# The negative Pell equation and the 8-rank of the class group

#### Peter Koymans Max Planck Institute for Mathematics



Tel Aviv Number Theory Seminar

4 June 2020

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

Bhaskara II (12th century) gave an algorithm to find solutions of this equation.

For a fixed squarefree integer d > 0, the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$
 to be solved in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

has been studied since at least the ancient Greeks.

Bhaskara II (12th century) gave an algorithm to find solutions of this equation.

Unbeknownst, Fermat challenged English mathematicians Brouncker and Wallis to solve the notorious case d = 61. The smallest non-trivial solution is

```
1766319049^2 - 61 \cdot 226153980^2 = 1.
```

Lagrange was the first to give an algorithm with proof of correctness.

# A modern interpretation of Pell's equation

In modern terms, we know that

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

always has a solution by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

# A modern interpretation of Pell's equation

In modern terms, we know that

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

always has a solution by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Indeed,  $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}^* \cong \langle \epsilon \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$  for a real quadratic field K.

In modern terms, we know that

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

always has a solution by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Indeed,  $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}^* \cong \langle \epsilon \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$  for a real quadratic field K.

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

In modern terms, we know that

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

always has a solution by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Indeed,  $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa}^* \cong \langle \epsilon \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle$  for a real quadratic field K.

The equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$

is known as the negative Pell equation and is not always soluble.

Question: as we vary d, how often is the negative Pell equation soluble?

Recall that the narrow class group  $Cl^+(K)$  is defined as the quotient of the ideal group  $I_K$  by the principal ideals  $P_K^+$  admitting a totally positive generator, while the class group is the quotient by the principal ideals  $P_K$ .

Recall that the narrow class group  $Cl^+(K)$  is defined as the quotient of the ideal group  $I_K$  by the principal ideals  $P_K^+$  admitting a totally positive generator, while the class group is the quotient by the principal ideals  $P_K$ .

We have

 $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\operatorname{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

Recall that the narrow class group  $Cl^+(K)$  is defined as the quotient of the ideal group  $I_K$  by the principal ideals  $P_K^+$  admitting a totally positive generator, while the class group is the quotient by the principal ideals  $P_K$ .

We have

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$
 is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

There is a fundamental exact sequence

$$1 
ightarrow rac{P_{\mathcal{K}}}{P_{\mathcal{K}}^+} 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K}) 
ightarrow \mathsf{Cl}(\mathcal{K}) 
ightarrow 1$$

with  $\left|\frac{P_{\kappa}}{P_{\kappa}^+}\right| \in \{1,2\}$  and  $\frac{P_{\kappa}}{P_{\kappa}^+}$  generated by  $(\sqrt{d})$ .

Recall that the narrow class group  $Cl^+(K)$  is defined as the quotient of the ideal group  $I_K$  by the principal ideals  $P_K^+$  admitting a totally positive generator, while the class group is the quotient by the principal ideals  $P_K$ .

We have

$$x^2 - dy^2 = -1$$
 is soluble  $\Leftrightarrow$  fundamental unit  $\epsilon$  has negative norm  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{d})$  is trivial in  $\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

There is a fundamental exact sequence

$$1 
ightarrow rac{P_{K}}{P_{K}^{+}} 
ightarrow \operatorname{Cl}^{+}(K) 
ightarrow \operatorname{Cl}(K) 
ightarrow 1$$

with  $\left|\frac{P_{\kappa}}{P_{\kappa}^{+}}\right| \in \{1,2\}$  and  $\frac{P_{\kappa}}{P_{\kappa}^{+}}$  generated by  $(\sqrt{d})$ .

Goal: study joint distribution of  $(Cl^+(K)[2^{\infty}], Cl(K)[2^{\infty}])$ .

# The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics

Let p be an odd prime. The group  $Cl^+(K)[p^{\infty}]$  is believed to behave as a random finite, abelian p-group.

# The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics

Let p be an odd prime. The group  $Cl^+(K)[p^{\infty}]$  is believed to behave as a random finite, abelian p-group.

