# Simultaneous equidistribution of supersingular reductions of CM-curves Joint with Menny Aka, Philippe Michel, and Andreas Wieser

Manuel Luethi

Tel Aviv University

May 7 2020

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

#### Weierstrass equations

In what follows k is an arbitrary field.

#### Definition

An elliptic curve over k is the locus of a Weierstrass equation

$$E: y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$$

together with a base point at infinity, such that the discriminant  $\Delta(a_1,\ldots,a_6) \neq 0.$ 

The discriminant is a polynomial in the coefficients of the Weierstrass equation. Non-vanishing is a smoothness condition.

2 / 25

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

#### Weierstrass equations II

Assume k a field,  $char(k) \neq 2, 3$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $a, b \in k$  such that  $a^3 - b^2 \neq 0$ . The locus of

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - 27ax - 54b$$

together with a point O at infinity is an elliptic curve over k. Its discriminant satisfies

$$1728\Delta = a^3 - b^2.$$

## The group law

Curves given by Weierstrass equations admit a structure of commutative algebraic groups.

• Assume that *E* has the special form from before.

• 
$$P = (x, y) \in E \implies -P := (x, -y) \in E.$$

• Addition via chord-tangent method: Given  $P, Q \in E(k)$ , set

$$P+Q=-R,$$

Elliptic curves

where R is the third intersection point of E with the line through P, Q.

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curve

## The group law II



## Endomorphism rings I

End(E) is a torsion-free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, i.e. has characteristic 0.

#### Proposition

Let E be an elliptic curve. Then one of the following is true.

- $\operatorname{End}(E) = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- End(*E*) is an order in an imaginary quadratic number field, i.e. *E* has complex multiplication.
- End(*E*) is a maximal order in a quaternion algebra, i.e. *E* is supersingular.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

### Endomorphism rings II

- If char(k) = 0, then *E* is *not* supersingular.
- If char(k) = p > 0 and E is supersingular, then E is defined over F<sub>p</sub> and isomorphic to a curve defined over F<sub>p<sup>2</sup></sub>.
- **③** In particular the set  $\mathscr{S}_p$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -classes of supersingular elliptic curves is finite.

## Complex multiplication

$$[\mathrm{i}](x,y)=(-x,\mathrm{i}y)\quad ((x,y)\in E)$$

defines a non-trivial automorphism of E.

 $\textbf{ o Note } [i] \not\in \mathbb{Z} \text{ as } [i]^2 = -1.$ 

I For the curves

• 
$$E: y^2 = x^3 + x$$
 over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

• 
$$\underline{\tilde{E}}$$
 :  $y^2 = x^3 + x$  over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ ,

• 
$$\overline{E}$$
 :  $y^2 = x^3 + x$  over  $\mathbb{F}_7$ 

the endomorphism ring contains  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

- 4 同 1 4 三 1 4 三 1

## A supersingular curve

- Consider  $\overline{E}: y^2 = x^3 + x$  over  $k = \mathbb{F}_7$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_{7^2}$ .
- Set φ ∈ Gal(K|k) be the non-trivial Galois automorphism,
  i.e. the Frobenius automorphism.
- $\varphi$  yields an automorphism of  $\overline{E}(K)$ , trivial on  $\overline{E}(k)$ .
- $\varphi \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]$  as  $\varphi \circ [i] \neq [i] \circ \varphi$ . Otherwise  $i = i^7$ , i.e.  $i \in k$ .
- Hence  $\overline{E}$  is supersingular.

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

## Complex uniformization

• There is a one-to-one correspondence

- For every elliptic curve E over C, there is a lattice Λ ⊆ C such that E(C) ≃ C / Λ as complex Lie groups and vice versa.
- This is an equivalence of categories.
- Special case of GAGA.

