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#### Abstract

We prove that for each $d \geq 3$ the set of all limit points of the second largest eigenvalue of growing sequences of $d$-regular graphs is $[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$. A similar argument shows that the set of all limit points of the smallest eigenvalue of growing sequences of $d$-regular graphs with growing (odd) girth is $[-d,-2 \sqrt{d-1}]$. The more general question of identifying all vectors which are limit points of the vectors of the top $k$ eigenvalues of sequences of $d$-regular graphs is considered as well. As a by product, in the study of discrete counterpart of the "scarring" phenomenon observed in the investigation of quantum ergodicity on manifolds, our technique provides a method to construct $d$-regular almost Ramanujan graphs with large girth and localized eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, strengthening a result of Alon, Ganguly, and Srivastava [3].


## 1 Introduction

For a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, let $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(G) \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}(G)$ denote the ordered set of its eigenvalues. If $G$ is $d$-regular then $\lambda_{1}(G)=d$ and the Alon-Boppana bound ([1], [27], [28]) asserts that $\lambda_{2}(G) \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}\left(1-O\left(1 / \log ^{2} n\right)\right)=(1-o(1)) 2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Therefore, any limit point of the values of $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)$ for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of $d$-regular graphs is at least $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, and the existence of near-Ramanujan graphs for every degree $d$ proved in [14] (see also [7], [22]) implies that $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ is such a limit point. Are all other points in the interval $[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$ also obtained as such limit points? This question was suggested

[^0]to us by Peter Sarnak. It can be viewed as a variation of the inverse spectral problem, whose analogue for hyperbolic surfaces was recently proved using the conformal bootstrap method [20].

Our first result in this paper is a short proof that this is indeed the case.
Theorem 1.1. Let $A_{2}(d)$ denote the set of all limit points of sequences $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)$ where $G_{i}$ is an infinite sequence of $d$-regular graphs, then for every $d \geq 3, A_{2}(d)=[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$.

Note that trivially not every value in $A_{2}(d)$ can be achieved as $\lambda_{2}(G)$ for some finite $d$-regular graph $G$, as such a value needs to be a totally real algebraic integer (and also as the number of finite graphs is only countable). Indeed, most values in $A_{2}(d)$ can only be achieved as limit points of sequences $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)$ as in the theorem.

Our method implies a similar result for the set of all limit points of the smallest eigenvalue of growing sequences of $d$-regular graphs, provided the (odd) girth of these graphs tends to infinity.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A_{s}(d)$ denote the set of all limit points of sequences of the last (smallest) eigenvalue of growing sequences of d-regular graphs in which the length of the shortest odd cycle tends to infinity. For every $d \geq 2, A_{s}(d)=[-d,-2 \sqrt{d-1}]$.

Without the assumption about the growing odd girth the set of limit points of the smallest eigenvalue is more complicated, contains isolated points, and is far from being fully understood. See [29] for the values of the first few largest points of this set.

We conjecture that the assertion of Theorem 1.1 can be extended to determine the limit points of the vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ of the top $k$ eigenvalues, for any fixed $k$.

Conjecture 1.3. For any $d \geq 3$ and any fixed $k$, the set of all limit points of the vectors

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of d-regular graphs is exactly the set

$$
B(d, k)=\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right): d=\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \mu_{3} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{k} \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}\right\}
$$

The fact that the above set of limit points is contained in $B(d, k)$ follows from the known result, observed by several researchers, c.f., e.g., [11, 28], that for any fixed $d$ and $k$, any sufficiently large $d$-regular graph has at least $k$ eigenvalues which are at least $2 \sqrt{d-1}-o(1)$. However, we have not been able to decide whether or not the set of these limit points contains every point of $B(d, k)$ even for $k=3$. On the other hand, we can prove the following, showing that every point of $B(d, k)$ can be obtained as a limit if we relax the regularity condition.

Theorem 1.4. For every $d \geq 3$ and every $k$, every point of $B(d, k)$ is a limit point of a sequence of vectors $\left(\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)\right)$ for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of graphs with maximum degree at most $d$.

Note that, of course, for sequences of graphs with maximum degree $d$ there are also limit points as above that lie outside the set $B(d, k)$.

For $d$-regular graphs we can prove that Conjecture 1.3 is almost true, in the sense that every point of $B(d, k) \cap\left[2 \sqrt{d-1}+o_{d}(1), d\right]^{k}$ can be obtained as a limit point. To be more precise, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. For every $d \geq 3$ and every $k$, every point of

$$
\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right): d=\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \mu_{3} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{k} \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}}\right\}
$$

is a limit point of a sequence of vectors $\left(\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)\right)$ for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of d-regular graphs.

A byproduct of the technique is the following theorem, which constructs $d$-regular almost Ramanujan graphs $G$ with large girth, while ensuring the presence of a localized eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue strictly greater than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. This in some way strengthens a result of Alon, Ganguly and Srivastava [3], who showed the existence of large girth $d$-regular graphs $G$ with $\lambda_{2}(G) \leq 2.121 \sqrt{d}$ and localized eigenvectors with eigenvalues in $(-2 \sqrt{d}, 2 \sqrt{d}) .{ }^{1}$ Earlier research on constructing $d$-regular graphs with localized eigenvectors can be found in [16], but the graphs produced there are not expanding.

This line of research involving constructions of high girth (and expanding) graphs that exhibit localized eigenvectors can be viewed as a discrete version of the "scarring" phenomenon observed in the study of quantum ergodicity on manifolds. More about this topic can be found in [9], [6], [10], [16], and the references therein.

Theorem 1.6. For any real numbers $\beta>0$ and $C \geq 4$, and any positive integer $d=p+1$ where $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ is a prime, there are infinitely many d-regular graphs $G$ satisfying the following properties simultaneously:

1. The second largest eigenvalue is at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}+\beta$,
2. The girth is at least $\frac{1}{5 C} \log _{d}|V(G)|$, and

[^1]3. There is a vertex set $S$ with $|S| \leq|V(G)|^{2 / \sqrt{C}}$ and an eigenvalue strictly larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ whose eigenvector $\mathbf{v}$ satisfies $\sum_{u \in S} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \geq(1-\beta)\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$.

Our construction of these graphs is explicit. Note that we do not make any serious attempt to optimize the constants in the bounds for the girth and for the exponent of $|V(G)|$ in the bound for $|S|$. With a slightly more careful analysis, it is possible to prove $\sum_{u \in S} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \geq(1-o(1))\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}$ where the $o(1)$ term here tends to 0 as $|V(G)|$ tends to infinity (where $\beta, C, d$ are fixed). It is also worth noting that a similar result also holds for any $d \geq 3$, with the bound on the girth being $\Omega(\log \log |V(G)|)$. The construction can again be made explicit by a deterministic poly $(|V(G)|)$-time algorithm, combining our arguments with the construction in [26].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section we describe the proof of the basic results: Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.4 and several extensions are proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we describe the proof of Theorem 1.5. Near Ramanujan graphs with localized eigenvectors are constructed in Section 5 and the final Section 6 contains some concluding remarks.

## 2 Proofs of the basic results

### 2.1 The second eigenvalue

We start with the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the following stronger form.
Theorem 2.1. For every $d \geq 2$, every even integer $n>d$ and every real $\lambda \in[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$ there is a d-regular graph $G$ on $n$ vertices satisfying $\left|\lambda_{2}(G)-\lambda\right| \leq \frac{c}{\log \log n}$, where $c=$ $c(d)>0$.

Proof. The proof is based on the fact that eigenvectors of high-girth $d$-regular graphs are nonlocalized. This enables us to start from a near Ramanujan $d$-regular graph of high girth and apply to it local changes (swaps), transforming it to a graph with a very small bisection width which has second eigenvalue close to $d$. This is done while maintaining the high girth. The nonlocalized nature of the eigenvectors is used to show that in each swap the second eigenvalue can change only by a small amount. A more precise description follows.

We prove that there are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}>0$ (depending on $d$ ) and a (finite) sequence of $d$-regular graphs $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$, each being a graph on the set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of $n$ labeled vertices, that satisfy the following properties.

1. $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+c_{1}\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$.
2. $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{t}\right) \geq d-\frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{n}}$.
3. The girth of each $G_{i}$ is at least $c_{3} \log \log n$.
4. For every $0 \leq i<t$, if either $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)$ or $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ exceeds $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ then

$$
\left|\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i+1}\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{c_{4}}{\log \log n} .
$$

This clearly implies the assertion of Theorem 2.1. The existence of $G_{0}$ satisfying properties (1) and (3) follows from the result of Friedman [14] and the known facts about the girth of random regular graphs. Indeed, Friedman proved that for every $0<a<1$ there is some $c_{1}=c_{1}(a, d)$ so that with probability at least $1-n^{-a}$ a random $d$-regular graph $G_{0}$ on $n$ vertices satisfies (1). By the known results about the distribution of short cycles in random $d$-regular graphs (see [25]) the girth of $G_{0}$ exceeds some $c_{3} \log \log n$ with probability exceeding $1 / \sqrt{n}$. Taking $a=1 / 2$ in Friedman's result it follows that with positive probability $G_{0}$ satisfies (1) and (3). Fix such a graph $G_{0}$.

For every $i \geq 0$, the graph $G_{i+1}$ will be constructed from $G_{i}$ by a single swap, that is, by deleting two vertex disjoint edges $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2}$ and by adding the edges $v_{1} u_{1}$ and $v_{2} u_{2}$ instead, keeping the graph $d$-regular. We need the following result.

Claim 2.2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree $d$ and with girth at least $2 r$, and let $x$ be an eigenvector of $G$ with $\ell_{2}$ norm $\|x\|_{2}=1$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\mu$ with absolute value at least $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Then $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1 / \sqrt{r}$.

