IS THERE A RAMSEY-HINDMAN THEOREM?

ETHAN AKIN AND ELI GLASNER

Abstract. We show that there does not exist a joint generalization of the theorems of Ramsey and Hindman, or more explicitly, that the property of containing a symmetric IP-set is not divisible.

1. IP AND SIP SETS

We will use $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{N}$ to stand for the sets of integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively.

For $F$ a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\sigma_F \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sum of the elements of $F$ with the convention that $\sigma_\emptyset = 0$. Of course, if $F$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then $\sigma_F \in \mathbb{N}$.

Call a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ symmetric if $-A = A$ where $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$. For any subset $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ let $A_+ = A \cup -A$ so that $A_+$ is the smallest symmetric set which contains $A$. On the other hand, let $A_+ = A \cap \mathbb{N}$, the positive part of $A$. Note that if $A$ is symmetric then $A = A_+$ and $A \setminus \{0\} = (A_+)\pm$.

For subsets $A_1, A_2$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ we let $A_1 + A_2 = \{a_1 + a_2 : a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2\}$ and $A_1 - A_2 = A_1 + (-A_2)$. If $A_2 = \{n\}$ we write $A_1 - n$ for $A_1 - A_2$.

Let $A$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. We set

$$D(A) = \{a_1 - a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\} = A - A$$
$$IP(A) = \{\sigma_F : F \text{ a finite subset of } A\}$$
$$SIP(A) = D(IP(A)) = IP(A) - IP(A)$$

Clearly, $0 \in D(A)$ and $D(A)$ is symmetric and so the same is true of $SIP(A)$. If $0 \in A$ then $A \subset D(A)$. In general, $D(A) \cup A \cup -A = D(A \cup \{0\})$.

In particular, $0 = \sigma_\emptyset \in IP(A)$ implies $IP(A) \subset SIP(A)$.
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If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ then $IP(A) = \{0\} \cup IP(A)_+ \text{ since } 0 = \sigma_0 \in IP(A)$. $IP(A) = IP(A \cup \{0\}) = IP(A \setminus \{0\})$.

**Lemma 1.1.** If $B$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ then

$$SIP(B) = IP(B_{\pm}).$$

If $A$ is a symmetric subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $A \setminus \{0\}$ nonempty then

$$SIP(A_+) = IP(A).$$

**Proof:** If $F \subset B_{\pm}$ then

$$\sigma_F = \sigma_{F\cap B} + \sigma_{F\cap -B} = \sigma_{F\cap B} - \sigma_{(-F)\cap B}$$

Hence, $IP(B_{\pm}) \subset SIP(B)$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $F_1, F_2$ be finite subsets of $B$.

$$\sigma_{F_1} - \sigma_{F_2} = \sigma_{F_1 \cup -F_2}$$

since $F_1$ and $-F_2$ are disjoint.

If $A$ is symmetric and $A \setminus \{0\}$ is nonempty then $A_+$ is nonempty and the previous result applied to $B = A_+$ yields the second equation since $(A_+)_+ = A \setminus \{0\}$ and $IP(A) = IP(A \setminus \{0\})$.

We say that a subset $B \subset \mathbb{N}$ is

- a *difference set* if there exists an infinite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $D(A)_+ \subset B$.
- an *IP set* if there exists an infinite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $IP(A)_+ \subset B$.
- an *SIP set* if there exists an infinite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $SIP(A)_+ \subset B$.

Since $A \setminus \{0\} = (A_+)_\pm$ if $A$ is a symmetric subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ it follows from Lemma 1.1 that $B$ is an SIP set iff there exists an infinite symmetric subset $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $IP(A)_+ \subset B$.

We next recall the statements of two -now classical- combinatorial theorems (see [4] and [5]):

**Theorem 1.2.** [Ramsey] Let $A$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. If one colors the set $D(A)_+$ in finitely many colors then there exists an infinite subset $L \subset A$ such that $D(L)_+$ is monochromatic.

**Theorem 1.3.** [Hindman] Let $A$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. If one colors the set $IP(A)_+$ in finitely many colors then there exists an infinite subset $L \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $IP(L)_+ \subset IP(A)_+$ and $IP(L)_+$ is monochromatic.
In view of these two famous and basic theorems it is natural to pose the following question. Suppose a finite coloring of a set of the form 
\[ SIP_{+}(A) = D(IP(A))_{+} \] is given, is there an infinite subset \( L \subset \mathbb{N} \) such that 
\[ SIP_{+}(L) \subset SIP_{+}(A) \] and \( SIP_{+}(L) \) is monochromatic?

In other words the question is: is there a combined Ramsey-Hindman theorem?

In this paper we will show, as expected, that the answer to this question is negative. We show that it fails in a strong sense and, in the process, raise some related dynamics questions. For more details and background see [2] and [1]. We thank Benjy Weiss for his very helpful advice.

