Optimal induced universal graphs for bounded-degree graphs

Noga Alon * Rajko Nenadov †

Abstract

We show that for any constant $\Delta \geq 2$, there exists a graph G with $O(n^{\Delta/2})$ vertices which contains every n-vertex graph with maximum degree Δ as an induced subgraph. For odd Δ this significantly improves the best-known earlier bound of Esperet et al. and is optimal up to a constant factor, as it is known that any such graph must have at least $\Omega(n^{\Delta/2})$ vertices.

Our proof builds on the approach of Alon and Capalbo (SODA 2008) together with several additional ingredients. The construction of G is explicit and is based on an appropriately defined composition of high-girth expander graphs. The proof also provides an efficient deterministic procedure for finding, for any given input graph H on n vertices with maximum degree at most Δ , an induced subgraph of G isomorphic to H.

1 Introduction

Given a finite family of graphs \mathcal{H} , a graph G is induced universal for \mathcal{H} if for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ it contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to H. This notion was introduced by Rado [19] in the 1960s. Observe that the number of induced subgraphs of G of a certain size depends only on the number of vertices of G, thus the problem of determining the smallest possible number of vertices $g_v(\mathcal{H})$ of an \mathcal{H} -induced-universal graph arises naturally. Indeed, this problem has received a considerable amount of attention in the past decades for various families of graphs, including the family of all graphs with n vertices [1, 7, 18], forests and graphs with bounded arboricity [6, 8], bounded-degree graphs [9, 12], planar graphs [10, 13], and more. (See [7] for a detailed summary of the known results). We briefly mention some of the highlights.

Possibly the most basic family is the family $\mathcal{H}(n)$ of all graphs on n vertices. The problem of estimating $g_v(\mathcal{H}(n))$, first studied by Moon [18] and mentioned by Vizing [20] in 1968, has been investigated over the years in several papers. In a recent work of Alstrup, Kaplan, Thorup and Zwick [7] the authors determined this function up to a constant factor, showing it is at most $16 \cdot 2^{n/2}$. (As observed by Moon [18], a simple counting argument implies that $2^{(n-1)/2}$ is a lower bound). Very recently, the first author [1] further improved the upper bound on $g_v(\mathcal{H}(n))$ to $(1 + o(1))2^{(n-1)/2}$, thus matching the lower bound up to a lower order additive term. Another family of graphs which has been studied extensively is the family of all trees with n vertices. This was first considered in the work of Kannan, Naor and Rudich [14], and subsequent improvements were obtained by Chung [10] and by Alstrup and Rauhe [8]. Finally, a tight bound of $\Theta(n)$ was recently proven by Alstrup, Dahlgaard and Knudsen [6].

^{*}Sackler School of Mathematics and Blavatnik School of Computer Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: nogaa@tau.ac.il. Research supported in part by a USA-Israeli BSF grant 2012/107, by an ISF grant 620/13 and by the Israeli I-Core program.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. Email: rajko.nenadov@monash.edu.

In the present paper we consider the family of bounded-degree graphs on n vertices. Given $\Delta \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}(n, \Delta)$ denote the family of all graphs on n vertices with maximum degree at most Δ . One should think of Δ being a constant and n being an arbitrary (large) number. This family has been studied in several papers that discuss the smallest possible number of vertices of an induced universal graph and the smallest possible number of edges in a universal graph. (A graph is universal for a family \mathcal{H} if it contains every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ as a subgraph (not necessarily induced)). The latter was studied in a series of papers [2, 4] culminating in the work of the first author and Capalbo [3] where it is shown that this minimum is $\Theta(n^{2-2/\Delta})$.

Induced universal graphs for bounded-degree graphs were first studied by Butler [9]. Using a simple counting argument he observed that

$$g_v(\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta)) \ge cn^{\Delta/2},$$
 (1)

for some constant $c = c(\Delta) > 0$ depending only on Δ . His main result is that this is indeed the right order of magnitude in the case where Δ is even. For odd Δ his result only gives the upper bound $g_v(\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta)) = O(n^{\Delta/2+1/2})$, which simply follows from the bound obtained for the family $\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta+1)$. Using the reduction of Chung [10] which connects universal and induced universal graphs together with the sparse universal graphs from [3], Esperet, Labourel and Ochem [12] improved the bound for odd Δ to $O(n^{\Delta/2+1/2-1/\Delta})$ and mentioned the natural problem of closing the gap between the upper and lower bounds. Here we settle this problem by giving a construction which matches the lower bound (1) up to a constant factor.

Theorem 1.1. For every integer $\Delta \geq 2$ there exists a constant $c_{\Delta} > 0$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta)$ -induced-universal graph Γ with at most $c_{\Delta}n^{\Delta/2}$ vertices.

The construction of Γ is explicit and the proof supplies a polynomial time deterministic procedure for finding, for any given $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \Delta)$, an induced subgraph of Γ isomorphic to H.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In the next section we discuss the main challenges for the case of odd Δ and present a rough overview of the construction and proof. In Section 3 we introduce the main building block in our construction, high-girth expander graphs, and state some of their properties. In Section 3.2 we state the decomposition result from [2], an analogue of Petersen's theorem for (2k+1)-regular graphs. The construction of Γ is given in Section 4 and in Section 5 we prove that Γ is indeed induced universal. Finally, Section 5.1 briefly summarises the algorithmic aspects of the proof. Throughout the paper we make no attempts to optimise the constants.