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 of [2] where it is shown that if $u v$ is any edge in such a graph, and $N_{i}$ is the set of all vertices of distance exactly $i$ from $N_{0}=\{u, v\},(0 \leq i \leq r-1)$, then for every $0<i \leq r-1, \sum_{v \in N_{i}} x_{v}^{2} \geq \sum_{u \in N_{i-1}} x_{u}^{2}$. Thus $x_{u}^{2}+x_{v}^{2} \leq 1 / r$. A slight extension of this lemma for bounded degree (not necessarily regular) graphs is proved as Lemma 3.4 below. Note that a similar statement for regular graphs with a slightly worse quantitative estimate can be derived from the results in [16], even without any assumption on the eigenvalue $\mu$. There are, however, simple examples showing that this more general statement does not hold for general bounded degree graphs.

Corollary 2.3. If $G$ and $H$ are two d-regular graphs, each having girth at least $2 r$, and one of them is obtained from the other by a swap, and if either $\lambda_{2}(G)$ or $\lambda_{2}(H)$ exceeds $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, then $\left|\lambda_{2}(G)-\lambda_{2}(H)\right| \leq 8 / r$.

Indeed, without loss of generality $\lambda_{2}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(H)$ and $\lambda_{2}(G) \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $\lambda_{2}(G)$. Then it is orthogonal to the constant vector, and $\mathbf{v}^{T} A_{G} \mathbf{v}=\lambda_{2}(G)$, where $A_{G}$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$. Since $H$ is obtained from $G$ by a single swap, $\mathbf{v}^{T} A_{G} \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}^{T} A_{H} \mathbf{v}$ is a sum and difference of at most 8 terms of the form $\mathbf{v}(u) \mathbf{v}(v)$. Here $A_{H}$ is the adjacency matrix of $H$. Since $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\infty} \leq 1 / \sqrt{r}$ each such term has absolute value at most $1 / r$. It follows that $\mathbf{v}^{T} A_{H} \mathbf{v} \geq \lambda_{2}(G)-8 / r$ and by the variational definition of $\lambda_{2}(H)$ this implies that $\lambda_{2}(H) \geq \lambda_{2}(G)-8 / r$, as needed.

Starting with $G_{0}$ satisfying (1) and (3) split its vertex set arbitrarily into two sets of equal cardinality $B$ and $C$. Suppose we have already defined $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{i}$ so that (3) and (4) hold, and suppose that $G_{i}$ still has more than $\sqrt{n}$ crossing edges, that is, edges with endpoints in $B$ and in $C$. We show how to define $G_{i+1}$ and decrease the number of these crossing edges by 2 . Let $v_{1} v_{2}$ be an arbitrary crossing edge of $G_{i}$, with $v_{1} \in B, v_{2} \in C$. The number of edges of $G_{i}$ whose distance from the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ is at most $2 r-1$ is at most $1+2(d-1)+2(d-1)^{2}+\cdots+2(d-1)^{2 r-1}<2 d^{2 r}$. If $r$ is smaller than $(1 / 4) \log (n / 4) / \log d$ this number is smaller than $\sqrt{n}$, and hence there is at least one additional crossing edge $u_{1} u_{2}$ of $G_{i}$ with $u_{1} \in B, u_{2} \in C$. Let $G_{i+1}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{i}$ by the swap that removes the edges $v_{1} v_{2}, u_{1} u_{2}$ and adds the edges $u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{2}$. Since any cycle of $G_{i+1}$ that is not a cycle of $G_{i}$ must contain at least one path in $G_{i}-\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, u_{1} u_{2}\right\}$ that connects two distinct vertices among these four, its length is at least the minimum between $1+(2 r-1)$ and the girth of $G_{i}$ (in fact, twice this girth plus 2, but this is not crucial here). Therefore $G_{i+1}$ also satisfies (3). By Corollary 2.3 condition (4) also holds for $i$. Since each graph $G_{i+1}$ in the process has less crossing edges than the previous graph $G_{i}$ the process must terminate with a graph $G_{t}$ in which the number of crossing edges is at most $\sqrt{n}$. Let $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ be the vector assigning $1 / \sqrt{n}$ to each vertex of $B$ and $-1 / \sqrt{n}$ to each vertex of $C$. Then $\mathbf{v}^{T T} A_{G_{t}} \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \geq(d n-4 \sqrt{n}) / n=d-4 / \sqrt{n}$. Since $\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1$ and its sum of coordinates in 0 , this implies that $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{t}\right) \geq d-4 / \sqrt{n}$, showing that condition (2) holds. This completes the proof.

### 2.2 The smallest eigenvalue

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1.1, we thus only include a brief description. The fact that $A_{s}(d)$ is contained in the closed interval $[-d,-2 \sqrt{d-1}]$ follows from the result of $\mathrm{Li}[18]$ (see also [28]) that asserts that the smallest eigenvalue of any $d$-regular graph in which the length of the shortest odd cycle is $r$, is at most $-2 \sqrt{d-1}\left(1-O\left(1 / r^{2}\right)\right)$. In order to show that every point of this interval indeed lies in $A_{s}(d)$ we construct, for every even integer $n$, a sequence $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ of graphs on
a set $V$ of $n$ vertices that satisfy the following, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ are positive constants depending only on $d$.

1. $\lambda_{n}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq-2 \sqrt{d-1}-c_{1}\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$.
2. $\lambda_{n}\left(G_{t}\right) \leq-d+\frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{n}}$.
3. The girth of each $G_{i}$ is at least $c_{3} \log \log n$.
4. For every $0 \leq i<t$, if the absolute value of either $\lambda_{n}\left(G_{i}\right)$ or $\lambda_{n}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ exceeds $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ then $\left|\lambda_{n}\left(G_{i+1}\right)-\lambda_{n}\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{c_{4}}{\log \log n}$.
This clearly suffices to complete the proof of the theorem. As in the previous proof, the existence of $G_{0}$ satisfying properties (1) and (3) follows from the results of [14] and [25]. Assuming we have already constructed $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots G_{i}$ satisfying (3) and (4), we obtain $G_{i+1}$ from $G_{i}$ by a single swap. Split the set of vertices of $G_{0}$ into two sets $B, C$, each of size $n / 2$. As long as the number of edges in the induced subgraph of $G_{i}$ on $B$ is at least, say, $\sqrt{n}$, so is the the number of edges in the induced subgraph of $G_{i}$ on $C$. Indeed, these two numbers are equal since the sum of degrees in the induced subgraph on $B$ is $|B| d-e(B, C)$ where $e(B, C)$ is the number of edges connecting $B$ and $C$, and the sum of degrees in the induced subgraph on $C$ is $|C| d-e(B, C)$. As in the previous proof, there are two edges $v_{1} v_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2}$ of $G_{i}$, where $v_{1}, v_{2} \in B, u_{1}, u_{2} \in C$ and the distance in $G_{i}$ between these two edges is at least $\Omega(\log n / \log d)$. Swapping these edges and replacing them by the two crossing edges $v_{1} u_{1}$ and $v_{2} u_{2}$ we obtain a graph $G_{i+1}$ with girth satisfying condition (3). As the assertion of Corollary 2.3 clearly holds for the smallest eigenvalues too, condition (4) also holds for $i$. Since each graph $G_{i+1}$ in the process has 2 more crossing edges than the previous graph $G_{i}$, the process must terminate with a graph $G_{t}$ in which the number of non-crossing edges is at most $2 \sqrt{n}$. As in the previous proof, let $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ be the vector assigning $1 / \sqrt{n}$ to each vertex of $B$ and $-1 / \sqrt{n}$ to each vertex of $C$. Then $\mathbf{v}^{\prime T} A_{G_{t}} \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \leq(-d n+8 \sqrt{n}) / n=-d+8 / \sqrt{n}$. Since $\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1$, this implies that $\lambda_{n}\left(G_{t}\right) \leq-d+8 / \sqrt{n}$, showing that condition (2) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3 The top eigenvalues of bounded degree graphs

### 3.1 Bounded degree graphs

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.4. To do so we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. For every $d \geq 2$ and every even integer $n$, and for every real $\lambda \in[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$ there is a graph $G=G(n, \lambda)$ with maximum degree at most $d$, whose number of vertices is between $\sqrt{n}$ and $n$, satisfying

1. $\left|\lambda_{1}(G)-\lambda\right| \leq 2 \frac{d \log n}{\sqrt{n}}$
2. $\lambda_{2}(G) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$.

Proof. To simplify the presentation we omit all floor and ceiling signs whenever these are not crucial. We show that there is a sequence of graphs $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$, where $t=n-\sqrt{n}$, $G_{i}$ has exactly $n-i$ vertices, so that

1. $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)=d$
2. $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{t}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}$
3. For every $0 \leq i \leq t, \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$.
4. For every $0 \leq i<t$ :

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)\left(1-3 \frac{\log (n-i)}{n-i}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

This clearly implies the assertion of the lemma. A $d$-regular graph $G_{0}$ on $n$ vertices satisfying (1) and (3) exists by the result of Marcus, Spielman and Srivastava in [23]. Assuming we have already defined $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{i}$ satisfying (3) and (4), where $G_{j}$ has $n-j$ vertices for all $j \leq i$ and where $i+1<t$, we define $G_{i+1}$ as follows. Let $\ell$ be the largest even integer that does not exceed $(n-i) / 2$ and consider all closed walks of length $\ell$ in $G_{i}$. By averaging, there is at least one vertex of $G_{i}$ contained in at most half of these walks. Choose arbitrarily such a vertex $v$ and let $G_{i+1}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{i}$ by removing the vertex $v$. Thus $G_{i+1}$ has $n-i-1$ vertices. As it is an induced subgraph of $G_{i}$ it satisfies condition (3) by eigenvalue interlacing (see, e.g., [13]). The same eigenvalue interlacing implies that $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)$. In order to prove the other inequality in condition (4) we use the following simple fact.
Fact: Let $H$ be a graph with $q$ vertices, and let $\ell$ any even positive integer. Let $T=T(\ell)$ be the number of closed walks of length $\ell$ in $H$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_{i}^{\ell}(H)=T$ and hence $(T / q)^{1 / \ell} \leq \lambda_{1}(H) \leq T^{1 / \ell}$.
By the above fact applied to $G_{i}$, with $\ell$ being the largest even integer that does not exceed $(n-i) / 2$ and $T$ being the number of closed walks of length $\ell$ in $G_{i}$, it follows that
$\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq T^{1 / \ell}$. Applying the fact to $G_{i+1}$ with the same $\ell$, and using the fact that the number of closed walks of length $\ell$ in it is at least $T / 2$, we conclude that

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \geq\left(\frac{T}{2(n-i-1)}\right)^{1 / \ell} \geq T^{1 / \ell} \cdot\left(1-\frac{3 \log (n-i)}{n-i}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \cdot\left(1-\frac{3 \log (n-i)}{n-i}\right)
$$

where here we used the fact that $n-i$ is large and that $\ell$ is close to half of it. This shows that condition (4) is maintained with $G_{i+1}$.

