The Toda lattice, billiards and symplectic geometry

Vinicius G. B. Ramos

IMPA (Rio de Janeiro) and IAS (Princeton)

Let
$$\omega = \sum_i dq_i \wedge dp_i \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Let
$$\omega = \sum_i dq_i \wedge dp_i \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Question 1

Given $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, does there exist a diffeomorphism $\varphi: X_1 \to X_2$ such that

$$\varphi^*\omega = \omega?$$

Let
$$\omega = \sum_i dq_i \wedge dp_i \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Question 1

Given $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, does there exist a diffeomorphism $\varphi: X_1 \to X_2$ such that

$$\varphi^*\omega = \omega?$$

Question 2 Given $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, does there exist an embedding $\varphi : X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2$ such that $\varphi^* \omega = \omega$?

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

If $\varphi^*\omega = \omega$, then $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = \omega^n$.

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

If $\varphi^*\omega = \omega$, then $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = \omega^n$. Let

$$B^{2n}(r) = \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid |q|^2 + |p|^2 < r^2\}$$

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

If $\varphi^*\omega = \omega$, then $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = \omega^n$. Let

$$egin{split} B^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid |q|^2 + |p|^2 < r^2\}\ Z^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid q_1^2 + p_1^2 < r^2\} \end{split}$$

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

If $\varphi^* \omega = \omega$, then $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = \omega^n$. Let

$$egin{aligned} B^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid |q|^2 + |p|^2 < r^2\}\ Z^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid q_1^2 + p_1^2 < r^2\} = B^2(r) imes \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega = n! \, dq_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_n.$$

If $\varphi^* \omega = \omega$, then $\varphi^*(\omega^n) = \omega^n$. Let

$$egin{aligned} B^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid |q|^2 + |p|^2 < r^2\}\ Z^{2n}(r) &= \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid q_1^2 + p_1^2 < r^2\} = B^2(r) imes \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$



Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem, 1985

$$B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(R) \iff r \leq R.$$

Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem, 1985

$$B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(R) \iff r \leq R.$$



Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem, 1985

$$B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(R) \iff r \leq R.$$



$$B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{Z}^{2n}(\varepsilon) = \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid q_1^2 + q_2^2 < \varepsilon^2\}, \qquad \forall r, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem, 1985

$$B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(R) \iff r \leq R.$$



 $B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{Z}^{2n}(\varepsilon) = \{(q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid q_1^2 + q_2^2 < \varepsilon^2\}, \qquad \forall r, \varepsilon > 0.$

$$\omega = \sum_i dq_i \wedge dp_i.$$

Definition

Definition

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,

Definition

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,

$$\blacktriangleright X_1 \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X_2 \Rightarrow c(X_1) \leq c(X_2),$$

Definition

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,

$$\blacktriangleright X_1 \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X_2 \Rightarrow c(X_1) \leq c(X_2),$$

•
$$c(B^{2n}(r)) > 0$$
 and $c(Z^{2n}(r)) < \infty$.

Definition

A symplectic capacity is a function $c: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to [0, +\infty]$ satisfying

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,

$$\blacktriangleright X_1 \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X_2 \Rightarrow c(X_1) \leq c(X_2),$$

•
$$c(B^{2n}(r)) > 0$$
 and $c(Z^{2n}(r)) < \infty$.

c is said to be normalized if

Definition

A symplectic capacity is a function $c: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to [0, +\infty]$ satisfying

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,
• $X_1 \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X_2 \Rightarrow c(X_1) \le c(X_2)$,
• $c(B^{2n}(r)) > 0$ and $c(Z^{2n}(r)) < \infty$.

c is said to be normalized if

$$c(B^{2n}(r)) = c(Z^{2n}(r)) = \pi r^2.$$

Definition

A symplectic capacity is a function $c:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to [0,+\infty]$ satisfying

•
$$c(rX) = r^2 c(X)$$
 for all $r > 0$,
• $X_1 \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X_2 \Rightarrow c(X_1) \le c(X_2)$,
• $c(B^{2n}(r)) > 0$ and $c(Z^{2n}(r)) < \infty$.

c is said to be normalized if

$$c(B^{2n}(r)) = c(Z^{2n}(r)) = \pi r^2.$$

The existence of a normalized symplectic capacity is equivalent to Gromov's nonsqueezing theorem.

