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#### Abstract

Given a monotone function $f \in C^{r}[-1,1], r \geq 1$, we obtain pointwise estimates for its monotone approximation by piecewise polynomials involving the second order modulus of smoothness of $f^{(r)}$. These estimates are interpolatory estimates, namely, the piecewise polynomials interpolate the function at the endpoints of the interval. However, they are valid only for $n \geq N(f, r)$. We also show that such estimates are in general invalid with $N$ independent of $f$.
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## 1. Introduction and the main result

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C^{r}[a, b],-1 \leq a<b \leq 1$, denote the space of $r$ times continuously differentiable functions on $[a, b]$, and let $C^{0}[a, b]=C[a, b]$ denote the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$, equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{[a, b]}$. When dealing with $[-1,1]$, we

[^0]omit the reference to the interval, that is, we denote $\|\cdot\|:=\|\cdot\|_{[-1,1]}$. Let $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ be the space of algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n$.

For $f \in C[a, b]$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
\Delta_{u}^{k}(f, x ;[a, b]):= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i} f(x+(k / 2-i) u), & x \pm(k / 2) u \in[a, b] \\ 0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

and denote by

$$
\omega_{k}(f, t ;[a, b]):=\sup _{0<u \leq t}\left\|\Delta_{u}^{k}(f, \cdot ;[a, b])\right\|_{[a, b]}
$$

its $k$ th modulus of smoothness. For $[a, b]=[-1,1]$, write $\omega_{k}(f, t):=\omega_{k}(f, t ;[-1,1])$.
Let $X_{n}:=\left\{x_{j, n}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}, x_{j, n}=-\cos j \pi / n, 0 \leq j \leq n$, be the Chebyshev partition of $[-1,1]$ (see, e.g., [6]), and set $x_{n+1, n}:=1, x_{-1, n}:=-1$.

Finally, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\sqrt{1-x^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{n}(x):=\frac{\varphi(x)}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pointwise estimates have mostly been investigated for polynomial approximation of continuous functions in $[-1,1]$ and involved usually the quantity $\rho_{n}(x)$. The first to deal with such estimates was Nikolskii, and he was followed by Timan, Dzjadyk, Freud and Brudnyi. Detailed discussion may be found in the survey paper [5], where an extensive list of references is given. Discussion and references to estimates on pointwise monotone polynomial approximation involving $\rho_{n}(x)$ also may be found there. Pointwise estimates of polynomial approximation involving $\varphi(x)$ are due originally to Teljakovskií and Gopengauz, see [4] for extensions and many references. Finally, for some results on pointwise rational approximation, see [1].

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $c=c(r)$ with the property that if a function $f \in C^{r}[-1,1]$, is monotone, then there is a number $N=N(f, r)$, depending on $f$ and $r$, such that for $n \geq N$, there are monotone continuous piecewise polynomials $s$ of degree $r+1$ with knots at the Chebyshev partition, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-s(x)| \leq c(r)\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{n}\right)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{\varphi(x)}{n}\right), \quad x \in[-1,1] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-s(x)| \leq c(r) \varphi^{2 r}(x) \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{\varphi(x)}{n}\right), \quad x \in\left[-1, x_{1, n}\right] \cup\left[x_{n-1, n}, 1\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 is well known for $r=0$, in fact, with $N=1$. Indeed, the polygonal line, that is, the continuous piecewise linear $s$, interpolating $f$ at the Chebyshev nodes, is nondecreasing and yields (1.2) with $r=0$ (see, e.g., a similar construction in [2]). It may be worth mentioning that if $f$ is convex, then the same polygonal line is convex, thus we have the estimate (1.2) with $r=0$ also for convex approximation. This was shown in [7].

In the sequel all constant $c$ will depend on $r$, but may otherwise be different in each occurrence.

## 2. Monotone approximation by piecewise polynomials on a general partition

Given $[a, b]$, let $X=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ be a partition of the interval, such that

$$
a=: x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}:=b .
$$

Denote by $S(X, r+1)$ the set of continuous piecewise polynomials of order $r+1$ on the partition $X$, that is, $s \in S(X, r+1)$ if $s \in C[a, b]$ and $s$ is a piecewise polynomial of degree $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with knots $x_{j}$, i.e., on each interval $\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right], 1 \leq j \leq n$, the function $s$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq r$.

We begin with a negative result, which is proved in a similar way to the proof of [4, Theorem 4].