It remains to prove that condition (2) holds. This follows from the argument in the first part of the proof of Lemma 9.2.7 in [4]. For completeness we sketch the proof. Let $f$ be an eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of $G_{t}$. Let $g$ be a vector defined on the vertex set of $G_{0}$, by letting $g(v)=f(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V\left(G_{t}\right)$ and by defining $g(u)=0$ for all other vertices of $G_{0}$. Expressing this vector $g$ as a linear combination of the all 1-vector (which is the top eigenvector of $G_{0}$ ) and an orthogonal vector $h$, and estimating $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{t}\right)=g^{T} A_{G_{0}} g$ using this expression and noting that $g^{T} 1 \leq\|g\|_{2} n^{1 / 4}$ and $h^{T} A_{G_{0}} h \leq \lambda_{2}\left(G_{0}\right)\|h\|_{2}^{2}$, we get the required estimate in condition (2). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right)$ be a vector satisfying $d \geq \mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \ldots \geq$ $\mu_{k} \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$. By Lemma 3.1, for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ and for every even integer $n$ there is a graph $G_{j}=G\left(n, \mu_{j}\right)$ with maximum degree at most $d$, whose number of vertices is between $\sqrt{n}$ and $n$, satisfying $\left|\lambda_{1}\left(G_{j}\right)-\mu_{j}\right| \leq 2 \frac{d \log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{j}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Let $G(n)$ be the vertex disjoint union of the graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k}$. Then $\left|\lambda_{i}(G(n))-\mu_{i}\right| \leq \frac{2 d \log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Any sequence of such graphs $G(n)$ for a growing sequence of values of $n$ gives the required limit point $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right)$. Note that if $\mu_{1}=d$ then the maximum degree of each graph $G(n)$ is exactly $d$.

The graphs constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.4 are not connected. We can show, however, that the same set $B(k, d)$ of vectors is obtained by similar limits of the corresponding vectors of top eigenvalues of connected graphs with maximum degree at most $d$. This requires some additional ideas. The details follow.

Theorem 3.2. For every $d \geq 2$ and every $k$, every point of $B(d, k)$ is a limit point of a sequence of vectors $\left(\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)\right)$ for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of connected graphs $G_{i}$ with maximum degree at most $d$.

To establish this theorem we first prove the following variant of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. There are positive constants $c_{1}=c_{1}(d), c_{2}=c_{2}(d), c_{3}=c_{3}(d)$ so that the following holds. For every $d \geq 2$ and every even integer $n$, and for every real $\lambda \in$ $[2 \sqrt{d-1}, d]$ there is a graph $G=G(n, \lambda)$ satisfying the following.

1. $G$ is connected, it has at least $n / \log n$ and at most $n-1$ vertices, its girth is at least $c_{1} \log \log n$, its maximum degree is at most $d$ and it has at least 2 vertices of degree strictly smaller than $d$.
2. $\left|\lambda_{1}(G)-\lambda\right| \leq \frac{c_{2}}{\log n}$
3. $\lambda_{2}(G) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+c_{3}\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we construct a sequence of graphs $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$, where $t=n-n / \log n$, and $G_{i}$ has maximum degree at most $d$ and exactly $n-i$ vertices, so that

1. $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)=d$
2. $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{t}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{c_{2}}{\log n}$
3. For every $0 \leq i \leq t, \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+c_{3}\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$.
4. For every $0 \leq i<t$ :

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)\left(1-3 \frac{\log ^{5} n}{n}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) .
$$

5. Each $G_{i}$ is connected, and has girth at least $c_{1} \log \log n$. For $i \geq 1$ each $G_{i}$ has at least two vertices of degree strictly smaller than $d$.

This easily implies the assertion of the lemma. A $d$-regular graph $G_{0}$ on $n$ vertices satisfying the requirements in conditions (1), (3) and (5) exists by the work of Friedman [14] and the results in [25], as explained in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.1. All the other graphs $G_{i}$ will be induced subgraphs of $G_{0}$, where each $G_{i+1}$ is obtained from $G_{i}$ by deleting a carefully chosen vertex. Note that trivially all graphs $G_{i}$ will satisfy the girth condition in (5). Moreover, as the initial graph $G_{0}$ is a $d$-regular strong expander, it contains no cutpoints, and hence any nontrivial connected induced subgraph of it contains at least 2 vertices of degree strictly smaller than $d$. Since $G_{0}$ is a strong expander, its diameter is at most $D=O(\log n)$. Any BFS tree in it starting from an arbitrarily chosen root has at most $D+1$ levels. Fix such a tree $T_{0}$ in $G_{0}$. Assuming $G_{j}$ and a spanning
tree of it $T_{j}$ of diameter at most $D+1$ have been defined already for all $j \leq i$, define $G_{i+1}$ as follows. Let $\ell$ be the largest even number which does not exceed, say, $n / \log ^{4} n$. Let $v$ be a leaf of $T_{i}$ contained in the smallest number of closed walks of length $\ell$ in $G_{i}$. Define $G_{i+1}=G_{i}-\{v\}$, and $T_{i+1}=T_{i}-\{v\}$. Note that any spanning tree in a graph of $m$ vertices which has at most $D+1$ levels has at least $m /(D+1)$ leaves, since all its vertices can be covered by all the root to leaf paths, and each such path contains at most $D+1$ vertices. Since $\ell=o(m /((D+1) \log n))$, by averaging in our process there would always be a leaf contained in at most a fraction of $O(1 / \log n)$ of the closed walk. The estimate required in condition (4) thus follows, as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Condition (2) also follows by the argument for establishing condition (2) in the proof of Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof.

We now prove the following useful lemma, whose special case is Lemma 3.2 in [2] (See also [3], [17] for related arguments.)

Lemma 3.4. Let $H$ be a graph with maximum degree $d \geq 2$ and let $U$ be an independent set of vertices. Suppose, further, that the induced subgraph of $H$ on the union of $U$ with the $(l+1)$ neighborhood of $U$ in some connected component of $H \backslash U$ is a collection of $|U|$ vertex disjoint trees, where the roots are the vertices of $U$. For each $i$ satisfying $0 \leq i \leq l+1$, let $X_{i}$ be the set of vertices of distance exactly $i$ from $U$ in those trees. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a nonzero eigenvector of $H$ with eigenvalue at least $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and any $1 \leq j \leq \min (l-i, i)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in X_{i-j+1}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+\sum_{u \in X_{i+j}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \leq \sum_{u \in X_{i-j}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+\sum_{u \in X_{i+j+1}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, for any $1 \leq i \leq l-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in X_{i} \cup X_{i+1}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}}{\min (i+1, l-i+1)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in X_{i}$ where $1 \leq i \leq l$, and let $u^{\prime}$ be its unique neighbor in $X_{i-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \mathbf{v}(u)=\sum_{w \in X_{i+1}, w \sim u} \mathbf{v}(w)+\mathbf{v}\left(u^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by writing $\mathbf{v}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\left(d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right) \mathbf{v}\left(u^{\prime}\right) /\left(d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right)$ and by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in X_{i+1}, w \sim u} \mathbf{v}(w)^{2}+\frac{\mathbf{v}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1} \geq \frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}}{d(u)+d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-2} \geq 2 \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here it is convenient to denote, for $u^{\prime} \in U$, by $d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1$ the degree of $u^{\prime}$ as a root of the corresponding tree described in the lemma, even when the actual degree of $u^{\prime}$ in $H$ may be larger than $d\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. This is convenient for the uniformity of the notation, and the only property needed in the proof is that $d\left(u^{\prime}\right) \leq d$ with this notation too, which clearly holds).

Add up these inequalities for all $u \in X_{i}$. Noticing that each vertex $w$ in $X_{i+1}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex in $X_{i}$ while each vertex $u^{\prime}$ in $X_{i-1}$ is adjacent to exactly $\left(d\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right)$ vertices in $X_{i}$, putting $S_{i}=\sum_{u \in X_{i}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{u \in X_{i}} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \leq \sum_{w \in X_{i+1}} \mathbf{v}(w)^{2}+\sum_{u^{\prime} \in X_{i-1}} \mathbf{v}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \Longrightarrow 2 S_{i} \leq S_{i+1}+S_{i-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, by adding (5) for $i$ and for $i+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 S_{i}+2 S_{i+1} \leq S_{i+1}+S_{i-1}+S_{i+2}+S_{i} \Longrightarrow S_{i}+S_{i+1} \leq S_{i-1}+S_{i+2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove (1) by induction on $j$. The base case when $j=1$ is (6). Suppose the claim holds up to $j-1$ where $j \geq 2$. Apply (5) to $S_{i-j+1}$ and $S_{i+j}$ to get that $2\left(S_{i-j+1}+S_{i+j}\right) \leq S_{i-j}+S_{i-j+2}+S_{i+j-1}+S_{i+j+1} \leq S_{i-j+1}+S_{i+j}+S_{i-j}+S_{i+j+1}$ by the inductive hypothesis. Therefore $S_{i-j+1}+S_{i+j} \leq S_{i-j}+S_{i+j+1}$, as desired.