More formally, Cohen and Lenstra conjectured that

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \text{ and } \mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[p^{\infty}] \cong A \right\} \right|}{\left| \left\{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \right\} \right|} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^i} \right)}{|\mathsf{Aut}(A)|}$$

for every finite, abelian *p*-group *A*.

Let p be an odd prime. The group  $Cl^+(K)[p^{\infty}]$  is believed to behave as a random finite, abelian p-group.

More formally, Cohen and Lenstra conjectured that

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \text{ and } \mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[p^{\infty}] \cong A \right\} \right|}{\left| \left\{ \mathcal{K} \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X \right\} \right|} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^i} \right)}{|\mathsf{Aut}(A)|}$$

for every finite, abelian *p*-group *A*.

For real quadratic fields

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ K \text{ re. quadr.} : |D_K| < X \text{ and } \mathsf{Cl}^+(K)[p^\infty] \cong A \right\} \right|}{\left| \left\{ K \text{ re. quadr.} : |D_K| < X \right\} \right|} = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^i} \right)}{|A| |\mathsf{Aut}(A)|},$$

where  $Cl^+(\mathcal{K})[p^{\infty}]$  is now the quotient of a random abelian group.

To be precise, Gerth conjectured the following

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X, (2\mathsf{CI}(\mathcal{K}))[2^{\infty}] \cong A\}|}{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{\mathcal{K}}| < X\}|} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{|\mathsf{Aut}(A)|}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A, and similarly for real quadratics.

To be precise, Gerth conjectured the following

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{K}| < X, (2\mathsf{CI}(K))[2^{\infty}] \cong A\}|}{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{K}| < X\}|} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{|\mathsf{Aut}(A)|}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A, and similarly for real quadratics.

Fouvry and Klüners dealt with the distribution of (2Cl(K))[2].

To be precise, Gerth conjectured the following

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{K}| < X, (2\mathsf{CI}(K))[2^{\infty}] \cong A\}|}{|\{K \text{ im. quadr.} : |D_{K}| < X\}|} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)}{|\mathsf{Aut}(A)|}$$

for every finite, abelian 2-group A, and similarly for real quadratics.

Fouvry and Klüners dealt with the distribution of (2CI(K))[2].

The full Gerth conjecture was recently proven by Alexander Smith (2017) for imaginary quadratics.

## Previous work on negative Pell

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers d such that  $p \mid d$  implies  $p \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$ .

#### Previous work on negative Pell

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers d such that  $p \mid d$  implies  $p \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$ .

By the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem we have

$$\begin{split} d &\in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow x^2 - dy^2 = -1 \text{ is soluble with } x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathsf{rk}_2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_2\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})). \end{split}$$

Example:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{rk}_4 \ \mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = 2 \\ \mathsf{rk}_8 \ \mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = 1. \end{split}$$

#### Previous work on negative Pell

Define  $\mathcal{D}$  to be the set of squarefree integers d such that  $p \mid d$  implies  $p \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4$ .

By the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem we have

$$\begin{split} d &\in \mathcal{D} \Leftrightarrow x^2 - dy^2 = -1 \text{ is soluble with } x, y \in \mathbb{Q} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathsf{rk}_2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_2\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})). \end{split}$$

Example:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{rk}_4 \ \mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = 2 \\ \mathsf{rk}_8 \ \mathbb{Z}/32\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} = 1. \end{split}$$

Fouvry and Klüners (2010) computed the asymptotic density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  satisfying

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))=0$$

and also those satisfying

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 1 + \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$$

Fouvry and Klüners continued their investigations by computing the density of  $d\in \mathcal{D}$  with

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 1, \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 0.$$

Fourry and Klüners continued their investigations by computing the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 1, \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = 0.$$

From their works they were able to deduce that

$$\frac{5\alpha}{4} \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^-|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|} \leq \limsup_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^-|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|} \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

where  $\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-j})^{-1} \approx 0.41942.$ 

#### Further improvements on negative Pell II

Together with S. Chan, D. Milovic and C. Pagano I computed the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = n, \quad \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = m$$

for every  $n \ge m$ .

#### Further improvements on negative Pell II

Together with S. Chan, D. Milovic and C. Pagano I computed the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = n, \quad \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = m$$

for every  $n \ge m$ .

