# Convergence & Riemannian bounds on Lagrangian submanifolds

Jean-Philippe Chassé

Université de Montréal

Symplectic Zoominar CRM-Montréal, Princeton/IAS, Tel Aviv, and Paris October 8, 2021

### Setup

**Problematic:** Suppose that d is some symplectically significant metric between Lagrangians, e.g.  $d_H$ ,  $\gamma$ , or  $d_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$ . If  $\{L_n \subseteq M\}$  converges to  $L_0$  in d, how does  $L_n$  relate to  $L_0$  for n large?

### Setup

**Problematic:** Suppose that d is some symplectically significant metric between Lagrangians, e.g.  $d_H$ ,  $\gamma$ , or  $d_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$ . If  $\{L_n \subseteq M\}$  converges to  $L_0$  in d, how does  $L_n$  relate to  $L_0$  for n large?

**Idea:** If  $L_n \to L_0$  in Hausdorff metric, this implies some properties for  $L_n$  when n is large. Maybe we can ensure that this is the case.

### A problem with that idea

Take  $H_n(x,y) := \frac{1}{n}\sin(nx)$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2)$ . These functions generate Hamiltonian flows

$$f_n^t(x,y) = (x, y + t\cos(nx)).$$

Therefore, if we take

$$L_0 := \{y = 0\}$$
 and  $L_n := f_n^1(L_0) = \{(x, \cos(nx))\},\$ 

we will get  $d_H(L_0, L_n) = \frac{2}{n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ , even though the  $L_n$ 's get quite messy.

### A problem with that idea





### A problem with that idea



# **Counterpoint:** What if we only look at Lagrangians with bounded curvature?

### Outline

### 1 Definitions

- Symplectic topology
- Riemannian geometry

#### A conjecture of Cornea

- Statement of the conjecture
- Idea of the proof

### Plan

#### 1 Definitions

- Symplectic topology
- Riemannian geometry

#### 2 A conjecture of Cornea

- Statement of the conjecture
- Idea of the proof

•  $(M, \omega, J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.

- $(M,\omega,J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.
- $\mathscr{L}^{\star}(M) := \{ \text{closed connected Lagrangians in } M \text{ satisfying } \star \}$

- $(M, \omega, J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.
- $\mathscr{L}^\star(M) := \{ \text{closed connected Lagrangians in } M \text{ satisfying } \star \}$  where

(a) 
$$(\star = \mathbf{e})$$
:  $\omega = d\lambda$  and  $\lambda|_L = df$ ;

- $(M, \omega, J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.
- $\mathscr{L}^\star(M) := \{ \text{closed connected Lagrangians in } M \text{ satisfying } \star \}$  where

(a) 
$$(\star = \mathbf{e})$$
:  $\omega = d\lambda$  and  $\lambda|_L = df$ ;  
(b)  $(\star = \mathbf{we})$ :  $\omega = 0$  on  $\pi_2(M, L)$ ;

- $(M, \omega, J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.
- $\mathscr{L}^\star(M) := \{ \text{closed connected Lagrangians in } M \text{ satisfying } \star \}$  where

(a) 
$$(\star = \mathbf{e})$$
:  $\omega = d\lambda$  and  $\lambda|_L = df$ ;  
(b)  $(\star = \mathbf{we})$ :  $\omega = 0$  on  $\pi_2(M, L)$ ;  
(c)  $(\star = \mathbf{m}(\rho, \mathbf{d}))$ :  $\omega = \rho\mu$  on  $\pi_2(M, L)$ ,  $N_L \ge 2$  and  $d_L = \mathbf{d}$ ,

for  $\rho > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

- $(M, \omega, J)$  is a symplectic manifold with a compatible a.c.s., either closed or convex at infinity.
- $\mathscr{L}^\star(M) := \{ \text{closed connected Lagrangians in } M \text{ satisfying } \star \}$  where

(a) 
$$(\star = \mathbf{e})$$
:  $\omega = d\lambda$  and  $\lambda|_L = df$ ;  
(b)  $(\star = \mathbf{we})$ :  $\omega = 0$  on  $\pi_2(M, L)$ ;  
(c)  $(\star = \mathbf{m}(\rho, \mathbf{d}))$ :  $\omega = \rho\mu$  on  $\pi_2(M, L)$ ,  $N_L \ge 2$  and  $d_L = \mathbf{d}$ ,

for  $\rho > 0$  and  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

•  $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}' \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\star}(M)$  s.t.  $(\cup_{F \in \mathscr{F}} F) \cap (\cup_{F' \in \mathscr{F}'} F')$  is discrete.