The simplest capacities are

The simplest capacities are

$$c_{Gr}(X) = \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}),$$

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

Other examples of normalized capacities:

First Ekeland-Hofer capacity c_1^{EH} (1989),

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

- First Ekeland-Hofer capacity c_1^{EH} (1989),
- Hofer-Zehnder capacity c_{HZ} (1994),

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

- First Ekeland-Hofer capacity c_1^{EH} (1989),
- Hofer-Zehnder capacity c_{HZ} (1994),
- ► Floer-Hofer capacity c_{SH} (1994),

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

- First Ekeland-Hofer capacity c_1^{EH} (1989),
- Hofer-Zehnder capacity c_{HZ} (1994),
- ► Floer-Hofer capacity c_{SH} (1994),
- First contact homology capacity c_1^{CH} (Gutt-Hutchings 2018),

The simplest capacities are

$$\begin{split} c_{Gr}(X) &= \sup\{\pi r^2 \mid B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X\} \quad (\text{Gromov width}), \\ c_Z(X) &= \inf\{\pi r^2 \mid X \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} Z^{2n}(r)\} \quad (\text{cylindrical capacity}). \end{split}$$

It is easy to check that if c is a normalized capacity, then

$$c_{Gr}(X) \leq c(X) \leq c_Z(X).$$

- First Ekeland-Hofer capacity c_1^{EH} (1989),
- Hofer-Zehnder capacity c_{HZ} (1994),
- ► Floer-Hofer capacity c_{SH} (1994),
- First contact homology capacity c_1^{CH} (Gutt-Hutchings 2018),
- First embedded contact homology capacity c₁^{ECH} (Hutchings 2011) only in dimension 4.

The Viterbo conjecture

Exercise

For any compact set X,

$$\frac{c_{Gr}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

The Viterbo conjecture

Exercise

For any compact set X,

$$\frac{c_{Gr}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Idea: If $c_{Gr}(X) = \pi r^2$, then $(1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X$.

The Viterbo conjecture

Exercise

For any compact set X,

$$\frac{c_{Gr}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Idea: If
$$c_{Gr}(X) = \pi r^2$$
, then $(1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X$.
So $\operatorname{vol}((1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r)) \leq \operatorname{vol}(X)$.
The Viterbo conjecture

Exercise

For any compact set X,

$$\frac{c_{Gr}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Idea: If
$$c_{Gr}(X) = \pi r^2$$
, then $(1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X$.
So $\operatorname{vol}((1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r)) \leq \operatorname{vol}(X)$.

Conjecture (Viterbo)

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a compact and convex set and c is a normalized symplectic capacity, then

$$\frac{c(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

The Viterbo conjecture

Exercise

For any compact set X,

$$\frac{c_{Gr}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Idea: If
$$c_{Gr}(X) = \pi r^2$$
, then $(1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X$.
So $\operatorname{vol}((1 - \epsilon)B^{2n}(r)) \leq \operatorname{vol}(X)$.

Conjecture (Viterbo)

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a compact and convex set and c is a normalized symplectic capacity, then

$$\frac{c(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Moreover equality holds if, and only if, int(X) is symplectomorphic to a ball.

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, let $A_{min}(X)$ denote the shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit on ∂X .

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, let $A_{min}(X)$ denote the shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit on ∂X .

Theorem (EH, HZ, Abbondandolo–Kang, Irie) If X is a compact and convex set with smooth boundary, then

$$c_1^{EH}(X) = c_{HZ}(X) = c_{SH}(X) = c_1^{CH}(X) = A_{min}(X).$$

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, let $A_{min}(X)$ denote the shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit on ∂X .

