Approximately Gaussian marginals and the hyperplane conjecture

Bo'az Klartag

Tel-Aviv University

Conference on Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Euler Institute, St. Petersburg, July 2010

Lecture based on a joint work with Ronen Eldan.



Many open problems

This talk is concerned with convex bodies in high dimension.

• Despite recent progress, even the simplest questions remain unsolved:

Question [Bourgain, 1980s]

Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body of volume one. Does there exist an (n-1)-dimensional hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

 $Vol_{n-1}(K \cap H) > c$

where c > 0 is a universal constant?

- Known: $Vol_{n-1}(K \cap H) > cn^{-1/4}$ (Bourgain '91, K. '06).
- Affirmative answer for: unconditional convex bodies, zonoids, their duals, random convex bodies, outer finite volume ratio, few vertices/facets, subspaces/quotients of L^p, Schatten class, ...

Logarithmically-Concave densities

As was observed by K. Ball, the hyperplane conjecture is most naturally formulated in the class of **log-concave densities**.

A probability density on ℝⁿ is log-concave if it takes the form exp(−H) for a convex function H : ℝⁿ → [−∞, ∞).

Examples of log-concave densities: The Gaussian density, the uniform density on a convex body.



- Product of log-concave densities is (proportional to) a log-concave density.
- Prékopa-Leindler: If X is a log-concave random vector, so is the random vector T(X) for any linear map T.

Isotropic Constant

For a log-concave density $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ set

$$L_{\rho} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \det Cov(\rho)^{\frac{1}{2n}}$$

the **isotropic constant** of ρ . The isotropic constant is affinely invariant. What's its meaning?

Normalization: Suppose X is a random vector in Rⁿ with density ρ. We say that X (or that ρ) is **isotropic** if

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0,$$
 $Cov(X) = Id$

That is, all of the marginals have mean zero and var. one.

• For an isotropic, log-concave density ρ in \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$L_{
ho} \sim
ho(0)^{1/n} \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}
ho^{1+rac{1}{n}} \sim \exp\left(rac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}
ho\log
ho
ight) > c$$

where $A \sim B$ means cA < B < CA for universal c, C > 0.

An equivalent formulation of the slicing problem

The hyperplane conjecture is *directly* equivalent to the following:

Slicing problem, again:

Is it true that for any *n* and an isotropic, log-concave $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$,

 $L_{
ho} < C$

where C > 0 is a universal constant?

(the equivalence follows from works by Ball, Bourgain, Fradelizi, Hensley, Milman, Pajor and others, uses Brunn-Minkowski).

 For a uniform density on K ⊂ ℝⁿ, L_K = Vol_n(K)^{-1/n}. Can we have the same covariance as the Euclidean ball, in a substantially smaller convex set?



Remarks

- It is straightforward to show that L_ρ > c, for a universal constant c > 0.
- 2 To summarize, define

$$L_n = \sup_{\rho:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,\infty)} L_\rho.$$

It is currently known that

$$L_n \leq Cn^{1/4}$$
.

It is enough to consider the uniform measure on centrally-symmetric convex bodies (Ball '88, K. '05):

$$L_n \leq C \sup_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} L_K$$

where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex with K = -K.

Theorem ("Central Limit Theorem for Convex Bodies", K. '07)

Most of the volume of a log-concave density in high dimensions, with the isotropic normalization, is concentrated near a sphere of radius \sqrt{n} .

Define

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sup_X Var(|X|) \sim \sup_X \mathbb{E}(|X| - \sqrt{n})^2,$$



where the supremum runs over all log-concave, isotropic random vectors X in \mathbb{R}^n .

• The theorem states that

$$\sigma_n \ll \sqrt{n}$$

Approximately Gaussian marginals

The importance of σ_n stems from:

Theorem (Sudakov '78, Diaconis-Freedman '84,...)

