On k-saturated graphs with restrictions on the degrees

Noga Alon* Paul Erdős[†] Ron Holzman[‡] Michael Krivelevich[§]

April 20, 2001

Abstract

A graph G is called k-saturated, where $k \geq 3$ is an integer, if G is K^k -free but the addition of any edge produces a K^k (we denote by K^k a complete graph on k vertices). We investigate k-saturated graphs, and in particular the function $F_k(n,D)$ defined as the minimal number of edges in a k-saturated graph on n vertices having maximal degree at most D. This investigation was suggested by Hajnal, and the case k=3 was studied by Füredi and Seress. The following are some of our results. For k=4, we prove that $F_4(n,D)=4n-15$ for $n>n_0$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{3} \right\rfloor \leq D \leq n-2$. For arbitrary k, we show that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n$ exists for all $0 < c \leq 1$, except maybe for some values of c contained in a sequence $c_i \to 0$. We also determine the asymptotic behaviour of this limit for $c \to 0$. We construct, for all k and all sufficiently large n, a k-saturated graph on n vertices with maximal degree at most $2k\sqrt{n}$, significantly improving an upper bound due to Hanson and Seyffarth.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Research supported in part by the Fund for Basic Research administered by the Israel Academy of Sciences.

[†]Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary, and Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel. Research supported by the Tragovnik research fund and by the fund for the promotion of research at the Technion. Corresponding author.

[§]Department of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Research supported in part by a Charles Clore Fellowship.

1 Introduction

A graph G=(V,E) is called k-saturated for an integer $k\geq 3$ if G does not contain a complete graph on k vertices K^k , but the addition of any edge to G yields a K^k . The theorem of Erdős, Hajnal and Moon ([2]) states that if G is a k-saturated graph on $n\geq k-2$ vertices, then $|E(G)|\geq (k-2)n-\binom{k-1}{2}$. However, for every k the extremal example for this theorem contains a vertex of degree n-1 (we call such a vertex conical). Hajnal ([6]) asked what is the minimal number of edges in a k-saturated graph on n vertices with no conical vertex, or, more generally, what is the minimal number of edges in a k-saturated graph on n vertices with all vertex degrees at most n. The case n0 was treated by Füredi and Seress in [5]. Some additional results were obtained in [3]. Both papers used a linear programming method introduced by Pach and Surányi ([11]) for the study of the problem of determining the minimal number of edges in a graph of diameter two and all degrees at most n2. In this paper we study the case n3 diameter two and all degrees at most n4. Our methods are similar to those of Füredi and Seress, but contain several new ingredients.

The following related problem was considered by Duffus and Hanson in [1]: what is the minimal number of edges in a k-saturated graph on n vertices with minimum degree δ ? Some results on this problem are presented as well.

We also address the problem of the lowest possible maximal degree in a k-saturated graph on n vertices. Clearly, every k-saturated graph has diameter two, therefore it can easily be deduced that the maximal degree in a k-saturated graph is at least $(n-1)^{1/2}$. Hanson and Seyffarth ([7]) constructed k-saturated graphs on n vertices with maximal degree $O(n^{\alpha_k})$, where $\alpha_k < 1$, but $\alpha_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. They also conjectured that the correct value of the lowest possible maximal degree is asymptotically $c_k n^{1/2}$ as $n \to \infty$, where c_k is a constant depending only on k. In this paper we build k-saturated graphs with maximal degree $O(n^{1/2})$ for each k, thus matching the lower bound up to a constant (depending on k) factor. The case k=3 has already been done by Hanson and Seyffarth, and a better value for the constant was obtained by Füredi and Seress.

We end this section with some notation. For a graph G we denote by \overline{G} the complement of G. For a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ we denote by G[U] the induced subgraph of G on U. We also write $G \setminus U$ instead of $G[V(G) \setminus U]$. The degree of a vertex x is denoted by d(x). We denote by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ the maximal and the minimal degree of G, respectively. Let

```
F_k(n,D) = \min\{|E(G)|: G \text{ is } k\text{-saturated}, |V(G)| = n, \Delta(G) \leq D\},
F_k^*(n,D) = \min\{|E(G)|: G \text{ is } k\text{-saturated}, |V(G)| = n, \Delta(G) = D\}
```

(for triples (k, n, D), for which the corresponding graphs do not exist we set $F_k(n, D) =$

 ∞ or $F_k^*(n,D)=\infty$). The above definitions clearly imply

$$F_k(n, D') \leq F_k(n, D) \leq F_k^*(n, D)$$

for every $D' \geq D$. Using this notation, Hajnal's question is to determine $F_k(n, D)$ and in particular $F_k(n, n-2)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we treat the values of $F_k(n,D)$ and $F_k^*(n,D)$ for D=n-2 and D=n-3. In Section 3 we obtain some structural results for k-saturated graphs which are used to treat the case D=cn,0 < c < 1. In Section 4 we consider the case D=o(n). Some additional results on 4-saturated graphs and k-saturated graphs, k>4, are presented in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

2 Graphs with maximal degree n-2 or n-3

In this section we study the values of $F_k^*(n, n-2)$ and $F_k^*(n, n-3)$. The results obtained supply some information about $F_k(n, n-2)$ and $F_k(n, n-3)$ as well. Later we will obtain additional results about these functions.

The following two propositions appear essentially (in dual form) in [10] (p. 447).

Proposition 1 Let $k \geq 4$ and G = (V, E) be a k-saturated graph on n vertices with $\Delta(G) = n - 2$. If d(x) = n - 2 and $(x, y) \notin E(G)$, then $(y, z) \in E(G)$ for every vertex $z \in V \setminus \{x, y\}$ and the graph $G' = G \setminus \{x, y\}$ is (k - 1)-saturated with no conical vertex. Conversely, given a (k - 1)-saturated graph G' on n - 2 vertices with no conical vertex, one can add two non-adjacent vertices x and y and join them to all other vertices, thus obtaining a k-saturated graph G on n vertices with $\Delta(G) = n - 2$.

Proposition 2 Let $k \geq 4$ and G = (V, E) be a k-saturated graph on n vertices with $\Delta(G) = n - 3$. If d(x) = n - 3 and $(x, u), (x, v) \notin E(G)$ then either

1. $(u,v) \notin E(G)$ and then $(u,z), (v,z) \in E(G)$ for every vertex $z \in V \setminus \{x,u,v\}$ and $G' = G \setminus \{x,u,v\}$ is (k-1)-saturated with $\Delta(G') \leq n-6$. Conversely, given a (k-1)-saturated graph G' on n-3 vertices with $\Delta(G') \leq n-6$, one can add three independent vertices x, u and v and join them to all other vertices, thus obtaining a k-saturated graph G on n vertices with $\Delta(G) = n-3$,

2. $(u,v) \in E(G)$ and then for each $z \in V \setminus \{x,u,v\}$ at least one of the edges (u,z), (v,z) belongs to E(G) and the graph G', obtained from $G \setminus \{x,u,v\}$ by adding a new vertex w and joining it to the vertices that are joined in G to both u and v, is (k-1)-saturated with $\Delta(G') \leq n-5$. Conversely, given a (k-1)-saturated graph G' on n-2 vertices with $\Delta(G') \leq n-5$, one can replace any vertex $w \in V(G')$ by two new vertices u and v, join u and v, join both u and v to all vertices of V(G') to which w was joined, also join one of u, v to every other vertex of $V(G') \setminus \{w\}$ so that both u and v are chosen at least once, and add a new vertex v joined to all other vertices but v and v, thus obtaining a v-saturated graph v0 on v0 vertices with v0 on v1.

Turning to our notation, we can easily see that the above propositions imply:

Proposition 3 For k > 4 one has

1.
$$F_k^*(n, n-2) = F_{k-1}(n-2, n-4) + 2n-4$$
;

2.
$$F_k^*(n, n-3) = F_{k-1}(n-2, n-5) + 2n-5$$
.

Proof. 1. Follows immediately from Proposition 1.