2. Families of Sets

For an infinite set \( Q \) a family \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( Q \) is a collection of subsets of \( Q \) which is hereditary upwards. That is, \( \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P} \), where \( \mathcal{P} \) is the power set of \( Q \) and \( A \subset B \) implies \( B \in \mathcal{F} \). For any collection \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) of subsets of \( Q \), the family \( \mathcal{F} = \{ B : A \subset B \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \} \) is the family generated by \( \mathcal{F}_1 \).

The dual family 
\[ \mathcal{F}_1^* = \{ B : B \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \} \]
is indeed a family, and when \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) is a family we have 
\[ \mathcal{F}_1^* = \{ B : Q \setminus B \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \}. \]

A family \( \mathcal{F} \) is proper when \( \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset \) and \( \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \). The dual of a proper family is proper and \( \mathcal{F}^{**} = \mathcal{F} \).

Given families \( \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \) the join \( \mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2 = \{ A_1 \cap A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \} \). By the heredity condition \( \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2 \). Clearly, \( \mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2 \) is proper iff \( \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_1^* \). We say that two proper families meet when the join is proper.

It is easy to check that for families \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \)
- \( (\mathcal{F}^*)^* = \mathcal{F} \).
- \( \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \) implies \( \mathcal{F}_2^* \subset \mathcal{F}_1^* \). More generally, \( \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \) implies 
  \( \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}_2^* \subset \mathcal{F}_1^* \).
- \( \mathcal{F}^* \) is proper if \( \mathcal{F} \) is proper.

If \( \mathcal{P}_+ \) is the collection of all nonempty subsets of \( Q \) then \( \mathcal{P}_+ \) is the largest proper family with dual \( (\mathcal{P}_+)^* = \{ Q \} \), the smallest proper family. The collection \( \mathcal{B} \) of all infinite subsets of \( Q \) is a proper family and the dual \( \mathcal{B}^* \) is the family of all cofinite subsets of \( Q \).
A family is a filter when it is proper and closed under finite intersection. That is, $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and so $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter iff $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F}^* \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$.

The dual of a filter is called a filterdual. It is sometimes called a divisible family. A family is a filterdual when it satisfies what Furstenberg dubbed the Ramsey Property:

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{F} \implies A_1 \in \mathcal{F} \text{ or } A_2 \in \mathcal{F}.$$ (2.1)

A family $\mathcal{F}$ on $Q$ is full if it is proper and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $B \setminus F \in \mathcal{F}$ for any finite $F \subseteq Q$ and so $\mathcal{F}$ is full if $\mathcal{F} : F^* = \mathcal{F}$. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ is full iff $B^* \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}^*$ is the smallest full filter while $\mathcal{P}^* = \{Q\}$ is the smallest filter.

If a family $\mathcal{F}$ is full then $\mathcal{F}^* = \{B : B \cap A \text{ is infinite, for all } A \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and $\mathcal{F}^*$ is full.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filterdual then, by induction, for all positive integers $k$, $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, k$. We can interpret this in terms of colorings. If one colors a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ in finitely many colors then there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $B \subset A$ and $B$ is monochromatic.

Thus, the Ramsey Theorem 1.2 implies that the family of difference sets is a filterdual on $\mathbb{N}$ and the Hindman Theorem 1.3 says exactly that the family of IP sets is a filterdual on $\mathbb{N}$.

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is infinite and $K$ is a positive integer then $A \setminus [1, K]$ is infinite and $IP(A \setminus [1, K])$ is disjoint from $[1, K]$ and is contained in $IP(A)$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}$ the family of IP sets is a full family. If $A = \{a_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{k+1} > a_k + K$ for all $k$ then $D(A)_+$ is disjoint from $[1, K]$. Since any infinite set contains such a subsequence it follows that the family of difference sets is full as well. We will see below that the family $\mathcal{S}$ of SIP sets is also full.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a family on $\mathbb{N}$ then $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $(A + n)_+ = (A + n) \cap \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A proper, invariant family is full since $A \setminus [1, n] = ((A - n)_+ + n)_+$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of infinite sets, i. e. $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$, then we let

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma^\mathcal{F} &= \{ (A + n)_+ : A \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \\
\tilde{\gamma}^\mathcal{F} &= \{ A : (A + n)_+ \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\end{align*}$$ (2.2)

That is, $\gamma^\mathcal{F}$ is the smallest invariant family containing $\mathcal{F}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^\mathcal{F}$ is a largest invariant family contained in $\mathcal{F}$. 


It is easy to see that the dual of an invariant family is invariant from which it follows that for any family \( F \)
\[(\gamma F)^* = \tilde{\gamma}(F^*).\]

Also, one observes that if \( F \) is a filter then \( \tilde{\gamma}F \) is an invariant filter contained in \( F \) and so \( \gamma(F^*) \) is an invariant filter dual containing the filter dual \( F^* \).