Theorem 2.1. For each $X=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ and number $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a nondecreasing function $f \in C^{\infty}[a, b]$ such that, for any nondecreasing piecewise polynomial $s \in S(X, r+1)$, satisfying $s(a)=f(a)$ and $s(b)=f(b)$, we have

$$
s^{\prime}(a) \neq f^{\prime}(a)
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $a=0$ and $x_{1}=1<b$. Let $g \in C^{\infty}[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function such that

$$
g(0)=0, \quad g(x)=1, \quad x \geq 1,
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime}(0)=: d>0 .
$$

We will show that a desired function $f$ may be taken to be

$$
f(x)=g\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right), \quad x \in[0, b],
$$

with a suitable number $\alpha>0$, sufficiently small, say, $\alpha<\frac{d}{2 r^{2}}$.
Assume, to the contrary, that there is piecewise polynomial $s \in S(X, r+1)$, such that

$$
s(0)=f(0), \quad s(b)=f(b) \quad \text { and } \quad s^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(0)
$$

The polynomial

$$
p(x):=s(x), \quad x \in[0,1],
$$

is of degree $\leq r$. Since $p^{\prime}(0)=s^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(0)$ and $p$ is nondecreasing, we get by Markov's inequality

$$
\frac{d}{\alpha}=p^{\prime}(0) \leq 2 r^{2} p(1)
$$

so that

$$
p(1) \geq \frac{d}{2 r^{2} \alpha}>1
$$

Hence,

$$
s(b) \geq s(1)=p(1)>1=f(b),
$$

that contradicts our assumption that $s(b)=f(b)$.

The following is an immediate consequence.
Corollary 2.2. For each collection $X=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ and number $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a nondecreasing function $f \in C^{\infty}[a, b]$ such that, for any nondecreasing piecewise polynomial $s \in S(X, r+1)$, satisfying

$$
|s(x)-f(x)|=o(x-a), \quad x \rightarrow a+
$$

we have

$$
s(b) \neq f(b)
$$

Since $\omega_{k}(g, t ;[a, b])=O\left(t^{k}\right)$, for every $k \geq 1$ and any $g \in C^{\infty}[a, b]$, we may conclude that (compare with Theorem 2.4)

Corollary 2.3. For each collection $X=\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$ and numbers $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $r+k>2$, there is a monotone function $f \in C^{\infty}[a, b]$, such that for any monotone piecewise polynomial $s \in S(X, r+1)$, satisfying

$$
|s(x)-f(x)|=O\left((x-a)^{r / 2} \omega_{k}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{x-a} ;[a, b]\right)\right), \quad x \rightarrow a+
$$

we have

$$
s(b) \neq f(b)
$$

One may salvage the interpolation of derivatives at the endpoints as we have also a positive result.

Theorem 2.4. For each nondecreasing function $f \in C^{r}[a, b], r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a number $H=H(f)>0$, such that for every collection $X$, satisfying

$$
x_{1}-H<a \quad \text { and } \quad b<x_{n-1}+H,
$$

there are a constant $c=c(r)$ and a nondecreasing piecewise polynomial $s \in S(X, r+2)$, that yields,

$$
\begin{align*}
& |s(x)-f(x)| \leq c(x-a)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{(x-a)\left(x_{1}-a\right)} ;\left[a, x_{1}\right]\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad x \in\left[a, x_{1}\right], \\
& |s(x)-f(x)| \leq c(b-x)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{(b-x)\left(b-x_{n-1}\right)} ;\left[x_{n-1}, b\right]\right) \\
& x \in\left[x_{n-1}, b\right],
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
|s(x)-f(x)| \leq c\left(x_{j}-x_{j-1}\right)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, x_{j}-x_{j-1} ;\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right]\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
x \in\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right], \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Auxiliary lemmas and proof of the theorems

We begin with some results for the interval $[0,1]$. For $f \in C^{r}[0,1]$ and $h \in(0,1)$ denote by

$$
L_{r, h}(x):=L_{r, h}(f, x):=f(0)+\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{1!} x+\cdots+\frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} x^{r}+a_{r}(h ; f) x^{r+1}
$$

the Lagrange-Hermite polynomial of degree $r+1$ such that

$$
L_{r, h}^{(j)}(f, 0)=f^{(j)}(0), \quad j=0, \ldots, r
$$
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and

$$
L_{r, h}(f, h)=f(h)
$$

The term $a_{r}(h ; f)$ is equal to the generalized divided difference of $f$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{r}(h ; f) & =[\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{r+1}, h ; f] \\
& =\frac{1}{h^{r+1}}\left(f(h)-f(0)-\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{1!} h-\cdots-\frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} h^{r}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(r-1)!h^{r+1}} \int_{0}^{h}(h-t)^{r-1}\left(f^{(r)}(t)-f^{(r)}(0)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{r}(h ; f)\right| \leq \frac{1}{r!h} \omega_{1}\left(f^{(r)}, h ;[0, h]\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first result is the following.
Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in C^{r}[0,1]$, be monotone nondecreasing on $[0,1]$. Then there is a number $H>0$, such that for all $h \in(0, H)$ the polynomials $L_{r, h}(f, \cdot)$ are monotone nondecreasing on [0,h].