Finally, (2) is proved by applying (1) with $j=1, \ldots, \min (l-i, i)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $F$ and $H$ be two connected graphs, each having girth at least $2 r+1$ and maximum degree at most $d$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from the vertex disjoint union of $F$ and $H$ by adding an arbitrary edge connecting them, keeping the maximum degree at most d. Let $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{s}$ be the s largest eigenvalues of the graph which is the disjoint union of $F$ and $H$ (that is, the s largest elements in the set of all eigenvalues of $F$ and all eigenvalues of $H$, taken with multiplicities). Then

$$
\left|\mu_{s}-\lambda_{s}(G)\right| \leq \frac{2 s}{r+1} .
$$

Proof. For each eigenvalue $\mu_{i}$ above which is an eigenvalue of $H$, let $f_{i}$ be the corresponding normalized eigenvector viewed as a vector defined on $V(G)=V(H) \cup V(F)$, by extending its definition to be 0 on $V(F)$. Similarly, if $\mu_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $F$ let $f_{i}$ be a corresponding eigenvector defined to be 0 on the vertices of $H$. These vectors are the normalized top $s$ eigenvectors of $H \cup F$ and span a subspace of dimension $s$. Let $A^{\prime}=A_{H \cup F}$ be the adjacency matrix of the disjoint union of $H$ and $F$, then for any normalized vector $\mathbf{y}$ in this subspace $\mathbf{y}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{y} \geq \mu_{s}$. By Claim 2.2, the $\ell_{\infty}$ norm of each of the vectors $f_{i}$ is at most $1 / \sqrt{r+1}$ and hence by Cauchy-Schwarz the $\ell_{\infty}$ norm of each normalized vector $y$ in this space is at most $\sqrt{s /(r+1)}$. Since the graph $G$ is obtained from $H \cup F$ by the addition of a single edge, for each such $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}^{T} A_{G} \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$ differ by only two terms of the
form $\mathbf{y}(u) \mathbf{y}(v)$ and hence $\mathbf{y}^{T} A_{G} \mathbf{y} \geq \mu_{s}-2 s /(r+1)$. By the variational definition of $\lambda_{s}(G)$ this implies that $\lambda_{s}(G) \geq \mu_{s}-2 s /(r+1)$. To upper bound $\lambda_{s}(G)$ consider the subspace $W$ spanned by the eigenvectors of the top $s$ eigenvalues of $G$. This subspace contains a nonzero normalized vector $\mathbf{z}$ orthogonal to all the vectors $f_{i}$ defined above for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. In addition, its $\ell_{\infty}$-norm is at most $\sqrt{s /(r+1)}$. It is clear that $\mathbf{z}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{z} \leq \mu_{s}$ and as before the fact that $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{s /(r+1)}$ implies that $\lambda_{s}(G) \leq \mathbf{z}^{T} A_{G} \mathbf{z} \leq \mu_{s}+2 s /(r+1)$. This supplies the desired upper bound for $\lambda_{s}(G)$, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots \mu_{k}\right)$ be a vector in $B(d, k)$. By Lemma 3.3 there are connected graphs $G_{j}=G\left(n, \mu_{j}\right)$ with the following properties.

1. Each $G_{j}$ has maximum degree at most $d$ and has at least two vertices of degree less than $d$.
2. $\left|\lambda_{1}\left(G_{j}\right)-\mu_{j}\right| \leq \frac{c_{2}}{\log n}$
3. $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{j}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+c_{3}\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^{2}$.
4. The girth of $G_{j}$ is at least $c_{3} \log \log n$.

Pick two vertices $u_{j, 1}, u_{j, 2}$ of degree less than $d$ in each $G_{j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq k-1$, one such vertex $u_{1,2}$ in $G_{1}$ and one such vertex $u_{k, 1}$ in $G_{k}$. Adding the edges $u_{j, 2} u_{j+1,1}$ for $1 \leq j<k$ to the vertex disjoint union of the graphs $G_{j}$ we get a connected graph $G=G(n)$ with maximum degree at most $d$. Applying Lemma $3.5 k-1$ times it follows that if $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k}$ are its top $k$ eigenvalues then $\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu_{i}\right| \leq \frac{16 k^{2}}{c_{3} \log \log n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Taking a growing sequence of values of $n$ completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4 The top eigenvalues of regular graphs

We start with some notation. Let $H=(V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree at most $d$. The d-augmentation $A_{d}(H)$ is the graph obtained from $H$ as follows. For each vertex $v$ of degree $d(v)<d$ of $H$, let $U_{v}$ be a set of $d-d(v)$ new vertices, where all sets $U_{v}$ are pairwise disjoint. Every point in $U_{v}$ is adjacent to the vertex $v$. Thus, if $H$ is $d$-regular then $A_{d}(H)=H$. In every other case all vertices of $A_{d}(H)$ are of degrees $d$ or 1 , and the number of leaves (vertices of degree 1) is exactly $d|V|-2|E|$. Put $T^{0}(d) H=H, T^{1}(d) H=A_{d}(H)$ and $T^{i+1}(d) H=A_{d}\left(T^{i}(d) H\right)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note that $T^{r}(d) H$ is obtained from the vertex disjoint union of $H$ and $(d|V|-2|E|)$ trees with $r$ levels by joining the roots of these trees to the vertices of $H$ of degree lower than $d$.

### 4.1 The top eigenvalues of regular graphs - a simple version

In this subsection we present the proof of the following Theorem 4.1, which is a less powerful version of Theorem 1.5, using a simpler analysis based on the same basic approach. A similar method with slightly more sophisticated arguments will be used later to establish Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.1. For every $d \geq 3$ and every $k$, every point of

$$
C(d, k)=\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right): d=\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \mu_{3} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{k} \geq 3 \sqrt{d-1}\right\} .
$$

is a limit point of a sequence of vectors $\left(\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(G_{i}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)\right)$ for an infinite sequence $G_{i}$ of d-regular graphs.

We need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and let $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. Then for every real $\lambda$ satisfying $2 \sqrt{d-1}+2 \varepsilon \leq \lambda \leq d$ there exists a graph $H$ with maximum degree at most $d$ in which every connected component has at least one vertex of degree smaller than $d$ satisfying the following properties.

1. The girth of $H$ is at least $20 d / \varepsilon$.
2. The number of leaves of $T^{1}(d) H$ is divisible by $2 d$.
3. $\lambda_{2}(H) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$.
4. For every $i \geq 4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon,\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{i}(d) H\right)-\lambda\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon$.

Proof. As in the previous proofs we construct a family of graphs $H_{0}, H_{1}, \ldots$ and show that $H$ can be chosen to be one of them. We start with a $d$-regular high-girth near Ramanujan graph $G$ with a large even number $m$ of vertices, and omit from it two nonadjacent vertices to get a graph $H_{0}$ satisfying (1) and (2). Each other graph $H_{i}$ of the sequence will be obtained from the previous one by deleting two nonadjacent vertices and by adding, if needed, a set of at most $d$ isolated edges to ensure that the number of leaves of $T^{1}(d) H_{i}$ is divisible by $2 d$. Thus all these graphs satisfy (1) and (2). To prove (3) note that $H_{i+1}$ is obtained from the vertex disjoint union of an induced subgraph of $G$ and a collection of isolated edges and hence (3) follows by eigenvalue interlacing and the fact that $G$ is near Ramanujan. It remains to analyze the largest eigenvalues of the graphs $H_{i}$ and their augmentations. All of these graphs have largest degree at most $d$ and hence the
top eigenvalue of all is at most $d$. In addition, the top eigenvalue of $H_{0}$ is very close to $d$ as its average degree is very close to $d$. Note also that eigenvalue interlacing implies that $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ is an increasing function of $j$ for every fixed $i$ showing that $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{0}\right)$ is very close to $d$ for all $j$. Consider some fixed $j$. Note that $T^{j}(d) H_{i+1}$ is obtained from $T^{j}(d) H_{i}$ by deleting two vertices, omitting several connected components each of which is a $d$-tree, and adding at most $3 d$ such components, joining the root of some of them to the graph. By Claim 2.2 and the high girth the omission of two vertices does not change $\lambda_{1}$ by much. The subsequent removal and addition of the connected components which are trees does not change it at all, as long as the largest eigenvalue exceeds $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. The addition of the edges also hardly changes it, by Lemma 3.5. Thus the difference between $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i+1}\right)$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$. Since the graphs $H_{i}$ end with one which is a union of disjoint trees for which $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$, for every fixed $j$ we can find some $i$ so that $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ is within $\varepsilon$ of $\lambda$. It remains to show the following claim.

Claim 4.3. Let $J=\lceil 4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon\rceil$. Assume the largest eigenvalue of $T^{J}(d) H_{i}$ is at least $2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon$. For any $R \geq J,\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{J}(d) H_{i}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(T^{R}(d) H_{i}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$, namely, $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ hardly grows after $j=4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon$.

Proof. By the monotonicity of $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ as $j$ increases, it suffices to show that for arbitrarily large $R$ there is some $j \leq 4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon$ so that $\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(T^{R}(d) H_{i}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$, namely, that $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{j}(d) H_{i}\right)$ hardly grows after $j=4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon$.

Let $H^{\prime}$ be a connected component of $T^{R}(d) H_{i}$ with the maximum eigenvalue of it, call it $\mu$, and let $A^{\prime}$ be its adjacency matrix. By assumption, $\mu \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the normalized eigenvector of the maximum eigenvalue $\mu$ of $H^{\prime}$.

