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## 1 Preliminaries

Let $\gamma$ be a closed Jordan curve in the plane. Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ be a set of $n$ points on $\gamma$, which appear in this counterclockwise order along the curve. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $\gamma_{i}$ denote the portion of $\gamma$ between $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+1}$ (where we put $p_{n+1}=p_{1}$ ). let $C_{i}$ denote the convex hull of $\gamma_{i}$, and let $R_{i}$ be a circumscribed rectangle of $C_{i}$ (or of $\gamma_{i}$ ), one of whose sides is parallel to the straight segment $p_{i} p_{i+1}$. Put $U=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$, and $W=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}$. Clearly, $U \subseteq W$.

The union of the rectangles may have quadratic complexity. We first observe that the union $W=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}$ may have quadratic complexity. A construction that illustrates the lower bound is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: The union $W$ of the rectangles $R_{i}$ may have quadratic complexity. Not all rectangles are shown (e.g., the one constructed for $p_{2}, p_{3}$ is missing), but their presence would not have affected the quadratic complexity of $W$.

Hence, our goal is to find an intermediate polygonal region $U^{*}$ that contains $U$, is contained in $W$, and has only linear complexity. In what follows we show how to construct such a region.

Lemma 1.1 $U$ has linear complexity. That is, the number of intersection points of the boundaries of the sets $C_{i}$ that lie on $\partial U$ is at most $6 n-12$, for $n \geq 3$.

Proof: We claim that $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ is a collection of pseudo-disks, i.e., simply connected planar regions, each pair of whose boundaries intersect at most twice. This is a well known property
(see, e.g., [1]). We include its simple proof for the sake of completeness. Let $C_{i}, C_{j}$ be a fixed pair of these sets, and suppose to the contrary that $\partial C_{i}$ and $\partial C_{j}$ intersect each other in at least four points. Since these sets are convex, $C_{i} \cup C_{j} \backslash\left(C_{i} \cap C_{j}\right)$ consists of at least four nonempty connected components, at least two of which, denoted $C_{i}^{\prime}, C_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, are contained in $C_{i} \backslash C_{j}$, and at least two others, denoted $C_{j}^{\prime}, C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, are contained in $C_{j} \backslash C_{i}$; see Figure 2.


Figure 2: Two hulls $C_{i}, C_{j}$ cannot intersect at four points.

Note that each of the components $C_{i}^{\prime}, C_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp., $C_{j}^{\prime}, C_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ ) must intersect $\gamma_{i}$ (resp., $\gamma_{j}$ ), for otherwise, if, say, $\gamma_{i} \cap C_{i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then we can replace $C_{i}$ by $C_{i} \backslash C_{i}^{\prime}$, which is a convex set that contains $\gamma_{i}$, contradicting the fact that $C_{i}$ is the convex hull of $\gamma_{i}$. Choose four points $u \in C_{i}^{\prime} \cap \gamma_{i}, v \in C_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cap \gamma_{i}, w \in C_{j}^{\prime} \cap \gamma_{j}$, and $z \in C_{j}^{\prime \prime} \cap \gamma_{j}$, and observe that the portion of $\gamma_{i}$ between $u$ and $v$ must cross the portion of $\gamma_{j}$ between $w$ and $z$; see Figure 2. This contradiction implies that $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ is a family of pseudo-disks. The claim is now an immediate consequence of the linear bound on the complexity of the union of pseudo-disks, given in [3].

For each pair of consecutive intersection points $u, v$ along (some connected component of) $\partial U$, connect $u$ and $v$ by a straight segment. This chord and the portion of $\partial U$ between $u$ and $v$ bound a convex subregion of $U$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of resulting subregions. The regions in $\mathcal{K}$ are pairwise openly-disjoint, as is easily verified. See Figure 3(a) for an illustration. We now use the following result, due to Edelsbrunner et al. [2]:

Lemma 1.2 Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a collection of mairwise openly disjoint convex regions in the plane. One can cover each region in $\mathcal{K}$ by a convex polygon, so that the resulting polygons are also pairwise openly disjoint, and the total number of their edges is at most $3 m-6$.

Let $K$ be a region in $\mathcal{K}$, and let $P$ be the convex polygon that covers $K$. We shrink $P$ by translating each of its edges so that it becomes tangent to $K$. The resulting polygon $P^{\prime}$ is clearly contained in $P$ and contains $K$. Finally, let $C_{i}$ be the (unique) convex hull that contains $K$, and let $R_{i}$ be the rectangle containing $C_{i}$. We replace $P^{\prime}$ by $P^{\prime} \cap R_{i}$. This increases the number of edges of $P^{\prime}$ by at most four. See Figure 3(b).

In summary, we have obtained a collection $\mathcal{P}$ of at most $6 n-12$ pairwise openly disjoint convex polygons with a total of at most $3(6 n-12)-6=18 n-42$ edges. Let $U^{*}$ denote the


Figure 3: (a) The subregions in $\mathcal{K}$ and their containing polygons. (b) Shrinking a covering polygon.
union of $U$ with the union of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $U \subseteq U^{*} \subseteq W$. Moreover, $\partial U^{*}$ consists exclusively of edges of the polygons in $\mathcal{P}$, so $U^{*}$ is a polygonal region with $18 n-42$ edges. We have thus shown:

Theorem 1.3 Let $\gamma, P$, and $W$ be as above. There exists a polygonal region $U^{*}$ with at most $18|P|-42$ edges that contains $\gamma$ and is contained in $W$.
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