3. Dynamics

We call \((X, T)\) a dynamical system when \( X \) is a compact metric space and \( T \) is a homeomorphism on \( X \). We review some well-known facts about such systems.

If \( A, B \subset X \) then the hitting time set is
\[N(A, B) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : T^n(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset \} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : A \cap T^{-n}(B) \neq \emptyset \} \]
If \( A = \{ x \} \) then we write \( N(x, B) \) for \( N(A, B) \). Observe that for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[N(A, T^k(B)) = N(A, B) + k; \]
\[N(A, T^{-k}(B)) \supset (N(A, B) - k)_{+} \supset N(A, T^{-k}(B)) \setminus [1, k]. \]

The system \((X, T)\) is topologically transitive if whenever \( U, V \subset X \) are nonempty and open, \( N(U, V) \) is nonempty. In that case, all such \( N(U, V) \)'s are infinite. A point \( x \in X \) is called a transitive point if \( N(x, U) \) is nonempty for every open and nonempty \( U \) in which case, again, the \( N(x, U) \)'s are infinite. We denote by \( Trans_T \) the set of transitive points in \( X \). The system is topologically transitive iff \( Trans_T \) is nonempty in which case it is a dense \( G_{\delta} \) subset of \( X \). The system is minimal when \( Trans_T = X \).

**Proposition 3.1.** If \( U, V \subset X \) are nonempty and open and \( x \) is a transitive point for \((X, T)\), then
\[N(U, V) = (N(x, V) - N(x, U))_{+}. \]

**Proof:** If \( n > m \) and \( T^n(x) \in V, T^m(x) \in U \) then \( T^{n-m}(T^m(x)) = T^n(x) \) implies \( n - m \in N(U, V) \). On the other hand, suppose that \( k \in N(U, V) \). Then \( U \cap T^{-k}(V) \) is a nonempty open set and so there exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( T^m(x) \in U \cap T^{-k}(V) \). Hence, \( T^m(x) \in U \) and \( T^n(x) \in V \) with \( n - m = k \). \( \Box \)
Proposition 3.2. Let $U$ be an open set with $x \in U$ where $x$ is a transitive point for $(X, T)$. The hitting time set $N(x, U)$ is an IP set.

Assume, in addition, that there exists an involution $J$ on $X$ which maps $T$ to $T^{-1}$ and fixes $x$. That is, $J^2 = \text{id}_X$, $J \circ T = T^{-1} \circ J$ and $J(x) = x$. In that case, $N(x, U)$ is an SIP set.

Proof: We assume $J$ exists as described above. By intersecting $U$ and $J(U)$ we can assume that $U$ is $J$ invariant.

Suppose that $F_N \subset \mathbb{N}$ of cardinality $N$ such that $\text{SIP}(F_N)_+ \subset N(x, U)$. That is, for every $n \in \text{SIP}(F_N)_+$, $T^n(x) \in U$. Since $J$ fixes $x$ and $U$ and maps $T$ to $T^{-1}$ it follows that $T^{-n}(x) \in U$ for all such $n$ as well. That is, $T^n(x) \in U$ for all $n$ in the symmetric finite set $\text{SIP}(F_N)$. Let $V = \bigcap_{n \in \text{SIP}(F_N)} T^{-n}(U)$. By symmetry, $J(V) = V$ and $V$ is a nonempty open set containing $x$. Since $N(x, V)$ is infinite, there exists $m \in N(x, V)$ which is larger than any element of $\text{SIP}(F_N)$. By construction $T^n(x) \in U$ for all $n \in \text{SIP}(F \cup \{m\})$.

It follows that $F_N + 1 = F_N \cup \{m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ has cardinality $N + 1$ and $\text{SIP}(F \cup \{m\})_+ \subset N(x, U)$.

Let $F = \bigcup_N \{F_N\}$. Since we go from $F$ to $\text{IP}(F)$ via finite sums, it follows that $\text{SIP}(F) = \bigcup_N \{\text{SIP}(F_N)\}$. Hence, $\text{SIP}(F)_+ \subset N(x, U)$.

The inductive construction for the more general IP result is similar, but easier, as the dance using symmetry is not required.

$\square$

Corollary 3.3. If $(X, T)$ is topologically transitive and $U, V \subset X$ are nonempty and open then $N(U, U)$ is an SIP set and $N(U, V)$ is the translation of an SIP set.

Proof: Let $x$ be a transitive point contained in $U$. By Proposition 3.1 $N(U, U) = (N(x, U) - N(x, U))_+$ and by Proposition 3.2 $N(x, U)$ is an IP set.

