Homework 1, March 21, 2021

Due on Sunday April 11.

1. Let X be a sequence of m accesses to elements in [n]. Let $q(i), i \in [n]$ be the number of accesses to elements i in X, and let p(i) = q(i)/m. Assume $q(i) \ge 1$ for all i. Prove that the total search time $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(i)(d(i) + 1) = m \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(i)(d(i) + 1)$ of X in any binary search tree storing n is $\Omega\left(q(i)\log\left(\frac{m}{q(i)}\right)\right)$. (Hint: One way to do it is using Gibbs inequality which says that $\sum p_i \log\left(\frac{1}{p_i}\right) \le \sum p_i \log\left(\frac{1}{q_i}\right)$ for any two distributions p_i and $q_i, i \in [n]$. Here $0 \cdot \log \frac{x}{0}$ is defined to be 0 for any x.)

2. Let X be a sequence of m accesses to elements in [n]. Let $q(i), i \in [n]$ be the number of accesses to elements i in X. Describe a dynamic programming algorithm that finds an **optimal** static search tree for X. Prove

1) That your algorithm indeed constructs a tree that minimizes the total access time.

2) An upper bound on the running time of your algorithm.

3. Give a sequence X for which the algorithm given in class that computes an approximate optimal static tree for X does not compute an optimal tree.

4. Assume we splay at a node x. Let y be a node on the path to x. Let d(y) be the depth of y before the splay and let d'(y) be the depth of y after the splay. Show that $d'(y) \leq \lfloor d(y)/2 \rfloor + c$ for a constant c. What is the smallest c that you can prove this for?

5. We define the following variation on the splay algorithm. This variation looks 3 steps (edges) towards the root from the node x and applies one of the rules in Figure 1 (or their mirror image) if possible. If it is not possible to apply one of the rules in Figure 1 we apply one of the regular zig-zig, zig-zag, or zig rules (Note that zig or zig-zig would apply only if x is at distance 1 or 2 from the root, respectively). Prove that the access lemma holds for this variation as well (with a different constant).

6. Recall the rebalancing operations on 2-4 trees (review this basic material on B-trees if needed).

When a node x gets too large (has 4 keys and 5 children) then we split it. The parent, p(x), gets an additional key (and child) following the split of x and we split p(x) also if needed. We continue splitting bottom-up until a node does not split or the root splits and we add a new root.

When a node x looses its last key then its steals a key (and a child) from a sibling if possible and otherwise we fuse x with its sibling. This fusing causes p(x) to lose a key (and a child) and we repeat the process at p(x) if p(x) lost its last key.

1) Describe an implementation of find, insert, delete, join, and split on **finger** 2-4 trees, that use the rebalancing processes described above. Your implementation should guarantee the following.

Consider a sequence of m operations on an (initially empty) collection of 2-4 finger search trees. Out of these m operations k are concatenations where the *i*th concatenation concatenates two trees such that the smaller among them contains n_i elements. The other m-k operations are find, insert, delete, and split. The *j*-th operation among these m-k operations is performed on an element of rank d_j (there are d_j items smaller than it in this tree) in a tree containing n_j elements.

Such a sequence should take

$$O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\log(n_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k}\log(\min\{d_j, n_j - d_j\})\right)$$

time. Prove that this indeed holds for your implementation.

2) Improve the bound on the running time of the sequence described above (and improve also your implementation if needed) to

$$O\left(k + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k} \log(\min\{d_j, n_j - d_j\})\right) .$$



Figure 1: Splay cases in question (5)