
Log intersection theory of $\bar{M}_{g,n}$



Tel Aviv Geometry Seminar

7 April 2022

Rahul Pandharipande

D. Holmes Leiden
S. Molcho ETHZ
A. Pixton UMichigan
J. Schmitt UZH

Photo: Sarah Worth (Unsplash)

$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ Deligne-Mumford moduli

Space of stable curves.

↑

orbifold of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} 3g-3+n$

Standard theories

$$RH^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset H^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

$$R^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset CH^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

We will however consider

$$\log CH^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

↗

often inspires fear and loathing, but dont worry

What is log intersection theory?

Given any nonsingular variety X
with a normal crossings divisor $D \subset X$
we obtain a log scheme (X, D).

There are two related Chow constructions lying over $CH^*(X)$

$$CH^*(X) \subset \log CH^*(X, D) \subset b\, CH^*(X)$$


Shokurov

Our main example is the log scheme


$$(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

normal crossings divisor of reducible curves

Not assumed
Strict normal
crossings



Basic Notion
of stratification



Strata
indicated
by colors

A Stratum $S \subset X$ is nonsingular and quasiprojective

$\overline{S} \subset X$ may be singular (mildly)

A simple blow-up of (X, D) is a blow up along a nonsingular stratum closure $\bar{S} \subsetneq X$.

$$Bl: (\hat{X}, \hat{D}) \to (X, D)$$

$\hat{X}$: blow up

$\hat{D}$: strict transform of D union the exceptional divisor E

Define a category $\mathcal{B}(X, D)$

- Objects are $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} (X, D)$

  where $\tilde{\phi}$ is a composition of simple blowups

- Morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{\tilde{X}}, \tilde{\tilde{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \\ & \searrow^{\tilde{\tilde{\phi}}} \quad \swarrow_{\tilde{\phi}} & \\ & (X, D) & \end{array}$$

γ is a composition of simple blowups

$$\log CH^*(X, D)$$

$$\| \quad \text{definition}$$

$$\varinjlim_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \in B(X, D)} CH^*(\tilde{X})$$

maps are pullbacks

The idea is to simultaneously study the cycle theory of log compactifications of $U = X - D$.

$(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ ← nonsingular with normal crossings

$$\log CH^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \overset{\text{Def}}{=} \log CH^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

Questions:

(i) Why study?

(ii) Even if you wanted to, how?


(iii) Are there any results?

- Motivations from toric geometry

$(X, \partial X)$

X: nonsingular toric variety

∂X: toric boundary



$(\mathbb{P}^2, \partial\mathbb{P}^2)$

$\log CH^*(X, \partial X) = ?$

Complete results

Brion, Payne, Fulton, ...

- Motivations from log GW theory:

Let (X, D) be a variety with a normal crossing divisor

↑ Log scheme

Abramovich-Chen-Gross-Siebert

↙

There is a theory of log stable maps, virtual classes

Abramovich-Marcus-Wise

Herr-Molcho-P-Wise

▽

classes in $\log CH^*(\bar{M}_{g,n})$ Graber-Ranganathan, Spelier

just as usual GW theory $\Rightarrow$ classes in $CH^*(\bar{M}_{g,n})$

- Motivations from Abel-Jacobi theory

Resolving the Abel-Jacobi map,

D. Holmes

Markus-Wise

Double ramification cycle

How to study $\log Ch(X, D)$?

Language of

Piecewise polys on


the Cone Complex

Ranganathan

Mochizuki - P - Schmitt

MR, Holmes - Schwarz

$(X, D) \rightsquigarrow C(X, D)$ Cone Complex



open Stratum codim r

$\updownarrow$

$\mathbb{R}^r \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$


face given by

$\mathbb{R}^r_{\geq 0}$

When strata closures meet $\Rightarrow$ inclusion of faces of $C(\chi, D)$


Simple example:

$$\left(\bar{M}_{0,4}, 2\bar{M}_{0,4} \right)$$



Cone Complex is

$$C\left(\bar{M}_{0,4}, 2\bar{M}_{0,4} \right) =$$



Algebra $PP(X,D)$ ← Piecewise polys on subdivisions

$C(X,D)$

Theorem: $PP(X,D) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \log CH^*(X,D)$

