Some martingale arguments

Oleg Ivrii

October 28, 2020

Oleg Ivrii Some martingale arguments

⊡ ▶ < ≣ ▶

-≣->

Given three integers, a < x < b, run simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} started at x until you hit a or b:

▲ 문 ▶ | ▲ 문 ▶

Given three integers, a < x < b, run simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} started at x until you hit a or b:

Begin at $S_0 = x$.

- If you flip heads, $S_{n+1} = S_n + 1$.
- If you flip tails, $S_{n+1} = S_n 1$.

コマン くほう くほう

Given three integers, a < x < b, run simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} started at x until you hit a or b:

Begin at $S_0 = x$.

- If you flip heads, $S_{n+1} = S_n + 1$.
- If you flip tails, $S_{n+1} = S_n 1$.

Since u(x) = x is discrete harmonic,

$$u(x) = \frac{u(x-1) + u(x+1)}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{u(x-2) + u(x)}{2} + \frac{u(x) + u(x+2)}{2}}{2}.$$

▶ < 문 > < E > ...

Keep substituting this formula into itself. At each stage, you have a formula of the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{a \leq y \leq b} P_{x,y,n} \cdot u(y),$$

where $0 \leq P_{x,y,n} \leq 1$ are some coefficients.

Keep substituting this formula into itself. At each stage, you have a formula of the form

$$u(x) = \sum_{a \leq y \leq b} P_{x,y,n} \cdot u(y),$$

where $0 \leq P_{x,y,n} \leq 1$ are some coefficients.

Since $P_{x,y,n}$ measures the probability that SRW goes from x to y in n steps,

$$\sum_{a \le y \le x} P_{x,y,n} = 1, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

As $n
ightarrow \infty$, the formulas

$$u(x) = \sum_{a \le y \le b} P_{x,y,n} \cdot u(y),$$

tend to

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b).$$

Э

As $n
ightarrow \infty$, the formulas

$$u(x) = \sum_{a \le y \le b} P_{x,y,n} \cdot u(y),$$

tend to

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b).$$

Remembering that u(x) = x, we obtain $h_1(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}$ and $h_2(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$.

白 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

As $n
ightarrow \infty$, the formulas

$$u(x) = \sum_{a \le y \le b} P_{x,y,n} \cdot u(y),$$

tend to

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b).$$

Remembering that u(x) = x, we obtain $h_1(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}$ and $h_2(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$.

Remark. This was to be expected since $h_2(x)$ should be harmonic in x and satisfy $h_2(a) = 0$ and $h_2(b) = 1$.

御 と く ぼ と く ほ と …

To figure out the average amount of time it takes SRW started from x to hit either a or b, you would instead use the function $u(x) = x^2$, which is **not** harmonic:

$$\Delta^{\mathsf{disc}} u(x) = \frac{u(x-1) + u(x+1)}{2} - u(x) = 1.$$

To figure out the average amount of time it takes SRW started from x to hit either a or b, you would instead use the function $u(x) = x^2$, which is **not** harmonic:

$$\Delta^{\text{disc}} u(x) = \frac{u(x-1) + u(x+1)}{2} - u(x) = 1.$$

Remark. The positivity of the Laplacian indicates the average of the neighbours is larger than the value in the middle.

To figure out the average amount of time it takes SRW started from x to hit either a or b, you would instead use the function $u(x) = x^2$, which is **not** harmonic:

$$\Delta^{\mathsf{disc}} u(x) = \frac{u(x-1) + u(x+1)}{2} - u(x) = 1.$$

Remark. The positivity of the Laplacian indicates the average of the neighbours is larger than the value in the middle.

Question. What happens when you substitute

$$u(x) = \frac{u(x-1) + u(x+1)}{2} - 1$$

into itself many times and take $n \to \infty$?

Answer. You get

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b) - \mathbb{E}\tau.$$

æ

Answer. You get

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b) - \mathbb{E}\tau.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\tau = x^2 - a^2 \cdot \frac{b-x}{b-a} - b^2 \cdot \frac{x-a}{b-a}.$$

・ロン ・四と ・日と ・日と

æ

Answer. You get

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b) - \mathbb{E}\tau.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\tau = x^2 - a^2 \cdot \frac{b-x}{b-a} - b^2 \cdot \frac{x-a}{b-a}.$$

If x = 0, this simplifies to $\mathbb{E}\tau = ab$.

Occupation time in one dimension

More generally, if $\Delta^{\operatorname{disc}} u$ was not constant, the limit would be

$$u(x) = \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = a)u(a) + \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = b)u(b) - \sum_{a < y < b} G(x, y)\Delta^{\text{disc}}u(y).$$

Remark. Passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ can be justified using the fact that

$$\mathbb{P}(au > extsf{n}) \lesssim e^{-eta_0 extsf{n}}$$

for some $\beta_0 > 0$.

(We have to be a bit careful since $\Delta^{\rm disc}$ can change sign and we don't want to be canceling infinities.)

The continuous version of the above equation is called the Poisson-Green formula.