Theorem (EH, HZ, Abbondandolo–Kang, Irie) If X is a compact and convex set with smooth boundary, then

$$c_1^{EH}(X) = c_{HZ}(X) = c_{SH}(X) = c_1^{CH}(X) = A_{min}(X).$$

Weak Viterbo conjecture

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, then

$$\frac{c_{HZ}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, let $A_{min}(X)$ denote the shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit on ∂X .

Theorem (EH, HZ, Abbondandolo–Kang, Irie) If X is a compact and convex set with smooth boundary, then

$$c_1^{EH}(X) = c_{HZ}(X) = c_{SH}(X) = c_1^{CH}(X) = A_{min}(X).$$

Weak Viterbo conjecture

If X is a compact and convex set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with smooth boundary, then

$$\frac{c_{HZ}(X)^n}{n!} \le \operatorname{vol}(X).$$

Moreover equality holds iff $int(X) \cong B^{2n}$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an origin-symmetric, compact and convex set.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an origin-symmetric, compact and convex set. Its polar body K° is defined by

$$K^{\circ} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in K \}.$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an origin-symmetric, compact and convex set. Its polar body K° is defined by

$$K^{\circ} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in K \}.$$

Conjecture (Mahler 1939)

$$M(K) = \operatorname{vol}(K) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(K^{\circ}) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an origin-symmetric, compact and convex set. Its polar body K° is defined by

$$K^{\circ} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in K \}.$$

Conjecture (Mahler 1939)

$$M(K) = \operatorname{vol}(K) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(K^{\circ}) \geq \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Equality is attained for the hypercube $K = I^n$.

The Hanner polytopes are the elements of the set generated by an interval [-1,1] and the operations \times and $^\circ.$

The Hanner polytopes are the elements of the set generated by an interval [-1,1] and the operations \times and $^\circ.$



The Hanner polytopes are the elements of the set generated by an interval [-1,1] and the operations \times and $^\circ.$



If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Hanner polytope, then

$$M(K)=\frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

The Hanner polytopes are the elements of the set generated by an interval [-1,1] and the operations \times and $^\circ.$



If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Hanner polytope, then

$$M(K)=\frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Conjecture

M(K) is minimized precisely by the Hanner polytopes.

Mahler's conjecture

Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) *The weak Viterbo conjecture implies the Mahler conjecture.*

Mahler's conjecture

Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) *The weak Viterbo conjecture implies the Mahler conjecture.*

 $\mathsf{Viterbo} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Weak} \; \mathsf{Viterbo} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Mahler}$

Let
$$K \times T = \{ (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \mathbf{q} \in K \text{ and } \mathbf{p} \in T \}.$$

$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$

$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$



$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$



$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$



$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$



$$X_{H} = -J\nabla H = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ on } & K \times \partial T \\ -\sum_{i} \nu_{\mathbf{q}}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \text{ on } & \partial K \times T. \end{cases}$$



Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014)

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set.

Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set. Then

Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set. Then



Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set. Then



Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set. Then



Theorem (Artstein-Avidan, Karasev, Ostrover 2014) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric compact convex set. Then



Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture.

Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture. More generally, if K is a Hanner polytope,

Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture.

More generally, if K is a Hanner polytope, $K \times K^{\circ}$ always does.

Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture. More generally, if K is a Hanner polytope, $K \times K^\circ$ always does.

Theorem (R.– Sepe, 2019) $l^n \times (l^n)^\circ$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.

Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture. More generally, if K is a Hanner polytope, $K \times K^\circ$ always does.

Theorem (R.– Sepe, 2019)

 $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.

Conjecture

For any Hanner polytope, $K \times K^{\circ}$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.
Proposition

The product $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ = B^n_\infty \times B^n_1$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture. More generally, if K is a Hanner polytope, $K \times K^\circ$ always does.

Theorem (R.– Sepe, 2019)

 $I^n \times (I^n)^\circ$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.

Conjecture

For any Hanner polytope, $K \times K^{\circ}$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.

Proposition

The product $riangle \times riangle$ satisfies the equality in Viterbo's conjecture.