Notation. Given a graph G and an integer $k \geq 1$, the k-th power G^k of G is the graph on the same vertex set as G where two distinct vertices are adjacent if they are at distance at most k in G. We say that a sequence of vertices (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k) , $v_i \in G$, forms a walk if $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in G$ for every i < k. If additionally no two vertices in the sequence are the same, it is a path. For two graphs G and H, we say that a mapping $f: H \to G$ of the vertices of H into the vertices of G is a homomorphism if $\{v, w\} \in H$ implies $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in G$. If f is injective we say that it is an embedding, and if furthermore $\{v, w\} \in H$ iff $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in G$ then we say it is an induced embedding.

Finally, let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denote the powerset of a finite set S (i.e. the family of all subsets of S), and put $[n] := \{0, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2 Overview of the proof

In order to demonstrate the main ideas and challenges in our work it is instructive to first review the approach of Butler [9] which determines $g_v(\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta))$ up to the constant factor for even Δ . At the heart of his proof lies the classical decomposition result of Petersen (see [15]), which states that every (2k)-regular graph can be decomposed into k edge-disjoint 2-regular graphs. Since each 2-regular graph is a collection of cycles, it is not too difficult to construct a graph F with O(n) vertices which is induced universal for such graphs with n vertices. Now apply the idea of Chung [10] (implicit already in [14]): a graph Γ is defined on the vertex set $(V(F))^{\Delta/2}$ and two vertices $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{\Delta/2})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{\Delta/2})$ are adjacent iff they are adjacent in at least one coordinate (in graph F). Such a graph Γ has $O(n^{\Delta/2})$ vertices. An induced embedding of $H \in \mathcal{H}(n, \Delta)$ is obtained by decomposing H into $\Delta/2$ 2-regular subgraphs and by embedding each subgraph into the copy of F corresponding to a separate coordinate.

However, this strategy does not work if Δ is odd. Indeed, in this case one cannot even have $\Delta/2$ coordinates. A simple solution, inspired by the work of Alon and Capalbo [3], is to double the number of coordinates: instead of having each coordinate of Γ correspond to a graph of size O(n) and be responsible for the existence of an edge, we let each coordinate correspond to a graph F' of size $O(\sqrt{n})$ and be responsible for half an edge. In other words, graph Γ has Δ coordinates and in order to have an edge between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} we require that they are adjacent in at least two of them. This gives a graph Γ with the desired number of vertices $O(n^{\Delta/2})$, overcoming the divisibility issue. An embedding of H into Γ is defined as before (with respect to the new decomposition, which we present shortly).

Several problems in this approach are evident. First, the decomposition result of Petersen no longer holds. Instead, we use the decomposition result of [2] which states that every Δ -regular graph can be decomposed into Δ subgraphs (rather than $\Delta/2$, as in Petersen's theorem) such that each is a collection of 'almost' cycles and each edge of H belongs to exactly two of them. Note that this is exactly what we need since the endpoints of each edge in H have to be adjacent in at least two coordinates.

Second, we cannot guarantee that the embedding of each such subgraph into F' is induced simply because the number of vertices of F' is too small. In fact, we have to take a homomorphism of each subgraph into F', rather than an injective embedding, which potentially may create halves of some undesired edges. Our aim is to show that by choosing homomorphisms of other subgraphs into F' carefully we can simultaneously avoid creating the other half of any such undesired edge. In order to do that, graph F' has to allow enough flexibility and a simple construction such as the one from [9] does not suffice. It turns out that taking F' to be a high-girth expander is helpful here. However, even with such a graph F' we are only able to show that most of the undesired edges will have at most half of an edge present in Γ , but a few will slip past. Finally, we take care of those by introducing additional 'layers' of coordinates in Γ which correspond to constant size structures (with each layer dealing with a different type of the remaining undesired edges). These do not have a significant impact on the number of vertices as they have a constant size. The precise details require some careful analysis, as described in the subsequent sections.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 High-girth expander graphs

In this section we describe the main building block in our construction, the so-called Ramanujan graphs, and state the main lemma (Lemma 3.3) used to find an induced embedding of a graph H.

A d-regular graph F is a Ramanujan graph if all non-trivial eigenvalues have absolute value at most $2\sqrt{d-1}$. It is well-known that non-bipartite Ramanujan graphs are good expanders (e.g. see Lemma 9.2.4 in [5]) and there are known explicit constructions of such graphs with high girth (see [16, 17]). It should be noted that any high-girth expander with constant maximum degree would serve our purpose, thus the latter is the reason why we opt for Ramanujan graphs. See [11] for a self-contained account on Ramanujan graphs and the necessary background. The following statement is a simplified version of the theorem of Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [16].

Theorem 3.1. Let p and $q > 2\sqrt{p}$ be primes congruent to 1 modulo 4, such that p is a quadratic residue modulo q. Then there is an explicit construction of a non-bipartite (p+1)-regular Ramanujan graph with $m = q(q^2 - 1)/2$ vertices and girth at least $\frac{1}{2}\log_p m$.

Next, we discuss expansion properties of graphs given by Theorem 3.1.

