# The Brunn-Minkowski Inequality and nontrivial cycles in the discrete torus

Noga Alon \* Ohad N. Feldheim <sup>†</sup>

March 21, 2010

#### Abstract

Let  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$  denote the graph whose set of vertices is  $Z_m^d$  in which two distinct vertices are adjacent iff in each coordinate they are either equal or differ, modulo m, by at most 1. Bollobás, Kindler, Leader and O'Donnell proved that the minimum possible cardinality of a set of vertices of  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$  whose deletion destroys all topologically nontrivial cycles is  $m^d - (m-1)^d$ . We present a short proof of this result, using the Brunn-Minkowski Inequality, and also show that the bound can only be achieved by selecting a value  $x_i$  in each coordinate  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , and by keeping only the vertices whose *i*-th coordinate is not  $x_i$ , for all *i*.

**Keywords:** Brunn-Minkowski, Discrete torus, Nontrivial cycles. **AMS classification:** 05C35,05C38,05C40.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$  denote the graph whose set of vertices is  $Z_m^d$  in which two distinct vertices are adjacent iff in each coordinate they are either equal or differ, modulo m, by at most 1. This graph is the product of d copies of the cycle of length m, and can be viewed as the graph of the discrete torus. The problem of determining the minimum possible cardinality of a set of vertices of this graph that intersects all noncontractible cycles in it, has been considered by Saks, Samorodnitsky, and Zosin in [1], motivated by the problem of exhibiting directed multi-commodity problems that have a large integrality gap. Their estimate has been improved to a tight one, which is  $m^d - (m-1)^d$ , by Bollobás, Kindler, Leader and O'Donnell in [2], where a connection to the parallel repetition of the odd cycle game is mentioned. In this note we describe a short intuitive proof of the same result, based on

<sup>\*</sup>Schools of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: nogaa@tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by an ERC Advanced grant, by a USA-Israeli BSF grant, and by the Hermann Minkowski Minerva Center for Geometry in Tel Aviv University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of Mathematics, Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: ohad\_f@netvision.net.il. Research supported in part by an ERC Advanced grant.

the Brunn-Minkowski isoperimetric Inequality. The proof also implies that equality is achieved only when the  $(m-1)^d$  vertices remaining form the graph of a *d*-dimensional hypercube of edge length m-1, that is, the product of *d* paths, each having m-1 vertices.

It is worth noting that the problem of determining the minimum cardinality of a set of *edges* of the graph  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$  that intersects all nontrivial cycles, discussed in [3], [4], seems more difficult and only an asymptotic estimate of this minimum is known.

#### 2 The proof

Let  $Z_m^d$  be the set of vertices of  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$ , and consider them as points in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . It is convenient to view  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  as an infinite graph in which two distinct vectors are adjacent iff they differ in at most 1 in each coordinate. For two vectors  $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_d)$  and  $\bar{b} = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_d)$  in  $Z_m^d$  or in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  we write that  $\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a}$  iff  $a_i - b_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all *i*. Note that  $\nearrow$  is a reflexive relation. Note also that the following holds:

**Observation 1.** If  $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \nearrow \bar{a}$ , then  $\bar{b}_1$  and  $\bar{b}_2$ , considered as vertices of  $(C_m^d)_{\infty}$ , are either equal or connected.

Recall that the Brunn-Minkowski Inequality, generalized by Lusternik (see, e.g. [5]), is the following.

**Theorem** (The Brunn-Minkowski Inequality). Let  $n \ge 1$  and let  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $A + B := \{a + b \in \mathbb{R}^n | a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Let A and B be two nonempty compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The following inequality holds:

$$[\mu(A+B)]^{1/n} \ge [\mu(A)]^{1/n} + [\mu(B)]^{1/n}.$$

Equality is achieved iff A and B are homothetic (that is, one is a rescaled version of the other)

Using Brunn-Minkowski we obtain the following useful lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Suppose  $S^+ = \{\bar{a} | \exists \bar{b} \in S \ (\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a})\}\$ , then  $\sqrt[d]{|S^+|} \ge \sqrt[d]{|S|} + 1$ , and equality holds iff S is a hypercube.