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i q_i = 0, \; q_i - q_{i+1} < 1 \; ext{for all } i
ight\},$$

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i q_i = 0, \ q_i - q_{i+1} < 1 \text{ for all } i \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{R}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i p_i = 0, \ \max_i p_i - \min_i p_i < 1 \right\}.$$

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i q_i = 0, \ q_i - q_{i+1} < 1 \text{ for all } i \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{R}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i p_i = 0, \ \max_i p_i - \min_i p_i < 1 \right\}.$$

Remark

 $\Delta^2=\bigtriangleup \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^2=\bigcirc.$

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i q_i = 0, \ q_i - q_{i+1} < 1 \text{ for all } i \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{R}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i p_i = 0, \ \max_i p_i - \min_i p_i < 1 \right\}.$$

Remark

 $\Delta^2 = \bigtriangleup \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^2 = \bigcirc.$

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe 2023)

 $\Delta^n \times \mathcal{R}^n$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i q_i = 0, \ q_i - q_{i+1} < 1 \text{ for all } i \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{R}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i p_i = 0, \ \max_i p_i - \min_i p_i < 1 \right\}.$$

Remark

 $\Delta^2 = \bigtriangleup \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^2 = \bigcirc.$

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe 2023)

 $\Delta^n \times \mathcal{R}^n$ is symplectomorphic to a ball.



Toric domains

Definition

A toric domain $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a set of the form $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} = \mu^{-1}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset [0, \infty)^n$ is an open set and

$$\mu: \mathbb{C}^n \to [0,\infty)^n \quad \mu(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = (\pi |z_1|^2,\ldots,\pi |z_n|^2)$$

Toric domains

Definition

A toric domain $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a set of the form $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} = \mu^{-1}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset [0, \infty)^n$ is an open set and

$$\mu:\mathbb{C}^n\to [0,\infty)^n\quad \mu(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=(\pi|z_1|^2,\ldots,\pi|z_n|^2)$$

Example (Cylinder)



 $Z(a) := \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \, | \, \pi | z_1 |^2 \leq a
ight\}$

Toric domains

Definition

A toric domain $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a set of the form $\mathbb{X}_{\Omega} = \mu^{-1}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset [0, \infty)^n$ is an open set and

$$\mu:\mathbb{C}^n\to [0,\infty)^n\quad \mu(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=(\pi|z_1|^2,\ldots,\pi|z_n|^2)$$

Example (Cylinder)

Example (Ellipsoid)



Definition Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i} p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

Definition

Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

• A is symmetric if A is S_{n+1} -invariant.

Definition

Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i} p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

- A is symmetric if A is S_{n+1} -invariant.
- A is balanced if A is symmetric and for $(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}) \in A$,

convex hull $\{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(n+1)}) \mid \sigma \in S_{n+1}\} \subset A.$

Definition

Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i} p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

• A is symmetric if A is S_{n+1} -invariant.

• A is balanced if A is symmetric and for $(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}) \in A$,

convex hull{ $(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(n+1)}) \mid \sigma \in S_{n+1}$ } $\subset A$.

Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [0,\infty)^n$ defined by

$$\rho(p_1,\ldots,p_{n+1})=(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

Definition

Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i} p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

• A is symmetric if A is S_{n+1} -invariant.

• A is balanced if A is symmetric and for $(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}) \in A$,

convex hull $\{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(n+1)}) \mid \sigma \in S_{n+1}\} \subset A.$

Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [0,\infty)^n$ defined by

$$\rho(p_1,\ldots,p_{n+1})=(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ such that $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$.

Definition

Let $A \subset \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i} p_i = 0\}$ be relatively open and star-shaped.

• A is symmetric if A is S_{n+1} -invariant.

• A is balanced if A is symmetric and for $(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}) \in A$,

convex hull $\{(p_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, p_{\sigma(n+1)}) \mid \sigma \in S_{n+1}\} \subset A.$

Let $\rho: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [0,\infty)^n$ defined by

$$\rho(p_1,\ldots,p_{n+1})=(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ such that $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$.

If A is symmetric, then A is determined by $\rho(A)$.

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe, 2023)

• If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1+\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A.$$

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe, 2023)

• If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1+\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A.$$

► If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe, 2023)

• If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1+\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A.$$

• If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

Corollary

The ball is symplectomorphic to $\Delta^n \times \mathcal{R}^n$.

