Implicit representation of sparse hereditary families

Noga Alon *

Abstract

For a hereditary family of graphs \mathcal{F} , let \mathcal{F}_n denote the set of all members of \mathcal{F} on n vertices. The speed of \mathcal{F} is the function $f(n) = |\mathcal{F}_n|$. An implicit representation of size $\ell(n)$ for \mathcal{F}_n is a function assigning a label of $\ell(n)$ bits to each vertex of any given graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$, so that the adjacency between any pair of vertices can be determined by their labels. Bonamy, Esperet, Groenland and Scott proved that the minimum possible size of an implicit representation of \mathcal{F}_n for any hereditary family \mathcal{F} with speed $2^{\Omega(n^2)}$ is $(1+o(1))\log_2|\mathcal{F}_n|/n \ (=\Theta(n))$. A recent result of Hatami and Hatami shows that the situation is very different for very sparse hereditary families. They showed that for every $\delta > 0$ there are hereditary families of graphs with speed $2^{O(n\log n)}$ that do not admit implicit representations of size smaller than $n^{1/2-\delta}$. In this note we show that even a mild speed bound ensures an implicit representation of size $O(n^c)$ for some c < 1. Specifically we prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $d \ge 1$ so that if \mathcal{F} is a hereditary family with speed $f(n) \le 2^{(1/4-\varepsilon)n^2}$ then \mathcal{F}_n admits an implicit representation of size $O(n^{1-1/d}\log n)$. Moreover, for every integer d > 1 there is a hereditary family for which this is tight up to the logarithmic factor.

1 Introduction

A family of graphs \mathcal{F} is hereditary if it is closed under taking induced subgraphs. Let \mathcal{F}_n denote the set of all members of \mathcal{F} with n vertices. The speed of \mathcal{F} is the function $f(n) = |\mathcal{F}_n|$. An implicit representation of size $\ell(n)$ of \mathcal{F}_n is a function assigning a label of $\ell(n)$ bits to each vertex of any given graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$, so that the adjacency between any pair of vertices can be determined by their labels. It is easy and well known (see [14]) that the existence of such a function is equivalent to the existence of a graph on $2^{\ell(n)}$ vertices which contains every member of \mathcal{F}_n as an induced subgraph (here we do not assume that the function assigning labels has to be efficiently computable). Such a graph is called a

^{*}Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA and Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: nalon@math.princeton.edu. Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1855464 and BSF grant 2018267.

universal graph for \mathcal{F}_n . To see the equivalence observe that given a function corresponding to an implicit representation of size $\ell(n)$ the graph whose vertices are all possible labels in which two are adjacent iff the corresponding labels determine adjacency in a graph of \mathcal{F}_n is a universal graph for \mathcal{F}_n . The converse follows by assigning to each vertex of a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$ the number of the vertex of the universal graph that plays its role in a copy of Gin this graph.

There is a vast literature dealing with universal graphs for various families, see, e.g., [4], [8], [13] and the many references therein. By the above remark, the minimum possible size $\ell(n)$ of labels for a family \mathcal{F}_n has to satisfy $[2^{\ell(n)}]^n \ge |\mathcal{F}_n|$, that is, $\ell(n) \ge \frac{\log_2 |\mathcal{F}_n|}{n}$, and it is known that this is essentially tight in many interesting cases. In particular, this is the case for the family of all graphs (see [19], [4]). It is also nearly tight for many additional examples, including all hereditary families satisfying $|\mathcal{F}_n| = 2^{\Omega(n^2)}$. By known results [1], [9], if $|\mathcal{F}_n| = 2^{\Omega(n^2)}$ then $|\mathcal{F}_n| = 2^{(1-1/k)n^2/2 + o(n^2)}$ for some integer k > 1. Bonamy, Esperet, Groenland and Scott [8] proved that in all these cases there is an implicit representation with labels of length (1-1/k)n/2 + o(n). On the other hand, a recent result of Hatami and Hatami [13], settling a problem raised by Kannan, Naor and Rudich [14], shows that there are very sparse hereditary families for which any implicit representation requires labels of size nearly \sqrt{n} . Specifically it is shown in [13] that for every $\delta > 0$ there is a hereditary family \mathcal{F} satisfying $|\mathcal{F}_n| = 2^{O(n \log n)}$ so that the size of any implicit representation for \mathcal{F}_n is at least $\Omega(n^{1/2-\delta})$. It is not clear if the exponent 1/2 can be improved, and it is also not known what happens for families \mathcal{F} with speed f(n) exceeding $2^{n \log n}$ which is $2^{o(n^2)}$. It is known that in this range the speed is at most $2^{n^{2-\varepsilon}}$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ (see [5]). Our contribution here is to show that in all these cases there is an implicit representation of size at most $O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$.

