Harmonic functions and Brownian Motion

Oleg Ivrii

October 19, 2020

白 ト イヨト イヨト

Potential theory lies in the intersection of

- complex analysis,
- probability,
- partial differential equations.

The main objects we will study are

- harmonic and subharmonic functions,
- Brownian motion,
- Sobolev spaces.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic if it continuous and satisfies the mean-value property (MVP)

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y),$$

provided $\overline{B(x,r)} \subset \Omega$.

Alternatively, a function is harmonic if it is C^2 and satisfies

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_n^2} = 0.$$

Remark. There are 6 different versions of the MVP. One can require the MVP on

- Spheres,
- Small spheres,
- A sequence of arbitrarily small spheres,
- Balls,
- Small balls,
- A sequence of arbitrarily small balls.

In fact, all 6 versions of the MVP are equivalent.

Lemma. The first definition requires u to be continuous, while the second definition requires u to be C^2 . In fact, any harmonic function is C^{∞} .

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

Lemma. The first definition requires u to be continuous, while the second definition requires u to be C^2 . In fact, any harmonic function is C^{∞} .

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, construct a bump function

 $\phi \in C^{\infty}, \qquad \phi(x) \ge 0, \qquad \phi(x) \text{ only depends on } |x|,$ $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset B(0, \varepsilon), \qquad \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \phi(x) \, |dx|^n = 1.$

同下 イヨト イヨト ニヨ

Lemma. The first definition requires u to be continuous, while the second definition requires u to be C^2 . In fact, any harmonic function is C^{∞} .

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, construct a bump function

 $\phi \in C^{\infty}, \qquad \phi(x) \ge 0, \qquad \phi(x) ext{ only depends on } |x|,$ $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset B(0, \varepsilon), \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \, |dx|^n = 1.$

If dist $(x, \partial \Omega) > \varepsilon$,

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y)\phi(x-y) |dy|^n \in C^{\infty}$$

同下 イヨト イヨト ニヨ

Lemma. For C^2 functions, MVP $\iff \Delta u = 0$.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Lemma. For C^2 functions, MVP $\iff \Delta u = 0$.

Green's formula. If $u \in C^2(\overline{B(x,r)})$, then

$$\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y) - u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B(x,r)} \Delta u(y) \log \frac{r}{|y-x|} |dy|^2$$

(A similar formula holds in higher dimensions.)

伺 と く き と く き と

Lemma. For C^2 functions, MVP $\iff \Delta u = 0$.

Green's formula. If $u \in C^2(\overline{B(x,r)})$, then

$$\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y) - u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B(x,r)} \Delta u(y) \log \frac{r}{|y-x|} |dy|^2$$

(A similar formula holds in higher dimensions.)

Exercise.
$$\Delta u(y) = \lim_{r \to 0} (4/r^2) \cdot \left\{ f_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y) - u(x) \right\}.$$

伺 と く き と く き と

The same argument shows that for C^2 functions, the sub-mean value property

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y) \ge u(x)$$

is equivalent to $\Delta u \geq 0$.

→ ∃ →

The same argument shows that for C^2 functions, the sub-mean value property

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y) \ge u(x)$$

is equivalent to $\Delta u \geq 0$.

Warning! Typically when discussing subharmonic functions, one asks that they are merely upper semi-continuous. Thus, the equation $\Delta u \ge 0$ needs to be understood weakly in the sense of distributions.

Maximum modulus principle. Suppose $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function. If it achieves its maximum value in the interior, then u is constant.

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

Maximum modulus principle. Suppose $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function. If it achieves its maximum value in the interior, then u is constant.

Dirichlet's problem. Suppose Ω is a bounded domain. Given a continuous function $f : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, find a harmonic function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ which continuously to $\partial \Omega$ and agrees with f.

(4) (5) (4) (5) (4)

Maximum modulus principle. Suppose $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function. If it achieves its maximum value in the interior, then u is constant.

Dirichlet's problem. Suppose Ω is a bounded domain. Given a continuous function $f : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, find a harmonic function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ which continuously to $\partial \Omega$ and agrees with f.

The maximum-modulus principle shows that if the solution exists, then it is unique.