For each $i \leq R$ let $s_{i}$ denote the sum of squares of the entries of $\mathbf{v}$ on the vertices of $H^{\prime}$ that are of distance exactly $i$ from the set of vertices $U$ of the original graph $H_{i}$. (Call these vertices the vertices at level $i$ ). Thus $\sum_{i} s_{i}=1$ and therefore there is some index $1 \leq i \leq 4 \sqrt{d-1} / \varepsilon$ so that $s_{i}+s_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon /(\sqrt{d-1})$. Let $\mathbf{v}_{s}$ be the restriction of the vector $v$ to the vertices of distance at most $i$ from $U, \mathbf{v}_{m}$ the restriction of this vector to the two consecutive levels $i, i+1$, and $\mathbf{v}_{l}$ it's restriction to the levels at least $i+1$. With some abuse of notation consider each of these three vectors as one defined on all vertices of $H^{\prime}$, where the coordinates are set to 0 in the irrelevant levels. Note that since every level $j \geq 1$ is an independent set it follows that

$$
\mu=\mathbf{v}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_{s}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{s}+\mathbf{v}_{m}^{t} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{m}+\mathbf{v}_{l}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{l}
$$

However $\mathbf{v}_{m}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{m} \leq \sqrt{d-1}\left\|\mathbf{v}_{m}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ since the induced subgraph on the two levels $i$ and $i+1$ is a union of vertex disjoint stars, each with maximum degree at most $d-1$. Since
$\left\|\mathbf{v}_{m}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \varepsilon / \sqrt{d-1}$ this is at most $\varepsilon$. In addition $\mathbf{v}_{l}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{l} \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}\left\|\mathbf{v}_{l}\right\|_{2}^{2}$, as the induced subgraph on the vertices at levels exceeding $i$ is a union of $d$-trees. It follows that if $\mu \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$ then $\mathbf{v}_{s}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{s} \geq(\mu-\varepsilon)\left\|\mathbf{v}_{s}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ since otherwise the sum of all three terms is smaller than

$$
(\mu-\varepsilon)\left\|\mathbf{v}_{s}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon+2 \sqrt{d-1}\left(1-\left\|\mathbf{v}_{s}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq(\mu-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon
$$

contradiction.
On the other hand, if $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{J}(d) H_{i}\right)<2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$, taking $H$ to be a collection of $d$ isolated edges satisfies all requirements. This completes the proof of the lemma.

### 4.1.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

We first prove the following patching lemma.
For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let $F_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ be a graph with maximum degree at most $d$. Let $F_{0}$ be a $d$-regular graph with girth at least $8 R$. By an $R$-patching of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$ to $F_{0}$, we mean a graph $G$ constructed in the following way. Suppose $\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|$ is large enough to ensure that $F_{0}$ contains a collection $M$ of vertices such that $|M| d$ is the total number of leaves of all graphs $T^{1}(d) F_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and the distance in $F_{0}$ between any two vertices in $M$ exceeds $4 R$. Suppose the total number of such leaves is divisible by $2 d$. The graph $G$ is obtained from the graphs $F_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ as follows. Let $G_{0}$ be the induced subgraph of $F_{0}$ obtained by removing all vertices of $M$, where $M$ is as above. This graph has exactly $|M| d$ vertices of degree $d-1$. On each set of vertices $V_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ we take a copy of $F_{i}$, and extend it to a copy of $T^{1}(d) F_{i}$ by identifying the leaves of $T^{1}(d) F_{i}$ with the required number of vertices of degree $d-1$ of $F_{0}$. Clearly $G$ is a $d$-regular graph.

Lemma 4.4. Let $d, R \geq 3$ be integers. For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let $F_{i}^{\prime}$ be a graph with maximum degree at most $d$ (which is not d-regular) and girth at least $4 R$, and let $F_{i}=$ $\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ be $T^{8 R}(d) F_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $F_{0}=\left(V_{0}, E_{0}\right)$ be a d-regular graph with girth at least $8 R$. For each $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ let $\mu_{i}$ be the largest eigenvalue of $F_{i}$, and let $\mu$ be the maximum of the second largest eigenvalue of $F_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Suppose $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \cdots \geq \mu_{k-1} \geq \max (2 \sqrt{d-1}, \mu)$. Let the graph $G$ be an $R$-patching of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$ to $F_{0}$ and let $\lambda_{i}$ be the $i$-th largest eigenvalue of $G$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq k,\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu_{i-1}\right| \leq \max \left(\sqrt{d-1} / R, 2 d^{3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|V_{i}\right|}{\left|V_{0}\right|}\right)$.

Proof. We first prove the following easier direction.
Claim 4.5. The ordered $k$-tuple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$ is at least the $k$-tuple

$$
\left(d-2 d^{3} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|V_{i}\right|}{\left|V_{0}\right|}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k-1}\right)
$$

when arranged in descending order.
Proof. As $G$ and $F_{0}$ are $d$-regular, $\lambda_{1}=\mu_{0}=d$. Let $V_{0}^{\prime}$ be the set of all vertices of $G$ of distance at least two from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{i}$. Clearly $V_{0}^{\prime} \subset V_{0}$. Since $F_{0}$ is sufficiently large, $\left|V_{0} \backslash V_{0}^{\prime}\right|$ is tiny and thus the average degree of the induced subgraph $F_{0}\left[V_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ exceeds $\left(d\left|V_{0}\right|-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|V_{i}\right| d^{3}\right) /\left|V_{0}\right|$. Therefore the maximum eigenvalue of $F_{0}\left[V_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ exceeds $d$ $2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left|V_{i}\right| d^{3} /\left|V_{0}\right|$. In addition, for every $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, the induced subgraph of $G$ on $V_{i}$ is $F_{i}$, with maximum eigenvalue $\mu_{i}$. In the induced subgraph of $G\left[V_{0}^{\prime} \cup V_{1} \cdots \cup V_{k-1}\right]$ there are no edges between different sets and thus all the eigenvalues of the $k$ induced subgraphs of $G$ on $V_{0}^{\prime}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k-1}$ are also eigenvalues of this induced subgraph. The assertion of the claim follows by eigenvalue interlacing.

It remains to prove the upper bound.
Claim 4.6. For every $1 \leq i \leq k, \lambda_{i} \leq \mu_{i-1}+\sqrt{d-1} / R$.
Proof. Since $\lambda_{1}=\mu_{0}=d$, fix $2 \leq i \leq k$. If $\lambda_{i} \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$, we are done by the assumption $\mu_{i-1} \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Thus assume $\lambda_{i}>2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Let $V_{0}^{\prime}$ be $V(G) \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} V_{j}$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing the edges between $V_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} V_{j}$. Thus $G^{\prime}$ is the disjoint union of $k$ graphs $F_{0}\left[V_{0}^{\prime}\right], F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$. Let $A, A^{\prime}$ be the adjacency matrices of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $X$ be the set of vertices in $G$ incident to the edges across $V_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{j}$. Therefore $G$ restricted to $X$ is a union of vertex disjoint stars with maximum degree at most $d$. Let $C$ be the matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing all the entries of the rows and columns corresponding to vertices outside $X$ by 0 . Thus $A=A^{\prime}+C$.

Let $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $G^{\prime}$. Thus $\sigma_{1} \leq d$. As $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \cdots \geq \mu_{k-1} \geq$ $\max (2 \sqrt{d-1}, \mu)$, for each $2 \leq j \leq k, \sigma_{j} \leq \mu_{j-1}$. We will use $\sigma_{i}$ to upper bound $\lambda_{i}$.

For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $\mathbf{v}_{j}$ be the normal eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{j}$. Thus $\sqrt{N} \mathbf{v}_{1}=\mathbf{1}$ where $N=|V(G)|$. By Lemma 3.4 where the set $U$ is the set of vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_{i}$ of distance exactly $R$ from $X$, it follows that $\sum_{u \in X} \mathbf{v}_{j}(u)^{2} \leq 1 / R$. As a consequence, as $C$ corresponds to a disjoint union of stars of maximum degree at most $d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} C \mathbf{v}_{j}\right| \leq \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in X} \mathbf{v}_{j}(u)^{2} \leq \sqrt{d-1} / R \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W$ be the $i$-dimensional space spanned by $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{i}$. By the min-max principal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i} \geq \min \left\{\mathbf{v}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}, \text { where } \mathbf{v} \in W,\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2}=1\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\mathbf{v}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j} \mathbf{v}_{j}$, where $\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2}=1$. Then

$$
\mathbf{v}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} A^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} A \mathbf{v}_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} C \mathbf{v}_{j} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} A \mathbf{v}_{j}-\sqrt{d-1} / R
$$

where the last inequality is by (7). Since $\mathbf{v}_{j}^{T} A \mathbf{v}_{j}=\lambda_{j}$, we thus have

$$
\sigma_{i} \geq \min \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2} \lambda_{j}-\sqrt{d-1} / R, \text { where } \sum_{j=1}^{i} c_{j}^{2}=1\right\}=\lambda_{i}-\sqrt{d-1} / R
$$

Together with $\sigma_{i} \leq \mu_{i-1}$ showed earlier, we have shown $\lambda_{i} \leq \mu_{i-1}+\sqrt{d-1} / R$.

We can now prove Theorem 4.1.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. For each sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, it suffices to prove the result for all $\mu_{1}>\mu_{2}>\cdots>\mu_{k}>3 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$. Let $R=\lceil 4 k d / \varepsilon\rceil$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let $F_{i}^{\prime}$ be a graph satisfying the assertions of Lemma 4.2 for $\lambda=\mu_{i}$ and let $F_{i}=T^{8 R}(d) F_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $F_{0}$ be a sufficiently large near Ramanujan $d$-regular graph of girth at least $8 R$. Theorem 4.1 will be proved by applying Lemma 4.4 to the $R$-patching of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$ to $F_{0}$. To check all the assumptions in Lemma 4.4 are satisfied, it is only needed to show that the second largest eigenvalues of $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k-1}$ are at most $3 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$. Since $F_{0}$ is near Ramanujan, $\lambda_{2}\left(F_{0}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon<3 \sqrt{d-1}$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. In $F_{i}$, let $U_{i}$ be the vertex subset $V\left(F_{i}\right) \backslash V\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. The induced subgraph of $F_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ is a disjoint union of trees of maximum degree at most $d$. Let $B$ be the adjacency matrix of the disjoint union of the graph $F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $F_{i}\left[U_{i}\right]$. Thus $\lambda_{2}(B) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$. Let $C$ be the adjacency matrix of $F_{i}$ in which the only nonzero entries correspond to the cross-edges between $U_{i}$ and $V\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. The matrix $C$ corresponds to a disjoint union of stars of degree at most $d$. Thus $\lambda_{1}(C) \leq \sqrt{d-1}$. Since the adjacency matrix of $F_{i}$ is $B+C$ and $\lambda_{2}(B+C) \leq \lambda_{2}(B)+\lambda_{1}(C)$, the second largest eigenvalue of $F_{i}$ is at most $3 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$, as desired.