Suppose X is an isotropic random vector in \mathbb{R}^n , $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{|\boldsymbol{X}|}{\sqrt{n}}-1\right|\geq\varepsilon\right)\leq\varepsilon.$$

Then for most $\theta \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}(X\cdot\theta\leq t)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{t}e^{-s^{2}/2}ds\right|\leq C\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{n^{1/5}}\right).$$

Many marginals are approx. standard Gaussians.

 Therefore, most of the 1D marginals of a high-dimensional normalized convex body are approx. standard Gaussians.

How thin is the shell?

• Current best bound, due to Fleury '10:

$$\sigma_n \leq C n^{3/8}$$

(improving on a previous bound of $\sigma_n \leq Cn^{0.401}$, K. '07).

• Typical marginals of an isotropic log-concave random vector in \mathbb{R}^n , are $C\sigma_n/\sqrt{n}$ -close to Gaussian.

Conjecture [Antilla-Ball-Perissinaki '03]

Perhaps

$$\sigma_n \leq C$$

for a universal constant C > 0?

- Corresponds to a philosophy that "Convexity is as good as independent random variables", in view of Berry-Esseen.
- True in some cases, including unconditional convex bodies (K. '09) and random convex bodies (Fleury '10).

Theorem (Eldan, K. '10)

There is a universal constant C such that

$$L_n \leq C\sigma_n.$$

Remarks:

- Pushing σ_n much below $n^{1/4}$ might be *hard* (at the moment only $n^{3/8}$ is known).
- 2 If L_n is not bounded, then CLT for convex bodies is *weaker* than the classical CLT.
- Strengthens a result announced by K. Ball '06 (the larger spectral-gap instead of σ_n , exponential dependence).

Proof ideas

Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a convex body, barycenter at the origin, *X* is uniformly distributed in *K*.

The logarithmic Laplace transform is the convex function

$$\Lambda(\xi) = \log \mathbb{E} \exp(X \cdot \xi) \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$





• The logarithmic Laplace transform helps relate the covariance matrix and the volume of *K*.

Differentiating the logarithmic Laplace transform

Recall that
$$\Lambda(\xi) = \log \mathbb{E} \exp(X \cdot \xi)$$

For ξ ∈ ℝⁿ, denote by X_ξ the "tilted" log-concave random vector in ℝⁿ whose density is proportional to

$$x \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}(x) \exp(\xi \cdot x).$$

(any idea for a good coupling when $n \ge 2$?)

Then,

1

- 2 The hessian $\nabla^2 \Lambda(\xi) = Cov(X_{\xi})$.
- **③** Third derivatives? A bit complicated. With $b_{\xi} = \mathbb{E}X_{\xi}$,

$$\partial^i \log \det
abla^2 \Lambda(\xi) = \mathcal{T}r \left[\mathcal{C}ov(X_{\xi})^{-1} \mathbb{E}(X_{\xi}^i - b_{\xi}^i)(X_{\xi} - b_{\xi}) \otimes (X_{\xi} - b_{\xi})
ight].$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0

Transportation of measure

The function $\Lambda(\xi)$ is strictly convex, so $\nabla \Lambda$ is one-to-one. Recall that $\nabla \Lambda(\xi) \in K$ for all ξ . From the change of variables formula,

$$Vol_n(K) \geq Vol_n(\nabla \Lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det \nabla^2 \Lambda(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{nK^\circ} \det \nabla^2 \Lambda$$

• In particular, there exists $\xi \in nK^{\circ}$ with

$$\det
abla^2 \Lambda(\xi) = \det Cov(X_{\xi}) \leq rac{Vol_n(K)}{Vol_n(nK^\circ)}.$$

Since $e^{-n} \leq \exp(\xi \cdot x) \leq e^n$ for $x \in K$, then for such $\xi \in nK^\circ$,

$$L_{X_{\xi}} \leq \frac{C}{Vol_n(K)^{1/n}} \left(\frac{Vol_n(K)}{Vol_n(nK^{\circ})}\right)^{1/(2n)} \sim \left(\frac{1}{Vol_n(K)Vol_n(nK^{\circ})}\right)^{1/(2n)}.$$

Log-concave densities and convex bodies

Theorem (Bourgain-Milman '87)

 $Vol_n(K) Vol_n(nK^\circ) \geq c^n$

where c > 0 is a universal constant.