This is how to think about classes ↗ Proposed by Ranganathan, see Molcho-P-Schmitt

$$\text{Image}\left(PP(X,D)\right) \subset \log CH^*(X,D)$$

↑

These are tautological classes in $\log CH^*$

Definition $\log R^*(X,D) = \text{Image}\left(PP(X,D)\right)$

Holmes - Molcho - P - Pixton - Schmitt

Theorem*: $PP(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{0,n}) \to \log CH^*(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{0,n})$

is surjective with $\ker = WDVV$

In other words:

$$\frac{PP(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{0,n})}{\langle WDVV \rangle} \cong \log CH^*(\bar{M}_{0,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{0,n})$$

Proof:
- Use Keel's presentation of $CH^*(\bar{M}_{0,n})$
- Study Blow ups
- Use a new tubular property of the boundary geometry of $\bar{M}_{0,n}$

[**Theorem:** for (X, D) tonic, Brion, Payne, Fulton

$$\frac{PP(X, D)}{\langle \text{Div rels} \rangle} \cong \log CH^*(X, D)$$

Question: What is the kernel of

$$PP(\bar{M}_{1,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{1,n}) \to \log CH^*(\bar{M}_{1,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{1,n}) \; ?$$

Can the kernel be completely explained using WDVV and Getzler equations?

My view: YES

Lots of following questions

as an example

Claim: $\log R^{3g-3+n}(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$

Doesn't change with more classes

Another definition of the tautological ring:

$\log R^*_L(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$ where we include systematically all tautological classes from the moduli of curves

Systematically here means: at every stage of the blow-up, also add all tautological classes defined on the center.

Claim: We can write a set of relations $\log \mathcal{P}$ in $\log R^*_L(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$ which is implied by Pixton's set $\mathcal{P}$ for the moduli space of curves.

Perhaps the set $\log \mathcal{P}$ generates all relations in $\log R^*_L(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$?

Theorem*: Pixton's conjecture that $\mathfrak{p}$ generates all relations in all the tautological rings $R^*(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$

$\Downarrow$

Log $\mathfrak{p}$ generates all relations in all the log tautological rings $\log R^*_L(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$

A different sort of result:

Theorem [Molcho-P-Schmitt]

$$\lambda_g \in \log R^g(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g, \partial\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g)$$

(noL)

Top chern class of the Hodge bundle on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(C,\omega_C) & \subset & \bar{E}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [C] & \in & \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g \end{array}$$

Proof: use Pixton's DR formula

Pixton's formula has no kappa classes:

Genus 1.

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24}$$ 


Diagrams from JPPZ

Genus 2.

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{240}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{1152}$$ 

Genus 3.


$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2016}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{2016}$$  $$- \frac{1}{672}$$ $$+ \frac{1}{5760}$$ 

$$- \frac{13}{30240}$$  $$- \frac{1}{5760}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{82944}$$ 





Genus 4.

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{11520}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{3840}$$  $$- \frac{1}{2880}$$ $$- \frac{1}{3840}$$ $$- \frac{1}{1440}$$

$$- \frac{1}{1920}$$ $$- \frac{1}{2880}$$ $$- \frac{1}{3840}$$ $$+ \frac{1}{48384}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{48384}$$ 

$$+ \frac{1}{115200}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{960}$$  $$- \frac{23}{100800}$$ $$- \frac{1}{57600}$$

$$- \frac{1}{16128}$$ $$- \frac{1}{16128}$$ $$- \frac{1}{57600}$$ $$- \frac{1}{16128}$$

$$- \frac{1}{16128}$$  $$- \frac{23}{100800}$$  $$+ \frac{23}{100800}$$  $$+ \frac{23}{50400}$$ $$+ \frac{1}{16128}$$ 

$$+ \frac{1}{115200}$$ $$+ \frac{1}{276480}$$  $$- \frac{13}{725760}$$  $$- \frac{1}{138240}$$ 

$$- \frac{43}{1612800}$$  $$- \frac{13}{725760}$$  $$- \frac{1}{276480}$$  $$+ \frac{1}{7962624}$$ 

All of the discussion so far may be viewed as an introduction to the main topic of the lecture : Abel-Jacobi theory

Let $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 0$


Let $Jac_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the universal Jacobian of multidegree 0 line bundles.

We have a rational map

$$AJ_A : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \dashrightarrow Jac_0$$

defined on nonsingular curves by

$$(C, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_C(\Sigma a_i p_i)$$



We would like to define a locus in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

which corresponds to the condition

$$\text{"}\ \theta_C\left(\textstyle\sum a_i p_i\right) \equiv \theta_C\ \text{"}$$

Not a closed condition

Abel-Jacobi locus where there exists a function

$$f:(C, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

with zeros and poles given by $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

We would like to define the locus by


$$\text{"}\ AJ_A^{-1}(Z) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}\ \text{"}$$

Not a closed subvariety

Holmes
Marcus-Wise

Partially

Idea is to resolve AJ_A via log blow-ups of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$



Where $\widetilde{AJ}_A|_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(Z) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a closed subvariety

↖ wing open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$
of definition

of Course the log blow-up

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

is not canonical.