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Drawing inspiration from the proof of Theorem 4.1 as an application of Lemma 4.4, we seek to refine our estimation of the second largest eigenvalue of $T^{\ell}\left(F_{i}\right)$ for sufficiently large $\ell$, given that $\lambda_{2}\left(F_{i}\right)$ is small. In the proof of Theorem 4.1, we present a short argument to establish that $\lambda_{2}\left(T^{\ell}\left(F_{i}\right)\right) \leq 3 \sqrt{d-1}+\varepsilon$. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the following lemma, which provides an almost optimal upper bound for the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}\left(T^{\ell}(d) F_{i}\right)$.

Lemma 4.7. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$. Let $\epsilon>0$, and $R \geq 100 d / \epsilon$. For any $z \in(2 \sqrt{d-1}, d)$, there is a graph $\tilde{G}$ with maximum degree at most $d$ and girth at least $R$ satisfying the following properties simultaneously.

1. The largest eigenvalue of $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$ is within a range of $\epsilon$ to $z$ for any $\ell \geq\lceil 10 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil$.
2. The second largest eigenvalue of $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$ is at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}}+\epsilon / 2$ for any $\ell \geq 0$.

We may also assume the number of vertices of degree one in $T^{1}(d) \tilde{G}$ is divisible by $d$.
Assuming Lemma 4.7 holds, Theorem 1.5 can be proved by using Lemma 4.4.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. Apply the patching lemma (Lemma 4.4) to assemble the augmentations of graphs that are guaranteed by Lemma 4.7 and a large near Ramanujan graph. Theorem 1.5 follows in a nearly identical way to the proof of Theorem 4.1, which also utilizes Lemma 4.4. Consequently, the details are omitted.

### 4.2.1 Proof of Lemma 4.7

To simplify the analysis, we make the following definition similar to $T^{\ell}(d) F$. For any nonnegative integers $s, \ell$ and a graph $F$, define the ( $d, s, \ell$ )-augmentation $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) F$ to be the graph obtained from the vertex disjoint union of $F$ and $s|V(F)|$ number of $d$-ary trees with $\ell$ levels ${ }^{2}$ by joining each vertex of $F$ to $s$ of these trees by edges such that each tree joins exactly one vertex. Note that if $F$ is $d^{\prime}$-regular with $d^{\prime} \leq d$, then $T^{\ell}(d)(F)=T_{d-d^{\prime}}^{\ell}(d) F$. Our basic gadgets will be of the form $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$ where $G^{\prime}$ is a regular graph. By interpolating between two basic gadgets, we will prove the existance of $\tilde{G}$ as desired in Lemma 4.7. We also sometimes write $T_{s}^{\ell}$ instead of $T_{s}^{\ell}(d)$ when there is no confusion.

The next lemma relates explicitly the top eigenvalues of a graph $G^{\prime}$ and $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$. For each non-negative integer $i$, define an auxillary function $a_{i}=a_{i}(\lambda)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4(d-1)}}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4(d-1)}}{2}\right)^{i+1}-\left(\frac{\lambda-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4(d-1)}}{2}\right)^{i+1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.8. Let $d \geq 3, \ell \geq 2, s \geq 0$ be integers, and let $G^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary connected graph with the largest eigenvalue being $\mu_{1}$. Then the following equation has at most one solution $\lambda$ that is larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda-s a_{\ell-1}(\lambda) / a_{\ell}(\lambda)=\mu_{1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the top two eigenvalues of $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$ satisfy the following statements.

[^2]1. If (10) has a solution larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, then this solution is the largest eigenvalue of $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$.
2. If (10) has no solution larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, then $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$ has no eigenvalue larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$.
3. For any $\epsilon \geq 0$, if the second largest eigenvalue of $G^{\prime}$ is strictly less than $2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}-$ $\frac{s}{\sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}+\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, then the second largest eigenvalue of $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$ is less than $2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}$.

To prove Lemma 4.8, we need to establish two claims. The first claim demonstrates that in the graph $T_{s}^{\ell}(d)\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, an explicit relationship exists among the entries of an eigenvector on each of the $d$-ary trees. We refer to a vector that is supported on the vertices of a tree $T$ as radial if the vertices at the same distance from the root in $T$ have identical values in the vector.

Claim 4.9. Let $d \geq 3$ be a fixed integer. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph and let $v \in V$ be a fixed vertex. Suppose some component in $G \backslash\{v\}$ is a d-ary tree $T$ of $\ell+1$ levels where $\ell \geq 0$ and in $T$ the root is the only neighbor of $v$. If $\lambda>2 \sqrt{d-1}$ is an eigenvalue of $G$ then the eigenvector $\mathbf{v}$ of $\lambda$ is radial on $T$. Furthermore, if the entries of $\mathbf{v}$ corresponding to the leaves of $T$ have the value $x$, then for each $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, the entries of $\mathbf{v}$ corresponding to vertices in $T$ that are at a distance of $\ell-i$ from the root have the value $a_{i} x$, where $a_{i}=a_{i}(\lambda)$ is defined as in (9).

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on $\ell$. Suppose $\ell=1$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be an eigenvector of eigenvalue $\lambda$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ be its entries of the leaves and let $y$ be the entry of the root. Then $\lambda x_{i}=y$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, it forces $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{d-1}=x=a_{0} x$ for some $x$. Furthermore, $y=\lambda x=a_{1} x$ as $a_{1}(\lambda)=\lambda$. The base case is proved.

Suppose the claim holds for trees of at most $\ell$ levels. We now show it holds for trees with $\ell+1$ levels. Let $z$ be the entry of the root $v$ in the eigenvector, and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}$ be the entries of its children, which are vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d-1}$ respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, let $T_{i}$ be the subtree of $T$ rooted at $u_{i}$. Thus $T_{i}$ is a $d$-ary tree with $\ell$ levels. By the inductive hypothesis, $\mathbf{v}$ is radial on $T_{i}$. Let $x_{i}^{\prime}$ be the entry of the leaves in $T_{i}$. By the inductive hypothesis, $y_{i}=a_{\ell-1} x_{i}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, each of the $d-1$ children of $u_{i}$ has entry $y_{i}^{\prime}=a_{\ell-2} x_{i}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, we also have

$$
\lambda y_{i}=(d-1) y_{i}^{\prime}+z \Longrightarrow \lambda a_{\ell-1} x_{i}^{\prime}=(d-1) a_{\ell-2} x_{i}^{\prime}+z .
$$

This implies $\left(\lambda a_{\ell-1}-(d-1) a_{\ell-2}\right) x_{i}^{\prime}=z$, which is equivalent to $a_{\ell} x_{i}^{\prime}=z$. Since $\lambda>$ $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, we have $a_{\ell} \neq 0$. Thus we conclude $x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}^{\prime}=\cdots=x_{d-1}^{\prime}=x$ for some $x$, and
the root $v$ has value $z=a_{\ell} x$. The entries on the other levels are proved by the inductive hypothesis and the fact $x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}^{\prime}=\cdots=x_{d-1}^{\prime}=x$.

The next claim shows an explicit relationship between the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $G^{\prime}$ and those of $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$.

Claim 4.10. Let $d \geq 3, s, \ell \geq 2$ be positive integers and $G^{\prime}$ a fixed graph. Let $G$ be $T_{s}^{\ell}(d) G^{\prime}$. Then for any $\lambda>2 \sqrt{d-1}$, the following two statements are equivalent:

1. $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $G$;
2. Let $a_{i}=a_{i}(\lambda)$ be defined in (9). The following value $\mu$ is an eigenvalue of $G^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda-s a_{\ell-1}(\lambda) / a_{\ell}(\lambda)=\mu \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, suppose $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ is an eigenvector of $G$ corresponding to $\lambda$. Then $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ restricted to $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is an eigenvector $\mathbf{v}$ of $G^{\prime}$. For each vertex $u$ that is on a d-ary tree joined to some vertex $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and is at a distance $i$ from the leaf of that tree, the value of $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ at $u$ is $\mathbf{v}(v) a_{i} / a_{\ell}$.

Proof. Let $v$ be a vertex in $G^{\prime}$, and it joins $s$ trees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}$ of $\ell$ levels each, where the roots are $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$ respectively. To show Statement 1 implies 2, we can apply Claim 4.9 to each of $T_{i}$. Suppose $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ restricted to the leaves of $T_{i}$ have entries $x_{i}$ (this is well-defined since the eigenvector is radial on $T_{i}$ by Claim 4.9). Then each root $u_{i}$ has value $a_{\ell-1} x_{i}$. Similarly, the values of the $d-1$ children of $u_{i}$ in $T_{i}$ are $a_{\ell-2} x_{i}$. Then since $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue, we have

$$
\lambda a_{\ell-1} x_{i}=(d-1) a_{\ell-2} x_{i}+\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v) .
$$

Therefore by a similar argument as in the proof of Claim 4.9 we have $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v)=a_{\ell} x_{i}$. Since $a_{\ell} \neq 0$, we have $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{s}=x$ for some $x$. Thus $x=\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v) / a_{\ell}$.