2. Suppose G is a k-saturated graph on n vertices with $\Delta(G)=n-3$. Let d(x)=n-3, $(x,u),(x,v)\not\in E(G)$. If $(u,v)\not\in E(G)$, then according to part 1 of Proposition 2 the graph $G'=G\setminus\{x,u,v\}$ is (k-1)-saturated with $\Delta(G')\leq n-6$ and |E(G')|=|E(G)|-d(x)-d(u)-d(v)=|E(G)|-3(n-3), therefore

$$|E(G)| \ge F_{k-1}(n-3, n-6) + 3n - 9$$
 (1)

In case $(u,v) \in E(G)$, consider the graph G' described in part 2 of Proposition 2. Let $V_1 = \{y \in V(G) \setminus \{x,u,v\} : (y,u) \in E(G), (y,v) \not\in E(G)\}, V_2 = \{y \in V(G) \setminus \{x,u,v\} : (y,v) \in E(G), (y,u) \not\in E(G)\}, \text{ and } V_3 = \{y \in V(G) \setminus \{x,u,v\} : (y,u) \in E(G), (y,v) \in E(G)\}.$ Then $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 = V(G) \setminus \{x,u,v\}, \text{ and } V_3 = \{y \in V(G) \setminus \{x,u,v\} : (y,u) \in E(G), (y,v) \in E(G)\}.$

$$|E(G')| = |E(G)| - d(x) - 1 - |V_1| - |V_3| - |V_2| - |V_3| + |V_3|$$

$$= |E(G)| - d(x) - 1 - |V_1| - |V_2| - |V_3|$$

$$= |E(G)| - (n-3) - 1 - (n-3)$$

$$= |E(G)| - (2n-5).$$

Recalling that G' is (k-1)-saturated on n-2 vertices we obtain

$$|E(G)| \ge F_{k-1}(n-2, n-5) + 2n-5$$
 (2)

Proposition 2 and inequalities (1), (2) imply that

$$F_k^*(n, n-3) = \min\{F_{k-1}(n-3, n-6) + 3n-9, F_{k-1}(n-2, n-5) + 2n-5\}$$
.

Since one can obtain from a (k-1)-saturated graph G_1 on n-3 vertices with $\Delta(G_1) \leq n-6$ and $|E(G_1)| = F_{k-1}(n-3,n-6)$ a (k-1)-saturated graph G_2 on n-2 vertices with $\Delta(G_2) \leq n-5$ and $|E(G_2)| \leq |E(G_1)| + \Delta(G_1)$ just by fixing any vertex $v \in V(G_1)$, adding a new vertex u and joining it to all vertices of $V(G_1)$ to which v is joined, we have

$$F_{k-1}(n-2,n-5) \leq F_{k-1}(n-3,n-6) + n-6$$
.

Therefore

$$F_k^*(n, n-3) = F_{k-1}(n-2, n-5) + 2n-5$$
.

It follows from the results of Duffus and Hanson ([1], see also [5]) that $F_3(n, n-2) = F_3(n, n-3) = 2n-5$ for $n \ge 5$. Hence we derive:

Corollary 1 1. $F_4^*(n, n-2) = 4n - 13$ for $n \ge 7$;

2.
$$F_{4}^{*}(n, n-3) = 4n - 14$$
 for $n > 7$.

An extremal graph for $F_4^*(n,n-2)$ can be obtained from the cycle C^5 (where C^r denotes a cycle on r vertices) by replicating one vertex, adding two new non-adjacent vertices x and y and joining them to all other vertices of the graph. As for $F_4^*(n,n-3)$, an extremal graph can be obtained by replicating any vertex of the following graph G on seven vertices: $V(G) = \{0,1,\ldots,6\}, E(G) = \{(i,i+1) \bmod 7: 0 \le i \le 6\} \cup \{(i,i+3) \bmod 7: 0 \le i \le 6\}$. In the subsequent sections we will show that $F_4(n,n-2) \le 4n-15$ for $n \ge 9$ (and a construction exists with maximal degree n-4), and $F_4(n,n-2) = 4n-15$ for sufficiently large n.

Proposition 4 1.
$$F_k^*(n, n-2) = F_k^*(n, n-3) + 1$$
 for $n \ge 2k-1$;

2.
$$F_k(n, n-2) = F_k(n, n-3)$$
 for $n \ge 2k-1$.

Proof. By induction on $k \geq 3$. For k = 3 it was proved by Duffus and Hanson, and Füredi and Seress that $F_3^*(n, n-2) = 2n-4$ and $F_3^*(n, n-3) = F_3(n, n-3) = 2n-5$ for $n \geq 5$. Assuming that the proposition holds true for k-1, we obtain from Proposition 3

- 1. $F_k^*(n, n-2) = F_{k-1}(n-2, n-4) + 2n 4 = F_{k-1}(n-2, n-5) + 2n 4 = F_k^*(n, n-3) (2n-5) + (2n-4) = F_k^*(n, n-3) + 1$;
- 2. If G is a k-saturated graph with $\Delta(G) \leq n-2$, then either $\Delta(G) = n-2$, and then $|E(G)| \geq F_k^*(n, n-2) = F_k^*(n, n-3) + 1 \geq F_k(n, n-3) + 1$, or $\Delta(G) \leq n-3$, and then $|E(G)| \geq F_k(n, n-3)$.

An upper bound for $F_k^*(n, n-2)$ can be obtained by considering a complete (k-1)-partite graph $K^{n-2(k-2),2,...,2}$ $(n \geq 2k-2)$, yielding

$$F_k^*(n,n-2) \leq 2(k-2)n - (2k^2 - 6k + 4)$$
.

In Section 6 we will improve this bound slightly.

3 The structure of k-saturated graphs

This section extends the proofs of [5] for the case of general k. It contains some new ideas as well.

A hypergraph (set system) is a pair $\mathcal{H} = (V, \mathcal{E})$, where V is a finite ground set (the vertex set) and \mathcal{E} is a family of distinct subsets of V (the edge set). We will occasionally identify a hypergraph with its edge set.

Suppose G = (V, E) is a k-saturated graph and suppose $V_0 \subseteq V$ is such that $V \setminus V_0$ is independent in G. Then the number of edges in G can be computed using the following description of G:

- (i) a graph $G_0 = G[V_0]$;
- (ii) a hypergraph \mathcal{H} on V_0 , whose edges H_1, \ldots, H_m are the neighbourhoods of the vertices in $V \setminus V_0$, listed without repetitions;
- (iii) an assignment of weights y_1, \ldots, y_m where y_i is the fraction of vertices of $V \setminus V_0$ with neighbourhood H_i , thus, $y_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = 1$.

Then

$$|E(G)|=|E(G_0)|+|V\setminus V_0|\sum_{i=1}^m y_i|H_i|$$
 .

Moreover, it can be easily checked, using the fact that G is k-saturated, that the pair (G_0, \mathcal{H}) satisfies the following conditions.

1. G_0 is K^k -free;

- 2. $G_0[H_i]$ is K^{k-1} -free for every $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$;
- 3. $H_i \cap H_j$ contains a K^{k-2} for every pair $H_i, H_j \in \mathcal{H}$;
- 4. for every edge $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ and every vertex $x \in V_0 \setminus H_i$ the subset $H_i \cup \{x\}$ contains a K^{k-1} ;
- 5. if $(x,y) \not\in E(G_0)$ then either there exists in G_0 a copy of K^{k-2} completely joined to x and y, or there exists a copy of K^{k-3} on the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-3} \in V_0$, completely joined to x and y, and an edge $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{x, y, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-3}\} \subseteq$ H_i .

It turns out that such pairs (G_0, \mathcal{H}) play a crucial role in determining the functions $F_k(n,D)$, and therefore the following definition is very useful.

Definition 1 Let $G_0 = (V_0, E)$ be a graph and $\mathcal{H} = (V_0, \mathcal{E})$ be a hypergraph on some set V_0 . The pair (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-core if it satisfies the above conditions (1)-(5).

Definition 2 (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-pre-core if it satisfies conditions (1)-(4).

Note that the definition of a k-core generalizes that of a core (k=3) given by Füredi and Seress. Observe also that if (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-pre-core, then one can add, if necessary, edges to $E(G_0)$, obtaining a new graph G'_0 such that (G'_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-core.

Given a k-core (G_0, \mathcal{H}) and weights $y_i \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = 1$, one can construct for n large enough a k-saturated graph G on n vertices as follows. Choose sets V_1, \ldots, V_m disjoint from each other and from V_0 such that $\lfloor y_i(n-|V_0|) \rfloor \leq 1$ $|V_i| \leq \lceil y_i(n-|V_0|)
ceil$ and $\sum_{i=0}^m |V_i| = n$, and define $V = \bigcup_{i=0}^m V_i$. Two vertices $x,y \in V_0$ are adjacent in G if and only if they are adjacent in G_0 . The set $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ is independent in G. Finally, two vertices $x \in V_0$ and $y \in V_i, 1 \le i \le m$, are adjacent in G if and only if $x \in H_i$.