• Therefore $L_{X_{\varepsilon}} < Const$ for **most** $\xi \in nK^{\circ}$.

There is a correspondence between centered log-concave densities and convex bodies due to K. Ball:

• Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ is a log-concave. Denote

$$\mathcal{K}(f) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (n+1) \int_0^\infty f(rx) r^n dr \ge 1 \right\},$$

the convex body associated with f.

Image: A matrix

Isomorphic version of the slicing problem

- When *f* is log-concave, the body *K*(*f*) is convex closely related to Busemann inequality.
- When *f* has barycenter at the origin, *K*(*f*) and *f* have roughly the same volume and covariance matrix. So *L_{K(f)}* ∼ *L_f*.
- Suppose *f* is supported on a convex body *K*. Denote $a = \inf_{K} f^{1/n}$ and $b = \sup_{K} f^{1/n}$. Then

$$aK \subseteq K(f) \subseteq bK$$
.

Applying this construction to X_{ξ} , we deduce:

Corollary [K. '06]

For any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists another convex body $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$1 - \varepsilon)K \subseteq T \subseteq (1 + \varepsilon)K.$$

3 $L_T \leq C/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, where C > 0 is a universal constant.

Using Paouris large deviations Theorem

Theorem (Paouris '06)

Suppose X is an isotropic, log-concave random vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any $t \ge C\sqrt{n}$,

 $\mathbb{P}\left(|X| \geq t\right) \leq C \exp(-ct)$

where c, C > 0 are universal constants.

Stability of the isotropic constant: It follows immediately that when K and T are convex bodies of volume one, such that

$$Vol_n(K \cap T) \ge e^{-\sqrt{n}},$$

then necessarily $L_K \sim L_T$.

• This leads to the bound $L_n \leq Cn^{1/4}$.

What's the connection to thin shell?

Paouris theorem is about large deviations. How can we use the thin shell estimates?

Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ convex body, *X* uniform in *K*, isotropic.

• To prove $L_n \leq C\sigma_n$, we need the lower bound:

$$Vol_n(K) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det \nabla^2 \Lambda(\xi) d\xi \ge \left(\frac{1}{C\sigma_n}\right)^n$$

Note that det $\nabla^2 \Lambda(0) = \det Cov(X) = 1$.

• Third derivatives of Λ again, at the origin:

$$\nabla \log \det \nabla^2 \Lambda(\xi) \Big|_{\xi=0} = \mathbb{E} X |X|^2 = \mathbb{E} X (|X|^2 - n).$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0

Relation to thin shell

Recalling that
$$\sigma_n^2 \sim \mathbb{E} \left(|X|^2 - n \right)^2 / n$$
, from Cauchy-Schwartz,
 $\left| \nabla \log \det \nabla^2 \Lambda(\xi) \right|_{\xi=0} = \left| \mathbb{E} X(|X|^2 - n) \right| \le C \sqrt{n} \sigma_n.$

• In fact, throughout the proof, in place of σ_n we work with the smaller

$$\underline{\sigma}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_X \left| \mathbb{E} X |X|^2 \right|$$

where the supremum runs over all isotropic, log-concave random vectors X in \mathbb{R}^{n} .

To proceed, we have to work with third derivatives at non-zero ξ (or take higher order derivatives at zero and use Taylor's theorem – this could be explained in another talk...).

A Riemannian metric

Computing the third derivatives for $\xi \neq 0$ is slightly easier with respect to a suitable Riemannian metric.

