

Linear arboricity and linear k -arboricity of regular graphs

N. Alon^{*} V. J. Teague[†] N. C. Wormald[‡]

Abstract

We find upper bounds on the linear k -arboricity of d -regular graphs using a probabilistic argument. For small k these bounds are new. For large k they blend into the known upper bounds on the linear arboricity of regular graphs.

1 Introduction

A *linear forest* is a forest each of whose components is a path. The *linear arboricity* of a graph G is the minimum number of linear forests required to partition $E(G)$ and is denoted by $la(G)$. It was shown by Akiyama, Exoo and Harary [1] that $la(G) = 2$ when G is cubic, and they conjectured that every d -regular graph has linear arboricity exactly $\lceil (d+1)/2 \rceil$. This was shown to be asymptotically correct as $d \rightarrow \infty$ in [3], and in [4] the following result is shown.

Theorem 1 *There is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for every d -regular graph G*

$$la(G) \leq \frac{d}{2} + cd^{2/3}(\log d)^{1/3}.$$

(Actually a slightly weaker result is proved explicitly there, but it is noted that the same proof with a little more care gives this theorem.)

A *linear k -forest* is a forest consisting of paths of length at most k . The *linear k -arboricity* of G , introduced by Bermond et al. [5], is the minimum number of linear k -forests required to partition $E(G)$, and is denoted by $la_k(G)$. In [2] it was shown that for cubic G , $la_k(G) = 2$ for all $k \geq 9$, or 7 in the case of graphs with edge-chromatic number 3. Thomassen has very recently improved this by proving the following, which was a conjecture of [5].

Theorem 2 (Thomassen [8]) *If G is cubic then $la_5(G) = 2$.*

^{*}School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, and Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Email: noga@math.tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by a Sloan Foundation grant 96-6-2, by a State of New Jersey grant and by a USA-Israel BSF grant

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3052, AUSTRALIA. Email: vjt@ms.unimelb.edu.au

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3052, AUSTRALIA. Email: nick@ms.unimelb.edu.au. Research supported by the Australian Research Council

In this paper we obtain improved upper bounds on $\text{la}_k(G)$ for d -regular graphs G when d is fairly large. Note that by simply counting edges, the linear k -arboricity of a d -regular graph must be at least $\frac{(k+1)d}{2k}$.

Theorem 3 *There is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for every d -regular graph G and every $\sqrt{d} > k \geq 2$*

$$\text{la}_k(G) \leq \frac{(k+1)d}{2k} + c\sqrt{kd \log d}.$$

Section 2 gives a quite short proof of this result. By specialising to $k = d^{1/3}/(\log d)^{1/3}$ (which minimises the upper bound up to a constant factor) we immediately obtain Theorem 1.

Moreover, for sufficiently large d , Theorem 3 gives non-trivial results even when $k = 2$. It is convenient to define

$$\text{la}_k(d) = \max_{G \text{ is } d\text{-regular}} \text{la}_k(G).$$

Immediately we have

$$\text{la}_k(G) \leq \text{la}_k(\Delta(G)) \tag{1}$$

since every graph with maximum degree d occurs as a subgraph (not necessarily spanning) of a d -regular graph, and the restriction of a linear k -forest to a subgraph is again a linear k -forest. In Section 3 we examine for various small k the smallest d for which we obtain an improvement over existing results, using the method of proof of Theorem 3 and also another argument. First, for very small d Vizing's theorem on edge-chromatic number, which gives $\text{la}_k(G) \leq d + 1$ for every $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, is better than Theorem 3. This can be improved by 1 for $k \geq 2$ by using the argument of [7, Lemma 2.1] (where the following was proved for cubic graphs).

Lemma 1 *For every $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$*

$$\text{la}_k(G) \leq d.$$

Proof. Colour the edges of a d -regular graph G using d colours and such that the minimum number of pairs of edges of the same colour are adjacent. Then by minimality, the colour of an edge x appears only once among the $2d - 2$ edges incident with x (since otherwise x could be recoloured). Hence each edge is incident with only one other of the same colour, so each colour class induces a 2-linear forest. ■

Throughout this paper, $X(n, p)$ denotes a binomial random variable distributed as $\text{Bin}(n, p)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 2 *Let $k \geq 2$, let $d \geq 4$ be even and define $f(d, k)$ to be the least integer which satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{2}e(k+1)(d^2 - d + 2)\mathbf{P}(X(d/2, 1/(k+1)) > f(d, k)) < 1.$$

Then

$$\text{la}_k(d) \leq (k+1)f(d, k) + \text{la}_k(2f(d, k)).$$

Proof. Let G be a d -regular graph. Orient its edges along an Euler cycle. Then each vertex has indegree and outdegree $d/2$. Colour the vertices randomly with $k + 1$ colours.

