

## PERCOLATION: HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT 6

INSTRUCTOR: RON PELED, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY

This homework assignment needs to be submitted in class on April 30.

- (1) Consider percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $0 < p < p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Let  $C(x)$  be the connected component of the vertex  $x$ , and let  $D(x)$  be the diameter of this component (that is,  $D(x) = \max_{y,z \in C(x)} d(y,z)$ , where  $d(y,z)$  is the graph distance between  $y$  and  $z$ ). Prove that there exist constants  $0 < C, c < \infty$  (depending only on  $p$  and  $d$ ) such that for all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$c \log n \leq \mathbb{E}_p \left[ \max_{x \in [-n,n]^d} D(x) \right] \leq C \log n.$$

- (2) Consider percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and let  $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Define  $L(p)$ , the *correlation length* of the percolation with parameter  $p$ , by

$$\frac{1}{L(p)} := - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow ne_1)).$$

That is,  $\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow ne_1)$  is approximately  $\exp(-n/L(p))$  on a logarithmic scale. One observes the exponential decay only on scales larger than  $L(p)$ , which is the origin of the name correlation length.

- (a) Prove that  $L(p)$  is well-defined as an element of  $(0, \infty]$  for all  $p \in (0, 1]$ , and that  $\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow ne_1) \leq \exp(-n/L(p))$  for all  $n$  and  $p$ .  
 Hint: Recall the subadditive limit theorem from homework 2, exercise 4.
- (b) Prove that  $L(p)$  is non-decreasing on  $(0, 1]$ , that  $L(p) = \infty$  for  $p \geq p_c$  and that  $L(p) < \infty$  for  $0 < p < p_c$ .
- (c) Prove that  $L(p) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \downarrow 0$ .
- (d) For the next three parts, fix  $p \in (0, 1]$ . Denote  $\Lambda_n := [-n, n]^d$  and  $\partial\Lambda_n := \Lambda_n \setminus \Lambda_{n-1}$ . Prove that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow x)^2 \leq \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow 2ne_1) \quad \text{for every } x \in \partial\Lambda_n.$$

Deduce that for all  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_n) \leq |\partial\Lambda_n| \exp(-n/L(p)). \tag{1}$$

- (e) Prove that

$$\frac{1}{L(p)} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_n)).$$

- (f) Prove that for all  $n, m \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_{n+m}) \leq |\partial\Lambda_n| \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_n) \mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_m).$$

Deduce that for some constants  $0 < c, \alpha < \infty$  (depending only on  $d$ ) and all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_n) \geq cn^{-\alpha} \exp(-n/L(p)). \tag{2}$$

Hint: The subadditive limit theorem may need to be used cleverly.

- (g) Prove that  $1/L(p)$  is continuous on  $(0, 1]$ .

Hint: It may help to use (1) and (2).