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe, 2023)

• If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1+\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A.$$

• If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

Corollary

The ball is symplectomorphic to $\Delta^n \times \mathcal{R}^n$.

Proof.

We first note that \mathcal{R}^n is balanced.

Theorem (Ostrover-R.-Sepe, 2023)

• If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1-\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1+\epsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A.$$

• If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

Corollary

The ball is symplectomorphic to $\Delta^n \times \mathcal{R}^n$.

Proof.

We first note that \mathcal{R}^n is balanced. If $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \rho(\mathbf{p})$, then $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = p_{\sigma(1)} - p_{\sigma(2)} + \cdots + p_{\sigma(n)} - p_{\sigma(n+1)} = \max_i p_i - \min_i p_i$.

Examples



a < b < 2a b = 2a b > 2a

Figure: The domain A for which $\mathbb{X}_{\rho(A)}$ is the ellipsoid E(a, b).

Examples



a < b < 2a b = 2a b > 2a

Figure: The domain A for which $\mathbb{X}_{\rho(A)}$ is the ellipsoid E(a, b).



Figure: The domain A for which $\mathbb{X}_{\rho(A)}$ is P(1,1) and P(1,3)

Specific examples:

The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover– R. 2022)

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover– R. 2022)
- The unit disk bundle D*S²₊ is symplectomorphic to B(2π). (Ferreira– R. 2022)

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover- R. 2022)
- The unit disk bundle D*S²₊ is symplectomorphic to B(2π). (Ferreira– R. 2022)
- D^{*}(S² \ {x}) is symplectomorphic to P(2π, 2π). (Ferreira− R. 2022)

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover– R. 2022)
- The unit disk bundle D*S²₊ is symplectomorphic to B(2π). (Ferreira– R. 2022)
- D^{*}(S² \ {x}) is symplectomorphic to P(2π, 2π). (Ferreira− R. 2022)
- D*(E²(1,1,a) \ {(0,0,a)}) is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ferreira−R.−Vicente, 2023)

Specific examples:

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover– R. 2022)
- The unit disk bundle D*S²₊ is symplectomorphic to B(2π). (Ferreira– R. 2022)
- D^{*}(S² \ {x}) is symplectomorphic to P(2π, 2π). (Ferreira− R. 2022)
- D*(E²(1,1,a) \ {(0,0,a)}) is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ferreira−R.−Vicente, 2023)

Large class of examples:

► The Lagrangian product of the hypercube Iⁿ and an Iⁿ-balanced region in ℝ²ⁿ is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (R.- Sepe, 2019)

Specific examples:

- The Lagrangian bidisk D² × D² ⊂ ℝ⁴ is symplectomorphic to a concave toric domain. (R. 2017)
- The L^p sum of two disks is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ostrover– R. 2022)
- The unit disk bundle D*S²₊ is symplectomorphic to B(2π). (Ferreira– R. 2022)
- D^{*}(S² \ {x}) is symplectomorphic to P(2π, 2π). (Ferreira− R. 2022)
- D*(E²(1,1,a) \ {(0,0,a)}) is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (Ferreira−R.−Vicente, 2023)

Large class of examples:

► The Lagrangian product of the hypercube Iⁿ and an Iⁿ-balanced region in ℝ²ⁿ is symplectomorphic to a toric domain. (R.- Sepe, 2019)

The Toda lattice

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}e^{q_i-q_{i+1}}.$$

The Toda lattice

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}e^{q_i-q_{i+1}}.$$

Hamiltonian system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}_i = p_i \ \dot{p}_i = e^{q_{i-1}-q_i} - e^{q_i-q_{i+1}}. \end{cases}$$

The Toda lattice

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}e^{q_i-q_{i+1}}.$$

Hamiltonian system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{q}_i = p_i \ \dot{p}_i = e^{q_{i-1}-q_i} - e^{q_i-q_{i+1}}. \end{cases}$$