Poisson Integral Formula. If $u: B(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic and continuous up to the boundary, then

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} u(\zeta) \frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-\zeta|^n} dS(\zeta).$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Poisson Integral Formula. If $u: B(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic and continuous up to the boundary, then

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} u(\zeta) \frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-\zeta|^n} dS(\zeta).$$

Dirichlet's problem on the ball. Since the Poisson kernel is harmonic, for any cts. function $f : \partial B(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(x) := P[f](x) = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} f(\zeta) \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^n} dS(\zeta)$$

defines a harmonic function.

¬<</p>

To see that P[f] extends f, notice that the Poisson kernel

$$P_x(\zeta) = \frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-\zeta|^n}$$

satisfies the following properties:

- $P_x(\zeta) > 0$,
- $\int_{\partial B(0,1)} P_{x}(r\zeta) dS(\zeta) = 1$ for 0 < r < 1,
- $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ s.t.$

 $P_{x}(\zeta) < \varepsilon,$

provided $1 - |x| < \delta$ and $|x - \zeta| > \delta$.

• E •

Harnack's inequality

Suppose *u* is a positive harmonic function defined on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B(0,1)}$. Since

$$C_1 < rac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^n} < C_2, \qquad x \in B(0, 1), \quad \zeta \in \partial B(0, 1),$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Harnack's inequality

Suppose *u* is a positive harmonic function defined on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B(0,1)}$. Since

$$C_1 < rac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^n} < C_2, \qquad x \in B(0, 1), \quad \zeta \in \partial B(0, 1),$$

there exists universal constants c, C > 0 such that

$$c < \frac{u(x_1)}{u(x_2)} < C, \qquad x_1, x_2 \in B(0, 1/2).$$

Harnack's inequality

Suppose *u* is a positive harmonic function defined on a neighbourhood of $\overline{B(0,1)}$. Since

$$C_1 < rac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^n} < C_2, \qquad x \in B(0, 1), \quad \zeta \in \partial B(0, 1),$$

there exists universal constants c, C > 0 such that

$$c < \frac{u(x_1)}{u(x_2)} < C, \qquad x_1, x_2 \in B(0, 1/2).$$

If u is harmonic on a domain Ω and K is a compact subset, then

$$c(K,\Omega) < \frac{u(x_1)}{u(x_2)} < C(K,\Omega), \qquad x_1, x_2 \in K.$$

ゆ く き と く き と

Theorem. Suppose

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \cdots \leq u_n \leq \ldots$$

is an increasing sequence of positive harmonic functions defined on a domain Ω . Either they converge uniformly on compact subsets of Ω or they converge to $+\infty$.

The proof is left as an exercise.

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with C^1 boundary. Let η be the outward pointing normal. If $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} u \eta^i dS, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots n.$$

高 とう ヨン うまと

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with C^1 boundary. Let η be the outward pointing normal. If $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} u \eta^i dS, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots n.$$

To see this, just apply Stokes theorem

$$\int_{\Omega} d\omega = \int_{\partial \Omega} \omega$$

to the differential form

$$\omega = u(x) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \dots \widehat{dx_i} \dots dx_n.$$

ヨト イヨト イヨト

Substituting uv instead of u into

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} u \eta^i dS,$$

we get the integration-by-parts formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_{x_i}v + v_{x_i}u)dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} uv\eta^i dS.$$

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Substituting uv instead of u into

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} u \eta^i dS,$$

we get the integration-by-parts formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_{x_i}v + v_{x_i}u)dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} uv\eta^i dS.$$

If $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, we can plug in u_{x_i} instead of u:

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_{x_i x_i} v + v_{x_i} u_{x_i}) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{x_i} v \eta^i dS.$$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Green's identities

Summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{\eta} u \cdot v \, dS.$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Green's identities

Summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{\eta} u \cdot v \, dS.$$

Switching the roles of u and v, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{V} = \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{\eta} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dS.$$

・日本 ・ モン・ ・ モン

Green's identities

Summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{\eta} u \cdot v \, dS.$$

Switching the roles of u and v, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta v \cdot u + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} D_{\eta} v \cdot u \, dS$$

Subtracting, we arrive at:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot v - \Delta v \cdot u) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} (D_{\eta} u \cdot v - D_{\eta} v \cdot u) dS.$$