We also have $\lambda \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v)=\sum_{u:(u, v) \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(u)+s a_{\ell-1} x$ where the summation is over all the vertices adjacent to $v$ in $G^{\prime}$. Since $x=\mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v) / a_{\ell}$,

$$
\lambda \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v)=\sum_{u:(u, v) \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(u)+s a_{\ell-1} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v) / a_{\ell} \Longrightarrow\left(\lambda-s a_{\ell-1} / a_{\ell}\right) \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(v)=\sum_{u:(u, v) \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}(u)
$$

Therefore $\lambda-s a_{\ell-1} / a_{\ell}$ is an eigenvalue of $G^{\prime}$, with eigenvector $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ restricted to $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. The fact that Statement 2 implies Statement 1 is by the same argument, constructing $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ directly from $\mathbf{v}$ and noticing that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue corresponding to $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$.

## Proof of Lemma 4.8.

The case when $s=0$ is trivial. Thus we assume $s \geq 1$. Proving that there is at most one solution to (10) that is larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$ directly from the equation is challenging. Nevertheless, we can establish this fact by examining the eigenvector. As per Claim 4.10, the eigenvector of $\lambda$ in $G$ that is restricted to $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is also the unique eigenvector $\mathbf{v}$ of $G^{\prime}$ for the top eigenvalue $\mu_{1}$, with each entry being non-negative. For each vertex which is on the $d$-ary tree grew out from vertex $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, its value on the eigenvector is $\mathbf{v}(v) a_{i} / a_{\ell} \geq 0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq \ell-1$. Suppose $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are two distinct solutions to (10) that are larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. In that case, their eigenvectors are orthogonal but have all non-negative entries, a contradiction.

We now prove statements 1 and 2 . Let $\lambda_{0}$ be the largest solution to (10). By Claim 4.10, it suffices to show that for any $\mu<\mu_{1}$, the following equation in terms of $\lambda$ has no solution larger than $\max \left(2 \sqrt{d-1}, \lambda_{0}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\lambda):=\lambda-s a_{\ell-1} / a_{\ell}=\mu . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of contradiction, suppose $\lambda^{\prime}>\max \left(2 \sqrt{d-1}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is a solution to (12) for some $\mu<\mu_{1}$, i.e., $h\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\mu<\mu_{1}$. Note $a_{\ell}, a_{\ell-1}$ are also functions of $\lambda$. When $\lambda>2 \sqrt{d-1}$, $a_{\ell-1} / a_{\ell}<\frac{2}{\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4(d-1)}}$. Therefore $h(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$ if $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Combining with the fact that $h\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\mu<\mu_{1}$ and by the continuity of $h$, equation (10) that $h(\lambda)=\mu_{1}$ has a solution $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \geq \lambda^{\prime}$. Since $\lambda^{\prime}>\max \left(2 \sqrt{d-1}, \lambda_{0}\right)$, the existence of such a $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ contradicts with the fact that $\lambda_{0}$ is the largest solution to (10).

We now prove the last statement. Let $\mu$ be any eigenvalue of $G^{\prime}$ which is less than $2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}-s /(d-1+\epsilon)$. Thus for any $\lambda \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}$ and any $\ell$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$,

$$
h(\lambda) \geq \lambda-2 s /\left(\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4(d-1)}\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}-s /(\sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}+\sqrt{\epsilon})>\mu
$$

Thus (12) has no solution at least $2 \sqrt{d-1+\epsilon}$, as desired.
We are now ready to prove the main ingredient: Lemma 4.7.
Proof of Lemma 4.7. Let $G_{0}^{\prime}$ be an $N$-lift of the complete graph $K_{d+1}$ for sufficiently large $N$. For each vertex $i$ in $K_{d+1}$ where $1 \leq i \leq d+1$, let $V_{i}$ be the set of vertices in the lift which are the pre-images of $i$ through the covering map. Suppose $G_{0}^{\prime}$ has girth $L \geq 100 d / \epsilon$ and $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon / 2$. Such a graph exists by the work of Bordenave and Collins [8]. Since a random $N$-lift of $K_{t}$ for $t \geq 4$ has the second largest eigenvalue at most $2 \sqrt{t-2}+\epsilon / 2$ with probability at least $1-O\left(N^{-0.99}\right)$ [8], we may further assume by a union bound that for each $1 \leq i \leq d-2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{i} \cup V_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}\right]\right)<2 \sqrt{d-i}+\epsilon / 2 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an abuse of notation, label the vertices in $G_{0}^{\prime}$ as $1,2, \ldots,\left|V\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|=(d+1) N$ such that vertices in $V_{i}$ comes before vertices in $V_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$. For each $1 \leq i \leq\left|V\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|$, let $G_{i}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{i-1}^{\prime}$ by removing vertex $i$ from $V\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly for each $1 \leq t \leq d$, the graph $G_{t N}^{\prime}$ is $(d-t)$-regular since by removing vertices in $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{t}$ from $G_{0}^{\prime}$, the remaining graph is an $N$-lift of $K_{d-t+1}$.

Let $I=\lceil 10 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil$. In a way similar to the previous argument, the interpolation procedure begins with the graph $G_{0}=T^{I}(d) G_{0}^{\prime}$. For each $0 \leq i \leq(d+1) N$, let $G_{i}=$ $T^{I}(d) G_{i}^{\prime}$. The procedure stops as soon as $i=d N$ or when the top eigenvalue of $G_{i}$ is at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon / 2$.

Claim 4.11. For each $0 \leq i \leq(d+1) N$, if $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)>2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon / 10$, then $\mid \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)-$ $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \mid \leq 6 d / L$.
Proof. Note that by construction, $G_{i+1}$ is obtained from $G_{i}$ by removing vertex $i+1$, omitting several connected components each of which is a $d$-ary tree, and adding at most $d$ such components, joining their roots to the graph. Indeed by removing the vertex $i+1$ from $G_{i}$, there are several connected components each of which is a $d$-ary tree, connected in $G_{i}$ by an edge from the root to the vertex $i+1 \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$. Let $G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be the induced subgraph of $G_{i}$ obtained by removing these trees. Therefore $G_{i}$ is the graph obtained from $G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and several $d$-ary trees so that for each such a tree, there is one edge between its root and the vertex $i+1$ in $G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Similarly, $G_{i+1}$ can be considered as starting from the graph $G_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{i+1\}$, and then for each vertex $u \in V\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which is a neighbor of vertex $i+1$ in $G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, this vertex $u$ is joined in $G_{i+1}$ to the root of a new copy of a $d$-ary tree.

The largest eigenvalue of $G_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{i+1\}$ is at most $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)$ by eigenvalue interlacing. The largest eigenvalue of each tree is at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. Applying Lemma $3.5 d$ times, we have $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)+4 d / L$.

For the other direction, by applying Lemma 3.5 at most $d$ times to $T \cup G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $G_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)-\max \left(\lambda_{1}(T), \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq 4 d / L . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}$, then since the eigenvalues of $T$ are also no more than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, (14) implies $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+4 d / L<2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon / 10$, a contradiction. Therefore we can assume $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)>2 \sqrt{d-1}$, and thus is larger than $\lambda_{1}(T)$. This fact together with (14) imply $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)-4 d / L$. Let $A, A^{\prime \prime}$ be the adjacency matrices of $G_{i+1}, G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively, and by adding zero entries to vertices in $V\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{i}+1\right)$ and $V\left(G_{i+1}\right) \backslash V\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ respectively. Let $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}$ be the top normal eigenvectors of $G_{i+1}, G_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Thus $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime T}\left(A-A^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}$, which effectively is a sum of at most $d$ terms of the
form $\pm \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}(i+1)$ for several different vertices $u$ 's. By Claim 2.2, each such a term has absolute value at most $2 /(L+1)$. Thus $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq-2 d /(L+1)$. Combining with the lower bound on $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, it follows that $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq-6 d / L$.

As $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)=d$ and $G_{d N}$ is the disjoint union of trees which has top eigenvalue at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}$, by Claim 4.11, there is an $i^{*}$ such that $\left|\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i^{*}}\right)-z\right|<\epsilon / 2$. Furthermore, by Claim 4.3, for any $\ell \geq\lceil 10 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil,\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\ell}(d) G_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(T^{I}(d) G_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon / 2$. Therefore for any $\ell \geq\lceil 10 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil,\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\ell}(d) G_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)-z\right| \leq \epsilon$, as desired.

It remains to prove Statement 2. Fix any $\ell$. Suppose $G_{i^{*}}^{\prime}$ has maximum degree at most $t+1$ but has some vertex of degree $t$. Here $0 \leq t \leq d-1$. Thus $G_{i^{*}}^{\prime}$ is an induced subgraph of the $(t+1)$-regular graph $G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{d-t} \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}\right]$ by the construction. Passing down to the connected component of $G_{i^{*}}$ with the largest top eigenvalue, call its $\tilde{G}_{i^{*}}$. This connected component is an induced subgraph of some connected component of $T_{d-t}^{\ell}(d) G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{d-t} \cup \cdots \cup\right.$ $\left.V_{d+1}\right]$. If $t \geq 2$, then by (13), $\lambda_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{d-t} \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}\right]\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{t}+\epsilon / 2$. When $t \leq 1$, then each connected component of $G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{d-t} \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}\right]$ is a cycle or an edge, thus has second largest eigenvalue smaller than $2 \sqrt{t}$. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is chosen such that $2 \sqrt{d-1+\varepsilon^{\prime}}-(d-t) / \sqrt{d-1+\varepsilon^{\prime}} \geq$ $2 \sqrt{t}+\epsilon / 2$, then by Lemma 4.8 , each connected component of $T_{d-t}^{\ell}(d) G_{0}^{\prime}\left[V_{d-t} \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}\right]$ has second largest eigenvalue at most $2 \sqrt{d-1+\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. The inequality holds for all $t \leq d-1$ if $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is chosen such that $2 \sqrt{d-1+\varepsilon^{\prime}} \geq 1 / \sqrt{d-1}+2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon / 2$. Thus by eigenvalue interlacing, $\lambda_{2}\left(T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}_{i^{*}}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}}+\epsilon / 2$, as desired.

