# Non-Euclidean Geometry (spring 2011) 

Partial Solutions to Exercise No. 10 - Projective Geometry

1. Show that three points in a projective space of dimension at least 2 lie on a projective plane which is unique unless the points are collinear.

Solution: Let the three points be $[v 0],[v 1],[v 2]$ and contemplate the span $U$ of the $v_{i}$. Then $U$ is at most 3 -dimensional. If $\operatorname{dim}(U)=3$ then our points lie in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}(U)$ and if $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is another such projective plane then $U \subset W$ and $\operatorname{dim}(U)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$ hence $U=W$ so the plane is unique. If $\operatorname{dim}(U) \leq 2$ then the three points are collinear since the $v_{i}$ lie in some 2-dimensional subspace (which we could take to be $U$ if $\operatorname{dim}(U)=2$ ). Now take any 3 -dimensional subspace $W$ containing the $v_{i}$ (there are many of these) to see that our points lie in a plane $\mathbb{P}(W)$.
2. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be distinct projective planes in a 3 -dimensional projective space. Show that $P \cap P^{\prime}$ is a projective line
Solution: Let $P=\mathbb{P}(U), P^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ be planes in the 3-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Thus $U, U^{\prime} \subset V$ are vector spaces with $\operatorname{dim} V=4$ and $\operatorname{dim}(U)=\operatorname{dim}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=3$. Then

$$
4 \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(U+U^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} U+\operatorname{dim} U^{\prime}-\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)=6-\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)
$$

yielding $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} U=3$ with equality if and only if $U=U^{\prime}$ which cannot happen since $P, P^{\prime}$ are distinct. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)=2$ so that $P \cap P^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)$ is a projective line.
3. Here is a "cheap proof" of Desargues Theorem in the case when $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}(V) \geq 3$ and the triangles $A B C$ and $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ lie in different planes $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ : (i) Prove that $P, A, A^{\prime}, B, B^{\prime}, C, C^{\prime}$ lie in a 3 -dimensional projective subspace (so that we can restrict attention to that subspace and assume $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}(V)=3$ ). (ii) Show that the intersections $Q, R, S$ all lie in $P \cap P^{\prime}$ which is a line by the previous question.
Solution: (i) $P, A, A^{\prime}, B, B^{\prime}$ all lie in the plane $\pi=\mathbb{P}(W)$ containing the lines $A A^{\prime}$ and $B B^{\prime}$. The remaining points lie on the line $C C^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}(U)$ which intersects this plane exactly at the point $P$ (otherwise $C C^{\prime}$ lies in the plane which then contains both triangles contrary to assumption). The join of this line and plane is then $\mathbb{P}(U+W)$ which is 3 -dimensional since $\operatorname{dim}(U+W)=2+3-1=4$.
(ii) Consider $Q=B C \cap B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ : both $B$ and $C$ lie in the plane $P$ whence the line $B C$ lies in $P$ also. Similarly, $B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ lies in $P^{\prime}$ so that $Q=B C \cap B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ lies in $P \cap P^{\prime}$. The same argument shows that $R$ and $S$ also lie on the line $P \cap P^{\prime}$.
4. Let $E_{1}=\mathbb{P}\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $E_{2}=\mathbb{P}\left(U_{2}\right)$ be two hyperplanes in a projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ (for example, two lines in a projective plane) and let $W \operatorname{in} \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a point not in $E_{1}$ or $E_{2}$. Then the central projection from $E_{1}$ to $E_{2}$ with center $W$ is the map $\hat{f}: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ that maps a point $A \in E_{1}$ to the intersection of $E_{2}$ with the line through $W$ and $A$. Show that the central projection $\hat{f}$ is a projective transformation $E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$.
Solution: We have to show that $\hat{f}$ comes from an invertible linear map $f: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$. Note that $W$, as point in $\mathbb{P}(V)$, is a 1 -dimensional subspace of $V$. Since it does not lie in $E_{2}$ one has, $W \cap U_{2}=\{0\}$. This means that $V$ is the direct sum $V=W \oplus U_{2}$, and there are two linear maps $p_{W}: V \rightarrow W$ and $p_{U_{2}}: V \rightarrow U_{2}$ (the projections onto $W$ and $\left.U_{2}\right)$ such that for any $v \in V, p_{W}(v)$ and $p_{U_{2}}(v)$ are the unique vectors in $W$ and $U_{2}$ such that $v=p_{W}(v)+p_{U_{2}}(v)$. Claim: The central projection $\hat{f}$ comes from the linear map $p_{U_{2}} \mid U_{1}$, the restriction of $p_{U_{2}}$ to $U_{1}$. To see this, let $a \in U_{1}$ be a representative vector of $A \in E_{1}$. Then $p_{U_{2}}(a) \neq 0$, because $p_{U_{2}}(a)=0$ would mean $a \in W$, but $U_{1} \cap W=\{0\}$ because by assumption $E_{1}$ does not contain $W$. This shows that $p_{U_{2}} \mid U_{1}$ is invertible, because it an injective linear map $U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim} U_{1}=\operatorname{dim} U_{2}$. Now $p_{U_{2}}(a) \in U_{2}$,so $\left[p_{U_{2}}(a)\right] \in E_{2}$. Also $a=p_{W}(a)+p_{U_{2}}(a)$,or $p_{U_{2}}(a)=a-p_{W}(a)$, so $p_{U_{2}}(a) \in[a]+W$, which means that $\left[p_{U_{2}}(a)\right]$ is in the (projective) line through $A \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $W \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. Hence $\left[p_{U_{2}}(a)\right]$ is the intersection of $E_{2}$ with the line through $W$ and $A$, so it is the image of $A$ under the central projection.
5. Let $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(W)$ be two $n$-dimensional projective spaces and suppose $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n+2} \in$ $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n+2} \in \mathbb{P}(W)$ are in general position. Then there exists a unique projective transformation $\hat{f}: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ with $\hat{f}\left(A_{i}\right)=B_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n+2$.
Solution: Existence: By a lemma we proved in class, we may choose representative vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+2}$ for $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n+2}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+2}$ for $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n+2}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i}=a_{n+2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{i}=b_{n+2}$. Also by the general position assumption, $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}$ are bases of $V$ and $W$, respectively. Hence there is an invertible linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ with $f\left(a_{i}\right)=b_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n+1$. But then also