The degree of a vertex $x \in V_0$ in G is $n \sum_{i:x \in H_i} y_i + O(1)$, the number of edges in Gis $n \sum_{i=1}^m y_i |H_i| + O(1)$. These observations lead us to the following linear programming formulation.

Definition 3 Given a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$ on a set V_0 and a real number c>0, let $A(\mathcal{H},c)=\min\sum_{i=1}^{m}|H_{i}|y_{i}$, under the restrictions

$$\sum_{x \in H} y_i \leq c \quad \text{for all } x \in V_0 , \qquad (3)$$

$$y_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m ,$$
 (4)

$$\sum_{x \in H_i} y_i \leq c \quad \text{for all } x \in V_0 , \qquad (3)$$

$$y_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m , \qquad (4)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = 1 . \qquad (5)$$

Recall that the fractional matching number $\nu^*(\mathcal{H})$ of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V_0, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$, is defined as $\nu^*(\mathcal{H}) = \max \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$ under the restrictions

Clearly, $c \geq 1/\nu^*(\mathcal{H})$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the feasibility of the conditions (3)-(5). Note also that if \mathcal{H} is r-uniform (that is, |H| = r for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$) and $c > 1/\nu^*(\mathcal{H})$ then $A(\mathcal{H}, c) = r$.

The following example shows that for every c > 0 there exists a k-core (G_0, \mathcal{H}) such that the conditions (3)-(5) are feasible for \mathcal{H} .

Example 1. Let q be a prime power. Define for every $k \geq 3$ a graph G_0^k and a hypergraph \mathcal{H}^k on a set V_0^k . The set V_0^k consists of k-2 copies of two disjoint sets of size q^2+q+1 each, denoted by $A_1,B_1,\ldots,A_{k-2},B_{k-2}$. Elements of A_i are identified with the points of a projective plane PG(2,q), those of B_i with the lines of PG(2,q). For each line l, there is an edge $H\in\mathcal{H}^k$ consisting of all points of l in A_1,\ldots,A_{k-2} and all singletons $\{l\}$ in B_1,\ldots,B_{k-2} . Thus, \mathcal{H}^k has q^2+q+1 edges of size |H|=(k-2)(q+1)+k-2=(k-2)(q+2). In the graph G_0^k the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} B_i$ is independent and each of the sets A_i is independent. Each A_i is completely joined to all $A_j,B_j,\ i\neq j$, and within (A_i,B_i) a vertex in B_i corresponding to a line l is joined to all vertices in A_i corresponding to the points of PG(2,q) not lying on l. It can rather easily be checked that the pair (G_0^k,\mathcal{H}^k) is a k-core for all $q\geq 2$, while for q=1 (in this case PG(2,1) denotes a triangle) it is a k-pre-core. Assigning $g_i=1/(q^2+q+1)$ we get a feasible solution of (3)–(5) for every c satisfying $c\geq (q+1)/(q^2+q+1)$. This assignment implies also that $A(\mathcal{H}^k,c)=(k-2)(q+2)$ for these values of c.

We note that the above example is in fact a generalization of Example 3.1 of [5].

Definition 4 For every $0 < c \le 1$ define $K_k(c) = \inf A(\mathcal{H}, c)$, where \mathcal{H} ranges over all hypergraphs with $\nu^*(\mathcal{H}) \ge 1/c$ such that, for a suitable graph G_0 , the pair (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-core (or equivalently, a k-pre-core).

Claim 1
$$K_k(c) \leq 2(k-2)(1+1/c)$$
.

Proof. Let q be a prime satisfying $1/c \le q \le 2/c$. Then Example 1 gives a k-core (G_0^k, \mathcal{H}^k) for which $A(\mathcal{H}^k, c) = (k-2)(q+2) \le (k-2)(2/c+2) = 2(k-2)(1+1/c)$.

Claim 2 In the definition of $K_k(c)$, the infimum may be taken over hypergraphs with at most $2(k-2)(1/c+1/c^2)+1$ edges.

Proof. Let (G_0, \mathcal{H}) be a k-core such that $A(\mathcal{H}, c) \leq 2(k-2)(1+1/c)$. By Claim 1, it suffices to consider such k-cores in determining $K_k(c)$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$. The system of inequalities (3)-(5) defines a convex polytope P in R^m . P is bounded and non-empty, and therefore the function $\sum_{i=1}^m |H_i|y_i$ attains its minimum at a vertex p of P. But every vertex of P is the intersection of at least m hyperplanes of the type: $\sum_{x \in H_i} y_i = c$ for some $x \in V_0$, or $y_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, or $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = 1$. Since

$$\sum_{x \in V_0} \sum_{x \in H_i} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^m |H_i| y_i = A(\mathcal{H},c) \leq 2(k-2)(1+rac{1}{c}) \; ,$$

at most $2(k-2)(1/c+1/c^2)$ hyperplanes of the first type contain p. Therefore, for at least $m-2(k-2)(1/c+1/c^2)-1$ values of i, the equation $y_i=0$ occurs at p. Denote by \mathcal{H}_1 the set of those edges H_i of \mathcal{H} for which $y_i \neq 0$ at p. Clearly, $A(\mathcal{H}_1,c)=A(\mathcal{H},c)$ and $|\mathcal{H}_1| \leq 2(k-2)(1/c+1/c^2)+1$. Also, one can easily check that (G_0,\mathcal{H}_1) is a k-pre-core. Adding edges to $E(G_0)$, if necessary, we obtain a k-core (G_1,\mathcal{H}_1) , thus proving the assertion of the claim.

The next step is to show that the number of vertices in the hypergraph for the definition of $K_k(c)$ can be bounded from above by a function of c as well. It seems that the corresponding proof of Füredi and Seress cannot be extended for the case of general k, therefore we present a different proof. Let us call a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V,\mathcal{E})$ separated if for all $x\neq y\in V$ there exists an edge $H\in\mathcal{E}$ such that $|H\cap\{x,y\}|=1$. This definition implies that for every pair $x\neq y\in V$, the sets of edges containing x and y, respectively, are different, and therefore the number of vertices in a separated hypergraph can be bounded from above by $|V|\leq 2^{|\mathcal{E}|}$. By identifying vertices, if necessary, we can obtain from every hypergraph \mathcal{H} a separated hypergraph \mathcal{H}_0 with the same number of edges and the same fractional matching number: $\nu^*(\mathcal{H})=\nu^*(\mathcal{H}_0)$. If $V(\mathcal{H}_0)=\{x_1,\ldots,x_p\}$ and x_i is obtained by identifying a_i vertices of \mathcal{H} , we say that \mathcal{H} is an (a_1,\ldots,a_p) blow-up of \mathcal{H}_0 . We let

$$B(\mathcal{H}_0) = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in N^p : \text{ the } (a_1, \ldots, a_p) \text{ blow-up of } \mathcal{H}_0 \}$$
 forms a k -core with a suitable graph $G_0\}$.

For a given c > 0, define a family of hypergraphs $\mathbf{H}(c)$ by

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(c) = & \{\mathcal{H}_0: \mathcal{H}_0 ext{ is separated, }
u^*(\mathcal{H}_0) \geq 1/c, \ V(\mathcal{H}_0) = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}, \ \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_0) = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}, m \leq 2(k-2)(1/c+1/c^2) + 1, B(\mathcal{H}_0)
eq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

The above observations imply that $\mathbf{H}(c)$ is non-empty and finite. Using the above definitions and Claim 2, the problem of determining $K_k(c)$ can be rewritten as

$$egin{aligned} K_k(c) &= \min_{\mathcal{H}_0 \in \mathbf{H}(c)} & \inf_{(a_1,\ldots,a_p) \in B(\mathcal{H}_0)} & \min \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{x_j \in H_i} a_j
ight) y_i \ & ext{s.t.} & \sum_{x_j \in H_i} y_i &\leq c, & j=1,\ldots,p \ , \ & y_i &\geq 0, & i=1,\ldots,m \ , \ & \sum_{i=1}^m y_i &= 1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

In the infimum of the above expression for $K_k(c)$ it suffices to consider only those (a_1,\ldots,a_p) that are minimal elements of $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$ in the natural partial order \prec of N^p $((a_1,\ldots,a_p)\prec(a'_1,\ldots,a'_p)$ iff $a_i\leq a'_i$ for every $1\leq i\leq p$). Since the poset (N^p,\prec) has no infinite antichain, this enables us to restrict the choice of (a_1,\ldots,a_p) to a finite set. Hence we obtain the following result.

