

## Real analysis - Exam 2016b - Solution

1. Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  be a sequence of measurable functions on a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and assume that  $f_n$  converges in measure to  $f$ . Set  $N_0 := 0$  and then, inductively, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $N_k > N_{k-1}$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in \Omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq 1/k\}) \leq 2^{-k} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_k.$$

Denote  $A := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k$ , where

$$A_k := \{x \in \Omega : |f_{N_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq 1/k\}.$$

Since  $\sum_k \mu(A_k) \leq \sum_k 2^{-k} < \infty$ , the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Note that for any  $x \in \Omega \setminus A$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_k}(x) = f(x)$ . Therefore,  $f_{N_k} \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere.

2. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set of Lebesgue measure zero. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose an open set  $A_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A \subset A_n$  and  $m(A_n) \leq 1/n^2$ . Define  $f_n(x) := m((-\infty, x] \cap A_n)$  and  $f := \sum_n f_n$ . Since  $f \geq 0$  and

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m((-\infty, x] \cap A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty,$$

we see that  $f$  is well-defined. Moreover, since  $f_n$  is non-decreasing,  $f$  is clearly also non-decreasing. It remains to show that  $f'(x) = \infty$  for all  $x \in A$ . Let  $x \in A$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f_n$  is non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)}{h} \\ &\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)}{h} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)}{h} = \sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_n$  is open and  $x \in A \subset A_n$ , we clearly have  $f'_n(x) = 1$  for all  $n$ . In particular,  $\sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(x) = N$ . Since  $N$  was arbitrary, we obtain

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \geq \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(x) = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} N = \infty.$$

We have therefore shown that  $f'(x) = \infty$  and the proof is complete.

3. First note that since  $C$  is a compact set and  $g$  is continuous,  $g(C)$  is also a compact set and so it is measurable. Note also that since  $g$  is strictly increasing and continuous, it is a bijection with its image  $[0, 2]$ . Let  $(C_n)$  be the sequence of sets defined in the construction of the Cantor set  $C$ . In particular,  $C_n$  is a union of  $2^n$  disjoint closed intervals each of length  $3^{-n}$ ,  $C = \bigcap_n C_n$  and  $f$  is constant on any interval contained in  $[0, 1] \setminus C$ . Thus, for any interval  $I = (a, b) \subset [0, 1] \setminus C$ , we have  $g(I) = (g(a), g(b))$  so that

$$m(g(I)) = g(b) - g(a) = f(b) - f(a) + b - a = b - a = m(I).$$

Therefore, since  $[0, 1] \setminus C$  is a disjoint union of open intervals and  $g$  is a bijection,  $g$  preserves its measure so that

$$m(g([0, 1] \setminus C)) = m([0, 1] \setminus C) = m([0, 1]) - m(C) = 1.$$

Using again that  $g$  is a bijection, we obtain

$$m(g(C)) = m(g([0, 1])) - m(g([0, 1] \setminus C)) = m([0, 2]) - 1 = 1.$$

4. **(a).** Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  is a Lipschitz function (in two variables), it follows that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\cdot, y)$  is a Lipschitz function (in the first variable) and so it also has bounded variation. Therefore, it is differentiable almost everywhere so that  $h$  is well-defined almost everywhere.

Since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\cdot, y)$  is Lipschitz, it is also absolutely continuous. Thus, for any  $x_0 < x_1$  and  $y$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_1, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y) = \int_{[x_0, x_1]} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) (s, y) ds = \int_{[x_0, x_1]} h(s, y) ds.$$

In particular, for any  $x > 0$  and  $y$ , since  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  is zero on  $\partial U$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, y) = \int_{[0, x]} h(s, y) ds.$$

Similarly,  $f(x, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous, so that for any  $x$  and  $y > 0$ ,

$$f(x, y) - f(x, 0) = \int_{[0, y]} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) dt = \int_{[0, y]} \left( \int_{[0, x]} h(s, t) ds \right) dt.$$

Therefore, since  $h$  is bounded (and hence integrable), we may apply Fubini to obtain

$$f(x, y) - f(x, 0) = \int_{[0, x] \times [0, y]} h.$$

**(b).** Using (a),  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}|_{\partial U} = 0$  and Fubini, for any  $(x, y) \in U$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x, y)} \int_{[0, x]} \left( \int_{[0, y]} h(s, t) dt \right) ds.$$

By Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, for any  $0 < y < 1$ , for almost all  $0 < x < 1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = \int_{[0, y]} h(x, t) dt.$$

Finally, the fact that this holds for almost all  $(x, y) \in U$  follows from Fubini, since the subset  $U' \subset U$  on which this equation holds is measurable.

**(c).** By (b), by Lebesgue's differentiation theorem and by Fubini, for almost all  $(x, y) \in U$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) (x, y) = h(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) (x, y).$$