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

Green's formula

We now apply Green's identity in n = 2, $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus B(0, \varepsilon)$, $v(z) = \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ and take $\varepsilon \to 0^+$:

$$\int_{\varepsilon < |z| < 1} (\Delta u \cdot v - \Delta v \cdot u) |dz|^2 = \int_{\varepsilon < |z| < 1} \Delta u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2.$$
(1)

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+,$ this tends to

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2.$$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

2

Green's formula

We now apply Green's identity in n = 2, $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus B(0, \varepsilon)$, $v(z) = \log \frac{1}{|z|}$ and take $\varepsilon \to 0^+$:

$$\int_{\varepsilon < |z| < 1} (\Delta u \cdot v - \Delta v \cdot u) |dz|^2 = \int_{\varepsilon < |z| < 1} \Delta u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2.$$
(1)

As $\varepsilon \to 0^+,$ this tends to

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^{2}.$$
$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} (D_{\eta} u \cdot v - D_{\eta} v \cdot u) |dz| = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} u(z) |dz|.$$
(2)

同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Finally,

$$\int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} (D_{\eta} u \cdot v - D_{\eta} v \cdot u) |dz| = o(1) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} u(z) |dz| \quad (3)$$

tends to $-2\pi u(0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Finally,

$$\int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} (D_{\eta} u \cdot v - D_{\eta} v \cdot u) |dz| = o(1) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial B(0,\varepsilon)} u(z) |dz| \quad (3)$$

tends to $-2\pi u(0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

Putting everything together, we arrive at:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2 = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} u(z) |dz| - 2\pi u(0)$$

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

If Ω is an arbitrary domain in the plane with C^1 boundary, the above reasoning shows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \log \frac{1}{|z|} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left\{ D_{\eta} u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} - D_{\eta} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot u \right\} dS - 2\pi u(0).$$

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

If Ω is an arbitrary domain in the plane with C^1 boundary, the above reasoning shows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \log \frac{1}{|z|} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left\{ D_{\eta} u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} - D_{\eta} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot u \right\} dS - 2\pi u(0).$$

If $u = \phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta u \log \frac{1}{|z|} dV = -2\pi \ u(0).$$

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

If Ω is an arbitrary domain in the plane with C^1 boundary, the above reasoning shows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \log \frac{1}{|z|} dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left\{ D_{\eta} u \cdot \log \frac{1}{|z|} - D_{\eta} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot u \right\} dS - 2\pi u(0).$$

If $u = \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, then
$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta u \log \frac{1}{|z|} dV = -2\pi u(0).$$

One summarizes this as $\Delta \log |z| = 2\pi \delta_0$ in the sense of distributions.

Run Brownian motion starting from the origin, stopped at time τ when it hits the unit circle.

The Green's function has the probabilistic interpretation as the occupation density of Brownian motion.

That is, for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{D}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{0}$$
(time BM spends in E) = $\int_{E} \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^{2}$.

Remark. $\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$

¬<</p>

If f were harmonic then $f(B_t)$ would be a martingale with respect to the Brownian filtration, so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int f(\zeta)|d\zeta|-f(0) = \mathbb{E}f(B_{\tau})-f(0) = 0.$$

Of course, if f is not harmonic, then $f(B_t)$ isn't martingale. The correct formula is:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int f(\zeta)|d\zeta| - f(0) = \mathbb{E}f(B_{\tau}) - f(0) = \mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{1}{2}\Delta f(B_{t})dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\Delta f \cdot \frac{1}{\pi}\log\frac{1}{|z|}|dz|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\Delta f \cdot \log\frac{1}{|z|}|dz|^{2}.$$

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

In the **analytic** proof of Green's formula, we used that the Green's function with singularity at z_0 satisfies the following properties:

- **1** G(z) is zero on $\partial \Omega$.
- **2** G(z) on $\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$ is harmonic.
- $G(z) \log \frac{1}{|z-z_0|}$ is harmonic in a neighbourhood of z_0 .

ヨト イヨト イヨト

In the **analytic** proof of Green's formula, we used that the Green's function with singularity at z_0 satisfies the following properties:

- **1** G(z) is zero on $\partial \Omega$.
- **2** G(z) on $\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$ is harmonic.
- $G(z) \log \frac{1}{|z-z_0|}$ is harmonic in a neighbourhood of z_0 .

By the maximum-modulus principle, if such a function exists, then it is unique.