Theorem 1.1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $d \ge 1$ so that the following holds. Let \mathcal{F} be a hereditary family of graphs with speed $f(n) = |\mathcal{F}_n| \le 2^{(1/4-\varepsilon)n^2}$ (and hence $f(n) = 2^{o(n^2)}$). Then there is an implicit representation of size at most $O(n^{1-1/d} \log n)$ for \mathcal{F}_n . In addition, for any such integer d > 1 there is a hereditary family for which this is tight up to the log n factor.

Natural examples of hereditary families \mathcal{F} of graphs are intersection graphs of geometric objects of prescribed type. In many of these cases it is possible to obtain tight bounds for the function $f(n) = |\mathcal{F}_n|$ using a theorem of Warren [21] from real algebraic geometry. This theorem, as well as a related earlier one by Milnor [18], have been applied by Goodman and Pollack in order to estimate the number of configuration and polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d . Their results appear in the very first volume of the journal Discrete and Computational Geometry they founded in the mid. 80s [11]. See also [2], [3] and the brief discussion in Section 3 here for more about this topic.

2 Proof

For any two integers $k, d \geq 1$ let U(k, d) denote the bipartite graph with two vertex classes A, B satisfying |A| = d, and $|B| = k \cdot 2^d$, where for each subset $C \subset A$ there are exactly k vertices in B whose set of neighbors in A is exactly C. If X, Y are disjoint sets of vertices of a graph G, let G[X, Y] denote the bipartite graph induced by the sets X and Y (ignoring the edges inside X and inside Y). Call a graph U(k, d)-free if it contains no two disjoint sets of vertices X, Y so that G[X, Y] is a copy of U(k, d). Note that the graph U(d, d) contains every bipartite graph with two classes of vertices, each of size d, as an induced subgraph. Therefore, if a graph contains a copy of U(d, d) then it contains at least 2^{d^2} distinct labelled induced subgraphs on 2d vertices. It thus follows that if the speed of a hereditary family \mathcal{F} satisfies $f(n) \leq 2^{(1/4-\varepsilon)n^2}$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ then there is a finite $d = d(\varepsilon)$ so that every graph in the family is U(d, d)-free. We proceed to show that the family of all U(d, d)-free graphs admits an implicit representation of size at most $O(n^{1-1/d} \log n)$.

A set I of coordinates is shattered by a family of binary vectors if the projections of these vectors on I includes all $2^{|I|}$ possible binary vectors of length |I|.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let T be a family of at least

$$1 + (k + d - 1) \cdot 2^{d} \cdot \binom{t}{d} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{t}{i}$$

distinct binary vectors of length t. Then there is a set I of d coordinates shattered k + dtimes, namely, every binary function from I to $\{0,1\}$ is a projection of at least k + ddistinct vectors in **T** on I.

Proof: As long as **T** contains more than $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} {t \choose i}$ vectors there is a shattered set of d coordinates, by the Sauer-Perles-Shelah Lemma [20]. Removing the 2^d shattering vectors from **T** and repeating the argument (k+d) times we get, by the pigeonhole principle, the same d-set shattered k + d times.

