

# Adding distinct congruence classes modulo a prime

Noga Alon <sup>\*</sup>    Melvyn B. Nathanson <sup>†</sup>    Imre Ruzsa <sup>‡</sup>

## 1 The Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture

The Cauchy-Davenport theorem states that if  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty sets of congruence classes modulo a prime  $p$ , and if  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ , then the sumset  $A + B$  contains at least  $\min(p, k + l - 1)$  congruence classes. It follows that the sumset  $2A$  contains at least  $\min(p, 2k - 1)$  congruence classes. Erdős and Heilbronn conjectured 30 years ago that there are at least  $\min(p, 2k - 3)$  congruence classes that can be written as the sum of two *distinct* elements of  $A$ . Erdős has frequently mentioned this problem in his lectures and papers (for example, Erdős-Graham [4, p. 95]). The conjecture was recently proven by Dias da Silva and Hamidoune [3], using linear algebra and the representation theory of the symmetric group. The purpose of this paper is to give a simple proof of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture that uses only the most elementary properties of polynomials. The method, in fact, yields generalizations of both the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture and the Cauchy-Davenport theorem.

## 2 The polynomial method

**Lemma 1 (Alon-Tarsi [2])** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a field  $F$  with  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ . Let  $f(x, y)$  be a polynomial with coefficients in  $F$  and*

---

<sup>\*</sup>Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, and Department of Mathematics, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. E-mail: noga@math.tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by the Sloan Foundation, Grant No. 93-6-6. Alon also wishes to thank Doron Zeilberger for helpful discussions.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, New York 10468. E-mail: nathansn@dimacs.rutgers.edu. Research supported in part by grants from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program

<sup>‡</sup>Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, P.O.B. 127, H-1364, Hungary. E-mail: h1140ruz@ella.hu. Research supported in part by DIMACS, Rutgers University, and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No. 1901.

of degree at most  $k - 1$  in  $x$  and  $l - 1$  in  $y$ . If  $f(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $f(x, y)$  is identically zero.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the fact that a nonzero polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  of degree at most  $k - 1$  cannot have  $k$  distinct roots in  $F$ . We can write

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} f_{i,j} x^i y^j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} v_i(y) x^i,$$

where

$$v_i(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} f_{i,j} y^j$$

is a polynomial of degree at most  $l - 1$  in  $y$ . Fix  $b \in B$ . Then

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} v_i(b) x^i$$

is a polynomial of degree at most  $k - 1$  in  $x$  such that  $u(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $u(x)$  has at least  $k$  distinct roots, it follows that  $u(x)$  is the zero polynomial, and so  $v_i(b) = 0$  for all  $b \in B$ . Since  $\deg(v_i(y)) \leq l - 1$  and  $|B| = l$ , it follows that  $v_i(y)$  is the zero polynomial, and so  $f_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** Let  $A$  be a finite subset of a field  $F$ , and let  $|A| = k$ . For every  $m \geq k$  there exists a polynomial  $g_m(x) \in F[x]$  of degree at most  $k - 1$  such that

$$g_m(a) = a^m$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ . We must show that there exists a polynomial  $u(x) = u_0 + u_1 x + \dots + u_{k-1} x^{k-1} \in F[x]$  such that

$$u(a_i) = u_0 + u_1 a_i + u_2 a_i^2 + \dots + u_{k-1} a_i^{k-1} = a_i^m$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ . This is a system of  $k$  linear equations in the  $k$  unknowns  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}$ , and it has a solution if the determinant of the coefficients of the unknowns is nonzero. The Lemma follows immediately from the observation that this determinant is the Vandermonde determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_0 & a_0^2 & \cdots & a_0^{k-1} \\ 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \cdots & a_1^{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_{k-1} & a_{k-1}^2 & \cdots & a_{k-1}^{k-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq k-1} (a_j - a_i) \neq 0.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 1** *Let  $p$  be a prime number, and let  $F = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of the field  $F$ , and let*

$$A \hat{+} B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b\}.$$

*Let  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ . If  $k \neq l$ , then*

$$|A \hat{+} B| \geq \min(p, k + l - 2).$$

**Proof.** Let  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ . We can assume that

$$1 \leq l < k \leq p.$$

If  $k + l - 2 > p$ , let  $l' = p - k + 2$ . Then

$$2 \leq l' < l < k$$

and

$$k + l' - 2 = p.$$

Choose  $B' \subseteq B$  such that  $|B'| = l'$ . If the Theorem holds for the sets  $A$  and  $B'$ , then

$$|A \hat{+} B| \geq |A \hat{+} B'| \geq k + l' - 2 = p = \min(p, |A| + |B| - 2).$$

