

Perron's Method

Oleg Ivrii

November 4, 2020

Dirichlet's problem. Suppose Ω is a bounded domain. Given a continuous function $f \in C(\partial\Omega)$, solve

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Dirichlet's problem. Suppose Ω is a bounded domain. Given a continuous function $f \in C(\partial\Omega)$, solve

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Perron's method. Take

$$u(z) := H[f](z) = \sup_{v \in \Phi} v(z)$$

where Φ is the collection of all **subharmonic** functions on Ω that are $\leq f$ on $\partial\Omega$ in lim sup-sense.

Theorem. The **Perron solution** $H[f]$ is a harmonic function, which matches f nearly everywhere (except on a polar set).

The key is that Φ is a **Perron family**, i.e. a **non-empty** collection of subharmonic functions which satisfies

- 1 If $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\max(u, v) \in \Phi$.
- 2 If $u \in \Phi$ and $B \subset\subset \Omega$, then $M_B u \in \Phi$.

Theorem. If a Perron family is locally bounded above, then

$$u_{\Phi}(z) = \sup_{v \in \Phi} v(z)$$

is a harmonic function.

Theorem. If a Perron family is locally bounded above, then

$$u_\Phi(z) = \sup_{v \in \Phi} v(z)$$

is a harmonic function.

Proof. To show that a function is harmonic, we need to show that it is harmonic on a neighbourhood $B = B(z_0, r) \subset \Omega$.

- 1 Pick a **maximizing** sequence $u_n(z_0) \rightarrow u(z_0)$.
- 2 Replace u_n with $M_B u_n$.
- 3 Replace u_n with $\max(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$.

The function $\tilde{u} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ has the following properties:

$$\tilde{u} \leq u_\phi \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \tilde{u}(z_0) = u_\phi(z_0), \quad \tilde{u} \text{ is harmonic on } B.$$

We would be done if we could show that $\tilde{u} = u_\phi$ on B .

The function $\tilde{u} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ has the following properties:

$$\tilde{u} \leq u_\phi \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \tilde{u}(z_0) = u_\phi(z_0), \quad \tilde{u} \text{ is harmonic on } B.$$

We would be done if we could show that $\tilde{u} = u_\phi$ on B .

Claim. $\tilde{u}(z_1) = \tilde{u}_\phi(z_1)$, where $z_1 \in B$.

- 1 Pick a **maximizing** sequence $v_n(z_1) \rightarrow u_\phi(z_1)$.
- 2 Replace v_n with $M_B v_n$.
- 3 Replace v_n with $\max(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$.

We obtain a new function

$$\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v} \leq u_\Phi,$$

which matches u_Φ at z_0 and z_1 .

We obtain a new function

$$\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v} \leq u_\Phi,$$

which matches u_Φ at z_0 and z_1 .

Since $\tilde{u}(z_0) = \tilde{v}(z_0)$, the difference $\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}$ has a local **minimum** at z_0 .

We obtain a new function

$$\tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v} \leq u_\Phi,$$

which matches u_Φ at z_0 and z_1 .

Since $\tilde{u}(z_0) = \tilde{v}(z_0)$, the difference $\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}$ has a local **minimum** at z_0 .

Since $\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}$ is **harmonic** on B , $\tilde{u} = \tilde{v}$ on B . In particular, $\tilde{u}(z_1) = u_\Phi(z_1)$ as desired.

A **weak local barrier** at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ is a subharmonic function defined on $\Omega \cap N$ s.t.

$$b < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b(z) = 0.$$

Theorem. If Ω possesses a barrier at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$, then $H[f]$ is continuous at ζ .

The proof relies on two lemmas:

Lemma 1. $H[f] + H[-f] \leq 0$ on Ω .

Bouligand's Lemma

Lemma 2. Suppose Ω has a **weak local barrier** $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$. Then ζ admits a **strong global barrier** $b_\varepsilon \in \text{sh}(\Omega)$: $\forall N'$,

$$b_\varepsilon \leq 0, \quad b_\varepsilon \leq -1 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus N', \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b_\varepsilon(z) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Bouligand's Lemma

Lemma 2. Suppose Ω has a **weak local barrier** $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$. Then ζ admits a **strong global barrier** $b_\varepsilon \in \text{sh}(\Omega)$: $\forall N'$,

$$b_\varepsilon \leq 0, \quad b_\varepsilon \leq -1 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus N', \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b_\varepsilon(z) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Proof. We can assume that $N' = \Delta = B(\zeta, r) \subset N$.

Bouligand's Lemma

Lemma 2. Suppose Ω has a **weak local barrier** $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$. Then ζ admits a **strong global barrier** $b_\varepsilon \in \text{sh}(\Omega)$: $\forall N'$,

$$b_\varepsilon \leq 0, \quad b_\varepsilon \leq -1 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus N', \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b_\varepsilon(z) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Proof. We can assume that $N' = \Delta = B(\zeta, r) \subset N$.