For a binary vector v let c(v) denote the number of indices i so that $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$. Note that these indices partition the set of all indices into c(v)+1 intervals, so that v is constant

on each interval. The primal shatter function of a family of binary vectors is the function g(t) whose value is the largest number of distinct projections of the vectors on a set of t coordinates. The following lemma is proved in [22] (after its optimization in [12]), see also [10], [17]. The formulation in these references is in terms of the notion of spanning trees with low crossing number. The (equivalent) formulation we use here appears in [6].

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a family of binary vectors of length n with primal shatter function $g(t) \leq ct^d$ for some constant c > 0 and integer $d \geq 1$. Then there is a fixed permutation of the coordinates of the vectors so that for each permuted vector v, $c(v) \leq O(n^{1-1/d})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let \mathcal{F} be a hereditary family with speed $f(n) \leq 2^{(1/4-\varepsilon)n^2}$. By the assumption and the remark in the first paragraph of this section there is a finite integer $d \geq 1$ so that every member of \mathcal{F}_n is U(d, d)-free. For a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}_n$ let \mathcal{G} be the set of rows of the adjacency matrix of G. These are binary vectors of length n. We claim that the primal shatter function of these family of vectors satisfies $g(t) \leq 10t^d$ for all t > d. Indeed, otherwise by Lemma 2.1 with k = d there is a set I of d-coordinates which is shattered 2d times by these vectors. This gives a set A of d vertices of G and another set B' of $2d \cdot 2^d$ vertices so that for every subset C of A there are 2d vertices in B' whose set of neighbors in A is exactly C. Let B be a subset of B' - A containing exactly d vertices for each such subset C. This gives a copy of U(d, d) contradicting the fact that G contains no such copy. This proves the claim. Therefore by Lemma 2.2 there is a numbering of the vertices so that according to this numbering the set of all neighbors of each vertex consists of at most $O(n^{1-1/d})$ intervals. Assign to each vertex a label consisting of its number and the endpoints of the corresponding intervals. This is clearly a valid implicit representation, establishing the required upper bound.

The (near) tightness follows by using the projective norm graphs described in [7]. These are graphs on n vertices with $\Omega(n^{2-2/d})$ edges that contain no copy of the complete bipartite graph $K_{d,k}$ with k = (d-1)! + 1. Our hereditary family \mathcal{F} consists of all these graphs (for all values of n for which they exist) and all their (not necessarily induced) subgraphs. This is a hereditary family, in fact even a monotone one. It does not contain an induced copy of U(k, d) and hence, by the argument above which works for U(k, d) just as done for U(d, d), admits an implicit representation of size $O(n^{1-1/d} \log n)$. Here, in fact, there is a simpler way to get the existence of such an implicit representation. By the Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem [15] every graph in \mathcal{F}_n is $p = O(n^{1-1/d})$ -degenerate, hence there is an ordering of the vertices so that every vertex has at most p neighbors following it. One can thus assign to each vertex a label consisting of its number in this ordering and the numbers of its neighbors following it to get the required representation. On the other

hand the speed of \mathcal{F} satisfies $f(n) \geq 2^{\Omega(n^{2-1/d})}$ for every n for which our family contains one of the projective norm graphs. Therefore each implicit representation for \mathcal{F}_n requires labels of length at least $\log |\mathcal{F}_n|/n = \Omega(n^{1-1/d})$. This completes the proof.

3 Problem

By Theorem 1.1 if \mathcal{F} is a hereditary family with speed $f(n) = 2^{o(n^2)}$ then \mathcal{F}_n admits an implicit representation of size at most $O(n^{1-1/d} \log n)$ for some integer $d \ge 1$. It would be interesting to decide if tighter bounds hold when the growth rate of the speed f(n)is slower. A particularly interesting case is $f(n) \leq 2^{O(n \log n)}$, as this holds for many interesting hereditary families including all the ones in which every vertex is a point in a real space of bounded dimension, and the adjacency of two vertices is determined by the signs of a finite set of bounded degree polynomials in the coordinates of the corresponding points. Such families, which are hereditary by definition, include many intersection graphs of simple geometric objects of a prescribed shape. By a theorem of Warren from real algebraic geometry that deals with sign patterns of real polynomials [21] the speed of any such family is at most $2^{O(n \log n)}$. The argument, which is similar to the one given by Goodman and Pollack in [11], found a significant number of applications following their work. See [3] and the references therein for several early examples. However, there are quite a few families of this type for which the existence of economic implicit representations is not known. Simple examples include intersection graphs of segments or discs in the plane studied in [16].