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(0)=0$. If $f^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=f^{(r)}(0)=0$, then $a_{r}(h ; f)=h^{-(r+1)} f(h)$, whence $L_{r, h}(x)=(x / h)^{r+1} f(h)$, that yields monotonicity of $L_{r, h}$ in $[0,1]$.

Otherwise there is a number $0<k \leq r$, such that $f^{(j)}(0)=0$ for all $j=0, \ldots, k-1$ and $f^{(k)}(0)>0$. Put $\omega_{1}(t):=\omega_{1}\left(f^{(r)}, t ;[0,1]\right)$, and take $H>0$ so small that,

$$
\sum_{i=k+1}^{r} \frac{\left|f^{(i)}(0)\right|}{(i-1)!} H^{i-k}+\frac{(r+1) \omega_{1}(H)}{r!} H^{r-k}<\frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!}
$$

where we use the usual convention that an empty sum is zero.
Then, by (3.1), for $0<x \leq h \leq H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r, h}^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{i=k}^{r} \frac{f^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} x^{i-1}+a_{r}(h ; f)(r+1) x^{r} \\
& =x^{k-1}\left(\sum_{i=k}^{r} \frac{f^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} x^{i-k}+a_{r}(h ; f)(r+1) x^{r-k+1}\right) \\
& \geq x^{k-1}\left(\frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!}-\sum_{i=k+1}^{r} \frac{\left|f^{(i)}(0)\right|}{(i-1)!} H^{i-k}-\frac{(r+1) \omega_{1}(H)}{r!} H^{r-k}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Remark 3.2. Clearly, an analogous statement is valid if $f$ is nonincreasing.
Next, we need an estimate on the distance between $f$ and the polynomial $L_{r, 1}(f, \cdot)$.
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Lemma 3.3. Given $r \geq 1$ and $f \in C^{r}[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-L_{r, 1}(f, x)\right| \leq c x^{r+1} \int_{x / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, u ;[0,1]\right) d u, \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Proof. Set $\omega(t):=\omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, t ;[0,1]\right), 0 \leq t \leq 2$, and $m:=r+2$. Recall (see [4, Section 2] or [3, Chapter 3, section 6]) that for $0 \leq x_{0} \leq x_{1} \leq \cdots \leq x_{m}$, such that $x_{i}<x_{i+r+1}, i=0$ and 1, we may define the generalized divided difference $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f\right]$ of $f \in C^{r}[0,1]$. Also, by [4, Lemma 1, (2.1) and (2.2)] or [3, Chapter 3, (8.27) and (6.36)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f\right]\right| \leq c(r) \Lambda_{r}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (see [3, Chapter 3, (6.32) and (6.31)])

$$
\Lambda_{r}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right):=\max _{0 \leq p \leq 1} \max _{p+r+1 \leq q \leq m} \Lambda_{p, q, r}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right)
$$

and, with $d(p, q):=\min \left\{x_{q+1}-x_{p}, x_{q}-x_{p-1}\right\}, x_{-1}:=-1, x_{m+1}:=2$,

$$
\Lambda_{p, q, r}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right):=\frac{\int_{x_{q}-x_{p}}^{d(p, q)} u^{r+p-q-1} \omega(u) d u}{\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(x_{q}-x_{i}\right) \prod_{j=q+1}^{m}\left(x_{j}-x_{p}\right)} .
$$

For $x \in(0,1)$, put $x_{0}=x_{1}=\cdots=x_{r}:=0, x_{r+1}:=x$ and $x_{r+2}:=1$, and $p=0$ or 1 and $p+r+1 \leq q \leq m$. Then, for $p=0, q=r+1$ or $r+2$, and for $p=1, q=r+2$. Hence,

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(x_{q}-x_{i}\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \prod_{j=q+1}^{m}\left(x_{j}-x_{p}\right)=1
$$

and

$$
d(0, r+1)=1, \quad d(0, r+2)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad d(1, r+2)=1 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{0, r+1, r}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right) & =\int_{x}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u \\
\Lambda_{1, r+2, r}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right) & =0, \quad \text { and }  \tag{3.4}\\
\Lambda_{0, r+2, r}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} ; \omega\right) & =\int_{1}^{2} u^{-3} \omega(u) d u=\frac{3}{8} \omega(1) \\
& \leq c \int_{1 / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u \\
& \leq c \int_{x / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second inequality we used the fact that $\omega(t)=\omega(1), 1 \leq t \leq 2$, and $\omega(1) \leq$ $c u^{-2} \omega(u), 1 / 2 \leq u \leq 1$, and $c$, here and in the sequel, if it appears by itself, is an absolute constant.