Now let $n \in N(U, V)$ and let $U_0 = U \cap T^{-n}(V)$. $N(U, T^{-n}(V))$ contains the SIP set $N(U_0, U_0)$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a full family, (3.1) implies that $N(U, V)$ is the translate of an SIP set.

$\square$

It is possible to get SIP recurrence under much more general circumstances but this result will take care of what we need. We use it to produce a dynamic example which will prove the following:

Theorem 3.4. The family $\mathcal{S}$ of SIP sets is not a filter dual.
**Proof:** We consider the case where $X$ is the circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and with a fixed irrational let $T(x) = x + a$, the *irrational rotation on the circle*. This is a minimal system and so every point is a transitive point.

We can regard the circle as $X = [−\frac{1}{2}, + \frac{1}{2}]$ with $−\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. The involution $J$ on $X$ given by $x \mapsto −x$ fixes 0 and maps $T$ to $T^{-1}$. Hence, if $U$ is an open set containing 0 then $N(0, U)$ is an SIP set by Proposition 3.2. If $b \in X$ then the translation $x \mapsto x + b$ commutes with $T$ and maps 0 to $b$. It follows that if $U$ is an open set which contains $b$ then $N(b, U)$ is an SIP set.

Let $U = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}), U_+ = [0, \frac{1}{8})$, $U_- = (−\frac{1}{8}, 0]$. The SIP set $N(0, U)$ is the union $N(0, U_+) \cup N(0, U_-)$ and we will show that neither $N(0, U_+)$ nor $N(0, U_-)$ is an SIP set. Replacing $a$ by $−a$ interchanges the two sets and so it suffices to focus on $N(0, U_+)$. We have to show that there is no infinite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $SIP(A)_+ \subset N_T(0, U_+)$. Assume such $A$ exists. Let

$$M = \sup \{T^t(0) = ta : t \in SIP(A)_+\}.$$  

Thus, $0 < M \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a finite subset $F \subset A_+$ with $0 < \sigma_F$ and such that $M - \epsilon < T^{\sigma_F}(0) = \sigma_F a \leq M \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Since $A$ is infinite, there exists $t \in A$ larger than all the elements of $SIP(F)_+$ and so with $t > \sigma_F$. Thus, $t - \sigma_F, t + \sigma_F \in SIP(A)_+ \subset N_T(0, U_+)$. Thus, $0 < (t - \sigma_F)a \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Since $2\sigma_F a \leq 2M \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we have $(t + \sigma_F)a = (t - \sigma_F)a + 2\sigma_F a > 2(M - \epsilon)$. If $\epsilon$ is chosen less than $\frac{M}{2}$ then $t + \sigma_F \in SIP(A)_+$ with $(t + \sigma_F)a > M$. This contradicts the definition of $M$.

However, the dynamics suggests a further conjecture.

A dynamical system $(X, T)$ is called $\mathcal{F}$ topologically transitive for a proper family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ if for all $U, V \subset X$ open and nonempty $N(U, V) \in \mathcal{F}$. From (3.1) it follows that every translate of $N(U, V)$ is also in $\mathcal{F}$ and so $N(U, V) \in \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$. That is, an $\mathcal{F}$ topologically transitive family is automatically a $\tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ topologically transitive family.

A system $(X, T)$ is called *mild mixing* if it is $\mathcal{S}^*$ topologically transitive. Glasner and Weiss [3, Theorem 4.11, page 614] (and also, independently, Huang and Ye [6]) prove the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** $(X, T)$ is mild mixing iff for every topologically transitive system $(Y, S)$ the product system $(X \times Y, T \times S)$ is topologically transitive.
Proof: Suppose $U_1, V_1 \subset X$ and $U_2, V_2 \subset Y$ are open and nonempty. Fix $n \in N(U_2, V_2)$ so that $U_3 = U_2 \cap S^{-n}(V_2) \subset Y$ is open and nonempty. By Corollary 3.3 $N(U_2, S^{-n}(V_2)) \supset N(U_3, U_3)$ is an SIP set. Because $(X, T)$ is mild mixing $N(U_1, T^{-n}(V_1))$ is an $SIP^*$ set. Because $S$ is a full family the intersection is infinite. The intersection is $N(U_1 \times U_2, T \times S)^{-n}(V_1 \times V_2))$ and so by (3.1), $N(U_1 \times U_2, V_1 \times V_2)$ is infinite. Thus, the product is topologically transitive.

If $(X, T)$ is not mild mixing then there exist $U, V \subset X$ open and nonempty and an SIP set $A \subset N$ such that $N(U, V) \cap A = \emptyset$. The result then follows a construction of Glasner-Weiss which shows

Theorem 3.6. If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is an SIP set then there exists a topologically transitive system $(Y, S)$ and $G \subset Y$ a nonempty open set such that $N(G, G) \subset A$.

\[\square\]

Corollary 3.7. The product of any collection of mild mixing systems is mild mixing.