Let $A_{v,i}^+$ ($A_{v,i}^-$) be the event that the number of vertices of colour i in the out-neighbourhood (in-neighbourhood) of vertex v is strictly greater than $f(d, k)$. Every event $A_{v,i}^-$ is independent of every other except the events $A_{v,j}^-$ for $j \neq i$ (there are k of these), $A_{w,j}^-$ where $w \neq v$ and there is a vertex u such that (u, v) and (u, w) are in G (there are at most $(k + 1)(d/2)(d/2 - 1)$ of these), and events $A_{w,j}^+$ where there is some vertex u such that (u, v) and (w, u) are in G (there are at most $(k + 1)(d/2)^2$ of these). Hence every event is independent of all except at most $(k + 1)d(d - 1)/2 + k$ of the others.

Since $k + 1 > 1$,

$$\mathbf{P}(A_{v,i}^\pm) = \mathbf{P}(X(d/2, 1/(k + 1)) > f(d, k))$$

and so by the assumption of the lemma

$$e((k + 1)d(d - 1)/2 + k + 1)Pr(A_{v,i}^\pm) < 1.$$

Hence, by the Lovász Local Lemma, (c.f., e.g., [4], Chapter 5, Corollary 1.2), it is possible to colour the vertices such that none of the events $A_{v,i}^\pm$ occurs. The set of all edges from a vertex of colour i to one of colour j , where $i \neq j$ forms a bipartite graph of degree at most $f(d, k)$. This can be covered by $f(d, k)$ matchings. (For example, see corollary 5.2 of Hall's theorem in Bondy and Murty [6].) Call a matching from colour i to colour j a matching of type (i, j) .

Consider the complete multi-graph MK_{k+1} on $k + 1$ vertices, where each vertex represents a colour and each pair of vertices is connected by two parallel edges (which we call the *first* and *second* edge). This can be covered by $k + 1$ paths of length k (described modulo $k + 1$ by $t, (t - 1), (t + 1), (t - 2), (t + 2), \dots$). Denote one of these paths by $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})$. Assign to each edge $\{i_j, i_{j+1}\}$ of this path a matching of type $(\min\{i_j, i_{j+1}\}, \max\{i_j, i_{j+1}\})$ if the above edge is the first edge of MK_{k+1} connecting i_j and i_{j+1} , and a matching of type $(\max\{i_j, i_{j+1}\}, \min\{i_j, i_{j+1}\})$ if it is the second edge. Note that the union of any k matchings assigned in such a way to the k edges of the path is a linear k -forest in G . Since there are at most $f(d, k)$ matchings of each type, and since the $k + 1$ paths cover MK_{k+1} , all edges joining vertices of distinct colours can be covered with $(k + 1)f(d, k)$ linear k -forests. The edges of G remaining uncovered, joining vertices of the same colour, induce a subgraph with in- and out-degrees at most $f(d, k)$, and so can be covered by $\text{la}_k(2f(d, k))$ linear k -forests. The lemma follows. ■

We now prove Theorem 3. Clearly we can assume d is even. By [4, Theorem A.11] there is an absolute constant c_0 such that for all $2 \leq k \leq d^{2/3}$ (a range chosen just for convenience),

$$f(d, k) < \frac{d}{2(k + 1)} + c_0 \sqrt{\frac{d \log d}{k}}. \quad (2)$$

Put

$$h(d) = \text{la}_k(d) - \frac{(k + 1)d}{2k}.$$

Applying Lemma 2 to (2) gives

$$h(d) \leq \frac{c_0(k + 1)^2}{k} \sqrt{\frac{d \log d}{k}} + h(2f(d, k)) \quad (3)$$

for $d \geq k^{3/2}$. We can assume d is sufficiently large to ensure that (2) implies, say, $f(d, k) < \frac{2d}{2(k+1)}$. Thus by induction/iteration on d starting with $h(d) \leq d$ for $d \leq k^{3/2}$, (3) gives that

$$h(d) \leq \frac{c_0(k+1)^2}{k^{3/2}} [\sqrt{d_0 \log d_0} + \sqrt{d_1 \log d_1} + \cdots + \sqrt{d_{q-1} \log d_{q-1}}] + h(d_q),$$

where $d_0 = d, d_i = 2f(d_{i-1}, k)$ for all i and $d_q \leq k^{3/2}$. The sum in the square brackets is easily seen to be bounded by $O(\sqrt{d \log d})$ and the desired result follows. ■

3 Small d and k

We first have a type of monotonicity result which appears essentially in [2]. (It was presented there in a special case, but the general argument given here is identical.)