# Complex multiplication I

- Every holomorphic endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$  has a unique lift to a holomorphic endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  preserving  $\Lambda$ .
- Therefore

$$\mathfrak{o} := \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \omega \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda\}.$$

- W.I.o.g.  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ ,  $\Im \tau \neq 0$ . If  $\omega \in \mathfrak{o}$ , then  $\omega \in \Lambda$ .
- Applying  $\omega$  to 1 and  $\tau$  respectively shows

$$(a+b au) au=c+d au$$
  $(a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{Z}).$ 

# Complex multiplication II

- Orders in quadratic number fields are parametrized by their discriminants *D*.
- The covolume of  $\mathfrak{o}_D$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\operatorname{covol}(\mathfrak{o}_D) = \frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2}$ .

Let

 $\operatorname{CM}_D = \{E/\mathbb{C} : E \text{ has CM by } \mathfrak{o}_D\}/\mathbb{C}\text{-isomorphism}.$ 

- Let Cl(o<sub>D</sub>) be the set of fractional proper o<sub>D</sub>-ideals up to principal equivalence.
- Then  $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathfrak{o}_D) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{CM}_D$  via

$$[\mathfrak{a}] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{o}_D) \mapsto [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}]$$

by previous argument.

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

# Summary

- Elliptic curves over C correspond to C<sup>×</sup>-homothety classes of lattices in C.
- Isomorphism classes of curves with CM by  $o_D$  correspond to classes of proper fractional  $o_D$ -ideals.
- $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathfrak{o}_D)$  acts on  $\operatorname{CM}_D$  by

$$[\mathfrak{a}] * [\mathbb{C}/\Lambda] := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\Lambda].$$

### Supersingular reduction

Assume that D is a negative fundamental discriminant, i.e.  $\mathfrak{o}_D$  is the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . We also assume p > 3.

- $\textcircled{O} All CM_{D} \text{-curves can be defined over a numberfield.}$
- ② There is a reduction map  $E \mapsto E \mod p$  whose image is a curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .
- **③** If p is not split in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , then  $E \mod p$  is a supersingular elliptic curve.

Compare to reduction of  $E: y^2 = x^3 + x$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\overline{E}: y^2 = x^3 + x$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

- If p = 5, then p = (2 + i)(2 i) splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $\overline{E}$  is not supersingular.
- If p = 7, then p is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $\overline{E}$  is supersingular.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

## Deuring's theorem

The following result is a simplified version of a result due to  $\mathsf{M}.$  Deuring.

#### Theorem

Let  $\overline{E}$  be a supersingular elliptic curve over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Then there exists an elliptic curve E with complex multiplication such that  $\overline{E} \cong E \mod p$ .

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

#### Lifting supersingular curves I

- $\mathscr{S}_p$  is finite; in fact  $|\mathscr{S}_p| = \frac{p}{12} + O(1)$ .
- $\operatorname{CM}_D$  is finite; in fact  $|\operatorname{CM}_D| \asymp |D|^{\frac{1}{2} + o(1)} \to \infty$  as  $D \to -\infty$ .
- Consider the sequence of reductions  $CM_D \to \mathscr{S}_p$  as  $D \to -\infty$  along the condition that p is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Question: Will the reduction eventually be surjective?

A B M A B M

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

## Lifting supersingular curves II

The following result is a simplified version of a result due to Ph. Michel.

#### Theorem

Let  $\overline{E}$  be a supersingular elliptic curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . There exists  $D_0 < 0$  such that for all fundamental discriminants  $D \leq D_0$  for which p is inert there is  $E \in CM_D$  such that  $\overline{E} \cong E \mod p$ .

In fact, Ph. Michel proves an effective equidistribution result for the natural (non-uniform) probability measure on  $\mathscr{S}_p$ .

## Our result

Elliptic curves Endomorphism rings Elliptic curves over C Reduction of CM curves

#### Theorem (Aka-L.-Michel-Wieser)

Let  $q_1, q_2, p_1, \ldots, p_s$  be distinct odd primes. There is  $D_0 < 0$  such that for any fundamental discriminant  $D \le D_0$  satisfying that

- $p_1, \ldots, p_s$  are inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  and
- $q_1, q_2$  are split in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$

the simultaneous reduction map

$$\operatorname{CM}_{D} \to \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathscr{S}_{p_{i}} \qquad E \mapsto (E \operatorname{mod} p_{1}, \ldots, E \operatorname{mod} p_{s})$$

is surjective.