Proof: If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are mild mixing homeomorphisms and $S$ is topologically transitive, then $T_2 \times S$ is transitive and so $T_1 \times T_2 \times S$ is transitive. Hence, $T_1 \times T_2$ is mild mixing.

By induction a finite product of mild mixing systems is mild mixing. An infinite product times $S$ is the inverse limit of finite products times $S$ and the inverse limit of transitive systems is transitive. It follows that the infinite product is mild mixing.

\[\square\]

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the family on $\mathbb{N}$ generated by \{\(N(U, V) : (X, T)\) mild mixing and $U, V \subset X$ open and nonempty \}. From Corollary 3.7 it follows that $\mathcal{M}$ is a filter. Because $S^*$ transitivity implies $\tilde{\gamma}(S^*)$ transitivity it follows that $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\gamma}(S^*)$.

By the Hindman Theorem, $I$ the family of IP sets is a filterdual and so $J^*$ is a filter. It then follows that $\tilde{\gamma}(J^*) = (\gamma(J))^*$ is a filter.

We know from the above example that $S^*$ is not a filter, but it might still be true that $\tilde{\gamma}(S^*)$ is a filter. This would be true if $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{\gamma}(S^*)$. In that case, $\gamma S$ would be a filterdual. In the example itself, $N(0, U_+)$ is not an SIP set, but if $T^k(0) \in (-\frac{1}{5}, 0)$ then $0$ is in the interior of $T^k(U_+)$ and so $N(0, T^k(U_+))$ is an SIP set. From (3.1) it follows that $N(0, U_+)$ is the translate of an SIP set.

It is to this question that we now turn. As we will see this conjecture fails as well.
Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b = 2e + 1$ so that $b$ is an odd number greater than 1. Define $\alpha_b : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\alpha_b(n) = b^{n-1}$. The $b$ expansion of an integer $t$ is the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_n \alpha_b(n) = t$ such that:

- $|\epsilon_n| \leq e$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\epsilon_n = 0$ for all but finitely many $n$.

**Proposition 4.1.** Every integer in $\mathbb{Z}$ has a unique $b$ expansion.

**Proof:** By the Euclidean Algorithm every integer $t$ can be expressed uniquely as $\epsilon + bs$ with $|\epsilon| \leq e$. It follows by induction that every integer $t$ with $|t| < \frac{1}{2}(b^k - 1)$ has an expansion with $\epsilon_n = 0$ for $n \geq k$. There are $b^k$ such integers and the same number of expansions. So by the pigeonhole principle the expansions are unique.

We will only need the $b = 3$ expansions with $e = 1$ so that each $\epsilon_n = -1, +1$ or 0. We will write $\alpha$ for $\alpha_3$ so that $\alpha(n) = 3^{n-1}$. From Proposition 4.1 we obviously have

$$\mathbb{Z} = \text{SIP}(\alpha(\mathbb{N})).$$

The length $r(t)$ of $t$ is the number of nonzero $\epsilon_i$’s in the expansion of $t$. With $r = r(t)$ we let $j_1(t), \ldots, j_r(t)$ be the corresponding indices written in increasing order. That is,

- $j_1(t) < \cdots < j_r(t)$ and $\epsilon_{j_i(t)} = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r = r(t)$.
- $t = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \epsilon_{j_i(t)} \alpha(j_i(t))$.

We call this representation the reduced expansion and $j_1(t), \ldots, j_r(t)$ the indices of $t$.

Notice that 0 has length 0 and equals the empty sum. Because $3^{n+1} > 1 + 3 + \cdots + 3^n$ it follows that

$$t > 0 \iff \epsilon_{j_r(t)} = 1.$$  \hfill (4.1)

**Definition 4.2.** Assume that $j_1(t), \ldots, j_r(t)$ and $j_1(s), \ldots, j_r(s)$ are the indices of the reduced expansions for $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(a) Call $t$ of positive type (or of negative type) if $\epsilon_{j_1(t)} \epsilon_{j_r(t)}$ is positive (resp. is negative). So $t$ is of positive type if coefficients of its first and last indices have the same sign. By convention we will say that 0 is of positive type.

(b) We will write $t \succ s$ if $j_1(t) > j_r(s)$, that is, the indices for $t$ are larger than all of the indices of $s$. We will say that $t$ is beyond $s$ when $t \succ s$. 
If $t > 0$ then $\epsilon_{j_{r}(t)} = 1$, and so $t$ is of positive type (or negative type) if $\epsilon_{j_{r}(t)}$ is positive (resp. $\epsilon_{j_{r}(t)}$ is negative).

Notice that if $j_{r(s)}(s) = n + 1$, then

\[(4.2) \quad t > s \iff t > 3^n \iff t \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}},\]

Now we turn to SIP sets.

