximations to Partial Differential Equations Temporal Behavior and Rate of Convergence", *J. Sci. Comput.*, **15** (2000), pp. 79-116.
2. M. Ben-Artzi, J.-P. Croisille and D. Fishelov, "*Navier-Stokes Equations in Planar Domains*", Imperial College Press, 2013.
3. M. Ben-Artzi, J.-P. Croisille and D. Fishelov, "A fast direct solver for the biharmonic problem in a rectangular grid", *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, **31** (2008), pp. 303-333.
4. M. Ben-Artzi and G. Katriel, "Spline functions, the biharmonic operator and approximate eigenvalues", *Numer. Mathematik*, **141** (2019), pp. 839-879.
5. D. Fishelov, M. Ben-Artzi and J.-P. Croisille, "Recent advances in the study of a fourth-order compact scheme for the one-dimensional biharmonic equation", *J. Sci. Comput.*, **53** (2012), pp. 55-79.
6. S. Wang and G. Kreiss, "Convergence of Summation-by-Parts Finite Difference Methods for the Wave Equation", *J. Sci. Comput.*, **71** (2017), pp. 219-245.