3.1.1 Expansion properties of Ramanujan graphs

Given a graph F with ℓ vertices and a collection of subsets S_0, \ldots, S_{q-1} , for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that a vertex $v \in V(F)$ is q-expanding with respect to the sets S_i if the following holds: for any path P in F of size at most q (i.e. P has at most q vertices), there are at least $\ell/2$ vertices $w_{q-1} \in V(F)$ such that there exists a path $(v, w_0, ..., w_{q-1})$ in F with $w_i \notin S_i \cup P$ for every $0 \le i \le q-1$. The following lemma shows that if S_i is not too large, there are many q-expanding vertices.

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 4.5, [3]). Suppose d > 720 and let F be a non-bipartite d-regular Ramanujan graph with ℓ vertices and girth at least $\frac{1}{2} \log_{d-1} \ell$. Then, for $q = \lceil \log \ell / \log 10 \rceil$ and every collection of subsets $S_0, \ldots, S_{q-1} \subseteq V(F)$ of size $|S_i| \le \ell/20$ for $0 \le i \le q-1$, there are at least $2\ell/3$ q-expanding vertices.

By repeated application of Lemma 3.2, we show that such graph F contains arbitrarily long walk which is well distributed, certain pairs of vertices are not close, and 'locally' forms a path. The following statement makes this precise.

Lemma 3.3. Let F be as stated in Lemma 3.2, and set $q = \lceil \log \ell / \log 10 \rceil$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $\sigma \colon [n-1] \to \mathcal{P}([n-1])$ (recall that $[n-1] = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$) such that

- $\sigma(t) \subseteq \{0, \dots, (\lfloor t/q \rfloor 1) \cdot q 1\}$, and
- $|\sigma(t)| \le \ell/(160d^4)$,

for all $0 \le t \le n-1$, there exists a mapping $f: [n-1] \to V(F)$ with the following properties:

- $(F1)\ |f^{-1}(v)| \leq 40 \lceil n/\ell \rceil \ \textit{for every} \ v \in V(F),$
- (F2) $f(t) \neq f(t')$ and the distance between f(t) and f(t') is at least 5, for every $t \in [n-1]$ and $t' \in \sigma(t)$, and

(F3) the sequence

$$(f(kq), f(kq+1), \dots, f(kq+\hat{q}-1))$$

forms a path in F for every $0 \le k < n/q$ and $\hat{q} = \min\{2q, n - kq\}$.

We remark that there is a trade-off between the size of $\sigma(t)$ and the distance in the property (F2). In particular, by requiring that $\sigma(t)$ is smaller we could achieve larger distance. From the property (F3) we conclude that the sequence

$$(f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n-1))$$

forms a walk in F.

Proof. For simplicity, let us assume n is divisible by q. We inductively define

$$f_k \colon \{0, \dots, kq - 1\} \to V(F)$$

for $1 \le k \le n/q$, such that each f_k satisfies (F1)–(F3), i.e.

- (i) $|f^{-1}(v)| \le 40\lceil n/\ell \rceil$ for every $v \in V(F)$,
- (ii) $f(t) \neq f(t')$ and the distance between f(t) and f(t') is at least 5 for every $t \in [kq 1]$ and $t' \in \sigma(t)$, and
- (iii) the sequence

$$(f(k'q), f(k'q+1), \dots, f(k'q+\hat{q}-1))$$

forms a path in F for every $0 \le k' \le k - 1$ and $\hat{q} = \min\{2q, kq - k'q\}$.

Note that then $f := f_{n/q}$ satisfies the properties of the lemma. Moreover, for each $1 \le k < n/q$ we shall further assume that $f_k(kq-1)$ is q-expanding with respect to the sets $S_k(i) = D_k(i) \cup A_k$ $(0 \le i \le q-1)$, where

$$D_k(i) = \{v \in V(F) : \exists t' \in \sigma(kq+i) \text{ such that } \{f_k(t'), v\} \in F^4 \text{ or } f_k(t') = v\},$$

 $A_k = \{v \in V(F) : |f_{k-1}^{-1}(v)| = 40\lceil n/\ell \rceil\}.$

Let f_0 to be an empty function, and $A_{n/q} = D_{n/q}(i) = \emptyset$ for $0 \le i \le q-1$.

We start by constructing f_1 . Let $X_1 \subseteq V(F)$ be the set of q-expanding vertices with respect to empty sets (i.e. $S_i = \emptyset$ for $0 \le i \le q-1$). From Lemma 3.2 we get $|X_1| \ge 2\ell/3$. Pick an arbitrary vertex $v \in X_1$. By the definition, there are at least $\ell/2$ vertices $w_{q-1} \in V(F)$ for which there exists a path $(v, w_0, \ldots, w_{q-1})$ in F and from $|X_1 \setminus \{v\}| \ge \ell/2$ we deduce that at least one such vertex w_{q-1} belongs to X_1 . Let $(v, w_0, \ldots, w_{q-1})$ be the corresponding path and set $f_1(i) := w_i$ for $0 \le i \le q-1$. We now verify that f_1 has the desired properties. First, since $(f_1(0), \ldots, f_1(q-1))$ is a path we have $|f_1^{-1}(v)| \le 1$ for every $v \in V(F)$ and, moreover, (iii) is satisfied. Next, observe that $\sigma(i) = \emptyset$ for $i \in [2q-1]$ and therefore the property (ii) is trivially satisfied. Finally, this also implies that the sets $S_1(i)$ are empty and from $f_1(q-1) \in X_1$ we conclude that $f_1(q-1)$ is q-expanding with respect to the sets $S_1(i)$.

