## James Maynard and Ze'ev Rudnick

# A lower bound on the least common multiple of polynomial sequences

**Abstract.** For an irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree  $d \geq 2$ , Cilleruelo conjectured that the least common multiple of the values of the polynomial at the first N integers satisfies  $\log \operatorname{lcm}(f(1), \ldots, f(N)) \sim (d-1)N \log N$  as  $N \to \infty$ . This is only known for degree d=2. We give a lower bound for all degrees  $d \geq 2$  which is consistent with the conjecture:  $\log \operatorname{lcm}(f(1), \ldots, f(N)) \gg N \log N$ .

**Keywords.** Prime factor, polynomial, Chebotarev density Theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N32.

### 1 - The LCM problem

For a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with integer coefficients, set

$$L_f(N) := \text{lcm}\{f(n) : n = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

The goal is to understand the asymptotic growth of  $\log L_f(N)$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

It is a well known and elementary fact that the least common multiple of all integers  $1, 2, \ldots, N$  is exactly given by

$$\log \operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, N\} = \psi(N) := \sum_{n \le N} \Lambda(n)$$

with  $\Lambda(n)$  being the von Mangoldt function, and hence by the Prime Number Theorem,

$$\log \operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \sim N.$$

Received: October 28, 2019; accepted in revised form: March 19, 2020.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement  $n^{\circ}$  786758).

In the linear case  $\deg f = 1$ , we still have  $\log L_f(N) \sim c_f N$  from the Prime Number Theorem in arithmetic progressions [1]. A similar growth occurs for products of linear polynomials [6].

However, in the case of irreducible polynomials of higher degree, Cilleruelo [3] conjectured that the growth is faster than linear, precisely:

Conjecture 1.1. If f is an irreducible polynomial with deg  $f \geq 2$ , then

$$\log L_f(N) \sim (\deg f - 1)N \log N, \quad N \to \infty.$$

Cilleruelo proved Conjecture 1.1 for quadratic polynomials. No other case of Conjecture 1.1 is known to date.

Remark. An examination of Cilleruelo's argument shows that for any irreducible f of degree  $d \geq 3$ , we have an upper bound

$$\log L_f(N) \lesssim (d-1)N \log N.$$

Here  $f \lesssim g$  means that  $|f(x)| \leq (1 + o(1))g(x)$ .

In this note, we give a lower bound of the right order of magnitude:

Theorem 1.2. Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be irreducible, of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Then

$$\log L_f(N) \gg N \log N.$$

Remark. The argument gives that  $\log L_f(N) \gtrsim \frac{1}{d} N \log N$ .

Corollary 1.3. Suppose  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  has an irreducible factor of degree  $\geq 2$ , i.e. f(x) is not a product of linear polynomials (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Then

$$N \log N \ll \log L_f(N) \ll N \log N$$
.

This is because  $\max(\operatorname{lcm}\{a_n\}, \operatorname{lcm}\{b_m\}) \leq \operatorname{lcm}\{a_nb_n\} \leq \operatorname{lcm}\{a_n\} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\{b_m\}.$ 

Prior to this note, the only available bound was of size  $\gg N$ : Hong et al [5] show that  $\log L_f(N) \gg N$  for any polynomial with non-negative integer coefficients.

## 2 - Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $P^+(n)$  denote the largest prime factor of n. We will need a result on the greatest prime factor  $P^+(f(n))$  of f(n) ("Chebyshev's problem"). This is a well-studied subject, and we need a relatively simple bound, which we state here and explain in  $\S$  3:

Theorem 2.1. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be irreducible of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Then

$$P^+(f(n)) > n$$

for a positive proportion of integers n.

Remark. In fact one can show  $P^+(f(n)) > n$  for a proportion at least  $1 - \frac{1}{d}$  of integers n.

A result of this form goes back to T. Nagell in 1921 [7], though he did not state this with positive density, but instead with a better bound of  $n(\log n)^a$  for all a < 1. Once one gets that  $P^+(f(n)) > n$  holds on a set of positive density, one automatically obtains a better bound of  $P^+(f(n)) \gg n \log n$ , again in a set of positive density, see § 3. A form of Theorem 2.1 was given by Cassels [2] in 1960. The problem was studied by Erdős [4] in 1952, and in 1990 Tenenbaum [8] showed that  $P^+(f(n)) > n \exp((\log n)^a)$  infinitely often for all  $a < 2 - \log 4$ .

Alongside Theorem 2.1, we need the following simple lemma. Let

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ n \in \left[ \frac{N}{\log N}, N \right] : P^+(f(n)) > n \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Given a prime p, and for N sufficiently large in terms of f, the number of  $m \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $P^+(f(m)) = p$  is at most d.

Proof. If  $P^+(f(m)) = p$  then we must have

$$f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If  $m \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $P^+(f(m)) = p$  we must also have that  $N/\log N \leq m < p$ . Since  $p > N/\log N$  and N is sufficiently large in terms of f, we see that f is a non-zero polynomial modulo p. Therefore f has at most d roots modulo p, and all choices of m must be congruent to one of these roots. Since we only consider 0 < m < p, there is at most one choice of  $m \equiv a \pmod{p}$  for each root a modulo p, and so at most d choices of m.