But the resulting cycle class

$\widetilde{AJ}_A^*|_{\mathcal{U}}[Z]$ supported on $\widetilde{AJ}_A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{U}}(Z)$


defines a canonical log cycle class

$$DR_{g,A}^{\log} \in \log CH^g(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

which pushes-forward to the usual

$$DR_{g,A} \in CH^g(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

defined via the Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{P}^1$.



In fact $DR^{\log}_{g,A}$ is more natural

than $DR_{g,A}$ from several perspectives.

Example: Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ $\quad \sum a_i = \sum b_i = 0$

and $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$

given any $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

We obtain new vectors

$$MA = m_{11} A + m_{21} B$$

$$MB = m_{12} A + m_{22} B$$

SL-invariance also for more vectors

Theorem (Holmes-Pixton-Schmitt 2017)

$$DR^{\log}_{g,A} \cdot DR^{\log}_{g,B} = DR^{\log}_{g,MA} \cdot DR^{\log}_{g,MB}$$

in $\log CH^g(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$

Computation (Buryak-Rossi 2019):

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,3}} \pi_* \left(DR^{\log}_{g,A} \cdot DR^{\log}_{g,B} \cdot DR^{\log}_{g,C} \right) = \frac{\delta^{2g}}{2^{3g}\, g!\, (2g+1)!!}$$

Later derivations by Bousseau, Ranganathan

What is δ ? Must be an SL_3-invariant (by left multiplication)

of the 3x3 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Cant be det (since det = 0).

δ = GCD of all 2x2 minors of ↑ (Sign doesnt matter!)

A difficulty in studying $DR^{\log}_{g,A}$ is knowing how much to blow-up.

But there is an almost perfect solution for this via stability conditions.


A stability condition θ of type (g,n) is a rule which assigns a rational number to every irreducible component of every stable curve of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ satisfying

(i) deformation invariance

(ii) compatibility with smoothing of nodes

(iii) $\theta(C) = 0$ for nonsingular $(C, p_1, \dots, p_n)$

Compatibility with smoothing of nodes:



$$\Theta(C') + \Theta(C'') = \Theta(C''')$$

Once we have Θ

$\searrow$

moduli stack of degree 0 line bundles* on Stable curves

$$\begin{array}{c} P_{ic}^{\Theta} \\ \pi \downarrow \\ \bar{M}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

* Standard caveat concerning possible singularities at nodes

Studied for over 30 years:

Caporaso, P, Kass-Pagani, Abreu-Pacini ← follow the conventions here

Esteves, Melo, Viviani

A review: $L \to C$ is θ-stable iff

intersection with complement


$$-\frac{E(\Gamma, \Gamma^c)}{2} + \theta(\Gamma) < \deg L_{|\Gamma} < \frac{E(\Gamma, \Gamma^c)}{2} + \theta(\Gamma)$$

for all proper subcurves $\Gamma \subset C$

We choose θ to be nondegenerate ← No strictly semistable issues

and small ← trivial bundle is stable

and revist the Abel-Jacobi diagram:




Pic^θ determines a canonical blow-up

$\pi \downarrow$

$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

$C^\theta \leftarrow L^\theta$ universal curve and line bundle

$C \downarrow$



explicit Combinatorial Subdivison of the Cone complex

$C(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$

Bae-Holmes-P-Schmitt-Schwarz


Theorem: Universal DR applied to $C^\theta \leftarrow L^\theta$, $C \downarrow$, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ [HMPPS]

yields $DR^{\log}_{g,A}$


Proof: Uses criterion of Holmes-Schwarz.

Example of shattering the Cone complex:

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,A}$, $A = (3,-3)$
- Cone $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$ corresponding to



- Stability condition with least shattering



Holmes
Schmitt

Logtaut (Sage package for Admcycles)

Final step in the calculation of $DR^{\log}_{g,A}$

is to express the output of the

Universal DR formula in $\log R^*_L(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$.