In the **analytic** proof of Green's formula, we used that the Green's function with singularity at z_0 satisfies the following properties:

- **1** G(z) is zero on $\partial \Omega$.
- **2** G(z) on $\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$ is harmonic.
- $G(z) \log \frac{1}{|z-z_0|}$ is harmonic in a neighbourhood of z_0 .

By the maximum-modulus principle, if such a function exists, then it is unique.

Conversely, let u(z) be the solution of Dirichlet's problem with boundary values $\log |z - z_0|$. Then, $G(z) = u(z) + \log \frac{1}{|z - z_0|}$ satisfies the above properties.

伺い イヨト イヨト

Lemma. If $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is holomorphic and $u : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic, then $u \circ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is also harmonic.

向下 イヨト イヨト

3

Lemma. If $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is holomorphic and $u : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic, then $u \circ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is also harmonic.

Lemma. If $\varphi : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is conformal, then

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) = G_{\Omega'}(\varphi(z),\varphi(w)).$

¬<</p>

Lemma. If $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is holomorphic and $u : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic, then $u \circ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is also harmonic.

Lemma. If $\varphi : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is conformal, then

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) = G_{\Omega'}(\varphi(z),\varphi(w)).$

Examples.

$$G_{\mathbb{D}}(z,w) = \log \left| \frac{1-z\overline{w}}{z-w} \right|, \quad G_{\mathbb{H}}(z,w) = \log \left| \frac{w-\overline{z}}{w-z} \right| = \log \left| \frac{z-\overline{w}}{z-w} \right|.$$

¬<</p>

Lemma. If $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a holomorphic function, then

$$G_{\Omega}(z,w) \leq G_{\Omega'}(f(z),f(w)).$$

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→

Lemma. If $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a holomorphic function, then

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) \leq G_{\Omega'}(f(z),f(w)).$

Proof. For a fixed $z \in \Omega$, the difference

 $u(w) = G_{\Omega}(z, w) - G_{\Omega'}(f(z), f(w)).$

is a harmonic function whose boundary values are **negative** in lim sup sense.

3

Lemma. If $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a holomorphic function, then

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) \leq G_{\Omega'}(f(z),f(w)).$

Proof. For a fixed $z \in \Omega$, the difference

$$u(w) = G_{\Omega}(z, w) - G_{\Omega'}(f(z), f(w)).$$

is a harmonic function whose boundary values are **negative** in lim sup sense.

Corollary. If $\Omega \subset \Omega'$, then $G_{\Omega}(z, w) \leq G_{\Omega'}(z, w)$.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Symmetry of the Green's function

Lemma. For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with C^1 boundary,

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) = G_{\Omega}(w,z).$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Lemma. For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with C^1 boundary,

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w) = G_{\Omega}(w,z).$

Proof. For a fixed $z \in \Omega$, the difference

$$\begin{split} u(w) &= G_{\Omega}(z,w) - G_{\Omega}(w,z) \\ &= G_{\Omega}(z,w) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\omega_w(\zeta) + \log \frac{1}{w - z}. \end{split}$$

is harmonic and has negative boundary values in lim sup sense.

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

Lemma. For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with C^1 boundary,

 $G_{\Omega}(z,w)=G_{\Omega}(w,z).$

Proof. For a fixed $z \in \Omega$, the difference

$$\begin{split} u(w) &= G_{\Omega}(z,w) - G_{\Omega}(w,z) \\ &= G_{\Omega}(z,w) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\omega_w(\zeta) + \log \frac{1}{w - z}. \end{split}$$

is harmonic and has **negative** boundary values in lim sup sense. Hence, $G_{\Omega}(z, w) \leq G_{\Omega}(w, z)$.

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

For a function u on the disk, write $u_r(\zeta) := u(r\zeta)$.

For $f(\zeta) \in C(\partial \mathbb{D})$, form the Poisson extension

$$u(x) = P[f](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-\zeta|^2} f(\zeta) |d\zeta|.$$

Define $P_r[f] := u_r$.

Lemma. For $f \in C(\partial \mathbb{D})$,

 $P_r[f] o f$, as $r \to 1^-$, uniformly on $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem. There is a bijection between positive harmonic functions and measures on the unit circle given by integration against the Poisson kernel:

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - \zeta|^2} d\mu(\zeta)$$

Examples. Lebesgue measure corresponds to the function 1, while the Poisson kernel $P_{\zeta}(x)$ corresponds to $2\pi \delta_{\zeta}$.