#### Corollary 1 (Chan, K., Milovic, Pagano)

We have

$$\beta \alpha \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^{-}|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|}, \quad \beta := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(n+3)/2} \approx 1.28325.$$

#### Further improvements on negative Pell II

Together with S. Chan, D. Milovic and C. Pagano I computed the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

$$\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = n, \quad \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = m$$

for every  $n \ge m$ .

#### Corollary 1 (Chan, K., Milovic, Pagano)

We have

$$\beta \alpha \leq \liminf_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^{-}|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|}, \quad \beta := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n(n+3)/2} \approx 1.28325.$$

Further improvements to upper and lower bounds in recent work of K. and Pagano.

#### **Genus theory**

Recall that p = 2 is excluded from the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures. The reason for this is that the group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

#### **Genus theory**

Recall that p = 2 is excluded from the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures. The reason for this is that the group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

The description of  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is due to Gauss and is known as genus theory. We have that

$$|\mathsf{CI}^+(\mathsf{K})[2]| = 2^{\omega(D_{\mathsf{K}})-1}$$

and  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is generated by the ramified prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

#### **Genus theory**

Recall that p = 2 is excluded from the Cohen–Lenstra conjectures. The reason for this is that the group  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  has a very predictable behavior unlike  $Cl^+(K)[p]$  for p odd.

The description of  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is due to Gauss and is known as genus theory. We have that

 $|Cl^+(K)[2]| = 2^{\omega(D_K)-1}$ 

and  $Cl^+(K)[2]$  is generated by the ramified prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

If p divides the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then p ramifies, so

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$$
  $\mathfrak{p}$   $\mathfrak{p}^2 = (p).$   
 $\begin{vmatrix} & & \\ &$ 

For a finite abelian group A, define

 $A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$ 

For a finite abelian group A, define

 $A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$ 

There is a natural pairing

$$\operatorname{Art}_1: A[2] \times A^{\vee}[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \chi(a).$$

For a finite abelian group A, define

 $A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$ 

There is a natural pairing

$$\operatorname{Art}_1: A[2] \times A^{\vee}[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \chi(a).$$

Left kernel of Art is 2A[4] and right kernel is  $2A^{\vee}[4]$ .

For a finite abelian group A, define

 $A^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*).$ 

There is a natural pairing

$$\operatorname{Art}_1: A[2] \times A^{\vee}[2] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \chi(a).$$

Left kernel of Art is 2A[4] and right kernel is  $2A^{\vee}[4]$ .

Goal: to compute 4-rank, it is enough to understand Art<sub>1</sub>. We start by describing  $Cl^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2]$ .

Theorem 2 (Class field theory)

We have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Cl}^+(K) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(H^+(K)/K)$$

given by sending a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  to Art( $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Furthermore, if K is Galois, this isomorphism respects the natural Galois action of Gal( $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ) on both sides.

Theorem 2 (Class field theory)

We have an isomorphism

```
\operatorname{Cl}^+(K) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(H^+(K)/K)
```

given by sending a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  to Art( $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Furthermore, if K is Galois, this isomorphism respects the natural Galois action of Gal( $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ) on both sides.

From this we get a bijection

```
Cl^{+,\vee}(K)[2] \leftrightarrow \{quadratic unramified extensions of K\}.
```
Theorem 2 (Class field theory)

We have an isomorphism

```
\operatorname{Cl}^+(K) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(H^+(K)/K)
```

given by sending a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  to Art( $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Furthermore, if K is Galois, this isomorphism respects the natural Galois action of Gal( $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ) on both sides.

From this we get a bijection

```
Cl^{+,\vee}(K)[2] \leftrightarrow \{quadratic unramified extensions of K\}.
```

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2] &= \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K}), \mathbb{C}^*)[2] \cong \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Gal}(H^+(\mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}), \{\pm 1\}). \\ \\ \mathsf{Given} \ \chi \in \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Gal}(H^+(\mathcal{K})/\mathcal{K}), \{\pm 1\}), \text{ look at } H^+(\mathcal{K})^{\mathsf{ker}(\chi)}. \end{split}$$

For quadratic K,  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts by -1 on Cl(K).