Let us assume we have defined such functions f_1, \ldots, f_k , for some $1 \leq k < n/q$. We aim to construct f_{k+1} . Recall that $f_k : \{0, \ldots, kq-1\} \to V(F)$, and let us set $f_{k+1}(i) = f_k(i)$ for

 $0 \le i \le kq - 1$. If k + 1 = n/q then A_{k+1} and $D_{k+1}(i)$ are empty sets. Otherwise, for every $0 \le i \le q - 1$ and $t' \in \sigma((k+1)q + i)$ we have $t' \le kq - 1$. Importantly, this implies that $D_{k+1}(i)$ is well defined at this point, that is, it does not depend on how we define $f_{k+1}(kq), \ldots, f_{k+1}(kq+q-1)$. Since F is d-regular, there are at most $4d^4$ vertices at distance less than 5 from any vertex $v \in V(F)$, including the vertex v itself. In particular, we have

$$|D_{k+1}(i)| \le 4d^4 |\sigma((k+1)q+i)| \le \ell/40.$$

Observe also that

$$|A_{k+1}| \le \frac{n}{40\lceil n/\ell \rceil} \le \ell/40.$$

Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.2 with $S_i = S_{k+1}(i)$ and let $X_{k+1} \subseteq V(F)$ be the set of q-expanding vertices with respect to these sets. From the property (iii) of f_k we have that

$$P_{k-1} = (f_k((k-1)q), \dots, f_k(kq-1))$$

forms a path in F. Since $|X_{k+1}| \ge 2\ell/3$ and $f_k(kq-1)$ is q-expanding with respect to the sets $S_k(i)$, there exists a vertex $w_{q-1} \in X_{k+1}$ and a path

$$P_k = (f_k(kq-1), w_0, \dots, w_{q-1})$$

such that

$$w_i \notin S_k(i) \cup P_{k-1} = D_k(i) \cup A_k \cup P_{k-1} \tag{2}$$

for every $0 \le i \le q-1$. We claim that $f_{k+1}(kq+i) := w_i$ satisfies the required properties.

To verify the property (i), it suffices to only consider a vertex

$$v \in \{f_{k+1}(kq), \dots, f_{k+1}(kq+q-1)\},\$$

since for all other vertices this property is inherited from f_k . From (2) we have $v \notin P_{k-1}$ and therefore

$$f_k^{-1}(v) = f_{k-1}^{-1}(v).$$

Furthermore, from $v \notin A_k$ and the assumption that f_{k-1} satisfies the property (i) we infer

$$|f_{k-1}^{-1}(v)| < 40\lceil n/\ell \rceil.$$

Finally, since P_k is a path there exists exactly one $0 \le i \le q-1$ such that $f_{k+1}(kq+i) = v$. Together with the previous observations, this shows $|f_{k+1}^{-1}| \le 40 \lceil n/\ell \rceil$.

Similarly, to verify the property (ii) it is enough to only consider f(t) and f(t') for t = kq + i and $t' \in \sigma(t)$, for some $0 \le i \le q - 1$. However, this follows immediately from the definition of $D_k(i)$ and (2). Next, the property (iii) for k' = k - 1 follows from (2) and the fact that P_{k-1} and P_k are paths with a common endpoint. For smaller values of k' the property (iii) is, again, inherited from f_k . Finally, $f_{k+1}((k+1)q-1) = w_{q-1} \in X_{k+1}$ is q-expanding with respect to the sets $S_{k+1}(i)$ by the construction. This finishes the proof of the lemma.

3.2 Graph-decomposition result

We use the following graph-decomposition result proven in [2]. An augmentation of a graph T is any graph obtained from T by choosing an arbitrary subset of vertices $U \subseteq V(T)$ and adding a matching between U and a new set U' of |U'| = |U| vertices. We call a graph thin if it has maximum degree at most 3 and every connected component is either an augmentation of a cycle or a path, or it has at most two vertices of degree 3.

Theorem 3.4 ([2]). Let $\Delta \geq 2$ be an integer and let H be a graph with maximum degree at most Δ . Then there exist spanning subgraphs $H_1, \ldots, H_{\Delta} \subseteq H$ such that each H_i is thin and every edge of H lies in precisely two graphs H_i .

In the case where Δ is odd, Theorem 3.4 can be seen as a generalization of Petersen's theorem. It was observed in [2] that every thin graph with at most n vertices is a subgraph of P_n^4 , the 4-th power of a path with n vertices.

4 The construction

For the rest of the paper, let

$$d = 734$$
, $z = 160d^5$ and $m = m(n) = 5 \cdot 160\Delta d^8 \sqrt{n}$.

Note that d is chosen such that we can apply Theorem 3.1 with p = d - 1 and Lemma 3.3. Our construction relies on the existence of high-girth d-regular Ramanujan graphs R_z and R_m , with $|V(R_z)| \geq z$ being a constant and $m \leq |V(R_m)| \leq 32m$. In particular, R_z is obtained by applying Theorem 3.1 with the smallest $q > 2z^{1/3}$ such that p = d - 1 and q satisfy the required conditions. Note that the size of R_z does not depend on Δ , and as such is used for every family $\mathcal{H}(n,\Delta)$. On the other hand, it follows from the distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions that there exists a prime $q \in (2m^{1/3}, 4m^{1/3})$ such that q is congruent to 1 modulo 4(d-1), provided m = m(n) is sufficiently large. It is easy to see that p = d - 1 is a quadratic residue modulo such q and both numbers are congruent to 1 modulo 4. Therefore by Theorem 3.1 there exists an explicit construction of a desired graph R_m with at most 32m vertices.