**Definition 4.3.** (a) We call a strictly increasing function $k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ a +function.

(b) If $k_1$ and $k_2$ are +functions we say that $k_2$ directly refines $k_1$ if $k_2(\mathbb{N}) \subset k_1(\mathbb{N})$. We say that $k_2$ refines $k_1$ if $IP(k_2(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k_1(\mathbb{N}))$.

Clearly, direct refinement implies refinement and each relation is transitive.

For an infinite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ there is a unique +function $k_A$ such that $k_A(\mathbb{N}) = A$, i.e. the function which counts the elements of $A$ in increasing order.

**Lemma 4.4.** If $k$ is a +function, then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a +function $k_1$ such that

- $k_1$ refines $k$.
- $k_1(n) > 3^{N-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Proof:** By induction we can assume that $k(n) > 3^{N-2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the condition is vacuous when $N = 1$). This means that $j_1(k(n)) \geq N$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 1: There is an infinite set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_1(k(n)) > N$ for all $n \in A$. Let $k_1$ be the +function with $k_1(\mathbb{N}) = k(A)$. Then $k_1$ is a direct refinement of $k$ and $j_1(k_1(n)) \geq N + 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $k_1(n) > 3^{N-1}$ for all $n$.

Case 2: There is an infinite set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_1(k(n)) = N$ and $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))} = \delta = -1$ for all $n \in A$, or there is an infinite set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_1(k(n)) = N$ and $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))} = \delta = +1$ for all $n \in A$.

Let $k$ be the +function with $k(\mathbb{N}) = k(A)$, a direct refinement of $k$ and $\tilde{k}(n) \equiv \delta 3^{N-1} \pmod{3^N}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k_1(n) = \tilde{k}(3n - 2) + \tilde{k}(3n - 1) + \tilde{k}(3n)$.

Clearly, $IP(k_1(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k(\mathbb{N}))$ and so $k_1$ refines $k$. For all $n$, $k_1(n) \equiv 3\delta 3^{N-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3^N}$. Thus, $k_1(n) > 3^{N-1}$ for all $n$.

\[\Box\]

**Theorem 4.5.** If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a translate of an SIP set then there exists $t_0 \in A$ and +function $k$ such that
(i) $k(1) \succ t_0$.
(ii) $k(n+1) \succ k(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iii) Either $k(n)$ is of positive type for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or else $k(n)$ is of negative type for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iv) $t_0 + \text{SIP}(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ = (t_0 + \text{SIP}(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ \subset A$.

**Proof:** There exists $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a $+$-function $k_0$ such that $(\text{SIP}(k_0(\mathbb{N})) + u)_+ \subset A$.

For sufficiently large $N_0$, $t_0 = u + \Sigma_{n=1}^{N_0} k_0(n) > 0$ and so lies in $A$.

Let $k_0^+$ be the direct refinement of $k_0$ with $k_0^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_0([N_0 + 1, \infty))$.

Hence,

$$(4.3) \quad (t_0 + \text{SIP}(k_0^+(\mathbb{N})))_+ \subset A.$$ 

Now we repeatedly apply Lemma 4.4.

Let $N_1 > j_r(t_0)$.

Choose $k_1$ a $+$-function which refines $k_0^+$ and with $k_1(n) \succ 3^{N_1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $k_1(1) \succ t_0$. So from (4.3) we have

$$(4.4) \quad (t_0 + \text{SIP}(k_1(\mathbb{N})))_+ \subset A.$$ 

Let $k_1^+$ be the direct refinement of $k_1$ with $k_1^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_1([2, \infty))$.

Let $N_2 > j_r(k_1(1))$ and choose $k_2$ a $+$-function which refines $k_1^+$ and with $k_2(n) \succ 3^{N_2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $k_2(1) \succ k_1(1)$. Furthermore,

$$(4.5) \quad \text{IP}([k_1(1)] \cup \text{IP}(k_2(\mathbb{N}))) \subset \text{IP}([k_1(1)] \cup \text{IP}(k_1^+(\mathbb{N}))) = \text{IP}(k_1(\mathbb{N})).$$

Inductively, let $k_q^+$ be the direct refinement of $k_q$ with $k_q^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_q([2, \infty))$ and let $N_{q+1} > j_r(k_q(1))$. Choose $k_{q+1}$ a refinement of $k_q^+$ with $k_{q+1}(n) \succ 3^{N_{q+1}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $k_{q+1}(1) \succ k_q(1)$ and

$$(4.6) \quad \text{IP}([k_q(1)] \cup \text{IP}(k_{q+1}(\mathbb{N}))) \subset \text{IP}([k_q(1)] \cup \text{IP}(k_q^+(\mathbb{N}))) = \text{IP}(k_q(\mathbb{N})), \quad \text{IP}([k_1(1), \ldots, k_q(1)] \cup \text{IP}(k_{q+1}(\mathbb{N}))) \subset \text{IP}(k_1(\mathbb{N})).$$