A deformation of the Toda lattice

For c > 0, let

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i-q_{i+1})}.$$

A deformation of the Toda lattice

For c > 0, let

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_i^2 + ce^{-c}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}e^{c(q_i-q_{i+1})}$$

As $c
ightarrow \infty$, the potential converges to

A deformation of the Toda lattice

For c > 0, let

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i - q_{i+1})}$$

As $c
ightarrow \infty$, the potential converges to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0, \text{ if } q_i-q_{i+1}<1, \text{ for all } i=1,\ldots,n, \end{array}\right.$$
A deformation of the Toda lattice

For c > 0, let

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}p_i^2 + ce^{-c}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}e^{c(q_i-q_{i+1})}.$$

As $c
ightarrow \infty$, the potential converges to

$$\begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } q_i - q_{i+1} < 1, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \infty, \text{ if } q_i - q_{i+1} \ge 1, \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

A deformation of the Toda lattice

For c > 0, let

$$H_{c}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{i}^{2} + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_{i}-q_{i+1})}.$$

As $c
ightarrow \infty$, the potential converges to

$$\begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } q_i - q_{i+1} < 1, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \infty, \text{ if } q_i - q_{i+1} \ge 1, \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

The flow of X_{H_c} converges to the billiard flow in

$$\{\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid q_i-q_{i+1}<1, \text{ for all } i=1,\ldots,n\}.$$

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}.$$

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}.$$

Flaschka coordinates:

$$a_i = e^{rac{1}{2}(q_i - q_{i+1})}, \quad b_i = -p_i.$$

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}.$$

Flaschka coordinates:

$$a_i = e^{rac{1}{2}(q_i - q_{i+1})}, \quad b_i = -p_i.$$

Hamiltonian system:

$$H(a,b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2$$

$$H(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}.$$

Flaschka coordinates:

$$a_i = e^{rac{1}{2}(q_i - q_{i+1})}, \quad b_i = -p_i.$$

Hamiltonian system:

$$H(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2$$
$$\begin{cases} \dot{b}_i = a_i^2 - a_{i-1}^2\\ \dot{a}_i = \frac{1}{2} a_i (b_{i+1} - b_i). \end{cases}$$

Lax pair formulation

There exists a Lax pair (L, B) such that the Hamiltonian system above is equivalent to $\dot{L} = [L, B]$,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n+1} \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

.

Lax pair formulation

There exists a Lax pair (L, B) such that the Hamiltonian system above is equivalent to $\dot{L} = [L, B]$,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n+1} \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

.

Proposition

The spectrum of L is preserved by the flow of the system.

Lax pair formulation

There exists a Lax pair (L, B) such that the Hamiltonian system above is equivalent to $\dot{L} = [L, B]$,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n+1} \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition

The spectrum of *L* is preserved by the flow of the system.

Theorem (Hénon 1973)

The Toda lattice is completely integrable.

Fix (M^{2n}, ω) and let $F = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{H_i, H_j\} = 0$ for all i, j.

Fix (M^{2n}, ω) and let $F = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{H_i, H_j\} = 0$ for all i, j.

If c ∈ ℝⁿ is a regular value of F and F⁻¹(c) is compact and connected, then F⁻¹(c) ≅ Tⁿ.

Fix (M^{2n}, ω) and let $F = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{H_i, H_j\} = 0$ for all i, j.

- ▶ If $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular value of F and $F^{-1}(c)$ is compact and connected, then $F^{-1}(c) \cong \mathbb{T}^n$.
- Let U be an open set such that F(U) is simply-connected and does not contain critical values.

Fix (M^{2n}, ω) and let $F = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{H_i, H_i\} = 0$ for all i, j.

F

- ▶ If $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular value of F and $F^{-1}(c)$ is compact and connected, then $F^{-1}(c) \cong \mathbb{T}^n$.
- Let U be an open set such that F(U) is simply-connected and does not contain critical values. Then there exists a diffeomorphism φ : F(U) → Ω and a symplectomorphism Φ : U → X_Ω such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \stackrel{\Phi}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{X}_{\Omega} \\ \downarrow_{F} & & \downarrow_{\mu} \\ F(U) & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & \Omega \end{array}$$

Fix (M^{2n}, ω) and let $F = (H_1, \ldots, H_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{H_i, H_i\} = 0$ for all i, j.