Note that the degree of every vertex in R_m^4 , the 4-th power of R_m , is at most $4d^4$. Let $\rho_v \colon N_{R_m^4}(v) \to [4d^4]$ be an arbitrary ordering of neighbours of v in R_m^4 , for every $v \in V(R_m^4)$. We define $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Delta, n)$ to be the graph on the vertex set

$$V(\Gamma) = V(R_m) \times (V(R_m) \times \mathcal{P}([4d^4]) \times V(R_z))^{\Delta - 1}$$

and vertices $(x_1, x_2, X_2, u_2, \dots, x_{\Delta}, X_{\Delta}, u_{\Delta})$ and $(y_1, y_2, Y_2, w_2, \dots, y_{\Delta}, Y_{\Delta}, w_{\Delta})$ are adjacent iff there exist two indices $1 \le j < i \le \Delta$ such that

- (E1) $\{x_j, y_j\}, \{x_i, y_i\} \in R_m^4$
- (E2) $\rho_{x_i}(y_i) \in X_i$ and $\rho_{y_i}(x_i) \in Y_i$, and
- (E3) $\{u_i, w_i\} \in R_z^4$.

We leave the discussion on the choice of parameter m and the structure of Γ until the next section. Note that Γ has $c_{\Delta}n^{\Delta/2}$ vertices where $c_{\Delta} > 0$ depends only on Δ , as required.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Consider a graph $H \in \mathcal{H}(n,\Delta)$, for some $\Delta \geq 2$ and n sufficiently large. Using the property of high-girth Ramanujan graphs (Lemma 3.3), we show that there exists an induced embedding of H in $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Delta, n)$. Moreover, we give a deterministic strategy how to find such an embedding.

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_{\Delta} \subseteq H$ be subgraphs given by Theorem 3.4. As mentioned in Section 3.2, for each $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$ there exists an embedding $\phi_i \colon H_i \to P_n^4$ of H_i into the 4-th power of a path with n vertices. For the rest of the proof we identify $V(P_n^4)$ with $[n-1] = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, in the natural order. Our plan is to construct homomorphisms $f_i \colon H_i \to R_m^4$ (for $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$) and $r_i \colon H_i \to R_z^4$ (for $2 \leq i \leq \Delta$) such that the following holds for $2 \leq i \leq \Delta$:

- (H1) if $f_1(h) = f_1(h')$ then $f_i(h) \neq f_i(h')$, for any distinct $h, h' \in V(H)$,
- (H2) if $|\phi_i(h) \phi_i(h')| \le 8$ then $f_i(h) \ne f_i(h')$, for any distinct $h, h' \in V(H)$,
- (H3) for each $h \in V(H)$, the set

$$B_i(h) = \{h' \in V(H) : \{h, h'\} \notin H, |\phi_i(h) - \phi_i(h')| > 4 \text{ and}$$

$$\exists j < i \text{ such that } \{f_i(h), f_i(h')\}, \{f_i(h), f_i(h')\} \in R_m^4\}$$

is of size at most d and $|\phi_i(h) - \phi_i(h')| > 2z$ for every $h' \in B_i(h)$,

(H4)
$$\{r_i(h), r_i(h')\} \notin R_z^4$$
 for every $h' \in B_i(h)$.

Having such homomorphisms, we define $\gamma \colon H \to \Gamma$ as

$$\gamma(h) = (f_1(h), f_2(h), \Phi_2(h), r_2(h), \dots, f_{\Delta}(h), \Phi_{\Delta}(h), r_{\Delta}(h)),$$

where $\Phi_i(h) = \rho_{f_i(h)}(f_i(N_{H_i}(h)))$ is the set of images of neighbours of h in H_i (or, more precisely, the labels associated with these vertices from the point of view of $f_i(h)$).

Before we prove that γ is an induced embedding of H and that such homomorphisms f_i and r_i exist, we briefly spell out properties (H1)-(H4) and discuss roles of different components of Γ . We say that an edge of Γ is undesired if it violates the property that γ is induced. For brevity, by the distance between two vertices of H in P_n we mean the distance of their images in P_n under some $\phi_i(\cdot)$. First, components of Γ associated with R_m^4 form the 'backbone' of our embedding: the property (H1) ensure that γ is injective and the property (H3) further restricts potential undesired edges to be spanned by images of those vertices from H which are either very close or very far apart in P_n . This already gives some control over the undesired edges, compared to the construction of Alon and Capalbo [3], and is achieved by increasing the size of R_m significantly (in particular, from $n^{1/\Delta}$ in [3] to \sqrt{n} here). We then take care of the undesired edges between vertices which are close in P_n using components associated with $\mathcal{P}([4d^4])$: from the property (H2) we have that every f_i is 'locally' injective (i.e. no two vertices which are close in P_n are mapped to the same vertex), which together with the choice of $\Phi_i(\cdot)$ and the condition (E2) excludes the possibility that there exists an undesired edge between images of such vertices. Finally, the undesired edges between images of vertices which are far apart in P_n are taken care of by mapping them to non-adjacent vertices in R_z^4 (property (H4)). Importantly, the property (H3) also guarantees that for every vertex $h \in V(H)$ there are only constantly many vertices $h' \in V(H)$ such that $\{h, h'\}$ is potentially an undesired edge, which allows us to also take z to be a constant. We make this precise in the rest of the proof.