Claim 3 The infimum in the definition of $K_k(c)$ is attained.

Theorem 1 The above defined function $K_k(c)$ is monotone nonincreasing, piecewise linear and right-continuous. The points of discontinuity are all rational and contained in a sequence $c_1 > c_2 > \ldots \to 0$.

Proof. We use Lemma 3.6 of [5], which states that for an arbitrary hypergraph \mathcal{H} the function $A(\mathcal{H},c)$ is continuous, piecewise linear and monotone nonincreasing on the interval $[1/\nu^*(\mathcal{H}),\infty)$. It follows from the proof of Claim 3 that for every fixed $\gamma>0$ the value of $K_k(c)$ is determined on $[\gamma,1]$ by a finite number of blowups of separated hypergraphs whose number in turn can be bounded from above by a function of γ . Therefore $K_k(c)$ on $[\gamma,1]$ is the minimum of finitely many functions $A(\mathcal{H},c)$, and hence $K_k(c)$ is also monotone nonincreasing and piecewise linear. The only possible discontinuities are left-discontinuities at points of the form $1/\nu^*(\mathcal{H})$ for some hypergraph \mathcal{H} from this finite collection; in particular, there are finitely many discontinuities in $[\gamma,1]$ and they are all rational.

The following theorem, whose proof is shaped after Lemma 4.2 of [5], shows that every k-saturated graph with few edges is built on a k-core with a small number of vertices. (All logarithms are base two.)

Theorem 2 Let an integer $k \geq 3$ and a real C be fixed. Then there exists an integer n_0 such that for every $n > n_0$, if G = (V, E) is a k-saturated graph on n vertices with $\leq Cn$ edges, then there exists a subset $V_0 \subset V$ such that

- (a) $|V_0| \leq (2C+1)n/\log\log n$;
- (b) $V \setminus V_0$ is independent in G;
- (c) For every $x \in V \setminus V_0$ let $H(x) = \{y \in V_0 : (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph on V_0 with edge set $\{H(x) : x \in V \setminus V_0\}$ and let $G_0 = G[V_0]$. Then (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a k-core.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be a k-saturated graph on n vertices with at most Cn edges. Let $X = \{x \in V : d(x) \ge \log \log n\}$. Then $|X| \log \log n \le \sum_{x \in V} d(x) \le 2Cn$, and therefore

$$|X| \le \frac{2Cn}{\log\log n} \ . \tag{6}$$

For every $y \in V \setminus X$ let $H(y) = \{x \in X : (x,y) \in E(G)\}$. Clearly, $|H(y)| < \log \log n$ for all $y \in V \setminus X$. Define $Y = \{y \in V \setminus X : \exists z \in V \setminus X \text{ such that } H(y) \cap H(z) \text{ does not contain a copy of } K^{k-2}\}$. We claim that the set $V_0 = X \cup Y$ satisfies the requirements of the theorem. Indeed, if $u_1, u_2 \in V \setminus V_0$, then $K^{k-2} \subseteq H(u_1) \cap H(u_2)$, but G is K^k -free, therefore $(u_1, u_2) \notin E(G)$, and hence $V \setminus V_0$ is independent and (b) holds. As observed earlier in this section, in a k-saturated graph (b) implies (c). Therefore, in view of (6) it remains to prove that $|Y| \leq n/\log\log n$, provided that n is sufficiently large.

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V,\mathcal{E})$ is a sunflower if $H_i\cap H_j=\bigcap_{H\in\mathcal{E}}H$ for all $H_i\neq H_j\in\mathcal{E}$. The sets $H_i\setminus\bigcap_{H\in\mathcal{E}}H$ are called petals. Let us prove now that the hypergraph $\{H(y):y\in Y\}$ does not contain a sunflower with more than $\log\log^2n+\log\log n$ petals. To show this, suppose that $\{H(y_i):0\leq i\leq \lfloor\log\log^2n+\log\log n\rfloor\}$ is a sunflower for some $y_i\in Y$, and let $U=\bigcap_i H(y_i)$. By the definition of Y, there exists a vertex $z\in Y$ such that $K^{k-2}\not\subseteq H(y_0)\cap H(z)$. Since all vertices in $V\setminus X$ have degree $<\log\log n$, less than $\log\log^2n$ of the y_i are of distance at most two from z in $G\setminus X$. Therefore for more than $\log\log n$ of the vertices y_i the distance between y_i and z in $G\setminus X$ is more than two. Hence (recalling that G is k-saturated), there exists a copy of K^{k-2} (we denote it by T_i), contained in X and completely joined to both y_i and z. Clearly, $V(T_i)\not\subseteq U$ for every such y_i (otherwise $K^{k-2}\subseteq H(y_0)\cap H(z)$), and hence there exists a point $x_i\in V(T_i)$ such that $x_i\not\in H(y_j)$ for every $j\neq i$. All x_i are different and belong to H(z), thus yielding $|H(z)|>\log\log n$, a contradiction.

Now, by a theorem of Erdős and Rado ([4]) if a hypergraph has more than $r!m^r$ edges of size at most r, then some subhypergraph is a sunflower with m+1 petals. This implies that the set system $\{H(y):y\in Y\}$ has at most $(\log\log n)!(\log\log^2 n+\log\log n)^{\log\log n}< n/\log\log^3 n$ members (here we use the assumption that n is sufficiently large). Finally, for each $H\subseteq X$ we have $|\{y\in Y:H(y)=H\}|\leq \log\log^2 n$, because these y must be of distance at most two in $G\setminus X$ from the vertex $z\in Y$ for which $H(z)\cap H$ does not contain a K^{k-2} .

Theorem 3 If $K_k(c)$ is continuous at c, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n = K_k(c)$.

Proof. Let us prove first that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n \leq K_k(c)$. Suppose to the contrary that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n \geq K_k(c) + \epsilon$ for some positive constant ϵ . Since $K_k(c)$ is continuous at c, there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that $K_k(c-\delta) < K_k(c) + \epsilon$. It follows from Claim 3, that there exists a k-core (G_0,\mathcal{H}) on a set V_0 and a weight function w on the edges of \mathcal{H} such that w is a feasible solution of (3)-(5) for $c-\delta$ and $A(\mathcal{H},c-\delta)=\sum_{H\in\mathcal{H}}|H|w(H)=K_k(c-\delta)$. As explained in the beginning of this section, we can use this k-core and weight function to construct, for sufficiently large n, a k-saturated graph G on n vertices with $\Delta(G)\leq (c-\delta)n+O(1)$ and $|E(G)|\leq K_k(c-\delta)n+O(1)$. Therefore for sufficiently large n we have

$$\frac{F_k(n,cn)}{n} \leq \frac{F_k(n,(c-\delta)n + O(1))}{n} \leq K_k(c-\delta) + o(1) < K_k(c) + \epsilon ,$$

a contradiction.

Now we prove that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n \geq K_k(c)$. Suppose to the contrary that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} F_k(n,cn)/n \leq K_k(c) - \epsilon$ for some constant $\epsilon>0$. This means that there exists an infinite increasing sequence $\{n_i\}$ such that $F_k(n_i,cn_i)/n_i \leq K_k(c) - \epsilon$; that is, there exists a sequence of graphs $\{G^i\}$ such that every G^i is k-saturated with $|V(G^i)| = n_i$, $\Delta(G^i) \leq cn_i$, $|E(G^i)| \leq (K_k(c) - \epsilon)n_i$. The function $K_k(c)$ is right-continuous (the argument in this direction does not depend on the assumption of continuity at c), and therefore there exists a positive constant δ such that $K_k(c+\delta) > K_k(c) - \epsilon$. For i sufficiently large, according to Theorem 2, there exists a subset $V_0^i \subset V(G^i)$ and a k-core (G_0^i, \mathcal{H}^i) satisfying (a)-(c). Recalling the notation of Theorem 2, we define the weight function w on $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}^i)$ by

$$w(H) = rac{|\{x \in V(G^i) \setminus V_0^i : H(x) = H\}|}{|V(G^i) \setminus V_0^i|} \;.$$

Clearly, $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}^i)} w(H) = 1$. For $z \in V_0^i$, using the fact that $d_{G^i}(z) \leq c n_i$, we obtain

$$\sum_{z \in H} w(H) \leq rac{c \, n_i}{|V(G^i) \setminus V_0^i|} \leq c + \delta$$

for sufficiently large i (the last inequality holds because $|V_0^i| = o(n_i)$). This implies that w is a feasible solution of the problem (3)–(5) for $c+\delta$, and then according to the definition of $K_k(c)$ we have $\sum_{H\in\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}^i)}|H|w(H)\geq K_k(c+\delta)$. But $|E(G^i)|=|E(G^i_0)|+|V(G^i)\setminus V_0^i|\sum_{H\in\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}^i)}w(H)|H|$ and we obtain

$$|E(G^i)| \ge |V(G^i) \setminus V_0^i|K_k(c+\delta) > (K_k(c) - \epsilon)n_i$$

for i sufficiently large, thus obtaining a contradiction.