For quadratic K,  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts by -1 on Cl(K).

Then it follows that any quadratic unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and must have Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

For quadratic K,  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts by -1 on Cl(K).

Then it follows that any quadratic unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and must have Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Get a diagram



Unramified condition then implies that  $a \mid d$ .

For quadratic K,  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts by -1 on Cl(K).

Then it follows that any quadratic unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and must have Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Get a diagram



Unramified condition then implies that  $a \mid d$ . Example for ramification at 2:  $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ,  $a \equiv 3 \mod 4$  a prime.

For quadratic K,  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts by -1 on Cl(K).

Then it follows that any quadratic unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and must have Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Get a diagram



Unramified condition then implies that  $a \mid d$ . Example for ramification at 2:  $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ,  $a \equiv 3 \mod 4$  a prime.

# The Artin pairing

Under the identifications, we have that

```
\mathsf{Art}_1:\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[2]\times\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2]\to\{\pm1\},\quad (\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\chi(\mathsf{Art}\ \mathfrak{p}).
```

Under the identifications, we have that

$$\mathsf{Art}_1:\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathcal{K})[2]\times\mathsf{Cl}^{+,\vee}(\mathcal{K})[2]\to\{\pm1\},\quad (\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\chi(\mathsf{Art}\ \mathfrak{p}).$$

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_t$  be the prime divisors of d. Define  $\chi_m$  to be the quadratic character of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ . The Rédei matrix is

Left kernel gives generating set for  $2CI^+(K)[4]$ .

### Interlude: Stevenhagen's conjecture

For  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

For  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Heuristic assumption: every non-zero element in the natural generating set of  $2\text{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$  is equally likely to be trivial.

Conjecture 1 (Stevenhagen's conjecture)

We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(4 - \operatorname{rank} of \ d \in \mathcal{D} \ \operatorname{equals} j)}{2^{j+1} - 1} \approx 0.581.$$

For  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $(\sqrt{d}) \in 2\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$ .

Heuristic assumption: every non-zero element in the natural generating set of  $2\text{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))[4]$  is equally likely to be trivial.

Conjecture 1 (Stevenhagen's conjecture)

We have

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^-|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(4 - \operatorname{rank} of \ d \in \mathcal{D} \ equals \ j)}{2^{j+1} - 1} \approx 0.581.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{P}(4-\operatorname{rank} \text{ of } d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ equals } j) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(t \times t - \operatorname{symm. matrix ker. of dim. } j).$$

There is a natural pairing

 $\mathsf{Art}_2: 2A[4] \times 2A^{\vee}[4] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \psi(a), \ 2\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

There is a natural pairing

 $\mathsf{Art}_2: 2A[4] \times 2A^{\vee}[4] \to \{\pm 1\}, \quad (a, \chi) \mapsto \psi(a), \ 2\psi = \chi.$ 

Left kernel is 4A[8] and right kernel is  $4A^{\vee}[8]$ .

As before, class field theory gives that this pairing becomes

$$(\mathfrak{p},\chi)\mapsto\psi(\operatorname{Art}\,\mathfrak{p}),\ 2\psi=\chi.$$

Goal: understand cyclic degree 4 unramified extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

# Cyclic degree 4 extensions

A cyclic degree 4 unramified extension L of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $D_4$ .

## Cyclic degree 4 extensions

A cyclic degree 4 unramified extension L of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $D_4$ .

From basic Galois theory any  $D_4$ -extension is of the following shape, where  $\alpha := x + y\sqrt{b}$  and  $x^2 = by^2 + \frac{d}{b}z^2$  with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$  non-trivial

### Cyclic degree 4 extensions

A cyclic degree 4 unramified extension L of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with Galois group  $D_4$ .

From basic Galois theory any  $D_4$ -extension is of the following shape, where  $\alpha := x + y\sqrt{b}$  and  $x^2 = by^2 + \frac{d}{b}z^2$  with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$  non-trivial



### **Unramified degree 4 extensions**

To make the extension unramified, we need to find a primitive solution

$$x^2 = by^2 + rac{d}{b}z^2$$
 with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ .

Such solutions exist since gcd(b, d/b) = 1.

To make the extension unramified, we need to find a primitive solution

$$x^2 = by^2 + rac{d}{b}z^2$$
 with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ .

Such solutions exist since gcd(b, d/b) = 1.

To understand the splitting in dihedral extensions, let us work in greater generality. Suppose that

$$(a,b)_{v} = (b,c)_{v} = (a,c)_{v} = 1, \quad \gcd(a,b,c) = 1.$$

We define the Rédei symbol

$$[a, b, c] \in \mathbb{F}_2 \cong \mathsf{Gal}(L_{a, b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}))$$

to be the splitting of  $\mathfrak{c}$  in a *minimally ramified* degree 4 cyclic extension  $L_{a,b}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , where  $\mathfrak{c}$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$  of norm c.

- L<sub>a,b</sub> minimally ramified means unramified outside the primes dividing a or b;
- can change such  $L_{a,b}$  only by twisting  $\alpha$  to  $p\alpha$  with p dividing ab;
- every  $p \mid c$  splits or ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  exists;
- every  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $\mathfrak{c}$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ ;
- ►  $[a, b, c] := \operatorname{Art}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab}), \mathfrak{c}) \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})).$



- L<sub>a,b</sub> minimally ramified means unramified outside the primes dividing a or b;
- ► can change such  $L_{a,b}$  only by twisting  $\alpha$  to  $p\alpha$  with p dividing ab;
- every  $p \mid c$  splits or ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  exists;
- every  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $\mathfrak{c}$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ ;
- ►  $[a, b, c] := \operatorname{Art}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab}), \mathfrak{c}) \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})).$



- L<sub>a,b</sub> minimally ramified means unramified outside the primes dividing a or b;
- ► can change such  $L_{a,b}$  only by twisting  $\alpha$  to  $p\alpha$  with p dividing ab;
- every  $p \mid c$  splits or ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  exists;
- every  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $\mathfrak{c}$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ ;
- ►  $[a, b, c] := \operatorname{Art}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab}), \mathfrak{c}) \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})).$



- L<sub>a,b</sub> minimally ramified means unramified outside the primes dividing a or b;
- ► can change such  $L_{a,b}$  only by twisting  $\alpha$  to  $p\alpha$  with p dividing ab;
- every  $p \mid c$  splits or ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  exists;
- every  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $\mathfrak{c}$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ ;
- ►  $[a, b, c] := \operatorname{Art}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab}), \mathfrak{c}) \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})).$



- L<sub>a,b</sub> minimally ramified means unramified outside the primes dividing a or b;
- ► can change such  $L_{a,b}$  only by twisting  $\alpha$  to  $p\alpha$  with p dividing ab;
- every  $p \mid c$  splits or ramifies in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab})$ , hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  exists;
- every  $\mathfrak{p}$  dividing  $\mathfrak{c}$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ ;
- ►  $[a, b, c] := \operatorname{Art}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ab}), \mathfrak{c}) \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{a,b}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})).$



#### An example

Take a = 5, b = 41 and c = 59. We have

$$11^2 = 5 \cdot 4^2 + 41 \cdot 1^2, \quad \alpha := 11 + 4\sqrt{5}.$$

To compute the splitting of 59 in  $L_{a,b}$  (or equivalently in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$  or in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ ), need to compute if

$$11 + 4\sqrt{5} \equiv \Box \mod{59}.$$

#### An example

Take a = 5, b = 41 and c = 59. We have

$$11^2 = 5 \cdot 4^2 + 41 \cdot 1^2, \quad \alpha := 11 + 4\sqrt{5}.$$

To compute the splitting of 59 in  $L_{a,b}$  (or equivalently in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$  or in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ ), need to compute if

$$11 + 4\sqrt{5} \equiv \Box \mod{59}.$$

This is independent of the choice of  $\sqrt{5}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/59\mathbb{Z},$  since

$$(11+4\sqrt{5})\cdot(11-4\sqrt{5})=41\equiv\Box\bmod 59$$

by the assumptions. The choices of  $\sqrt{5}$  are  $\{8, 51\}$ , so need to check

 $43 \equiv \Box \mod 59$  or equivalently  $51 \equiv \Box \mod 59$ .