Now define $\tilde{k}(n) = k_n(1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Either $\tilde{k}(n)$ is of positive type infinitely often or of negative type infinitely often (or both). So we can choose a direct refinement $k$ of $\tilde{k}$ so that, (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. In addition,

$\text{IP}(k(\mathbb{N})) \subset \text{IP}(\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N})) \subset \text{IP}(k_1(\mathbb{N})).$

Clearly, $k(n+1) \succ k(n) \succ t_0$ and from (4.6) it follows that $\text{IP}(\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N})) \subset \text{IP}(k_1(\mathbb{N}))$ and so from (4.4) $[t_0 + \text{SIP}(k(\mathbb{N}))]_+ \subset A$.

Since $k(n) \succ t_0$ for all $n$ it follows from (4.2) that $t \succ t_0$ for all $t \in \text{SIP}(k(\mathbb{N}))$. Hence, if $t \in \text{SIP}(k(\mathbb{N}))$ is negative then $t_0 + t$ is
negative. Thus, 

\[ t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ = t_0 + [SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))]_+ \]

completing the proof of (iv).

\[ \square \]

**Remark:** Since \( N_1 \) can be chosen arbitrarily large it follows that \( SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ \) and hence \( t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ \) can be chosen disjoint from an arbitrary finite subset of \( \mathbb{N} \). This shows that \( S \) and \( \gamma S \) are full families.

For two distinct numbers \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) define

\begin{equation}
\delta(n, m) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } nm > 0, \\
1 & \text{if } nm < 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Now we define the *sign change count* to be the function \( z : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \) so that if \( t \in \mathbb{N} \) has reduced expansion with indices \( j_1(t), \ldots, j_r(t) \) then

\begin{equation}
z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r(t)-1} \delta(\epsilon_{j_i}, \epsilon_{j_{i+1}}).
\end{equation}

In particular, if the length is one then the sum is empty and so \( z(3^{n-1}) = 0 \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

For a positive integer \( K \) let \( \pi_K : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z} \) be the quotient map \( \text{mod } K \).

**Theorem 4.6.** If \( A \subset \mathbb{N} \) is a translate of an SIP set then for every odd number \( K \), \( \pi_K \circ z : A \to \mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z} \) is surjective.

**Proof:** Fix \( K \). Since it is odd, 2 and \(-2\) generate the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z} \).

By Theorem 4.5 we can choose \( t_0 \in A \) and a +function \( k \) which satisfies the four conditions of the theorem.

Let \( s_0 = t_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{2K+1} k(n) \). Since \( k(n+1) \succ k(n) \succ t_0 \) for all \( n \) we can regard the sequence \( \{k(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) as a sequence of disjoint ascending blocks in \( IP(\alpha) \).

Since each \( k(n) \) is positive, each \( \epsilon_{j_{rn}(k(n))} \) is positive, where \( r_n = r(k(n)) \). For \( i = 1, \ldots, K-1 \) let

\[ s_i = t_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{2i} (-1)^{n+1} k(n) + \sum_{n=2i+1}^{2K+1} k(n). \]

That is, moving from \( s_{i-1} \) to \( s_i \) we reverse the sign of the block \( k(2i) \) keeping the remaining blocks fixed. Clearly \( s_i \in A \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, K-1 \).

**Case 1-** Every \( k(n) \) is of positive type. Each \( \epsilon_{j_i(k(n))} \) is positive.

Moving from \( s_{i-1} \) to \( s_i \) increases \( z \) by exactly 2 because the ++ transition from \( j_{r_{2i-1}}(k(2i-1)) \) to \( j_1(k(2i)) \) is replaced by a +- transition.
and the ++ transition from \( j_{r_2i}(k(2i)) \) to \( j_1(k(2i + 1)) \) is replaced by a -- transition. Thus, \( \pi_K(z(s_i)) = \pi_K(z(s_{i-1})) + 2 \pmod{K} \). Since 2 generates the cyclic group, \( \pi_K \circ z \) is surjective.

Case 2- Every \( k(n) \) for \( n > 1 \) is of negative type. Each \( \epsilon_{j_1(k(n))} \) is negative. This time the +− transition from \( j_{r_2i-1}(k(2i-1)) \) to \( j_1(k(2i)) \) is replaced by a ++ transition and the +− transition from \( j_{r_2i}(k(2i)) \) to \( j_1(k(2i + 1)) \) is replaced by a −− transition. Thus, in this case, \( \pi_K(z(s_i)) = \pi_K(z(s_{i-1})) - 2 \pmod{K} \). Again \( \pi_K \circ z \) is surjective.