The exact determination of $K_k(c)$ seems to be hopeless in general. However, we can determine its asymptotic behaviour for $c \to 0$.

Theorem 4 Let G be a k-saturated graph on n vertices with $\delta(G) = \delta$ and $\Delta(G) = \Delta$. Then

$$\delta \geq rac{(k-2)(n-1)}{\Delta + k - 3}$$
 .

Proof. Let x be a vertex with $d(x) = \delta$. Denote $A = \{y : (x,y) \in E(G)\}$, $B = V \setminus (A \cup \{x\})$, then $|A| = \delta$, $|B| = n - \delta - 1$. Since the addition of the edge (x,z) for $z \in B$ yields a copy of K^k in G, every $z \in B$ has at least k-2 neighbours in A, and therefore the number of edges between A and B is at least $(k-2)|B| = (k-2)(n-\delta-1)$. On the other hand, this number of edges does not exceed $|A|(\Delta-1) = \delta(\Delta-1)$, and we conclude that $(k-2)(n-\delta-1) \leq \delta(\Delta-1)$, or

$$\delta \geq \frac{(k-2)(n-1)}{\Delta + k - 3}$$
.

Theorem 5 $\frac{k-2}{c} \le K_k(c) \le \frac{k-2+o(1)}{c}$ (here the o(1) term tends to 0 as c tends to 0).

Proof. The lower bound can be deduced from Theorem 4 (with the help of the previous theorems and some technicalities), but we give here a direct proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$ be a hypergraph which forms a k-core with a suitable graph, and let y_1, \ldots, y_m be a feasible solution of (3)-(5). Then for each $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$|H_i|c \geq \sum_{x \in H_i} \sum_{x \in H_j} y_j = \sum_{j=1}^m |H_i \cap H_j| y_j \geq (k-2) \sum_{j=1}^m y_j = k-2$$

(using the third condition in the definition of a k-core). It follows that $|H_i| \geq (k-2)/c$, and therefore $A(\mathcal{H},c) \geq (k-2)/c$, which proves the lower bound.

To prove the upper bound, we return to Example 1 and take a prime q satisfying $1/c \le q \le 1/c + (1/c)^{7/12}$. (Such a prime exists for all sufficiently small c, since by a theorem of Huxley [9], there always exists a prime between n and $n+n^{7/12}$ for n sufficiently large.) Then we obtain a k-core (G_0^k, \mathcal{H}^k) for which $A(\mathcal{H}^k, c) = (k-2)(q+2) \le (k-2)(1/c+(1/c)^{7/12}+2)$. Therefore it follows from the definition of $K_k(c)$ that

$$K_{k}(c) \leq A(\mathcal{H}^{k},c) \leq rac{k-2}{c}(1+c^{5/12}+2c) = rac{k-2}{c}(1+o(1))$$
.

4 Graphs with maximal degree o(n)

To construct k-saturated graphs with maximal degree o(n) we use the following k-core (which for k=3 coincides with Example 2.2 of [5]).

Example 2. Let $q \ge k - 1$ $(q \ge 3$ for the case k = 3) be a prime power. Enumerate the points p_0, \ldots, p_{q^2+q} and the lines l_0, \ldots, l_{q^2+q} of a projective plane PG(2,q) in such a way that $p_{q^2+q} \in l_0, \ldots, l_q$, and $p_{iq+j} \in l_i$ for every $0 \le i \le q, \ 0 \le j \le q-1$. For a point $p = p_{iq+j}$ we call i the level of p and j the place of p. Deleting the point p_{q^2+q} and the lines l_0, \ldots, l_q we obtain a truncated projective plane of order q. We describe now a set V_0^k and a k-core (G_0^k, \mathcal{H}^k) on it. V_0^k consists of k-1 copies of T^k , where T^k is obtained from a truncated projective plane of order q by replacing each point p by k-2 points x^0, \ldots, x^{k-3} , where we refer to t as the type of x^t . Thus, each point of V_0^k has four coordinates: its level $0 \le i \le q$, its place $0 \le j \le q-1$, its type $0 \le t \le k-3$ and the copy $0 \le s \le k-2$ of T^k it belongs to. For each line l_r in the truncated plane, there is an edge $H_{r-q} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}^k)$, consisting of all points of l_r (in all k-1 copies, of all k-2 types). The edges of G_0^k are as follows. Within each level, two vertices are joined if and only if they are in distinct copies and have either distinct places or distinct types. In the case $k \geq 4$, a point x in level i is joined to a point y in level i', where i < i', if and only if the type of y succeeds that of x (in Z_{k-2}) and the place of y is one of the k-2 successors of the place of x (in Z_q). Then (G_0^k, \mathcal{H}^k) is a k-core. The verification of this assertion is technical and rather tedious. Let us prove, for example, that G_0^k is K^k -free. Suppose to the contrary that $G_0^k[\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}]\cong K^k$. It is easy to see that if x, y, z form a triangle in G_0^k , then the points x, y, z belong to at most two different levels. Therefore the points v_1, \ldots, v_k belong to at most two different levels i_1 and i_2 . Suppose $i_1 < i_2$. Let v_1, \ldots, v_r belong to level i_1 and v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_k belong to level i_2 . Since there are k-1 copies and two vertices from the same copy and the same level are non-adjacent, we obtain that $1 \le r \le k-1$. Therefore the type of each of the points v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_k succeeds the type of each of the points v_1, \ldots, v_r . Hence v_1, \ldots, v_r have the

same type and also v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_k have the same type. Thus the places of v_1, \ldots, v_r are all distinct, and the same holds for v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_k . The place of each $v_h, r+1 \leq h \leq k$, is among the k-2 successors of the place of each $v_{h'}$, $1 \leq h' \leq r$. But now one can easily check that r distinct intervals of length k-2 in Z_q (recall $q \geq k-1$) have at most k-1-r points in common, and we obtain a contradiction.

Based on the above described k-core, (G_0^k, \mathcal{H}^k) , we can build a k-saturated graph G^k as follows. Let $n \geq (k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q)+q^2$. For $1 \leq i \leq q^2$, we choose sets V_i disjoint from each other and from V_0^k such that $\lfloor (n-(k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q))/q^2 \rfloor \leq |V_i| \leq \lceil (n-(k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q))/q^2 \rceil$ and $|V_0^k| + \sum_{i=1}^{q^2} |V_i| = n$. Note that, by our assumption about n, all V_i are non-empty. Define $V(G^k) = V_0^k \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{q^2} V_i$. Two vertices $x,y \in V_0^k$ are adjacent in G^k if and only if they are adjacent in G_0^k . The set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{q^2} V_i$ is independent in G^k . Finally, $x \in V_0^k$ and $y \in V_i$ are adjacent if and only if $x \in H_i$. Then G^k is k-saturated. If $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q^2} V_i$, then d(x) = (k-1)(k-2)(q+1). If $x \in V_0^k$ then

$$egin{array}{lll} d(x) & \leq & q(\lfloor (n-(k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q))/q^2
floor + 1) \ & + & (k-2)((k-2)(q-1)+(k-3))+(k-1)(k-2)q \ & \leq & n/q+((k-2)^2+1)q \ . \end{array}$$

Finally,

$$|E(G^k)| \le (k-1)(k-2)(q+1)(n-(k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q))$$

 $+ (k-2)(2k-3)q(k-1)(k-2)(q^2+q)/2$
 $< (k-1)(k-2)(q+1)n$.