$$
f\left(a_{n+2}\right)=f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{i}=b_{n+2}
$$

So $f$ maps the 1-dimensional subspaces $A_{i}=\left[a_{i}\right] \subset V$ to $B_{i}=\left[b_{i}\right] \subset W$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n+2$.

Uniqueness: Let $g: V \rightarrow W$ be another invertible linear map with $g\left(a_{i}\right) \in B_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n+2$. Then $\widetilde{b}_{i}=g\left(a_{i}\right)$ would be another set of representative vectors for the $B_{i}$ with

$$
\widetilde{b}_{n+2}=g\left(a_{n+2}\right)=g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g\left(a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{i}\right.
$$

By the uniqueness part of the lemma mentioned above, this implies $\widetilde{b}_{i}=\lambda b_{i}$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, so $g=\lambda f$, and $g$ and $f$ induce the same projective transformation $\mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$.
6. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be distinct projective lines in a projective plane that intersect at a point $A$. Let $\tau: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be a projective transformation such that $\tau A=A$. Show that $\tau$ is a projection from some point of the plane. Hint: Choose $B, C$ on $L_{1}$ distinct from $A$ and let $B^{\prime}=\tau B, C^{\prime}=\tau C$. If $\tau$ was a projection, where would its centre have to lie?
Solution: Let $P=B B^{\prime} \cap C C^{\prime}$ and contemplate the projection $\tau_{1}: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ with centre $P$. By construction, we have $\tau_{1} 1(B)=B^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{1}(C)=C^{\prime}$ but, also, $\tau_{1}(A)=A$. Thus $\tau$ and $\tau_{1}$ agree on three distinct points of $L_{1}$ (thus three points in general position) and so agree everywhere: $\tau=\tau_{1}$ so that $\tau$ is a projection.
7. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be distinct projective lines in a projective plane and $\tau: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ a projective transformation. We are going to show that $\tau$ is a composition of two projections. Let $A, B, C$ be distinct points on $L_{1}$ and let $A^{\prime}=\tau A, B^{\prime}=\tau B$, and $C^{\prime}=\tau C$. Without loss of generality, assume that neither $A$ or $A^{\prime}$ are in $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$. Set $P=A B^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime} B, Q=A C^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime} C$ and let $L^{\prime}$ be the line $P Q$. Let $\tau_{1}: L_{1} \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ be the projection with centre $A^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{2}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be the projection with centre $A$. (a) Prove that $\tau=\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$. Hint: What does $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$ do to $A, B, C$ ?
Solution: Let $R=A A^{\prime} \cap L^{\prime}$. Then $\tau_{1}(A)=R, \tau_{1}(B)=P$ and $\tau_{1}(C)=Q$. Moreover, $\tau_{2}(R)=A^{\prime}, \tau_{2}(P)=B^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{2}(Q)=C^{\prime}$. Thus $\tau$ and $\tau_{2} \circ \tau_{1}$ agree on the three points $A, B, C$ in general position and so everywhere.
8. (*) Prove Brianchon's Theorem: Let the sides $A B^{\prime}, B^{\prime} C, C A^{\prime}, A^{\prime} B, B C^{\prime}, C^{\prime} A$ of a hexagon pass alternately through two (different) points $P$ and $Q$ in a projective plane. Then the lines joining opposite vertices $A A^{\prime}, B B^{\prime}, C C^{\prime}$ are concurrent. Hint: choose a basis so that $P, C, Q, C^{\prime}$ have homogeneous coordinates $[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[1,1,1]$.