Proof. define  $\widehat{S} = \bigcup_{\overline{a} \in S} \{ \prod_{i \in \{1,..,d\}} [a_i - 1, a_i) \}$ , and note that  $|S| = \mu(\widehat{S})$ . It is easy to check that  $\widehat{S^+} = \widehat{S} + [0, 1)^d$ . Plugging this and the fact that  $|S^+| = \mu(\widehat{S^+})$  into the Brunn-Minkowski Inequality, the result follows.

We can now state and prove the main theorem:

**Theorem 1.** If  $S \subset Z_m^d$  is a set of vertices of  $Z_m^d$  that does not contain any non-contractible cycle of the torus, then  $|S| \leq (m-1)^d$ . Equality holds if and only if S is a hypercube with edges of size m-1.

Proof. Striving for contradiction, suppose that either  $|S| > (m-1)^d$ , or  $|S| = (m-1)^d$  but S is not a hypercube. Denote the connected components of S by  $C_1, ..., C_k$ . Pick a vertex representative for each component  $C_i$ , and denote it by  $\bar{c}_i$ . Let the natural projection from  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  into  $Z_m^d$  be  $\pi(\bar{x})$ . Slightly abusing notation, denote by  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$  the connected component of  $\bar{c}_i$  in  $\pi^{-1}(S)$ , regarding here  $\bar{c}_i$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . (This is instead of taking the whole  $\pi$  pre-image of  $C_i$ ). As S contains no non-trivial cycle,  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$  must be finite for all *i*. We next show that there exist two distinct preimages of some vertex  $\bar{a}$  in one of the connected components  $C_i$  of S, implying that it contains a nontrivial cycle, and thus contradicting the assumption.

Define  $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \pi^{-1}(C_i)$ . Since every vertex in S has a unique corresponding vertex in  $\tilde{S}$  we deduce that  $|S| = |\tilde{S}|$ . Looking at  $\tilde{S}^+ = \{\bar{a} | \exists \bar{b} \in \tilde{S}(\bar{b} \nearrow \bar{a})\}$  we can apply our assumption and lemma 2 to conclude that  $|\tilde{S}^+| > m^d$ . By The Pigeonhole Principle we deduce the existence of  $\bar{a}_1 \neq \bar{a}_2$  in  $\tilde{S}^+$  such that  $\pi(\bar{a}_1) = \pi(\bar{a}_2)$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{S}^+$  there must be two elements  $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \in \tilde{S}$  such that  $\bar{b}_1 \nearrow \bar{a}_1$  and  $\bar{b}_2 \nearrow \bar{a}_2$ . By Observation 1 we know that  $\pi(\bar{b}_1)$  and  $\pi(\bar{b}_2)$  are connected in S and thus  $\bar{b}_1$  and  $\bar{b}_2$  belong to the same connected component  $\pi^{-1}(C_i)$  of  $\tilde{S}$ , for some i. Denote  $\bar{b}'_1 = \bar{a}_2 - \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1$ . Note that  $\bar{b}'_1 \neq \bar{b}_1, \pi(\bar{b}'_1) = \pi(\bar{b}_1)$ , and  $\bar{b}'_1 \nearrow \bar{a}_2$ , since  $\bar{a}_2 - \bar{b}'_1 = \bar{a}_2 - (\bar{a}_2 - \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1) = \bar{a}_1 - \bar{b}_1$ .

By Observation 1 we conclude that  $\bar{b}'_1$  and  $\bar{b}_2$  are either equal or connected. As  $\bar{b}_2 \in \pi^{-1}(C_i)$  we conclude that  $\bar{b}'_1 \in \pi^{-1}(C_i)$ , which leads to contradiction, since  $\bar{b}_1$  also lies in  $C_i$ . Therefore, either  $|S| = (m-1)^d$  and S is a hypercube, or  $|S| < (m-1)^d$ , completing the proof.

### References

- N. Alon and B. Klartag, Economical toric spines via Cheeger's Inequality, Journal of Topology and Analysis 1 (2009), 101–111.
- [2] Bollobás, Kindler, Leader and O'Donnell, Eliminating cycles in the discrete torus, Algorithmica 50 (2007), pp. 446–454.
- [3] R. Raz, A counterexample to strong parallel repetition, Proc. FOCS 2008, pp. 369–373.
- [4] M. E. Saks, A. Samorodnitsky and L. Zosin, A lower bound on the integrality gap for minimum multicut in directed networks, Combinatorica 24 (2004),pp. 525–530.
- [5] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1993).