### $J\text{-}\mathsf{adapted}$ metrics on $\mathscr{L}^\star(M)$

A  $J\operatorname{-adapted}$  pseudometric  $d^{\mathscr{F}}$  will be one of the following

- *d<sub>H</sub>*: Lagrangian Hofer metric;
- $\gamma$ : spectral norm;
- $d_S^{\mathscr{F}}$ : shadow pseudometric associated to  $\mathscr{F}$ ;
- $D^{\mathscr{F}}$ : (some) weighted fragmentation pseudometrics;
- ... and many variations on these themes.

Then  $\widehat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}:=\max\{d^{\mathscr{F}},d^{\mathscr{F}'}\}$  is a J-adapted metric.

### $J\text{-}\mathsf{adapted}$ metrics on $\mathscr{L}^\star(M)$

A  $J\text{-}\mathsf{adapted}$  pseudometric  $d^{\mathscr{F}}$  will be one of the following

- $d_H$ : Lagrangian Hofer metric;
- $\gamma$ : spectral norm;
- $d_S^{\mathscr{F}}$ : shadow pseudometric associated to  $\mathscr{F}$ ;
- $D^{\mathscr{F}}$ : (some) weighted fragmentation pseudometrics;
- ... and many variations on these themes.

Then  $\widehat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}:=\max\{d^{\mathscr{F}},d^{\mathscr{F}'}\}$  is a J-adapted metric.

The key property is that, for any  $x \in L \cup L'$ , there exists a *J*-holomorphic polygon  $u: S_r \to M$  with boundary along Lagrangians in  $\{L, L'\} \cup \mathscr{F}$  passing through x such that

$$\omega(u) \le d^{\mathscr{F}}(L,L').$$

#### Symplectic topology Riemannian geometry

### The second fundamental form

We fix the Riemannian metric  $g = g_J := \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ . Let  $\nabla$  denote its Levi-Civita connection.

#### Definition

The second fundamental form  $B_L$  of a submanifold L of M is given by

$$(B_L)_x \colon T_x L \otimes T_x L \otimes (T_x L)^{\perp} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(X, Y, N) \longmapsto g(\nabla_X Y, N)$$

Its norm is then defined to be

$$||B_L|| := \sup_{x \in L} |(B_L)_x|.$$

#### Symplectic topology Riemannian geometry

### The tameness condition

Definition (Sikorav, 1994; Groman-Solomon, 2014)

Let L be a submanifold of M, and let  $\varepsilon \in (0,1].$  We say that L is  $\varepsilon\text{-tame}$  if

$$\frac{d_M(x,y)}{\min\{1,d_L(x,y)\}} \ge \varepsilon \qquad \forall x \neq y \in L,$$

where  $d_M$  is the distance function on M induced by g, and  $d_L$  is the distance function on L induced by  $g|_L$ .

#### Symplectic topology Riemannian geometry

### The tameness condition

Definition (Sikorav, 1994; Groman-Solomon, 2014)

Let L be a submanifold of M, and let  $\varepsilon\in(0,1].$  We say that L is  $\varepsilon\text{-tame}$  if

$$\frac{d_M(x,y)}{\min\{1,d_L(x,y)\}} \ge \varepsilon \qquad \forall x \neq y \in L,$$

where  $d_M$  is the distance function on M induced by g, and  $d_L$  is the distance function on L induced by  $g|_L$ .

For  $\Lambda \geq 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0,1],$  we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda}(M) &:= \{ L \in \mathscr{L}^{\star}(M) |||B_L|| \leq \Lambda \} \\ \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}(M) &:= \{ L \in \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda}(M) |L \text{ is } \varepsilon \text{-tame} \}. \end{aligned}$$

### Plan

### 1 Definitions

- Symplectic topology
- Riemannian geometry

#### A conjecture of Cornea

- Statement of the conjecture
- Idea of the proof

### A conjecture

#### Conjecture (Cornea, 2018)

Let  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$  be a *J*-adapted metric. Take  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda}(M)$  for some fixed  $\Lambda \geq 0$ . If  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$ , then  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in the Hausdorff metric  $\delta$  induced by g.

### A conjecture

#### Conjecture (Cornea, 2018)

Let  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$  be a *J*-adapted metric. Take  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda}(M)$  for some fixed  $\Lambda \geq 0$ . If  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$ , then  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in the Hausdorff metric  $\delta$  induced by g.

#### Theorem (C., 2021)

The corresponding conjecture on  $\mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}(M)$  holds. Furthermore, if  $\dim M = 2$ , the conjecture holds as stated.