The required divisibility of the number of vertices of $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$ could be shown through the same interpolation analysis again, by noticing that within every $d$ steps, there must be one step where the number of vertices is divisible by $d$.

## 5 Near Ramanujan graphs with localized eigenvectors

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is similar to the one described in the previous section, together with an additional quantitative analysis that establishes the eigenvector localization. The gadget to be applied to the patching lemma (Lemma 4.4) is as follows.

Lemma 5.1. Fix integers $n_{0}, d \geq 3$. Let $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}>0$ be sufficiently small in terms of $d$. There is a graph $\tilde{G}$ on at least $n_{0}$ vertices with maximum degree at most d, girth at least $0.5 \log _{d}|V(\tilde{G})|$, such that for any $\ell \geq\left\lceil 100 \sqrt{d-1} / \min \left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)\right\rceil$, the largest eigenvalue of $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$ is in the interval $\left(2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon_{1}, 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right)$. We may also assume the number of vertices of degree one in $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$ is divisible by $d$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $G_{0}^{\prime}$ be a $d$-regular bipartite graph on $n \geq 2 n_{0}$ vertices which
has girth at least $0.5 \log _{d} n$. Such graphs exist by [12] (and explicit constructions are given in [21] where one can omit some of the generators to get the required degree if it is not of the form given in [21]). Since $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is bipartite, it contains an independent set $U$ with $|U| \geq n / 2$. Fix such a $U$. Label the vertices of $G_{0}^{\prime}$ from 1 to $n$ such that the vertices in $U$ are ranked the last. Define a sequence of graphs $\left(G_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ where for each $1 \leq i \leq n, G_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G_{i-1}^{\prime}$ by removing vertex $i$. Let $\epsilon=\min \left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)$ and $I=$ $\lceil 100 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil$. Define a new graph sequence $\left(G_{i}\right)$ where for each $0 \leq i \leq n, G_{i}=T^{I}(d) G_{i}^{\prime}$. By a similar analysis to the one in the proof of Claim 4.11, when $n$ is sufficiently large, $\left|\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i+1}\right)\right| \leq 0.01 \epsilon$ as long as $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.5 \epsilon_{1}$. Note that $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)=d$. On the other hand, $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{n-|U|}\right)<2 \sqrt{d-1}$ since $G_{n-|U|}^{\prime}$ is the independent set $U$ and thus $G_{n-|U|}^{\prime}$ is a disjoint union of $d$-ary trees. Thus there is an $1 \leq i \leq n-|U|$ such that $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \in\left(2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon_{1}+0.5 \epsilon, 2 \sqrt{d-1}+\epsilon_{1}+0.6 \epsilon\right)$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be $G_{i}^{\prime}$. As in Claim 4.3, for any $\ell \geq\lceil 100 \sqrt{d-1} / \epsilon\rceil,\left|\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\ell}(d) G_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon / 20$. Thus the desired bound on $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}\right)$ holds. Note that by the construction, $\tilde{G}=G_{i}^{\prime}$ has at least $|U| \geq n / 2 \geq n_{0}$ vertices, and the girth of $\tilde{G}$ is at least $0.5 \log _{d}|V(\tilde{G})|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume $\beta>0$ is sufficiently small in terms of $d, \ell$ is large in terms of $d, \beta$, and $n_{0}$ is large in terms of $d, \ell, \beta$. By Lemma 5.1, there is a graph $\tilde{G}$ on $n \geq n_{0}$ vertices with maximum degree at most $d$, girth at least $0.5 \log _{d}|V(\tilde{G})|$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}\right)=\mu_{1} \in(2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.5 \beta, 2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.8 \beta)$.

Let $F_{1}$ be $T^{\ell}(d) \tilde{G}$. Note that $F_{1}$ has at most $d^{\ell+1} n$ vertices. Let $F_{0}$ be a $d$-regular Ramanujan graph on $m$ vertices with girth at least $2 \log m / 3$ and $m=n^{C}$ where $C \geq 4$. We can assume $d^{\ell+1} n \leq n^{\sqrt{C}}$. The existence of such a graph and the fact that it can be constructed explicitly when $d=p+1$ where $p$ is a prime is a result of Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [21], and Margulis [24]. Applying the patching Lemma 4.4 to $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$, we obtain a graph $F$ where $\lambda_{2}(F) \in(2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.4 \beta, 2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.9 \beta)$.

Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the normal eigenvector of $F$ corresponding to the second largest eigenvalue. We proceed to show that $\mathbf{v}$ is localized. Let $X$ be the union of the leaves in $F_{1}$ and the set of vertices in $V(F) \backslash V\left(F_{1}\right)$ adjacent to these leaves.

Let $A_{C}$ be the adjacency matrix for the induced subgraph of $F$ on $X$, which is a disjoint union of stars, each having $d-1$ leaves. Let $F_{b}$ be the big subgraph of $F$ induced on $V(F) \backslash V\left(F_{1}\right)$ and let $A_{b}$ be its adjacency matrix. Let $A_{s}$ be the adjacency matrix of the relatively small graph $F_{1}$. Assume all those adjacency matrices are of dimension $|V(F)| \times|V(F)|$ by filling zeros in the additional columns and rows. Then $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{F} \mathbf{v}=$ $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{C} \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{s} \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{b} \mathbf{v}$. We bound each of these three terms separately.

The first term satisfies $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{C} \mathbf{v} \leq \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in X} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} \leq 32(\sqrt{d-1}) / \ell$, where the last
inequality is by Lemma 3.4. The second term satisfies $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{s} \mathbf{v} \leq \mu_{1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}$. It remains to bound the last term $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{b} \mathbf{v}$. Let $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ be a vector indexed by $V\left(F_{0}\right)$ which is equal to $\mathbf{v}$ on $V\left(F_{b}\right)$ and 0 elsewhere on $V\left(F_{0}\right)$. Write $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=c_{1} 1+c_{2} f$ where $f$ is orthogonal to 1 and has norm one. Then $\left|c_{1}\right|=\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime t} 1 /\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|\right|$. Since $\mathbf{v}$ is orthogonal to $1,\left|\mathbf{v}^{\prime t} 1\right|=\left|\sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)\right|=\left|\sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)\right|$, and thus $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|} /\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|$ by Cauchy-Schwarz. Let $A_{0}$ be the adjacency matrix of $F_{0}$. Then $\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{b} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}^{\prime t} A_{0} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}=$ $c_{1}^{2} 1^{t} A_{0} 1+c_{2}^{2} f^{t} A_{0} f$. Thus
$\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{b} \mathbf{v} \leq\left(\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right| /\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|+2 \sqrt{d-1}\left\|\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|}+2 \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}$.
Here the inequalities are by the bounds on $\left|c_{1}\right|,\left|c_{2}\right|$ and the fact that $\lambda_{2}\left(F_{0}\right)=2 \sqrt{d-1}$.
Adding the upped bounds on the three terms,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}^{t} A_{F} \mathbf{v} \leq \frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|}+2 \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+\mathbf{v}^{t} A_{s} \mathbf{v}  \tag{15}\\
\leq & \frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|}+2 \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+\mu_{1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that by eigenvalue interlacing, $\mu_{1} \leq \mathbf{v}^{t} A_{F} \mathbf{v}$. This together with (16) implies

$$
\mu_{1} \leq \frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|}+\mu_{1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+2 \sqrt{d-1} \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}
$$

Subtracting from both sides $2 \sqrt{d-1}=2 \sqrt{d-1}\left(\sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}+\sum_{u \in V\left(F_{b}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\left(\mu_{1}-2 \sqrt{d-1}-\frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}-\frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|}\right) \leq\left(\mu_{1}-2 \sqrt{d-1}\right) \sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2}
$$

Since $\mu_{1} \geq 2 \sqrt{d-1}+0.5 \beta$ and $\frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d\left|V\left(F_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|V\left(F_{0}\right)\right|} \leq \frac{32}{\ell} \sqrt{d-1}+\frac{d}{n} \leq 0.5 \beta^{2}$ we have that

$$
\sum_{u \in V\left(F_{1}\right)} \mathbf{v}(u)^{2} /\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}^{2} \geq 1-\frac{0.5 \beta^{2}}{0.5 \beta}=1-\beta
$$

The desired result follows.

## 6 Remarks

- The quantitative estimates in Theorem 2.1 can be improved for values of $n$ and $d$ for which it is known that there are high girth Ramanujan graphs. In particular, by
the constructions of Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [21] and Margulis [24] for every $d=p+1$ with $p$ a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4 , there are infinitely many values of $n$ for which there are explicit $d$-regular Ramanujan graphs on $n$ vertices with girth $\Omega(\log n / \log d)$. Plugging such a graph as $G_{0}$ in the proof we get the assertion of Theorem 2.1 in which $\log \log n$ is replaced by $\log n$. A similar remark applies to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.2.
- Conjecture 1.3 remains open. It is true, however, that if there is a $d$-regular graph $H$ with top $k$ eigenvalues $d=\mu_{1}>\mu_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{k}>2 \sqrt{d-1}$ then there are infinitely many connected $d$-regular graphs with the same sequence of $k$ top eigenvalues. This follows from the result of Friedman and Kohler [15], see also [7], that all the new eigenvalues of random lifts of $H$ are, with high probability, at most $2 \sqrt{d-1}+o(1)$ where the $o(1)$-term tends to zero as the size of the lift tends to infinity.
- The problem of understanding the possible spectrum of finite $d$-regular graphs is challenging. Some aspects of this problem are considered here, other variants appear in [19], [29].
- Theorem 1.6 can be extended to yield near-Ramanujan regular graphs with multiple localized eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues strictly larger than $2 \sqrt{d-1}$. This can be proved in a similar way, by patching multiple graphs. The detailed proof requires a more technical computation, and we thus decided not to include it here. The proof can be found on the second author's homepage [5].
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