Claim 5.1. γ is an induced embedding.

Proof. Since each edge $\{h, h'\} \in H$ belongs to exactly two graphs H_j , H_i (j < i) and f_j , f_i and r_i are homomorphisms, conditions (E1) and (E3) from the definition of Γ are satisfied for this particular choice of j, i. From $\rho_{f_i(h)}(f_i(h')) \in \Phi_i(h)$ (and similarly the other way around) we have that (E2) holds as well. This implies that γ is a homomorphism of H into Γ. From the property (H1) we infer that γ is injective, thus it is an embedding.

Let us assume, towards a contradiction, that there exists $h, h' \in V(H)$ such that $\{h, h'\} \notin H$ and $\{\gamma(h), \gamma(h')\} \in \Gamma$. Let $j, i \in [\Delta]$ be witnesses for $\{\gamma(h), \gamma(h')\} \in \Gamma$, for some j < i. Suppose $|\phi_i(h) - \phi_i(h')| \le 4$. Since ϕ_i is an embedding of H_i into P_n^4 , for every $u \in N_{H_i}(h)$ we have $|\phi_i(u) - \phi_i(h')| \le 8$. From the property (H2) we then obtain $f_i(h') \ne f_i(u)$ and, more generally, $f_i(h') \notin f_i(N_{H_i}(h))$. Therefore, we have $\rho_{f_i(h)}(f_i(h')) \notin \rho_{f_i(h)}(f_i(N_{H_i}(h))) = \Phi_i(h)$, which contradicts the condition (E2). Suppose now that $|\phi_i(h) - \phi_i(h')| > 4$. However, as $\{f_j(h), f_j(h')\}, \{f_i(h), f_i(h')\} \in R_m^4$ we deduce $h' \in B_i(h)$ and so $\{r_i(h), r_i(h')\} \notin R_z^4$, by the property (H4). In any case, we get a contradiction with the assumption that j, i is a witness for the edge $\{\gamma(h), \gamma(h')\}$. This finishes the proof that γ is an induced embedding.

It remains to show that we can find such homomorphisms. Consider the ordering h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1} of $V(H_i)$ such that $\phi_i(h_t) = t$ for every $t \in [n-1]$. Our construction of f_i and r_i relies on the following observation: suppose we are given a graph G and a function $f: V(H_i) \to V(G)$ such that $W = (f(h_0), \ldots, f(h_{n-1}))$ is a walk in G and $f(h_t) \neq f(h_{t'})$ for every distinct $t, t' \in [n-1]$ with $|t-t'| \leq 4$. Then, since H_i is a subgraph of P_n^4 , f is also a homomorphism of H_i into G^4 . In other words, if the sequence W forms a walk in G which is 'locally' a path, then f is a homomorphism of H_i into G^4 .

Let $\ell_m = |V(R_m)|$ and $q_m = \lceil \log \ell_m / \log 10 \rceil$. We say that f_i is well-distributed if

$$|f_i^{-1}(v)| \le \sqrt{n}$$
, for every $v \in V(R_m)$.

With the previous observation in mind, the existence of a well-distributed homomorphism f_1 follows from Lemma 3.3 applied with $\sigma(t) = \emptyset$ for every $t \in [n-1]$. In particular, let $f: [n-1] \to V(R_m)$ be the mapping obtained by Lemma 3.3 and set $f_1(h_t) := f(t)$. From the property (F1) we get

$$|f_1^{-1}(v)| \le 40 \lceil n/\ell_m \rceil \le 50n/m \le \sqrt{n},$$

for every $v \in V(R_m)$. From the property (F3) we infer that $(f_1(h_0), \ldots, f_1(h_{n-1}))$ forms a desired walk.

Note that we could achieve the same by replacing R_m corresponding to the first coordinate by the 4-th power of a cycle on \sqrt{n} vertices, in which case f_1 is simply defined by going around such a cycle. As this would slightly complicate the condition (E1) and some of the arguments, and only has negligible effect on the number of vertices, we use R_m as stated.

Next, we inductively construct f_i and r_i for $2 \le i \le \Delta$.

Claim 5.2. Suppose $2 \leq i \leq \Delta$ and f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1} are well-distributed. Then there exists a well-distributed homomorphism $f_i \colon H_i \to R_m^4$ which satisfies properties (H1)-(H3).

Proof. Consider the ordering h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1} of V(H) such that $\phi_i(h_t) = t$ for every $t \in [n-1]$. Similarly as in the case of f_1 , we aim to deduce the existence of f_i by applying Lemma 3.3 with suitably defined

 $\sigma(\cdot)$. In particular, for each $t \in [n-1]$ we set $\sigma(t) = D_1(t) \cup D_3(t)$, where $D_1(t)$ and $D_3(t)$ are chosen such that having $f_i(h_t) \notin D_1(t) \cup D_3(t)$ maintains the properties (H1) and (H3), respectively.