### A conjecture

#### Conjecture (Cornea, 2018)

Let  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$  be a *J*-adapted metric. Take  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda}(M)$  for some fixed  $\Lambda \geq 0$ . If  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}$ , then  $L_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} L_0$  in the Hausdorff metric  $\delta$  induced by g.

### Theorem (C., 2021)

The corresponding conjecture on  $\mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}(M)$  holds. Furthermore, if  $\dim M = 2$ , the conjecture holds as stated.

#### Remarks

The condition that  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^*_{\Lambda}(M)$  for a fixed  $\Lambda$  depends on J, but the condition that  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^*_{\Lambda}(M)$  for some  $\Lambda$  does not.

### A corollary

#### Theorem (Perelman's stability theorem, 1991)

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of compact *n*-dimensional Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded from below by  $\kappa$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} X_0$  in Gromov-Hausdorff metric, then  $X_n$  is homeomorphic to  $X_0$  for *n* large.

### A corollary

#### Theorem (Perelman's stability theorem, 1991)

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of compact *n*-dimensional Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded from below by  $\kappa$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} X_0$  in Gromov-Hausdorff metric, then  $X_n$  is homeomorphic to  $X_0$  for *n* large.

#### Corollary (C., 2021)

If  $\{L_n\} \subseteq \mathscr{L}^{\star}_{\Lambda,\varepsilon}(M)$  converges in some *J*-adapted metric to  $L_0$  embedded, then  $L_n$  is homeomorphic to  $L_0$  for n large.

### 1) The key property

By the key property, for any  $x \in L_0 - (L_n \cup (\cup F))$  and  $x' \in L_n - (L_0 \cup (\cup F))$ , we get *J*-holomorphic polygons u and u' passing through x and x', respectively — modulo arbitrarily small perturbations such that

$$\omega(u), \omega(u') \le 2d^{\mathscr{F}}(L_n, L_0).$$

### 1) The key property

By the key property, for any  $x \in L_0 - (L_n \cup (\cup F))$  and  $x' \in L_n - (L_0 \cup (\cup F))$ , we get *J*-holomorphic polygons u and u' passing through x and x', respectively — modulo arbitrarily small perturbations such that

$$\omega(u), \omega(u') \le 2d^{\mathscr{F}}(L_n, L_0).$$

We have a similar statement for  $d^{\mathscr{F}'}(L_n, L_0)$ .

### 2) The monotonicity lemma

#### Proposition

Consider a nonconstant J-holomorphic curve  $u: (\Sigma, \partial \Sigma) \rightarrow (B(x, r), \partial B(x, r) \cup L)$  for some  $x \in L$  and  $r \leq \delta_0$  such that  $x \in u(\Sigma)$ . Then,

$$\omega(u) \ge Cr^2,$$

where  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(M, \Lambda) > 0$  and  $C = C(M, \varepsilon) > 0$ .

### 2) The monotonicity lemma

#### Proposition

Consider a nonconstant J-holomorphic curve  $u: (\Sigma, \partial \Sigma) \rightarrow (B(x, r), \partial B(x, r) \cup L)$  for some  $x \in L$  and  $r \leq \delta_0$  such that  $x \in u(\Sigma)$ . Then,

$$\omega(u) \ge Cr^2,$$

where  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(M, \Lambda) > 0$  and  $C = C(M, \varepsilon) > 0$ .

This allows to get a lower bound on  $\omega(u)$  and  $\omega(u')$  in terms of M,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , and the distances  $d_M(x, L_n \cup (\cup F))$  and  $d_M(x', L_0 \cup (\cup F))$ .

# 3) The condition on $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$

Using the fact that  $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$  is discrete, it is possible to turn the dependence on the different distances onto one on the Hausdorff distance  $\delta_H(L_n, L_0)$ .

# 3) The condition on $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$

Using the fact that  $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$  is discrete, it is possible to turn the dependence on the different distances onto one on the Hausdorff distance  $\delta_H(L_n, L_0)$ .

The fact that  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}(L_n,L_0) \to 0$  then forces that  $\delta_H(L_n,L_0) \to 0$ .

# 3) The condition on $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$

Using the fact that  $(\overline{\cup F}) \cap (\overline{\cup F'})$  is discrete, it is possible to turn the dependence on the different distances onto one on the Hausdorff distance  $\delta_H(L_n, L_0)$ .

The fact that  $\hat{d}^{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}'}(L_n,L_0) \to 0$  then forces that  $\delta_H(L_n,L_0) \to 0$ .

#### Remarks

Only Step 2 changes when  $\dim M = 2$ : we then prove that curves have a "nice" osculating disk and use an absolute version of the monotonicity lemma on it.

### Thank you for your attention!