Theorem 6 For all $1/2 < \epsilon < 1$ and all c > 0

$$\left(\frac{k-2}{2c}-o(1)\right)n^{2-\epsilon} \leq F_k(n,cn^{\epsilon}) \leq \left(\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{c}+o(1)\right)n^{2-\epsilon} \ .$$

(Here k is fixed and o(1) tends to zero as n tends to infinity.)

Proof. If G is k-saturated with $\Delta(G) < cn^{\epsilon}$, then according to Theorem 4

$$|E(G)| \geq n\delta(G)/2 \geq rac{(k-2)(n-1)n}{2(\Delta+k-3)} \geq rac{(k-2)(n-1)n}{2(cn^\epsilon+k-3)} = rac{k-2}{2c}n^{2-\epsilon}(1-o(1)) \; ,$$

thus proving the lower bound for $F_k(n, cn^{\epsilon})$. To prove the upper bound, choose a constant b such that $b > 2((k-2)^2+1)/c^3$ and let $a = n^{1-\epsilon}/c + bn^{2-3\epsilon}$. Let q be a

prime satisfying $a \leq q \leq a + a^{7/12}$ (such a prime exists if n is large enough). Observe that $q = (1/c)n^{1-\epsilon}(1+o(1))$. Now, Example 2 gives a k-saturated graph, G^k , on n vertices with

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta(G^k) & \leq & rac{n}{q} + ((k-2)^2 + 1)q \leq rac{n}{a} + ((k-2)^2 + 1)q \ & \leq & cn^\epsilon - rac{bc^2}{2}n^{1-\epsilon} + ((k-2)^2 + 1)q < cn^\epsilon \end{array}$$

for n sufficiently large. Also,

$$|E(G^k)| < (k-1)(k-2)(q+1)n = \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{c} n^{2-\epsilon} (1+o(1))$$
.

Theorem 7 For every $k \geq 3$ there exists a k-saturated graph G^k on n vertices with

$$\Delta(G^k) \leq \left(rac{(k-2)(2k-3)+1}{\sqrt{(k-1)(k-2)+1}} + o(1)
ight)\sqrt{n} \;.$$

(Here k is fixed and o(1) tends to zero as n tends to infinity.)

Proof. Turning again to Example 2, we denote $a=(n/((k-1)(k-2)+1))^{1/2}-n^{1/3}$ and choose a prime q satisfying $a-n^{1/3}\leq a-a^{7/12}\leq q\leq a$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &((k-1)(k-2)+1)q^2+(k-1)(k-2)q\ &\leq &((k-1)(k-2)+1)\left(\left(rac{n}{(k-1)(k-2)+1}
ight)^{1/2}-n^{1/3}
ight)^2+(k-1)(k-2)n^{1/2}\ &\leq &n-rac{2n^{5/6}}{((k-1)(k-2)+1)^{1/2}}+n^{2/3}+(k-1)(k-2)n^{1/2}\ &\leq &n\ , \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we can substitute q in Example 2. Also,

$$egin{array}{lll} r &:=& n-((k-1)(k-2)+1)q^2-(k-1)(k-2)q \ &\le & n-((k-1)(k-2)+1)\left(rac{n^{1/2}}{((k-1)(k-2)+1)^{1/2}}-2n^{1/3}
ight)^2 \ &-(k-1)(k-2)\left(rac{n^{1/2}}{((k-1)(k-2)+1)^{1/2}}-2n^{1/3}
ight) \ &=& O(n^{5/6}) \; . \end{array}$$

Now, as in [5] we use the fact (see, e.g., [8]) that the sizes of the sets V_i can be chosen in such a way that $1 + \lfloor r/q^2 \rfloor \le |V_i| \le 1 + \lceil r/q^2 \rceil$, and each vertex from V_0^k has degree at most $((k-2)(2k-3)+1)q+2\lceil r/q \rceil$. Then

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta(G^k) & \leq & ((k-2)(2k-3)+1)q+2\left\lceilrac{r}{q}
ight
ceil \\ & = & \left(rac{(k-2)(2k-3)+1}{\sqrt{(k-1)(k-2)+1}}+o(1)
ight)\sqrt{n} \;. \end{array}$$

This result improves significantly an upper bound, given by Hanson and Seyffarth ([7]). Our coefficient is asymptotically 2k as $k \to \infty$. Hanson and Seyffarth proved a lower bound of $\sqrt{(k-2)n} - O(1)$ for the lowest possible maximal degree (this can be deduced immediately from our Theorem 4). The existence of a constant c_k such that the lowest possible maximal degree in a k-saturated graph on n vertices is asymptotically $c_k\sqrt{n}$ as $n\to\infty$, conjectured by Hanson and Seyffarth, remains open (but we know that such c_k , if it exists, must satisfy $\sqrt{k-2} \le c_k \le 2k$).

5 More on 4-saturated graphs

We begin by noting the following construction of 4-saturated graphs.

Example 3. Let $n \geq 9$ and let $\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{3} \rfloor \leq D \leq n-4$. Let G_0 be the graph $\overline{C^6}$. Let \mathcal{H} be the hypergraph with edges H_1, H_2, H_3 of size four, each obtained by deleting a pair of antipodal vertices of the cycle. Then (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a 4-core. We add n-6 vertices, split into non-empty blocks V_1, V_2, V_3 , and join every vertex in V_i to each vertex in H_i , i=1,2,3. We obtain a 4-saturated graph G on n vertices with 4n-15 edges. This graph has $\delta(G)=4$, and the sizes of the blocks V_i can be chosen so as to have $\Delta(G)=D$, for any D in the indicated range.

The main result of this section is the optimality of this construction. The fact that every 4-saturated graph on n vertices with no conical vertex has at least 4n - o(n) edges can be shown as follows. Hajnal [6] proved that if G is k-saturated and has no conical vertex then $\delta(G) \geq 2(k-2)$. (The case k=4 of this is easy to prove.) Thus, every vertex in our graph has degree at least four. By Theorem 2 we may assume that the graph contains an independent set of vertices of size n - o(n). These vertices are incident to at least 4n - o(n) edges.

However, in order to replace o(n) by a sharp estimate we have to work harder. The following definition and lemma will be required. A graph G = (V, E) is 4-partite

4-saturated with respect to the partition V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 of V, if each V_i is independent in G, no copy of K^4 is contained in G, but adding any legal edge (with endpoints in distinct V_i 's) will create a K^4 .

Lemma 1 If G is 4-partite 4-saturated with respect to the partition V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 of V(G), where |V(G)| = n, and at most one of the V_i 's is empty, then $|E(G)| \ge 2n - 3$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If one of the V_i 's, say V_4 , is empty, then G must be a complete tripartite graph with three non-empty parts V_1, V_2, V_3 . The number of edges is minimum when two parts consist of one vertex each, in which case |E(G)| = 2n - 3. Thus, we may assume that all parts are non-empty.

We may also assume that $\delta(G)$ is 2 or 3. Indeed, it is easy to check that there cannot be vertices of degree zero or one. If $\delta(G) > 4$ then |E(G)| > 2n.

Let x be a vertex with $d(x) = \delta(G)$. Then the graph $G \setminus \{x\}$ satisfies the assumptions of the lemma, except that it might be possible to add a legal edge to $G \setminus \{x\}$ without creating a K^4 . This may happen only if adding the same edge to G creates a K^4 containing x. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. d(x) = 2.

In this case, x does not participate in a K^4 after adding an edge not containing x. Hence we may apply the induction hypothesis to $G \setminus \{x\}$. This yields $|E(G \setminus \{x\})| \ge 2n - 5$, and therefore $|E(G)| \ge 2n - 3$. Case 2. d(x) = 3.

The only way to add an edge e to $G \setminus \{x\}$, which creates a K^4 in G containing x, is for e to join two neighbours of x, say y and z. Moreover, y and z must both be joined in G to the remaining neighbour of x, and hence e is unique. Thus, either $G \setminus \{x\}$ or $(G \setminus \{x\}) + e$ satisfies the assumptions of the lemma. In either case, induction yields $|E(G)| \geq 2n - 3$.