#### 2.1 - Proof of Theorem 1.2

Given Theorem 2.1, we proceed as follows. The result is trivial for bounded N, so we may assume that N is sufficiently large in terms of f. By Theorem 2.1, there is an absolute constant c > 0 such that  $P^+(f(n)) > n$  for  $\gtrsim cN$  integers in [1, N], and so certainly  $\#\mathcal{N} \gtrsim cN$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ P^+(f(n)) : n \in \mathcal{N} \}$$

be the set of largest prime factors occurring. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$cN \lesssim \#\mathcal{N} = \sum_{n} \#\{n \in \mathcal{N} : P^{+}(f(n)) = p\} \le d\#\mathcal{P},$$

and so

$$\#\mathcal{P} \gtrsim \frac{cN}{d}$$
.

Moreover, by definition of  $\mathcal{N}$ , if  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $p > N/\log N$  and p|f(n) for some  $n \leq N$ . Therefore we have that

$$\log \operatorname{lcm}(f(1), \dots, f(N)) \ge \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \log p \ge \# \mathcal{P} \log \frac{N}{\log N}$$
$$\gtrsim \frac{cN}{d} \log N,$$

as claimed.

## 3 - Proof of Theorem 2.1

We begin by recording a simple bound on the number of times a prime p can divide values of f. Let  $\alpha_p(N)$  be the exponents in the prime factorization

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} |f(n)| = \prod_{p} p^{\alpha_p(N)}.$$

We then have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. Let  $\rho_f(m)$  denote the number of roots of f modulo m. Assume that f has no rational zeros. Let p be a prime,  $p \leq N$ .

Then if  $p \nmid \operatorname{disc} f$ , we have

(1) 
$$\alpha_p(N) = N \frac{\rho_f(p)}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right)$$

and if  $p \mid \operatorname{disc} f$ , we have

$$\alpha_p(N) \ll \frac{N}{p}.$$

Proof. Since f has no rational zeros,  $\prod_{n=1}^{N} f(n) \neq 0$  and so  $\alpha_p(N)$  is well defined. By definition,

$$\alpha_p(N) = \sum_{n \le N} \sum_{k \ge 1} \mathbf{1}(p^k \mid f(n)) = \sum_{1 \le k \le \frac{d \log N}{\log p}} \#\{n \le N : f(n) = 0 \bmod p^k\}.$$

To count the number  $\#\{n \leq N : f(n) = 0 \bmod p^k\}$ , divide the interval [1, N] into  $\lfloor N/p^k \rfloor$  consecutive intervals of length  $p^k$ , and a remaining interval. On each such interval of length  $p^k$ , the number of solutions of  $f(n) = p^k$  is the total number  $\rho_f(p^k)$  of solutions of this congruence. On the remaining interval, the number of solutions is not greater than that. Hence

$$\alpha_p(N) = \sum_{1 \le k \lesssim \frac{d \log N}{\log p}} \rho_f(p^k) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^k} \right\rfloor + O(1) \right).$$

By Hensel's lemma,  $\rho_f(p^k) = \rho_f(p)$  for  $p \nmid \operatorname{disc} f$ . Hence for  $p \nmid \operatorname{disc} f$ 

$$\alpha_p(N) = \sum_{1 \le k \lesssim \frac{d \log N}{\log p}} \rho_f(p) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^k} \right\rfloor + O(1) \right) = \rho_f(p) \left( \frac{N}{p-1} + O\left( \frac{\log N}{\log p} \right) \right).$$

For primes  $p \mid \text{disc } f$  dividing the discriminant of f, a more detailed examination gives the bound [7, Théorème II]

$$\rho_f(p^k) \le d(\operatorname{disc} f)^2 = O(1)$$

which gives for  $p \mid \operatorname{disc} f$ 

$$\alpha_p(N) \ll_f \sum_{1 \le k \le \frac{d \log N}{1 + p}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^k} \right\rfloor + O(1) \right) \ll \frac{N}{p},$$

as claimed.  $\Box$ 

Proof. Let  $N_- := N/\log N$ , and define the exceptional set  $\mathcal{E}(N) \subseteq (N_-, N]$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(N) := \{ N_- < n \le N : P^+(f(n)) \le n \}.$$

Let

$$Q(N) := \prod_{n \in \mathcal{E}(N)} |f(n)|.$$

We compute  $\log Q(N)$  in two ways:

Using  $\log |f(n)| \sim d \log n$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$\log Q(N) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}(N)} \log |f(n)| \sim \sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}(N)} d \log n.$$