We can now deduce the following:

**Theorem 4.7.** If \( A \) is any SIP subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) (including \( \mathbb{N} \) itself), then \( A \) can be partitioned by two sets neither of which contains a translate of an SIP set. Thus, the family of translated SIP sets in \( \mathbb{N} \) is not a filterdual.

**Proof:** With \( K = 3 \), the sign count map \( z : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \) determines a coloring of \( \mathbb{N} \) and in any translated SIP set contained in \( IP(k)_+ \) all three colors occur.

In particular, let

\[
A_0 = \{ t \in \mathbb{N} : z(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \}, \\
A_1 = \mathbb{N} \setminus A_0 = \{ t \in \mathbb{N} : z(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \}.
\]

Neither \( A_0 \) nor \( A_1 \) contains a translate of an SIP set. It follows that both \( A_0 \) and \( A_1 \) are elements of the dual \( (\gamma S)^* = \tilde{\gamma}(S^*) \).

\( \square \)

In general the congruence classes \( \pmod{K} \) of \( z(t) \) (for \( K \) odd) define a decomposition of \( \mathbb{N} \) into \( K \) elements, each a member of \( \tilde{\gamma}(S^*) \subset S^* \). Thus, \( S^* \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}(S^*) \) fail to be filters in a very strong way.

## 5. Dynamics again

We defined the family \( M \) generated by the sets \( N(U,V) \) with \( (X,T) \) mild mixing and \( U,V \subset X \) open and nonempty. We saw that \( M \) is an invariant filter contained in \( \tilde{\gamma}(S^*) \). Now that we know that the latter is not a filter, we see that the inclusion is proper. Can we find another possible description of the sets in \( M \)?
For a proper family $\mathcal{F}$ on an infinite set $Q$ we define the \textit{sharp dual} $\mathcal{F}^\#$ by
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}^\# = \{ A \subset Q : A \cap B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{F} \}.
\end{equation}

\textbf{Proposition 5.1.} Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a proper family on an infinite set $Q$.
\begin{itemize}
  \item[(a)] $\mathcal{F}^\#$ is a filter contained in $\mathcal{F} \cap (\mathcal{F}^*)$. It is full if $\mathcal{F}$ is full.
  \item[(b)] $\mathcal{F}^\# = (\mathcal{F}^*)^\#$.
  \item[(c)] If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter, then $\mathcal{F}^\# = \mathcal{F}$. In particular, $(\mathcal{F}^\#)^\# = \mathcal{F}^\#$.
  \item[(d)] If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filterdual then $\mathcal{F}^\# = \mathcal{F}^*$.
\end{itemize}

\textbf{Proof:} (a) Since $\mathcal{F}$ is proper, $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ and so $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#$ implies $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Also, $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $A = A \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}^\#$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $(A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B = A_1 \cap (A_2 \cap B) \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $A$ is a cofinite set and $B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ since $\mathcal{F}$ is full. Thus, $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#$. That is, $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{F}^\#$. Since the latter is a filter, it is full.

(b) If $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#, B_1 \in \mathcal{F}^*, B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $(A \cap B_1) \cap B = (A \cap B) \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since $B$ was arbitrary, $A \cap B_1 \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Since $B_1$ was arbitrary, $A \in (\mathcal{F}^*)^\#$. The reverse inclusion follows from $(\mathcal{F}^*)^* = \mathcal{F}$.

(c) If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter, then $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ and so $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^\#$. From (a) it follows that $\mathcal{F}^\# \subset \mathcal{F}$.

(d) This follows from (b) and (c).

\square

\textbf{Theorem 5.2.} $\mathcal{M} \subset (\gamma \mathcal{S})^\# = (\tilde{\gamma} (\mathcal{S}^*))^\#$.

\textbf{Proof:} The equation follows from Proposition 5.1 (b).

Now let $(X, T)$ be mild mixing and $U, V \subset X$ be open and nonempty. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a translation of an SIP set. We show that $N(U, V) \cap A$ is the translation of an SIP set.

By the Glasner Weiss construction Theorem 3.6 there exists a topologically transitive system, $(Y, S)$, $G \subset Y$ open and nonempty and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $N(G, S^{-n}(G)) \setminus [1, |n|]$ is contained in $A$. It follows that $N(U, V) \cap A$ contains $N(U, V) \cap N(G, T^{-n}(G)) \setminus [1, |n|]$. Because $(X \times Y, T \times S)$ is topologically transitive, $N(U, V) \cap (N(G, T^{-n}(G)) = N(U \times G, V \times T^{-n}(G))$ is the translation of an SIP set by Corollary 3.3. As $\gamma \mathcal{S}$ is a full family, it follows that $N(U, V) \cap A$ is in $\gamma \mathcal{S}$.

\square

Our final conjecture is that $\mathcal{M} = (\gamma \mathcal{S})^\#$. 
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