Theorem 8 If G is a 4-saturated graph with no conical vertex, |V(G)| = n and $\delta(G) = 4$, then $|E(G)| \ge 4n - 15$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. We may assume that $n \geq 8$, since $\delta(G) = 4$ and so for $n \leq 7$ we have $|E(G)| \geq 2n > 4n - 15$. Furthermore, by Corollary 1 we may assume that $\Delta(G) \leq n - 4$.

The following observation will be useful. Suppose that the vertices x and y of G are twins, i.e., they have the same neighbours. It is easy to see that in this case $G \setminus \{x\}$ is also 4-saturated and has no conical vertex. By Hajnal's result, $\delta(G \setminus \{x\}) \geq 4$. Since d(x) = d(y), it follows that $\delta(G \setminus \{x\}) = 4$. Hence we can apply the induction

hypothesis to get $|E(G \setminus \{x\})| \ge 4n - 19$ and therefore $|E(G)| \ge 4n - 15$. Thus we may assume that G has no pairs of twins.

For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, we denote by N(x) the open neighbourhood of x and by N[x] the closed neighbourhood of x (i.e., $N[x] = N(x) \cup \{x\}$). We shall make repeated use of the fact that in a 4-saturated graph two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $N(x) \cap N(y)$ contains no edge.

Let x be a vertex of degree four, fixed for the rest of the proof. Let $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. For every vertex $y \in V(G) \setminus N[x]$, since y is not adjacent to x, there must be an edge in $N(y) \cap N(x)$. It follows that we can write $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ as the disjoint union

$$V(G)\setminus N[x]=igcup_S V_S$$
 ,

where S varies over the subsets of N(x) which contain an edge, and

$$V_S = \{ y \in V(G) \setminus N[x] : N(y) \cap N(x) = S \} .$$

Each V_S is an independent set, because the neighbourhoods of any two vertices in V_S have an edge in common. Moreover, if $S \cap T$ contains an edge then, for the same reason, $V_S \cup V_T$ is independent. In particular, if $y \in V_{N(x)}$ then N(y) = N(x), contradicting the absence of twins. Hence $V_{N(x)} = \emptyset$. To simplify notation, we write, for example, V_{12} for $V_{\{x_1,x_2\}}$. We also write $V_S \sim V_T$, meaning that every vertex in V_S is adjacent to every vertex in V_T , and $V_S \not\sim V_T$, meaning $V_S \cup V_T$ is independent.

The graph G[N(x)] has the following property: for every vertex x_i there is an edge which does not contain x_i . Indeed, if all edges of G[N(x)] contained x_i , the degree of x_i in G would be at least n-3, contradicting $\Delta(G) \leq n-4$. The graph G[N(x)] is also triangle-free, because G is K^4 -free. It follows that G[N(x)] can be, up to isomorphism, one of three graphs: $2K^2$ (two disjoint edges), P^4 (a path on 4 vertices) or C^4 (a 4-cycle).

Case 1.
$$G[N(x)] = 2K^2$$
.

Without loss of generality, we assume that (x_1, x_2) and (x_3, x_4) are the two edges. By the above remarks, the graph $G \setminus N[x]$ is bipartite, with parts

$$A = V_{12} \cup V_{123} \cup V_{124} ,$$

$$B = V_{34} \cup V_{134} \cup V_{234} .$$

If $y \in V_{12}$ then, since y is not adjacent to x_4 , $N(y) \cap N(x_4)$ must contain an edge. But $N(y) \cap N(x_4) \subseteq B$, so this is impossible. Thus $V_{12} = \emptyset$, and similarly $V_{34} = \emptyset$. Next, we claim that $G \setminus N[x]$ is a complete bipartite graph on A, B. Indeed if, for example, $y \in V_{123}$ were not adjacent to $z \in V_{134}$, then $N(y) \cap N(z)$ would have to contain an

edge, which is not the case, since $N(y) \cap N(z) = \{x_1, x_3\}$. It follows that each of the sets V_{ijk} is a set of twins, and hence $|V_{ijk}| \leq 1$. Since $\Delta(G) \leq n-4$, each V_{ijk} is non-empty. By now, the graph G is fully determined. It has 9 vertices and 22 edges, so |E(G)| > 4n-15.

Case 2. $G[N(x)] = P^4$.

We assume that (x_1, x_2) , (x_2, x_3) , (x_3, x_4) are the edges. Now, in addition to the sets of Case 1, we also have V_{23} . Arguments similar to those given in Case 1 show that $V_{12} = V_{34} = \emptyset$, and the following relations hold between V_{134} and the remaining sets V_{5} :

$$V_{134} \not\sim V_{234}, V_{134} \sim V_{123}, V_{134} \sim V_{124}, V_{134} \sim V_{23}$$
.

Hence V_{134} is a set of twins, and therefore $|V_{134}| \leq 1$. But this means that $d(x_2) \geq n-3$, contradicting $\Delta(G) \leq n-4$.

Case 3. $G[N(x)] = C^4$.

We assume that (x_1,x_2) , (x_2,x_3) , (x_3,x_4) , (x_4,x_1) are the edges. The sets V_S involved in this case are $V_{12},V_{23},V_{34},V_{41},V_{234},V_{134},V_{124},V_{123}$. Arguments as above show that the following relations hold: if S is an edge and T is a triple, then $V_S \not\sim V_T$ or $V_S \sim V_T$ according as $S \subseteq T$ or $S \not\subseteq T$; if T and T' are triples, then $V_T \not\sim V_{T'}$ or $V_T \sim V_{T'}$ according as $T \cap T'$ is an edge or not. It follows that for each triple T, the set V_T consists of twins, and therefore $|V_T| \leq 1$.

Let $U=V_{12}\cup V_{23}\cup V_{34}\cup V_{41}$. Then G[U] is 4-partite 4-saturated with respect to this partition. To see this, suppose for example that $y\in V_{12}, z\in V_{23}$ and $(y,z)\not\in E(G)$. Then $N(y)\cap N(z)$ must contain an edge. But $N(y)\cap N(z)\subseteq \{x_2\}\cup V_{134}\cup V_{34}\cup V_{41}$, and since x_2 and V_{134} are isolated in the latter, the edge must be found in $V_{34}\cup V_{41}$. The argument is similar if y and z come from other pairs of sets.

Suppose that at most one of the sets V_S in the partition of U is empty. Then it follows from Lemma 1 that $|E(G[U])| \geq 2|U| - 3$. Letting $W = U \cup N[x]$ we obtain $|E(G[W])| \geq 4|W| - 15$. Each of the singletons V_T , |T| = 3, if present, adds at least four new edges (three joining it to the vertices in T and at least one to U). Thus, regardless of how many V_T are non-empty, we have |E(G)| > 4n - 15.

If, on the other hand, two or more of the sets V_S in the partition of U are empty, then those which are non-empty must be singletons and joined to each other. From the fact that $d(x_i) \leq n-4$, it can be seen that we must have two singletons V_S and $V_{S'}$, where S and S' are disjoint, as well as all singletons V_T , |T| = 3. The graph G is fully determined, it has 11 vertices and 31 edges, so |E(G)| > 4n-15.

Corollary 2 There exists an integer n_0 such that if G is a 4-saturated graph with no conical vertex and $|V(G)| = n > n_0$, then $|E(G)| \ge 4n - 15$.

Proof. By Hajnal's result, $\delta(G) \geq 4$. If $\delta(G) \geq 5$, then Theorem 2 gives at least 5n - o(n) edges, which exceeds 4n - 15 for large n. If $\delta(G) = 4$, we apply Theorem 8.

Together with Example 3, the corollary establishes that $F_4(n,D)=4n-15$ for $n>n_0$ and $\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{3}\rfloor \leq D \leq n-2$.

Corollary 3 If G is a 4-saturated graph, |V(G)| = n and $\delta(G) = 4$, then $|E(G)| \ge 4n - 19$. The lower bound is sharp for $n \ge 11$.

Proof. If G has no conical vertex, we apply Theorem 8. Assume then that x is a conical vertex. Then G has the properties stated if and only if $G \setminus \{x\}$ is a 3-saturated graph, $|V(G \setminus \{x\})| = n-1$ and $\delta(G \setminus \{x\}) = 3$. By a result of Duffus and Hanson [1], the graph $G \setminus \{x\}$ must have at least 3(n-1)-15 edges, and the lower bound is sharp for $n-1 \geq 10$. Adding the n-1 edges containing x, we get the desired result. \Box

We recall that Duffus and Hanson ([1]) investigated the function $E(n, k, \delta)$, defined as the minimal number of edges in a k-saturated graph on n vertices having minimal degree δ . For the case $k = \delta = 4$, they showed that $E(n, 4, 4) \leq 4n - 14$ for $n \geq 7$, with equality for n = 7. Our Corollary 3 establishes that E(n, 4, 4) = 4n - 19 for $n \geq 11$. Example 3 shows that $E(n, 4, 4) \leq 4n - 15$ for $n \geq 9$. The proof of Corollary 3 and the fact that E(8,3,3) = 12, shown by Duffus and Hanson, imply that E(9,4,4) = 20.