Answer is no.

We have the following fundamental theorem, which follows from Hilbert reciprocity applied to a suitable quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}.$ 

Theorem 3 (Rédei reciprocity)

The Rédei symbol is trilinear and symmetric in all its entries

[a, b, c] = [b, a, c] = [a, c, b].

Restrict further to p with a given congruence class m modulo 8d. Then the Rédei matrix is constant as p varies in such a family.

Restrict further to p with a given congruence class m modulo 8d. Then the Rédei matrix is constant as p varies in such a family.

Pick a generating set for  $2Cl^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp}))[4]$  and  $2Cl^{+,\vee}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp}))[4]$  not supported by p (use the ideal  $(\sqrt{d})$  to achieve this).

Restrict further to p with a given congruence class m modulo 8d. Then the Rédei matrix is constant as p varies in such a family.

Pick a generating set for  $2Cl^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp}))[4]$  and  $2Cl^{+,\vee}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp}))[4]$  not supported by p (use the ideal  $(\sqrt{d})$  to achieve this).

Then if we have two primes p and p' with  $p \equiv p' \equiv m \mod 8d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Art}_{2,\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp})}(a,\chi_b) + \mathsf{Art}_{2,\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{dp'})}(a,\chi_b) &= [a,dp/a,b] + [a,dp'/a,b] \\ &= [a,b,pp']. \end{aligned}$$

Idea: the splitting of p in the compositum of the  $L_{a,b}$  determines the 8-rank. Now apply the Chebotarev density theorem.

Approach above needs GRH.

Approach above needs GRH.

Instead vary two primes, say p and q. Then we get that the sum of the four Artin pairings

 $\operatorname{Art}_{2,dpq}(ap,\chi_b) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp'q}(ap',\chi_b) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dpq'}(ap,\chi_b) + \operatorname{Art}_{2,dp'q'}(ap',\chi_b)$ equals

$$[aq, b, pp'] + [aq', b, pp'] = [pp', qq', b].$$

Approach above needs GRH.

Instead vary two primes, say p and q. Then we get that the sum of the four Artin pairings

 $\mathsf{Art}_{2,dpq}(ap,\chi_b) + \mathsf{Art}_{2,dp'q}(ap',\chi_b) + \mathsf{Art}_{2,dpq'}(ap,\chi_b) + \mathsf{Art}_{2,dp'q'}(ap',\chi_b)$ 

equals

$$[aq, b, pp'] + [aq', b, pp'] = [pp', qq', b].$$

If p and q are small, we can apply Chebotarev. However, we no longer have direct control over Art<sub>2</sub>. Use combinatorial ideas to overcome this.

### Beyond the 8-rank

No "governing fields" have been found for the 16-rank.

No "governing fields" have been found for the 16-rank.

The key idea of Smith to deal with the higher ranks is that of a *relative* governing field. If one adds several Artin pairings, then one actually does get a symbol that can be attacked using the Chebotarev density theorem.

No "governing fields" have been found for the 16-rank.

The key idea of Smith to deal with the higher ranks is that of a *relative* governing field. If one adds several Artin pairings, then one actually does get a symbol that can be attacked using the Chebotarev density theorem.

K. and Pagano found a generalization of the Rédei reciprocity law for these *relative* governing fields. This allows us to compute the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

 $\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))=\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),\quad\mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))=1+\mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$
No "governing fields" have been found for the 16-rank.

The key idea of Smith to deal with the higher ranks is that of a *relative* governing field. If one adds several Artin pairings, then one actually does get a symbol that can be attacked using the Chebotarev density theorem.

K. and Pagano found a generalization of the Rédei reciprocity law for these *relative* governing fields. This allows us to compute the density of  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  with

 $\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))=\mathsf{rk}_4\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})),\quad \mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}))=1+\mathsf{rk}_8\mathsf{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})).$ 

Theorem 4 (K., Pagano)

We have

$$\limsup_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}^-|}{|\mathcal{D}_{\leq X}|}\leq 0.61.$$

Questions?