Let

$$t_0(t) := (\lfloor t/q_m \rfloor - 1)q_m - 1,$$

and for each $t \in [n-1]$ let $D_1(t) \subseteq [n-1]$ be defined as follows,

$$D_1(t) = \{0 \le t' \le t_0(t) : f_1(h_t) = f_1(h_{t'})\}.$$

Note that $D_1(t)$ is a subset of indices t' for which we require $f_i(h_t) \neq f_i(h_{t'})$, as otherwise the property (H1) becomes violated. From the assumption $|f_1^{-1}(v)| \leq \sqrt{n}$ for every $v \in V(R_m)$, we obtain $|D_1(t)| \leq \sqrt{n}$. Next, we define a subset $D_3(t) \subseteq [n]$,

$$D_3(t) = \{ 0 \le t' \le t_0(t) : \{ h_t, h_{t'} \} \notin H \text{ and }$$
$$\exists j < i \text{ such that } \{ f_j(h_t), f_j(h_{t'}) \} \in R_m^4 \}.$$

The role of $D_3(t)$ is to restrict $B_i(h_t)$ to vertices $h_{t'}$ with $|t - t'| \leq 2q_m$. As we will see shortly, this suffices for the property (H3) to hold. From the assumption $|f_j^{-1}(v)| \leq \sqrt{n}$ for $1 \leq j < i$ we obtain $|D_3(t)| \leq \Delta 4d^4\sqrt{n}$ as follows: there are at most Δ choices for j < i, at most $4d^4$ choices for a neighbour v' of $f_j(h_t)$ in R_m^4 , and then at most \sqrt{n} choices for $h_{t'} \in f_j^{-1}(v')$. Finally, by the choice of $m \leq \ell_m$ we get

$$|\sigma(t)| = |D_1(t) \cup D_3(t)| \le 5\Delta d^4 \sqrt{n} \le \ell_m / (160d^4).$$

Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.3 with σ (note that the first condition is satisfied by the definition of D_1 and D_3 and the choice of $t_0(t)$). Let f be the obtained function and set $f_i(h_t) := f(t)$ for $t \in [n-1]$. We claim that f_i satisfies the required properties.

First, it follows from the property (F3) that $f_i(h_0), \ldots, f_i(h_{n-1})$ is a walk in R_m with $f_i(h_t) \neq f_i(h_{t'})$ for every distinct $t, t' \in [n-1]$ with $|t-t'| \leq 8$ (thus the property (H2) holds). Therefore, f_i is a homomorphism of H_i into R_m^4 . Moreover, from the property (F1) we obtain that f_i is well balanced.

Next, consider some t' < t such that $f_1(h_t) = f_1(h_{t'})$. If $t' \le t_0(t)$ then $t' \in \sigma(t)$ and from (F2) we get $f_i(h_t) = f(t) \ne f(t') = f_i(h_{t'})$. Otherwise, from the property (F3) we have that

$$(f(t_0+1),...,f(t'),...,f(t))$$

is a path and thus $f_i(h_t) \neq f_i(h_{t'})$. This proves the property (H1).

It remains to show that the property (H3) holds. Consider some $t \in [n-1]$. Observe that for any $h_{t'} \in B_i(h_t)$ there exist two distinct walks from $h_{t'}$ to h_t in R_m , one of length at most 4 and the other of length at most |t-t'| (and at least 5). In particular, this implies that R_m contains a cycle of length at most |t-t'|+4 and from the assumption on the girth of R_m we conclude $|t-t'|>\frac{1}{3}\log_{d-1}\ell_m>2z$, for sufficiently large m=m(n). This proves the second part of the property (H3).

To prove the first part of the property (H3), we first observe that the property (F2) implies $\{f_i(h_t), f_i(h_{t'})\} \notin R_m^4$ for every $t' \in D_3(t)$. In particular, if $h_{t'} \in B_i(h)$ and t' < t, then $t' \ge t_0(t) + 1$. On the other hand, if $h_{t'} \in B_i(h_t)$ then also $h_t \in B_i(h_{t'})$, and if t' > t then by the same argument we obtain $t \ge t_0(t')$. This further implies $t' < \lfloor t/q_m \rfloor + 2q_m$. To summarise, for every $h_{t'} \in B_i(h_t)$ we have

$$t_0(t) < t' < t_0(t) + 3q_m. (3)$$

Since |t'-t| > 4 for $h_{t'} \in B_i(h_t)$, we infer that for any two vertices $h_{t'}, h_{t''} \in B_i(h_t)$ there exist two distinct walks between $h_{t'}$ and $h_{t''}$ in R_m , one of length at most 8 and the other of length at most |t'-t''|. As in the previous case, it is easy to see that this implies R_m contains a cycle of length at most |t'-t''|+8. Therefore, by the assumption on the girth of R_m we conclude $|t'-t''|>\frac{1}{3}\log_{d-1}\ell_m$. Together with (3), this gives the following upper bound on the size of $B_i(h_t)$,

$$|B_i(h_t)| < \frac{3q_m}{\log_{d-1}\ell_m/3} < d,$$

as required. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Finally, assuming we have already defined f_1, \ldots, f_i such that (H1)–(H3) holds, we construct a homomorphism r_i .

Claim 5.3. Suppose $2 \le i \le \Delta$ and $f_1, ..., f_i$ satisfy properties (H1)-(H3). Then there exists a homomorphism $r_i: H_i \to R_z^4$ which satisfies the property (H4).