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that

$$
\left|\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f\right]\right| \leq c \int_{x / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u
$$
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which, in turn, implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-L_{r, 1}(f, x)\right| & =f(x)-f(0)-\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{1!} x-\cdots-\frac{f^{(r)}(0)}{r!} x^{r}-a_{r}(1 ; f) x^{r+1} \\
& =\left(\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}, x ; f\right]-\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}, 1 ; f\right]\right) x^{r+1} \\
& =x^{r+1}(1-x)\left|\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f\right]\right| \leq c x^{r+1} \int_{x / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.4. Given $r \geq 1$ and $f \in C^{r}[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-L_{r, 1}(f, x)\right| \leq c x^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{x},[0,1]\right), \quad x \in[0,1] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that $\omega(t)=\omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, t,[0,1]\right)$. We need the following estimate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \int_{x / 2}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u= & x \int_{x / 2}^{\sqrt{x}} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u+x \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{1} u^{-2} \omega(u) d u \\
& \leq x \omega(\sqrt{x}) \int_{x / 2}^{\sqrt{x}} u^{-2} d u+4 \omega(\sqrt{x}) \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{1} d u \\
& \leq x \omega(\sqrt{x}) \int_{x / 2}^{\infty} u^{-2} d u+4 \omega(\sqrt{x}) \\
& =6 \omega(\sqrt{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (3.2), this proves (3.5).
Applying the linear substitution $x=y h$, we obtain
Corollary 3.5. Let $r \geq 1$ and $h>0$. If $f \in C^{r}[0, h]$, then

$$
\left|f(x)-L_{r, h}(f, x)\right| \leq c x^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{x h} ;[0, h]\right), \quad x \in[0, h] .
$$

It readily follows from Corollary 3.5 that
Corollary 3.6. Let $r \geq 1$ and $h>0$. If $f \in C^{r}[1-h, 1]$ and $g(x):=f(1-x)$, then

$$
\left|f(x)-L_{r, h}(g, 1-x)\right| \leq c(1-x)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \sqrt{(1-x) h} ;[1-h, 1]\right), \quad x \in[1-h, 1] .
$$

We also need a result that follows immediately from [8, Lemma 2, p. 58].
Lemma 3.7. Let $r \geq 1, x^{*}<y^{*}$. If $f \in C^{r}\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]$, is nondecreasing in $\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]$, then there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}_{r+1}$, nondecreasing in $\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]$, interpolating $f$ at both $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$, and such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f-p\|_{\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]} & \leq c(r)\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right) \omega_{r+1}\left(f^{\prime}, y^{*}-x^{*} ;\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq c(r)\left(y^{*}-x^{*}\right)^{r} \omega_{2}\left(f^{(r)}, y^{*}-x^{*} ;\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Since without loss of generality we may assume that $[a, b]=[0,1]$, and we let $h<H$, with H from Lemma 3.1, then the polynomials obtained in Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 are nondecreasing. Thus, combining Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 with (3.6) completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.
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Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall the Chebyshev partition $X_{n}:=\left\{x_{j, n}\right\}_{j=0}^{n},-1=x_{0, n}<$ $x_{1, n}<\cdots<x_{n-1, n}<x_{n, n}=1$, and denote $I_{j, n}:=\left[x_{j, n}, x_{j+1, n}\right], j=-1, \ldots, n$, and set $h_{j ; n}:=x_{j+1, n}-x_{j, n}$, the length of $I_{j, n}$. Then we have (see, e.g., [8, (1.2) and (1.3)])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi(x)}{n}<\rho_{n}(x) & <h_{j, n}<5 \rho_{n}(x), \quad x \in I_{j, n}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
h_{j, n} & <8 \frac{\varphi(x)}{n}, \quad x \in I_{j, n}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2, \quad \text { and } \\
h_{j \pm 1, n} & <3 h_{j, n}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given a nondecreasing $f \in C^{r}[-1,1]$, let $H$ be obtained by Lemma 3.1. Then for $n \geq N(f, r)$, we have $\max _{j=0, n-1} h_{j, n}<H$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, there exists a nondecreasing piecewise polynomial $S \in S\left(X_{n}, r+2\right)$ yielding (1.2) and (1.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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