- ▶ If $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular value of F and $F^{-1}(c)$ is compact and connected, then $F^{-1}(c) \cong \mathbb{T}^n$.
- Let U be an open set such that F(U) is simply-connected and does not contain critical values. Then there exists a diffeomorphism φ : F(U) → Ω and a symplectomorphism Φ : U → X_Ω such that the following diagram commutes.

• The map ϕ can be obtained by action coordinates:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{c}) = \left(\oint_{\gamma_1^c} \lambda, \dots, \oint_{\gamma_n^c} \lambda\right).$$

Difference equation related to the eigenvalue problem of L:

$$a_{k-1}y_{k-1}(\lambda) + b_k y_k(\lambda) + a_k y_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lambda y_k(\lambda).$$

Difference equation related to the eigenvalue problem of L:

$$a_{k-1}y_{k-1}(\lambda) + b_k y_k(\lambda) + a_k y_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lambda y_k(\lambda).$$

Let $y_k(\lambda)$ be the solution to this difference equation such that $y_0 \equiv 1$ and $y_1 \equiv 0$.

Difference equation related to the eigenvalue problem of L:

$$a_{k-1}y_{k-1}(\lambda) + b_k y_k(\lambda) + a_k y_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lambda y_k(\lambda).$$

Let $y_k(\lambda)$ be the solution to this difference equation such that $y_0 \equiv 1$ and $y_1 \equiv 0$. Then $y_k(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree k - 2.

Difference equation related to the eigenvalue problem of L:

$$a_{k-1}y_{k-1}(\lambda) + b_k y_k(\lambda) + a_k y_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lambda y_k(\lambda).$$

Let $y_k(\lambda)$ be the solution to this difference equation such that $y_0 \equiv 1$ and $y_1 \equiv 0$. Then $y_k(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree k - 2.

Let $\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n$ be the roots of $y_{n+2}(\lambda)$ and let $f_i = -\log |y_{n+1}(\mu_i)|$.

Difference equation related to the eigenvalue problem of L:

$$a_{k-1}y_{k-1}(\lambda) + b_k y_k(\lambda) + a_k y_{k+1}(\lambda) = \lambda y_k(\lambda).$$

Let $y_k(\lambda)$ be the solution to this difference equation such that $y_0 \equiv 1$ and $y_1 \equiv 0$. Then $y_k(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree k - 2.

Let
$$\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n$$
 be the roots of $y_{n+2}(\lambda)$ and let $f_i = -\log |y_{n+1}(\mu_i)|$.

Theorem (Flaschka–McLaughlin, van Moerbeke, Moser) The map $\{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} | \sum_{i} p_i = \sum_{i} q_i = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by $(q, p) \mapsto (f_1, \dots, f_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ is a symplectomorphism.

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

 λ is an eigenvalue of $L \iff D(\lambda) = 2$.

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

$$\lambda$$
 is an eigenvalue of $L \iff D(\lambda) = 2$.

Theorem (van Moerbeke)

Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2n+2}$ be the roots of $D(\lambda)^2 - 4$.

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

$$\lambda$$
 is an eigenvalue of $L \iff D(\lambda) = 2$.

Theorem (van Moerbeke)

Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2n+2}$ be the roots of $D(\lambda)^2 - 4$. Then $\mu_i \in [\lambda_{2i}, \lambda_{2i+1}]$. Moreover, $f_i = \pm \cosh^{-1} \left| \frac{D(\mu_i)}{2} \right|$.

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

$$\lambda$$
 is an eigenvalue of $L \iff D(\lambda) = 2$.

Theorem (van Moerbeke)

Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2n+2}$ be the roots of $D(\lambda)^2 - 4$. Then $\mu_i \in [\lambda_{2i}, \lambda_{2i+1}]$. Moreover, $f_i = \pm \cosh^{-1} \left| \frac{D(\mu_i)}{2} \right|$.