Therefore, we can assume that

$$k + l - 2 \leq p.$$

Let  $C = A \hat{+} B$ . We must prove that

$$|C| \geq k + l - 2.$$

Suppose that

$$|C| \leq k + l - 3.$$

Choose  $m$  so that

$$m + |C| = k + l - 3.$$

We shall construct three polynomials  $f_0, f_1$ , and  $f$  in  $F[x, y]$  as follows: Let

$$f_0(x, y) = \prod_{c \in C} (x + y - c).$$

Then  $\deg(f_0) = |C| \leq k + l - 3$  and

$$f_0(a, b) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b.$$

Let

$$f_1(x, y) = (x - y)f_0(x, y).$$

Then  $\deg(f_1) = 1 + |C| \leq k + l - 2$  and

$$f_1(a, b) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A, b \in B.$$

Multiplying  $f_1$  by  $(x + y)^m$ , we obtain the polynomial

$$f(x, y) = (x - y)(x + y)^m \prod_{c \in C} (x + y - c)$$

of degree exactly  $k + l - 2$  such that

$$f(a, b) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A, b \in B.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ i+j \leq k+l-2}} f_{i,j} x^i y^j \\ &= (x - y)(x + y)^{k+l-3} + \text{lower order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 \leq l < k \leq p$  and  $1 \leq k + l - 3 < p$ , it follows that the coefficient  $f_{k-1, l-1}$  of the monomial  $x^{k-1}y^{l-1}$  in  $f(x, y)$  is

$$\binom{k+l-3}{k-2} - \binom{k+l-3}{k-1} = \frac{(k-l)(k+l-3)!}{(k-1)!(l-1)!} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By Lemma 2, for every  $m \geq k$  there exists a polynomial  $g_m(x)$  of degree at most  $k - 1$  such that  $g_m(a) = a^m$  for all  $a \in A$ , and for every  $n \geq l$  there exists a polynomial  $h_n(y)$  of degree at most  $l - 1$  such that  $h_n(b) = b^n$  for all  $b \in B$ . We use the polynomials  $g_m(x)$  and  $h_n(y)$  to construct a new polynomial  $f^*(x, y)$  from  $f(x, y)$  as follows: If  $x^m y^n$  is a monomial in  $f(x, y)$  with  $m \geq k$ , then we replace  $x^m y^n$  with  $g_m(x) y^n$ . Since  $\deg(f(x, y)) = k + l - 2$ , it follows that if  $m \geq k$ , then  $n \leq l - 2$ , and so  $g_m(x) y^n$  is a sum of monomials  $x^i y^j$  with  $i \leq k - 1$  and  $j \leq l - 2$ . Similarly, if  $x^m y^n$  is a monomial in  $f(x, y)$  with  $n \geq l$ , then we replace  $x^m y^n$  with  $x^m h_n(y)$ . If  $n \geq l$ , then  $m \leq k - 2$ , and so  $x^m h_n(y)$  is a sum of monomials  $x^i y^j$  with  $i \leq k - 2$  and  $j \leq l - 1$ . This determines a new polynomial  $f^*(x, y)$  of degree at most  $k - 1$  in  $x$  and  $l - 1$  in  $y$ . The process of constructing  $f^*(x, y)$  from  $f(x, y)$  does not alter the coefficient  $f_{k-1, l-1}$  of the term  $x^{k-1}y^{l-1}$ , since this monomial does not occur in any of the polynomials  $g_m(x)y^n$  or  $x^m h_n(y)$ . On the other hand,

$$f^*(a, b) = f(a, b) = 0$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . It follows immediately from Lemma 1 that the polynomial  $f^*(x, y)$  is identically zero. This contradicts the fact that the coefficient  $f_{k-1, l-1}$  of  $x^{k-1}y^{l-1}$  in  $f^*(x, y)$  is nonzero, and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2 (Dias da Silva-Hamidoune [3])** *Let  $p$  be a prime number, and let  $F = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $A \subseteq F$ , and let  $|A| = k \geq 2$ . Let  $2^\wedge A$  denote the set of all sums of two distinct elements of  $A$ . Then*

$$|2^\wedge A| \geq \min(p, 2k - 3).$$

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq F$ ,  $|A| \geq 2$ . Choose  $a \in A$ , and let  $B = A \setminus \{a\}$ . Then  $|B| = |A| - 1$  and, by Theorem 1,

$$|2^\wedge A| \geq |A \hat{+} B| \geq \min(p, |A| + |B| - 2) = \min(p, 2|A| - 3).$$

This completes the proof of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture.  $\square$

Let  $k + l - 2 \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq l < k \leq p$ . Let  $A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$  and  $B = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, l - 1\}$ . Then  $A \hat{+} B = \{1, 2, \dots, k + l - 2\}$  and  $2^\wedge A = \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 3\}$ . This example shows that the lower bounds in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are sharp.