In fact, we use a classification of joinings by Einsiedler and Lindenstrauss to prove an (ineffective) equidistribution result.

### (Optimal) embeddings and supersingular reduction

- Let D < 0 a fundamental discriminant, p inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .
- Let  $E \in CM_D$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{B}_{\infty,p} := \operatorname{End}(E \mod p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a quaternion algebra.
- $\mathcal{O} = \operatorname{End}(E \mod p)$  is a maximal order in  $\mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$ .
- The isomorphism class of  $\mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$  only depends on p.
- Reduction mod p gives embedding

$$\iota: \mathsf{End}(E) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{End}(E \bmod p),$$

i.e. an embedding

$$\iota:\mathfrak{o}_D\hookrightarrow\mathcal{O}.$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Embeddings and supersingular reduction From embeddings to equidistribution

#### Equivalence of embeddings

#### Definition

Let  $\iota_1, \iota_2 : \mathfrak{o}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$  embeddings. Then  $\iota_1 \sim \iota_2$  if

$$\exists u \in \mathcal{O}^{\times} \, \forall x \in \mathfrak{o}_D \quad \iota_2(x) = u\iota_1(x)u^{-1}.$$

We let  $h(\mathfrak{o}_D, \mathcal{O})$  be the number of equivalence classes of embeddings  $\iota : \mathfrak{o}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ .

### Deuring's theorem revisited

Consider the following version of Deuring's theorem, due to B. Gross and D. Zagier.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$  be a maximal order and  $\iota : \mathfrak{o}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$  an embedding. Then there exists a unique  $E \in \mathrm{CM}_D$  such that

 $\operatorname{End}(E \mod p) \cong \mathcal{O}$ 

and the embedding  $\iota_E : \operatorname{End}(E) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}(E \mod p)$  is equivalent to  $\iota$  under the isomorphism.

In the theorem, we use that there is a natural way to choose the isomorphism  $\mathfrak{o}_D \cong \operatorname{End}(E)$ .



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

# Counting embeddings

#### Recall: D < 0 is a fundamental discriminant and p inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .

Lemma (N. Elkies, K. Ono, and T. Yang)  
Let 
$$\overline{E} \in \mathscr{S}_p$$
 and  $\mathcal{O} = \operatorname{End}(\overline{E})$ . Then  
 $|\{E \in \operatorname{CM}_D : E \mod p \cong \overline{E}\}| = \frac{1}{2}h(\mathfrak{o}_D, \mathcal{O})$ 

### Surjectivity in one factor I

- By the lemma it suffices to prove that eventually  $h(\mathfrak{o}_D, \mathcal{O}) > 0$  for all maximal orders  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\infty, p}$ .
- Up to conjugacy,  ${\bf B}_{\infty,p}$  contains only finitely many maximal orders.
- For surjectivity, it suffices to prove that for all maximal orders  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$  eventually  $h(\mathfrak{o}_D, \mathcal{O}) > 0$ .
- For equidistribution we need to show that h(o<sub>D</sub>, O)/|Cl(o<sub>D</sub>)| has the right asymptotics.

### Surjectivity in one factor II

- Let  $\iota : \mathfrak{o}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$  an embedding.
- $\iota$  is completely determined by  $\iota(\sqrt{D})$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{O}^T = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O} : \operatorname{Tr}(x) = 0\}$  (Gross lattice). There is a one-to-one correspondence between embeddings  $\iota : \mathfrak{o}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and the set

$$\{v \in \mathcal{O}^T : v \text{ is primitive and } \operatorname{Nr}(v) = -D\}.$$

## Surjectivity in one factor III

Therefore the surjectivity of the reduction map is equivalent to the following.

#### Theorem

Let p prime,  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  the set of negative fundamental discriminants D s.t. p is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a maximal order in  $\mathbf{B}_{\infty,p}$ . There exists  $D_0 < 0$  such that for all  $D \in \mathcal{F}(p)$  we have

$$D < D_0 \implies -D \in \operatorname{Nr}(\mathcal{O}^T).$$

This follows from a theorem of Duke. Under additional congruence conditions, this admits a dynamic proof due to Linnik and Skubenko.

A B M A B M