Lemma 3 *For every $k \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$*

$$\text{la}_k(d) \leq \text{la}_k(d-1) + 1.$$

Proof. Let G be d -regular and let M be a maximum matching in G . Then $G - M$ has vertices of degrees $d-1$ and d , and the vertices of degree d form an independent set, B , say. The subgraph of $G - M$ induced by the edges incident with B is bipartite and, by Hall's theorem and considerations of degrees, contains a matching, M' , which covers the vertices in B . Thus $G' = G - (M \cup M')$ has maximum degree at most $d-1$. By maximality of M , $M \cup M'$ is a linear forest with maximum path length at most 2. The lemma now follows from (1). ■

A corollary of Thomassen's result is therefore that $\text{la}_5(G) \leq d-1$ for any d -regular graph G , $d \geq 3$. But for $d \geq 8$ this can be improved as in the following.

Corollary 1 *For $d \geq 3$, $\text{la}_5(d) \leq \min\{d-1, \lceil \frac{2d+2}{3} \rceil\}$.*

Proof. The bound $d-1$ is explained above. For the other bound, cover the edges of a d -regular graph by $d+1$ matchings by Vizing's theorem, split these matchings into groups of three to obtain $\lceil \frac{d+1}{3} \rceil$ graphs with maximum degree 3, and find two linear 5-forests in each using (1) and Theorem 2. This gives the upper bound $2\lceil \frac{d+1}{3} \rceil$, which can be reduced by 1 whenever $d \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, (by taking one of the matchings as a linear forest), yielding $\lceil \frac{2d+2}{3} \rceil$. ■

We can improve on this result for any k if d is sufficiently large using Lemma 2.

Theorem 4 *For $k \geq 2$ and d even,*

$$\text{la}_k(d) \leq (k+3)f(d, k),$$

where $f(d, k)$ is as in Lemma 2.

Proof. Just apply Lemma 1 to estimate $\text{la}_k(2f(d, k))$ in Lemma 2. ■

It turns out that this gives a better result for the small values of d which we will next consider than iterating the bound in Lemma 2 as in the proof of Theorem 3.

By calculating $f(d, k)$ in Theorem 4 for various values of d and $k < 5$ using the exact values in the appropriate binomial distribution, we obtain the following table. (For $k \geq 5$ we would have to go to much larger d to obtain an improvement over Corollary 1.) The entry for given k and $d - j$ gives the least value of d for which we obtain the bound $\text{la}_k(d) \leq d - j$. Computations were arbitrarily terminated at $j = 10$. For any $d' > d$, it follows that $\text{la}_k(d') \leq d' - j$ by Lemma 3. This fills in bounds on $\text{la}_k(d)$ for values of d in between the ones appearing in the table. Lemma 1 covers all $j \leq 0$.

$d - j$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$
$d - 1$	3026	1580	1282
$d - 2$	3042	1580	1290
$d - 3$	3058	1600	1298
$d - 4$	3074	1600	1306
$d - 5$	3080	1620	1314
$d - 6$	3096	1620	1322
$d - 7$	3112	1634	1330
$d - 8$	3128	1634	1338
$d - 9$	3134	1654	1346
$d - 10$	3150	1654	1354

Table 1. Values of d for which $\text{la}_k(d) \leq d - j$, $j \leq 10$, by Theorem 4.

Note that if the table were extended to the right, Theorem 2 gives 3 for the entries in the first row for $k \geq 5$, and Corollary 1 gives 8 for the row $d - 2$, 11 for $d - 3$, and so on. The results from Theorem 4 in a given row appear to increase with k for all $k \geq 6$, at least for $d - i \geq d - 10$. Nevertheless, asymptotically the larger values of k will give better results if the method is iterated as in Theorem 3, but not necessarily from Theorem 4, so that for k sufficiently large the bound drops even below $2d/3$.

Acknowledgment We would like to thank an anonymous referee for extremely helpful comments.

References

- [1] J. Akiyama, G. Exoo and F. Harary, Covering and packing in graphs III, cyclic and acyclic invariants, *Math. Slovaca* **30** (1980), 405-417.
- [2] R.E.L. Aldred and N.C. Wormald, Linear k -arboricity of regular graphs, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **18** (1998), 97-104.

- [3] N. Alon, The linear arboricity of graphs, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 62, No. 3, (1988), 311-325.
- [4] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*. Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [5] J.C. Bermond, J.L. Fouquet, M. Habib and B. Peroche, On linear k -arboricity, *Discrete Math.* **52** (1984), 123-132.
- [6] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*. Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [7] M.N. Ellingham and N.C. Wormald, Isomorphic factorization of regular graphs and 3-regular multigraphs, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **37** (1988), 14–24.
- [8] C. Thomassen, Two-colouring the edges of a cubic graph such that each monochromatic component is a path of length at most 5, *J. Combinatorial Theory (Series B)* 75 (1999), 100-109.