Since  $\log n \sim \log N$  for  $n \in \mathcal{E}(N) \subseteq [N_-, N]$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}(N)} d\log n \sim d\log N \# \mathcal{E}(N)$$

so that

(2) 
$$\log Q(N) \sim d \log N \# \mathcal{E}(N).$$

On the other hand, write the prime power decomposition of Q(N) as

$$Q(N) = \prod_{n \in \mathcal{E}(N)} |f(n)| = \prod_{p} p^{\gamma_p(N)}.$$

Since  $P^+(f(n)) \le n \le N$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{E}(N)$ , only primes  $p \le N$  appear in the product. Thus

$$\log Q(N) = \sum_{p < N} \gamma_p(N) \log p.$$

We also have  $\gamma_p(N) \leq \alpha_p(N)$  where  $\prod_{n=1}^N |f(n)| = \prod_p p^{\alpha_p(N)}$ . Thus

$$\log Q(N) \le \sum_{p \le N} \alpha_p(N) \log p.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\log Q(N) \le \sum_{p \le N} \alpha_p(N) \log p$$

$$\le \sum_{p \le N} \left( N \frac{\rho_f(p)}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right) \right) \log p + O\left(\sum_{p \mid \text{disc } f} \frac{N \log p}{p}\right)$$

$$= N \sum_{p \le N} \frac{\rho_f(p) \log p}{p-1} + O\left(\pi(N) \log N\right) + O(N).$$

Now for f irreducible it follows from the Chebotarev density theorem (or earlier work of Kronecker or Frobenius) that (see [7, equation (4)]):

$$\sum_{p \le N} \frac{\rho_f(p) \log p}{p - 1} = \log N + O(1),$$

hence

$$\log Q(N) \le N \Big(\log N + O(1)\Big) + O(N) \sim N \log N.$$

Comparing with (2) gives

$$d \log N \# \mathcal{E}(N) \lesssim \log Q(N) \lesssim N \log N$$

and hence we obtain

$$\#\mathcal{E}(N) \lesssim \frac{1}{d}N.$$

Therefore

$$\#\{n \in [1, N] : P^+(f(n)) < n\} \le N_- + \#\mathcal{E}(N) \lesssim N_- + \frac{1}{d}N \lesssim \frac{1}{d}N,$$

that is the proportion of elements of [1, N] with  $P^+(f(n)) < n$  is at most 1/d.

We owe to Andrew Granville the following observation: Theorem 2.1 can be boot-strapped to give a slightly better result:

Corollary 3.2. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be irreducible of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Then for any  $\delta < 1/d^2$ ,

$$P^+(f(n)) > \delta n \log n$$

for a positive proportion of the integers.

Proof. Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed, and let

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ n \in \left[ \frac{N}{\log N}, N \right] : P^+(f(n)) < \delta n \log n \right\}.$$

Assume by contradiction that S has full density, that is  $\#S \sim N$  as  $N \to \infty$ . As before, let

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ \frac{N}{\log N} < n \le N : P^+(f(n)) > n \}.$$

We saw that  $\#\mathcal{N} \gtrsim \frac{1}{d}N$ . Since  $\#\mathcal{S} \sim N$  by assumption, we see that  $\#\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S} \gtrsim \frac{1}{d}N$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} := \{ P^+(f(n)) : n \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{N} \}$$

be the set of largest prime divisors arising from  $n \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S}$ . Then we saw in Lemma 2.2 that each prime  $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$  can occur at most d times as some  $P^+(f(m))$  for  $m \in \mathcal{N}$ , and so

$$\#\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \ge \frac{1}{d} \#\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S} \gtrsim \frac{1}{d^2} N.$$

On the other hand, since  $P^+(f(n)) < \delta n \log n$  for  $n \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{N}$ , we must have  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq [1, \delta N \log N]$ . Therefore

$$\#\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \le \pi(\delta N \log N) \sim \delta N$$

by the Prime Number Theorem. Thus

$$\frac{1}{d^2}N \lesssim \#\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \lesssim \delta N$$

which is a contradiction if  $\delta < 1/d^2$ .

A cknowledgments. We thank the referee for their careful reading of our paper.

#### References

- [1] P. BATEMAN, J. KALB and A. STENGER, A Limit Involving Least Common Multiples: 10797, Am. Math. Mon. 109 (2002), no. 4, 393–394.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels, Footnote to a note of Davenport and Heilbronn, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 177–184.
- [3] J. CILLERUELO, The least common multiple of a quadratic sequence, Compos. Math. 147 (2011), no. 4, 1129–1150.
- [4] P. Erdős, On the greatest prime factor of  $\prod_{k=1}^{x} f(k)$ , J. London Math. Soc. **27** (1952), 379–384.
- [5] S. Hong, Y. Luo, G. Qian and C. Wang, Uniform lower bound for the least common multiple of a polynomial sequence, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 351 (2013), no. 21-22, 781-785.
- [6] S. Hong, G. Qian and Q. Tan, The least common multiple of sequence of product of linear polynomials, Acta Math. Hungar. 135 (2012), no.1-2, 160–167.
- [7] T. NAGEL, Généralization d'un théorème de Tchebycheff, J. Math. Pures Appl. 4 (1921), 343–356.
- [8] G. TENENBAUM, Sur une question d'Erdős et Schinzel, II, Invent. Math. 99 (1990), 215–224.

James Maynard Mathematical Institute Radcliffe observatory quarter Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, England e-mail: james.alexander.maynard@gmail.com

ZE'EV RUDNICK Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv 69978, Israel e-mail: rudnick@tauex.tau.ac.il