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smoothly bounded domain, $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ with $\Delta u = g$ in Ω and u = f on $\partial \Omega$.

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\zeta) d\omega_x(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} g(y) G_{\text{prob}}(x,y) |dy|^n.$$

It gives the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = g, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f, & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

• • = • • = •

A mathematician might want to work with the function

$$u(x,n)=u_n(x)=x^2-n$$

since

$$u_{n-1}(x) = \frac{u_n(x-1) + u_n(x+1)}{2}.$$

Being a martingale is equivalent to

$$-\partial_n^{\operatorname{disc}} u(x,n) := u(x,n-1) - u(x,n) = \Delta_x^{\operatorname{disc}} u(x,n).$$

白 ト イヨト イヨト

If u(x, n) solves $\partial_n^{\text{disc}} + \Delta_x^{\text{disc}} = 0$ and $|u(x, n)| \leq e^{\beta n}$ with $\beta < \beta_0$, we can pass to the limit to obtain

$$u(x,0)=\mathbb{E}u(x,\tau).$$

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □

If u(x, n) solves $\partial_n^{\text{disc}} + \Delta_x^{\text{disc}} = 0$ and $|u(x, n)| \leq e^{\beta n}$ with $\beta < \beta_0$, we can pass to the limit to obtain

$$u(x,0)=\mathbb{E}u(x,\tau).$$

In continuous time, $B_t^2 - t$ is a martingale since

 $u(x,t) = x^2 - t$ satisfies $\partial_t u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(x,t).$

(本部) (本語) (本語) (語)

If u(x, n) solves $\partial_n^{\text{disc}} + \Delta_x^{\text{disc}} = 0$ and $|u(x, n)| \lesssim e^{\beta n}$ with $\beta < \beta_0$, we can pass to the limit to obtain

$$u(x,0)=\mathbb{E}u(x,\tau).$$

In continuous time, $B_t^2 - t$ is a martingale since

$$u(x,t) = x^2 - t$$
 satisfies $\partial_t u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(x,t).$

This martingale can be used to compute the average amount of time BM started at x spends in an interval [a, b]. One obtains the same answer as in the discrete case.

By the same reasoning,

 $B_t^3 - 3tB_t,$ $B_t^4 - 6tB_t^2 + 3t^2, \dots$

are also martingales.

These martingales can be used to compute $\mathbb{E}\tau^n$ for $n \ge 1$. For example, if you run BM started at 0 until you hit $\partial[-a, a]$,

$$\mathbb{E}^0[au^2] = (1/3) \, \mathbb{E}^0[-B^4_ au + 6 au B^2_ au] = (5/3) a^4.$$

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

$$\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot B_t - \lambda \cdot t), \qquad \lambda > 0$$

is a martingale,

(4回) (1日) (日)

$$\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot B_t - \lambda \cdot t), \qquad \lambda > 0$$

is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E}^{0}\Big[\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot B_{ au}-\lambda\cdot au)\Big]=1$$

(4回) (1日) (日)

$$\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot B_t - \lambda \cdot t), \qquad \lambda > 0$$

is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E}^{0}\Big[\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot B_{\tau}-\lambda\cdot\tau)\Big]=1$$

or $\mathbb{E}^0[\exp(-\lambda\tau)] = \exp(-a\sqrt{2\lambda}).$

$$\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot B_t - \lambda \cdot t), \qquad \lambda > 0$$

is a martingale,

$$\mathbb{E}^{0}\Big[\exp(\sqrt{2\lambda}\cdot B_{ au}-\lambda\cdot au)\Big]=1$$

or $\mathbb{E}^0[\exp(-\lambda\tau)] = \exp(-a\sqrt{2\lambda})$. Inverting the Laplace transform gives

$$\mathbb{P}^{0}(au\in ds)=(2\pi s^{3})^{1/2}ae^{-a^{2}/2s}.$$

$$|B_t|^2 - 2t = x^2 + y^2 - 2t$$

is a perfectly reasonable martingale,

$$|B_t|^2 - 2t = x^2 + y^2 - 2t$$

is a perfectly reasonable martingale,

$$0 = \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[1 - 2\tau] = 1 - 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[\tau] \implies \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[\tau] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

$$|B_t|^2 - 2t = x^2 + y^2 - 2t$$

is a perfectly reasonable martingale,

$$0 = \mathbb{E}^{0}[1 - 2\tau] = 1 - 2\mathbb{E}^{0}[\tau] \implies \mathbb{E}^{0}[\tau] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark. Alternatively, $\mathbb{E}^0[\tau] = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

2

$$|B_t|^2 - 2t = x^2 + y^2 - 2t$$

is a perfectly reasonable martingale,

$$0 = \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[1 - 2\tau] = 1 - 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[\tau] \implies \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{0}}[\tau] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark. Alternatively, $\mathbb{E}^0[\tau] = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z|} |dz|^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$

(You can compute this directly or apply Green's formula with $u(z) = |z|^2$.)

マット きゅう きゅう

Thank you for your attention!

- ∢ ⊒ ⊳