Let K be a compact set in $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$ s.t. $|(\Omega \cap \partial\Delta) \setminus K| < \varepsilon$.

Bouligand's Lemma

Lemma 2. Suppose Ω has a **weak local barrier** $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$. Then ζ admits a **strong global barrier** $b_\varepsilon \in \text{sh}(\Omega)$: $\forall N'$,

$$b_\varepsilon \leq 0, \quad b_\varepsilon \leq -1 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus N', \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta} b_\varepsilon(z) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Proof. We can assume that $N' = \Delta = B(\zeta, r) \subset N$.

Let K be a compact set in $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$ s.t. $|(\Omega \cap \partial\Delta) \setminus K| < \varepsilon$.

Consider

$$b_\varepsilon^*(z) = \frac{b(z)}{m} - P_\Delta[\chi_L](z), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta,$$

where $m > 0$ is chosen so that $b_\varepsilon^* \leq -1$ on $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$.

We have thus constructed a **negative** subharmonic function

$$b_{\varepsilon}^*(z) = \frac{b(z)}{m} - P_{\Delta}[\chi_L](z), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta$$

which is ≤ -1 on $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$.

We have thus constructed a **negative** subharmonic function

$$b_{\varepsilon}^*(z) = \frac{b(z)}{m} - P_{\Delta}[\chi_L](z), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta$$

which is ≤ -1 on $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$.

Set

$$b_{\varepsilon} = \max(b_{\varepsilon}^*, -1), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta.$$

We have thus constructed a **negative** subharmonic function

$$b_{\varepsilon}^*(z) = \frac{b(z)}{m} - P_{\Delta}[\chi_L](z), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta$$

which is ≤ -1 on $\Omega \cap \partial\Delta$.

Set

$$b_{\varepsilon} = \max(b_{\varepsilon}^*, -1), \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap \Delta.$$

This glues to -1 on $\Omega \setminus \Delta$ to form a subharmonic function on Ω .

Continuity at a regular boundary point

Since $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at ζ_0 , there exists $\Delta = B(\zeta_0, r)$ so that $|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap \Delta$.

Continuity at a regular boundary point

Since $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at ζ_0 , there exists $\Delta = B(\zeta_0, r)$ so that $|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap \Delta$.

Set

$$v = f(\zeta_0) - \varepsilon + M \cdot b_\varepsilon,$$

Continuity at a regular boundary point

Since $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at ζ_0 , there exists $\Delta = B(\zeta_0, r)$ so that $|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap \Delta$.

Set

$$v = f(\zeta_0) - \varepsilon + M \cdot b_\varepsilon,$$

where $M = 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$ is large enough to make $v \in \Phi_f$.

Continuity at a regular boundary point

Since $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at ζ_0 , there exists $\Delta = B(\zeta_0, r)$ so that $|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap \Delta$.

Set

$$v = f(\zeta_0) - \varepsilon + M \cdot b_\varepsilon,$$

where $M = 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$ is large enough to make $v \in \Phi_f$.

Hence,

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} H[f](\zeta_0) \geq f(\zeta_0).$$

Continuity at a regular boundary point

Since $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at ζ_0 , there exists $\Delta = B(\zeta_0, r)$ so that $|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap \Delta$.

Set

$$v = f(\zeta_0) - \varepsilon + M \cdot b_\varepsilon,$$

where $M = 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$ is large enough to make $v \in \Phi_f$.

Hence,

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} H[f](\zeta_0) \geq f(\zeta_0).$$

Similarly,

$$-\limsup_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} H[-f](\zeta_0) \geq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} H[-f](\zeta_0) \geq -f(\zeta_0).$$

Theorem. If Ω is a simply-connected domain bounded by a Jordan curve, then every $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ admits a barrier.

Barriers for Jordan domains

Theorem. If Ω is a simply-connected domain bounded by a Jordan curve, then every $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ admits a barrier.

Corollary. Dirichlet's problem can always be solved in a Jordan domain.

Barriers for Jordan domains

Theorem. If Ω is a simply-connected domain bounded by a Jordan curve, then every $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ admits a barrier.

Corollary. Dirichlet's problem can always be solved in a Jordan domain.

Proof. As $\log(z - \zeta)$ maps $B(\zeta, 1)$ to the left half-plane,

Theorem. If Ω is a simply-connected domain bounded by a Jordan curve, then every $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ admits a barrier.

Corollary. Dirichlet's problem can always be solved in a Jordan domain.

Proof. As $\log(z - \zeta)$ maps $B(\zeta, 1)$ to the left half-plane, the function

$$b(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\log(z - \zeta)}$$

defines a barrier at ζ , with $N = B(\zeta, 1)$.

Thank you for your attention!