ヨト イヨト イヨト

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

• For 0 < r < 1, consider the probability measure $\mu_r := u_r(\theta)(d\theta/2\pi)$.

ヨット イヨット イヨッ

- For 0 < r < 1, consider the probability measure $\mu_r := u_r(\theta)(d\theta/2\pi)$.
- ② Since the space of probability measures is weak-* compact, there exist $r_k \rightarrow 1^-$ such that $\mu_r \rightarrow \mu$.

- For 0 < r < 1, consider the probability measure μ_r := u_r(θ)(dθ/2π).
- ② Since the space of probability measures is weak-* compact, there exist $r_k \rightarrow 1^-$ such that $\mu_r \rightarrow \mu$.
- **③** Weak-* convergence implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$P[\mu_r](x) \to P[\mu](x), \quad \text{as } r \to 1.$$

- For 0 < r < 1, consider the probability measure μ_r := u_r(θ)(dθ/2π).
- ② Since the space of probability measures is weak-* compact, there exist $r_k \rightarrow 1^-$ such that $\mu_r \rightarrow \mu$.
- **③** Weak-* convergence implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$P[\mu_r](x) \to P[\mu](x), \quad \text{as } r \to 1.$$

• As $P[\mu_r] = u(rx)$, we see that $P[\mu](x) = u(x)$ as desired.

We now show that the measures $\mu_r := u_r(\theta)(d\theta/2\pi)$ converge to μ_r as $r \to 1.$

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

3

We now show that the measures $\mu_r := u_r(\theta)(d\theta/2\pi)$ converge to μ_r as $r \to 1$. Unwinding definitions, we want to show that

$$\int f \cdot P_r[\mu] \cdot \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \to \int f \, d\mu, \qquad f \in C(\partial \mathbb{D}).$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int f \cdot P_r[\mu] \cdot \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int P_r[f] \, d\mu.$$

通 とう ほう うちょう

We now show that the measures $\mu_r := u_r(\theta)(d\theta/2\pi)$ converge to μ , as $r \to 1$. Unwinding definitions, we want to show that

$$\int f \cdot P_r[\mu] \cdot \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \to \int f \, d\mu, \qquad f \in C(\partial \mathbb{D}).$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int f \cdot P_r[\mu] \cdot \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int P_r[f] \, d\mu.$$

Remark. We have seen any positive harmonic function u can be represented as $P[\mu]$ for some measure μ . The above convergence result shows that the measure μ is unique.

ヨト イヨト イヨト

Lemma. A function $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic if and only if $u \in L^1_{loc}$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\phi = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega)$.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Lemma. A function $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic if and only if $u \in L^1_{loc}$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u\Delta\phi = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega)$.

Proof. If u was C^2 , we could integrate by parts to see that

$$\int_\Omega \Delta u \cdot \phi = 0, \qquad ext{for all } \phi \in \mathit{C}^\infty_c(\Omega),$$

which would imply that $\Delta u = 0$.

For the general case, form the functions $u^{\varepsilon} = u * \eta^{\varepsilon}$. The functions u^{ε} are smooth and converge to u in L^{1}_{loc} .

For the general case, form the functions $u^{\varepsilon} = u * \eta^{\varepsilon}$. The functions u^{ε} are smooth and converge to u in L^1_{loc} .

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi &= \int_{\Omega} u * \eta^{\varepsilon} \cdot \Delta \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot ((\Delta \varphi) * \eta^{\varepsilon}) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \Delta (\varphi * \eta^{\varepsilon}) = 0, \end{split}$$

each u_{ε} satisfies the MVP on balls.

For the general case, form the functions $u^{\varepsilon} = u * \eta^{\varepsilon}$. The functions u^{ε} are smooth and converge to u in L^1_{loc} .

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi &= \int_{\Omega} u * \eta^{\varepsilon} \cdot \Delta \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot ((\Delta \varphi) * \eta^{\varepsilon}) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \Delta (\varphi * \eta^{\varepsilon}) = 0, \end{split}$$

each u_{ε} satisfies the MVP on balls.

Therefore, u itself satisfies the MVP on balls.

Thank you for your attention!

.⊒ .⊳