By the main result of [13] for any $\delta > 0$ there are hereditary families with speed $f(n) \leq 2^{O(n \log n)}$ so that \mathcal{F}_n does not admit an implicit representation of size smaller than $n^{1/2-\delta}$, and the authors of [13] raise the natural question if the constant 1/2 can be improved. Is it possible that such families always admit an implicit representation of size $O(n^{1/2} \log n)$? Similarly, if the speed is smaller than $2^{n^{1+\epsilon}}$ for a sufficiently small fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, is there always an implicit representation of size at most $O(n^{2/3} \log n)$?

References

- V.E. Alekseev, On the entropy values of hereditary classes of graphs, Discrete Math. Appl. 3 (1993), 191–199.
- [2] N. Alon, The number of polytopes, configurations and real matroids, Mathematika 33 (1986), 62-71.

- [3] N. Alon, Tools from higher algebra, in : Handbook of Combinatorics, R.L. Graham,
 M. Grötschel and L. Lovász, eds, North Holland (1995), Chapter 32, pp. 1749-1783.
- [4] N. Alon, Asymptotically optimal induced universal graphs, Geometric and Functional Analysis 27 (2017), 1-32.
- [5] N. Alon, J. Balogh, B. Bollobás and R. Morris, The structure of almost all graphs in a hereditary property, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 101 (2011), 85–110.
- [6] N. Alon, S. Moran and A. Yehudayoff, Sign rank, VC dimension and spectral gaps, Proc. COLT 2016, 47–80. Also: Mat. Sbornik 208:12 (2017), 1724-1757.
- [7] N. Alon, L. Rónyai and T. Szabó, Norm-graphs: variations and applications, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B 76 (1999), 280–290.
- [8] M. Bonamy, L. Esperet, C. Groenland and A. Scott, Optimal labelling schemes for adjacency, comparability, and reachability, Proc. 53rd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pages 1109–1117, 2021.
- [9] B. Bollobás and A. Thomason, Hereditary and monotone properties of graphs, in: The Mathematics of Paul Erdős, II (R.L. Graham and J. Nešetřil, Editors), Alg. and Combin., Vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin (1997), 70–78.
- [10] B. Chazelle and E. Welzl, Quasi-optimal range searching in spaces of finite VCdimension, Discrete Comput. Geom. 4, no. 5 (1989), 467–489.
- [11] J. E. Goodman and R. Pollack, Upper bounds for configurations and polytopes in R^d Discrete Comput. Geom. 1 (1986), 219–227.
- [12] D. Haussler, Sphere packing numbers for subsets of the Boolean n-cube with bounded Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 69, no. 2 (1995), 217–232.
- [13] H. Hatami and P. Hatami, The implicit graph conjecture is false, arXiv:2111.13198, 2021.
- [14] S. Kannan, M. Naor and S. Rudich, Implicit representation of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 5 (1992), 596–603.
- [15] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós and P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, Colloquium Math. 3, (1954), 50-57.

- [16] C. McDiarmid and T. Müller, Realizations of disk and segment graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 103 (2013), no. 1, 114–143.
- [17] J. Matoušek, E. Welzl and L. Wernisch, Discrepancy and approximations for bounded VC-dimension, Combinatorica 13, no. 4 (1993), 455–466.
- [18] J. Milnor, On the Betti numbers of real varieties, Proc. AMS 15 (1964), 275–280.
- [19] J. W. Moon, On minimal n-universal graphs, Proceedings of the Glasgow Mathematical Association, 7(1) (1965), 32–33.
- [20] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A 13 (1972), 145–147.
- [21] H. E. Warren, Lower Bounds for approximation by nonlinear manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968), 167-178.
- [22] E. Welzl, Partition trees for triangle counting and other range searching problems, Proc. 4th Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry, pages 23–33, 1988.