Using the Arnold–Liouville theorem: The action coordinates $\phi = (J_1, \ldots, J_n)$ are given by

$$J_i = 2 \int_{\lambda_{2i}}^{\lambda_{2i+1}} \cosh^{-1} \left| \frac{D(\mu)}{2} \right| d\mu,$$

Let $D(\lambda)$ be the discriminant of the difference equation in the previous slide.

$$\lambda$$
 is an eigenvalue of $L \iff D(\lambda) = 2$.

Theorem (van Moerbeke)

Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2n+2}$ be the roots of $D(\lambda)^2 - 4$. Then $\mu_i \in [\lambda_{2i}, \lambda_{2i+1}]$. Moreover, $f_i = \pm \cosh^{-1} \left| \frac{D(\mu_i)}{2} \right|$.

Using the Arnold–Liouville theorem: The action coordinates $\phi = (J_1, \ldots, J_n)$ are given by

$$J_i = 2 \int_{\lambda_{2i}}^{\lambda_{2i+1}} \cosh^{-1} \left| \frac{D(\mu)}{2} \right| d\mu,$$

and they induce a symplectomorphism

$$\Phi:\left\{ (\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})\in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}\mid \sum_i q_i=\sum_i p_i=0
ight\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i - q_{i+1})}$$

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_{c}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{i}^{2} + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_{i}-q_{i+1})}$$

As $\textbf{\textit{c}} \rightarrow \infty$, the action function $\phi_{\textbf{\textit{c}}}$ converges to

$$\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=(n+1)(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$.

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_{c}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{i}^{2} + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_{i}-q_{i+1})}$$

As $c \rightarrow \infty$, the action function ϕ_c converges to

$$\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (n+1)(p_{\sigma(1)} - p_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)} - p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \dots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$. So $\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho(\mathbf{p})$.

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i - q_{i+1})}$$

As $\textbf{\textit{c}} \rightarrow \infty$, the action function $\phi_{\textbf{\textit{c}}}$ converges to

$$\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=(n+1)(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$. So $\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho(\mathbf{p})$. Theorem (Ostrover–R.–Sepe, 2023)

► If A is symmetric, then for every
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
,
 $(1 - \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A$.

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i - q_{i+1})}$$

As $\textbf{\textit{c}} \rightarrow \infty$, the action function $\phi_{\textbf{\textit{c}}}$ converges to

$$\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=(n+1)(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$. So $\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho(\mathbf{p})$. Theorem (Ostrover–R.–Sepe, 2023)

- ► If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $(1 - \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A$.
- ► If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

We can define action coordinates for the deformation of the Toda lattice:

$$H_c(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + c e^{-c} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e^{c(q_i - q_{i+1})}$$

As $\textbf{\textit{c}} \rightarrow \infty$, the action function $\phi_{\textbf{\textit{c}}}$ converges to

$$\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=(n+1)(p_{\sigma(1)}-p_{\sigma(2)},\ldots,p_{\sigma(n)}-p_{\sigma(n+1)}),$$

where $p_{\sigma(1)} \ge p_{\sigma(2)} \ge \cdots \ge p_{\sigma(n+1)}$. So $\phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho(\mathbf{p})$. Theorem (Ostrover–R.–Sepe, 2023)

- ► If A is symmetric, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $(1 - \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)} \hookrightarrow (1 + \varepsilon)\Delta^n \times_L A$.
- ► If A is balanced, then $\Delta^n \times_L A$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{(n+1)\rho(A)}$.

Open questions

Question 1

For which polytopes P is the product $\Delta^n \times P$ symplectomorphic to a ball?

Open questions

Question 1

For which polytopes P is the product $\Delta^n \times P$ symplectomorphic to a ball?



Figure: The Fedorov polyhedra

Open questions

Question 1

For which polytopes P is the product $\Delta^n \times P$ symplectomorphic to a ball?



Figure: The Fedorov polyhedra

Question 2

Do other root systems B_n , C_n , D_n , G_2 , etc, give rise to interesting symplectomorphisms?