Definition

For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the positive-definite quadratic form

$$g_{\xi}(u,v) = Cov(X_{\xi})u \cdot v$$
 $(u,v \in \mathbb{R}^n)$

- This Riemannian metric lets X_{ξ} "feel isotropic".
- This metric does not depend on the Euclidean structure:

$$g_{\xi}(u,v) = \mathbb{E}u(X_{\xi} - b_{\xi}) \cdot v(X_{\xi} - b_{\xi}) \qquad (u,v \in \mathbb{R}^{n*})$$

where $b_{\xi} = \mathbb{E}X_{\xi}$ and u, v are viewed as linear functionals.

 The absolute values of the sectional curvatures are bounded by a universal constant. They vanish when X₁,..., X_n are independent r.v.'s.

A Riemannian metric

Our only use of this Riemannian structure is to ease manipulations of third derivatives. Also for a non-zero $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$|
abla_g \log \det Cov(X_\xi)|_g \leq C\sqrt{n}\sigma_n$$

• Consequently, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $d_g(0,\xi) \le \sqrt{n}/\sigma_n$, det $Cov(X_{\varepsilon}) \ge e^{-n}$.

We need a lower bound for an integral of det $Cov(X_{\xi})$.

• How big is the Riemannian ball of radius \sqrt{n}/σ_n around the origin?

• (10) • (10)

Back to log-Laplace

Lemma

$$d_g(0,\xi) \leq \sqrt{\Lambda(2\xi)}$$

Proved by inspecting the Riemannian length of the (Euclidean) segment $[0, \xi]$: By convexity,

$$d_g(0,\xi) \leq \int_0^1 \sqrt{rac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \Lambda(r\xi)} dr \leq \sqrt{\Lambda(2\xi)}$$

• Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{L_{K}}\right)^{n} \geq c^{n} \operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(\left[\Lambda \leq n/\sigma_{n}^{2}\right]\right)$$

Now we forget about the Riemannian metric. We were not really able to deeply exploit the Riemannian geometry.

Level sets of Laplace transform

Recall that $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body whose barycenter is at the origin, *X* uniform in *K*.

Lemma

There exist universal c, C > 0 such that

$$\mathit{cnK}^\circ \subseteq [\Lambda \leq \mathit{n}] \subseteq \mathit{CnK}^\circ$$

Proved by standard log-concave tricks, nothing more than asymptotics of 1D integrals. Bourgain-Milman: When *X* is isotropic,

$$Vol_n([\Lambda < n]) \geq rac{c^n}{Vol_n(K)} = (cL_K)^n \geq \tilde{c}^n,$$

 Suppose X is isotropic, and take an integer 1 ≤ k ≤ n. Then, for any k-dimensional subspace E ⊂ ℝⁿ,

$$Vol_k([\Lambda < k] \cap E) \geq c^k.$$

Completing the proof

Recall that

$$\left(\frac{1}{L_{\mathcal{K}}}\right)^n \geq c^n \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(\left[\Lambda \leq n/\sigma_n^2\right]\right).$$

Take an integer $k \sim n/\sigma_n^2$. Then, for any *k*-dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Vol_k([\Lambda < k] \cap E) \ge c^k.$$



Without confusion, we deduce

$$Vol_n([\Lambda < k]) \geq \left(\frac{c\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n \geq \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{\sigma_n}\right)^n.$$

This completes the proof of

$$L_n \leq C\sigma_n$$
.

Variations of the Riemannian metric

● If $\Lambda^* : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Legendre transform of Λ , then the hessian

$$abla^2 \Lambda^*(x) \qquad (x \in K)$$

defined a Riemannian structure on K, isometric to the one described above, linearly invariant.

O The expression

$$Vol_n(K) = \int \det Cov(X_\xi) d\xi$$

reminds us of the Riemannian volume (a square root is missing!). One may construct a very similar **Kähler metric** on $\mathbb{C}^n/i\mathbb{Z}^n$, whose volume is exactly $Vol_n(K)$. Perhaps it allows a more intrinsic analysis? I have no idea.

Thank you!



Bo'az Klartag Gaussian Marginals and the hyperplane conjecture