When D goes below $\lfloor \frac{2n-1}{3} \rfloor$, we do not know the exact behaviour of $F_4(n, D)$, but we do have the following construction.

Example 4. Let V_0 consist of 12 vertices, denoted x_{ij} , $0 \le i \le 3$, $1 \le j \le 3$. Let $V^i = \{x_{ij} : 1 \le j \le 3\}$ for $0 \le i \le 3$. Let G_0 be the 4-partite graph on V_0 with partition V^0, V^1, V^2, V^3 obtained by joining each x_{ij} to all vertices of $V^{i+j(\bmod 4)}$. Let \mathcal{H} be the hypergraph on V_0 with edges $V^l \cup \{x_{ij} : i+j \equiv l(\bmod 4)\}$ for $0 \le l \le 3$. Then (G_0, \mathcal{H}) is a 4-pre-core. Assigning a weight of 1/4 to each edge of \mathcal{H} , we get $A(\mathcal{H}, 1/2) = 6$.

This construction gives the estimate $K_4(c) \le 6$ for $c \ge 1/2$. Below that, we have the estimate $K_4(c) \le 8$ for $c \ge 3/7$, derived from the case k = 4, q = 2 of Example 1.

6 More on k-saturated graphs, k > 4

If G is a k-saturated graph on n vertices with no conical vertex, combining Hajnal's bound $\delta(G) \geq 2(k-2)$ and Theorem 2, we see that $|E(G)| \geq 2(k-2)n - o(n)$. In

Section 2 we showed that there exist such graphs with 2(k-2)n - O(1) edges and maximal degree n-2 and n-3. But does there exist a k-saturated graph G on n vertices with |E(G)| = 2(k-2)n(1+o(1)) and $\Delta(G) \leq cn$ for some constant 0 < c < 1? We conjecture that the answer to this question is positive for every $k \geq 4$, that is,

Conjecture 1 For every $k \geq 4$ there exists a constant $0 < c_k < 1$ such that $K_k(c) = 2(k-2)$ for every $c_k \leq c < 1$.

Note that the above conjecture fails to be true for k=3, as shown by Füredi and Seress. For $k \geq 4$, the case q=1 of Example 1 yields $K_k(c) \leq 3(k-2)$ for $c \geq 2/3$, but we have better examples for infinitely many values of k, as shown by the following theorem.

Theorem 9 Conjecture 1 holds true in the following cases (with the indicated values of c_k):

(i)
$$k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
, $c_k = \frac{k-2}{k-1}$;

(ii)
$$k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
, $c_k = \frac{2k-4}{2k-1}$;

(iii)
$$k = 5$$
, $c_5 = \frac{3}{5}$;

(iv)
$$k = 7$$
, $c_7 = \frac{2}{3}$;

(v)
$$k = 17$$
, $c_{17} = \frac{6}{7}$.

Proof. In each of the above cases we describe a k-core (G_0, \mathcal{H}) , yielding the cited result for $K_k(c)$ with a uniform weight assignment. The verification of the required properties is left to the reader.

- (i) $G_0 = \overline{C^{2(k-1)}}$, $H \in \mathcal{H}$ are obtained by omitting from $V(G_0)$ a pair of antipodal vertices (this generalizes the 4-core of Example 3);
- (ii) $G_0 = \overline{C^{2k-1}}$, $H \in \mathcal{H}$ are obtained by omitting from $V(G_0)$ three equally spaced vertices:
- (iii) $G_0 = \overline{P}$ (where P denotes the Petersen graph), $H \in \mathcal{H}$ are the complements of the 4-element independent sets of P;
- (iv) G_0 is obtained from the graph $\overline{C^{15}}$ on the vertices $\{0, 1, ..., 14\}$ by deleting the edges $(0,7), (1,8), (5,12), (6,13), (10,2), (11,3); H \in \mathcal{H}$ are obtained by omitting from $V(G_0)$ five equally spaced vertices;

(v) G_0 is obtained from $\overline{C^{35}}$ on the vertices $\{0,1,\ldots,34\}$ by deleting the edges $(0,13),(5,18),(10,23),(15,28),(20,33),(25,3),(30,8); H \in \mathcal{H}$ are obtained by omitting from $V(G_0)$ five equally spaced vertices.

Note that the conjecture remains open for $k \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, $k \neq 7$. The values k = 5, 17 are covered already by (ii), but the corresponding values of c_k are improved in (iii), (v).

Finally, we return to the investigation of $F_k^*(n, n-2)$ and $F_k^*(n, n-3)$. We can now state sharper bounds, using the results of Sections 5 and 6. In view of Proposition 4 it suffices to state them for $F_k^*(n, n-2)$.

Theorem 10 (a) $F_5^*(n, n-2) \le 6n-27$ for $n \ge 11$ and we have equality for $n > n_0$; (b) $F_k^*(n, n-2) \le 2(k-2)n - (2k^2 - 5k + 4)$ for $k \ge 6$, $n \ge 2k + 5$.

Proof. (a) Proposition 3 states that $F_5^*(n, n-2) = F_4(n-2, n-4) + 2n-4$, while the results of Section 5 assert that $F_4(n, n-2) \le 4n-15$ for $n \ge 9$ and $F_4(n, n-2) = 4n-15$ for sufficiently large n. Combining these two facts we obtain

$$F_5^*(n, n-2) = F_4(n-2, n-4) + 2n-4 < 4(n-2)-15+2n-4 = 6n-27$$

for $n \geq 11$, with equality for $n > n_0$.

(b) By induction on $k \geq 6$. For the case k = 6, we use the 5-core (G_0, \mathcal{H}) from the proof of case (iii) of the previous theorem to build a 5-saturated graph G on $n \geq 15$ vertices with no conical vertex and with |E(G)| = 6n - 30, thus obtaining $F_5(n, n-2) \leq 6n - 30$. Then Proposition 3 gives

$$F_{\rm g}^*(n, n-2) = F_{\rm 5}(n-2, n-4) + 2n-4 < 6(n-2) - 30 + 2n-4 = 8n-46$$

for $n \geq 17$. For k > 6, using induction and Proposition 4, we obtain

$$egin{array}{lll} F_k^*(n,n-2) &=& F_{k-1}(n-2,n-4) + 2n-4 \leq F_{k-1}^*(n-2,n-5) + 2n-4 \ &=& F_{k-1}^*(n-2,n-4) - 1 + 2n-4 \ &\leq& 2(k-3)(n-2) - (2(k-1)^2 - 5(k-1) + 4) + 2n-5 \ &=& 2(k-2)n - (2k^2 - 5k + 4) \; . \end{array}$$

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading and many helpful comments.

References

- [1] D.A. Duffus and D. Hanson, Minimal k-saturated and color critical graphs of prescribed minimum degree. J. Graph Theory 10 (1986) 55-67.
- [2] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal and J. W. Moon, A problem in graph theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1964) 1107-1110.
- [3] P. Erdős and R. Holzman, On maximal triangle-free graphs, J. Graph Theory 18 (1994) 585-594.
- [4] P. Erdős and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960) 85-90.
- [5] Z. Füredi and Á. Seress, Maximal triangle-free graphs with restrictions on the degrees, J. Graph Theory 18 (1994) 11-24.
- [6] A. Hajnal, A theorem on k-saturated graphs, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 720-724.
- [7] D. Hanson and K. Seyffarth, k-saturated graphs of prescribed maximum degree, Congres. Numer. 42 (1984) 169-182.
- [8] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1979).
- [9] M. Huxley, The difference between consecutive primes. Proceedings of the Symposium in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 24, American Mathematical Society (1973) 141-146.
- [10] L. Lovász and M. D. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam (1986).
- [11] J. Pach and L. Surányi, Graphs of diameter 2 and linear programming. Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai Vol. 25, North Holland (1981) 599-629.