Proof. Let $\ell_z = |V(R_z)|$ and $q_z = \lceil \log \ell_z / \log 10 \rceil$, and consider the ordering h_0, \ldots, h_{n-1} of V(H) such that $\phi_i(h_t) = t$ for every $t \in [n-1]$.

For each $t \in [n]$, we define $\sigma(t)$ as follows,

$$\sigma(t) = \{ t' \in B_i(h_t) : t' < t \}.$$

From the choice of z and the first part of the property (H3) of f_i we get $|\sigma(t)| \le d \le \ell_z/(160d^4)$. From the second part of (H3) we have

$$t' < t - 2z < t - 2q_z < (\lfloor t/q_z \rfloor - 1)q_z,$$

for every $t' \in \sigma(t)$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.3 with $F = R_z$ and σ to obtain $f: [n-1] \to V(R_z)$. Set $r_i(h_t) := f(t)$ for $t \in [n-1]$. The same argument as in the proof of the previous claim shows that r_i is a homomorphism. Moreover, since for each $h_{t'} \in B_i(h_t)$ we also have $h_t \in B_i(h_{t'})$, we can assume t' < t, and by (F2) we have that f(t) and f(t') are at distance at least 5 in R_z . In other words, we conclude $\{r_i(h_t), r_i(h_{t'})\} \notin R_z^4$ as required. This proves the claim.

5.1 The algorithmic aspects of the proof

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a decomposition guaranteed by Theorem 3.4 and the existence of a mapping given by Lemma 3.3. Note that all other steps needed to construct the homomorphisms f_i and r_i , and therefore an induced embedding of H, can be implemented efficiently (i.e. with polynomial running time) as they only involve computing sets of vertices which satisfy certain simple conditions.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 relies on the Edmonds-Gallai decomposition (e.g. see [15]) and Hall's criteria for matchings in bipartite graphs. Since the Edmonds-Gallai decomposition can be obtained using Edmonds' algorithm for finding a maximum matching in a general graph and a careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 3.4 shows that all other steps can be performed efficiently, computing the desired decomposition can also be done efficiently. Similarly, the proof of Lemma 3.3 is constructive and, in turn, relies on sets of q-expanding vertices given by Lemma 3.2. However, the proof of Lemma 3.2 is algorithmic and gives an efficient deterministic procedure for computing both a set

of sufficiently many q-expanding vertices and a set of reachable vertices from each vertex in it. We omit further details.

Acknowledgements. The second author would like to thank Nemanja Škorić for useful discussions.

References

- [1] N. Alon. Asymptotically optimal induced universal graphs. preprint, 2016.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Capalbo. Sparse universal graphs for bounded-degree graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 31(2):123–133, 2007.
- [3] N. Alon and M. Capalbo. Optimal universal graphs with deterministic embedding. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 373–378. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2008.
- [4] N. Alon, M. Capalbo, Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. Near-optimum universal graphs for graphs with bounded degrees. In *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization: Algorithms and Techniques*, pages 170–180. Springer, 2001.
- [5] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. The Probabilistic Method, Fourth Edition. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [6] S. Alstrup, S. Dahlgaard, and M. B. T. Knudsen. Optimal induced universal graphs and adjacency labeling for trees. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 1311–1326. IEEE, 2015.
- [7] S. Alstrup, H. Kaplan, M. Thorup, and U. Zwick. Adjacency labeling schemes and induceduniversal graphs. In *Proceedings of the 47th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (STOC), pages 625–634. ACM, 2015.
- [8] S. Alstrup and T. Rauhe. Small induced-universal graphs and compact implicit graph representations. In *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 53–62. IEEE, 2002.
- [9] S. Butler. Induced-universal graphs for graphs with bounded maximum degree. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 25(4):461–468, 2009.
- [10] F. R. Chung. Universal graphs and induced-universal graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 14(4):443–454, 1990.
- [11] G. Davidoff, P. Sarnak, and A. Valette. *Elementary number theory, group theory and Ramanujan graphs*, volume 55. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [12] L. Esperet, A. Labourel, and P. Ochem. On induced-universal graphs for the class of bounded-degree graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 108(5):255–260, 2008.
- [13] C. Gavoille and A. Labourel. Shorter implicit representation for planar graphs and bounded treewidth graphs. In *European Symposium on Algorithms*, pages 582–593. Springer, 2007.

- [14] S. Kannan, M. Naor, and S. Rudich. Implicit representation of graphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 5(4):596–603, 1992.
- [15] L. Lovász and M. D. Plummer. *Matching theory*, volume 367. American Mathematical Soc., 2009.
- [16] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(3):261–277, 1988.
- [17] G. A. Margulis. Explicit group-theoretical constructions of combinatorial schemes and their application to the design of expanders and concentrators. *Problemy peredachi informatsii*, 24(1):51–60, 1988.
- [18] J. Moon. On minimal n-universal graphs. In *Proceedings of the Glasgow Mathematical Association*, volume 7, pages 32–33. Cambridge Univ Press, 1965.
- [19] R. Rado. Universal graphs and universal functions. Acta Arithmetica, 4(9):331–340, 1964.
- [20] V. G. Vizing. Some unsolved problems in graph theory. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 23(6):125–141, 1968.