### 3 Further applications of the method

The polynomial method is a powerful new technique to obtain results in additive number theory. For example, it gives the following simple proof of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $C = A + B$ . Let  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ . We can assume that  $k + l - 1 \leq p$ . If  $|C| \leq k + l - 2$ , let  $m = k + l - 2 - |C|$ , and consider the polynomial

$$f(x, y) = (x + y)^m \prod_{c \in C} (x + y - c).$$

Then  $f(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . The polynomial has degree  $k + l - 2$ , and the coefficient of the monomial  $x^{k-1}y^{l-1}$  is exactly

$$\binom{k + l - 2}{k - 1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The proof proceeds exactly as the proof of Theorem 1.

As a final example of the method, we state and prove the following new result.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of  $F = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , and let*

$$C = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B, ab \neq 1\}.$$

*Let  $|A| = k$  and  $|B| = l$ . Then*

$$|C| \geq \min(p, k + l - 3).$$

**Proof.** If  $k + l - 3 > p$ , let  $l' = p - k + 3$ . Then  $3 \leq l' < l$ . Choose  $B' \subseteq B$  such that  $|B'| = l'$  and let

$$C' = \{a + b' \mid a \in A, b \in B', ab' \neq 1\}.$$

Since  $C' \subseteq C$ , it suffices to prove that  $|C'| \geq k + l' - 3$ . Equivalently, we can assume that  $k + l - 3 \leq p$ , and we must prove that  $|C| \geq k + l - 3$ .

Suppose that  $|C| \leq k + l - 4$ . Choose  $m$  so that  $|C| + m = k + l - 4$ , and consider the polynomial

$$f(x, y) = (xy - 1)(x + y)^m \prod_{c \in C} (x + y - c).$$

Then  $f(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . The polynomial has degree  $k + l - 2$ , and the coefficient of the monomial  $x^{k-1}y^{l-1}$  is

$$\binom{k + l - 4}{k - 2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

The proof continues exactly as the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

Let  $k + l - 3 \leq p$ ,  $k, l \geq 2$ , and choose  $d \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $d \neq 0$ , such that

$$(1 + (k - 1)d)(1 + (l - 1)d) = 1.$$

Let  $A = \{1, 1 + d, 1 + 2d, \dots, 1 + (k - 1)d\}$  and  $B = \{1, 1 + d, 1 + 2d, \dots, 1 + (l - 1)d\}$ . Define  $C$  as in Theorem 3. Then  $C = \{2 + id \mid i = 1, \dots, k + l - 3\}$ . This example shows that the lower bound in Theorem 3 is sharp for all  $k, l \geq 2$ . If  $k = 1$ , the correct lower bound is  $|B| - 1 = k + l - 2$ .

## 4 Remarks

The results in this paper hold for addition in any field  $F$ , where  $p$  is equal to the characteristic of  $F$  if the characteristic is a prime, and  $p = \infty$  if the characteristic is zero.

Dias da Silva and Hamidoune [3] proved the generalization of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture for  $h$ -fold sums: Let  $h \geq 2$ , and let  $h^{\wedge}A$  denote the set of all sums of  $h$  distinct elements of  $A$ . If  $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  and  $|A| = k$ , then

$$|h^{\wedge}A| \geq \min(p, hk - h^2 + 1).$$

This result can also be proved by the polynomial method, and we shall present this and other results in a subsequent paper [1].

Nathanson [7] contains proofs of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem and some of its generalizations, as well as a full exposition of the original Dias da Silva-Hamidoune proof of the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture for  $h$ -fold sums. Partial results on the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture had previously been obtained by Rieckert [9], Mansfield [6], Rödseth [10], Pyber [8], and Freiman, Low, and Pitman [5].

## References

- [1] N. Alon, M. B. Nathanson, and I. Z. Ruzsa. The polynomial method and sums of congruence classes. in preparation.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Tarsi. Colorings and orientations of graphs. *Combinatorica*, 12:125–134, 1992.
- [3] J. A. Dias da Silva and Y. O. Hamidoune. Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 26:to appear, 1994.
- [4] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham. *Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory*. L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 1980.
- [5] G. A. Freiman, L. Low, and J. Pitman. The proof of Paul Erdős' conjecture of the addition of different residue classes modulo a prime number. preprint, 1992.
- [6] R. Mansfield. How many slopes in a polygon? *Israel J. Math.*, 39:265–272, 1981.
- [7] M. B. Nathanson. *Additive Number Theory: 1. Inverse Theorems and the Geometry of Sumsets*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [8] L. Pyber. On the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture. personal communication.
- [9] U.-W. Rickert. *Über eine Vermutung in der additiven Zahlentheorie*. PhD thesis, Tech. Univ. Braunschweig, 1976.
- [10] Ö. J. Rödseth. Sums of distinct residues mod  $p$ . *Acta Arith.*, 1994. to appear.