Holmes Schmitt

The answer is explicit (and has even been coded in Sage) but I will explain it

Schematically

$$\log R^*_L(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$$

$$DR^{\log}_{g,A} = \left[\exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\eta + \Phi(f_2))\right) \cdot \Phi(f_1) \right]_g$$

Codim grade

$$\eta = -\sum a_i^2 \psi_i$$

explict PP on cone complex of $\bar{M}^{\theta}_{g,n}$

Main Theorem of Holmes-Molcho-P-Pixton-Schmitt

from Holmes-Molcho-P-Pixton-Schmitt :

• The definition of f_1 requires a sum over weightings: for a positive integer r, an *admissible weighting mod* r on $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is a flow w with values in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\operatorname{div}(w) = D \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{V(\widehat{\Gamma})}.$$

We define

$$\operatorname{Cont}^r_{(\widehat{\Gamma},D,I)} = \sum_w r^{-h_1(\Gamma)} \prod_{e\in E(\widehat{\Gamma})} \exp\left(\frac{\overline{w}(\vec{e})\cdot\overline{w}(\overleftarrow{e})}{2}\widehat{\ell}_e\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\widehat{\ell}_e : e \in E(\widehat{\Gamma})]], \quad (24)$$

where the sum runs over admissible weightings w mod r. Inside the exponential, $\overline{w}(\vec{e})$ and $\overline{w}(\overleftarrow{e})$ denote the unique representative of $w(\vec{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ and $w(\overleftarrow{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ in $\{0,\dots,r-1\}$.

As in [25, Appendix], one shows that in each fixed degree in the variables $\widehat{\ell}_e$, the element $\operatorname{Cont}^r_{(\widehat{\Gamma},D,I)}$ is polynomial in r for sufficiently large r. We denote by $\operatorname{Cont}_{(\widehat{\Gamma},D,I)}$ the polynomial in the variables $\widehat{\ell}_e$ obtained by substituting $r=0$ into the polynomial expression for $\operatorname{Cont}^r_{(\widehat{\Gamma},D,I)}$. We define

$$f_1\big|_{\sigma_I} = \operatorname{Cont}_{(\widehat{\Gamma},D,I)}\big|_{\widehat{\ell}=\widehat{\ell}(\ell)} \in \mathbb{Q}[[\ell_e : e \in E(\Gamma)]], \quad (25)$$

where we use the variable substitution $\widehat{\ell} = \widehat{\ell}(\ell)$ associated to σ_I from Claim 2. We claim that these functions fit together to give a well-defined strict piecewise formal power series f_1 on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_\theta$.

• To define f_2 on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_\theta$, we fix a vertex $v_0 \in V(\widehat{\Gamma})$. For every length assignment $\widehat{\ell}$ in the cone τ_I and any vertex $v \in V(\widehat{\Gamma})$, let $\gamma_{v_0\to v}$ be a path from v_0 to v in $\widehat{\Gamma}$. We define

$$\alpha(v) = \sum_{\vec{e}\in\gamma_{v_0\to v}} I(\vec{e})\cdot\widehat{\ell}_e, \quad (26)$$

where the sum is over the oriented edges $\vec{e}$ constituting the path $\gamma_{v_0\to v}$. The defining equations of τ_I imply that for $\widehat{\ell} \in \tau_I$ the expression (26) is independent of the chosen path $\gamma_{v_0\to v}$. We define

$$f_2 = \sum_{v\in V(\widehat{\Gamma})} (D + \deg_{k,A})(v)\cdot\alpha(v)\big|_{\widehat{\ell}=\widehat{\ell}(\ell)} \in \mathbb{Q}[\ell_e : e \in E(\Gamma)]. \quad (27)$$

The substitution of variables $\widehat{\ell} = \widehat{\ell}(\ell)$, which give the inverse of the isomorphism $\tau_I \to \sigma_I$ and thus have image in τ_I, ensure that the expression is independent of the choice of the paths $\gamma_{v_0\to v}$. The expression is independent of the base vertex v_0. since the divisor $D + \deg_{k,A}$ has total degree 0 on $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

Aaron's calculation in $\overline{M}_{g,4}$:

$$\pi_* \left(DR^{\log}_{g,(-2,2,0,0)} \cdot DR^{\log}_{g,(0,0,-2,2)} \right)$$

$$= DR_{g,(-2,2,0,0)} \cdot DR_{g,(0,0,-2,2)}$$

$$+ \text{ Correction}$$

where $\pi_* : \log CH(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \to CH(\overline{M}_{g,n})$.

The entire theory of the lecture is used to calculate the correction.


Correction term is:

$$\sum_{1\le m\le g} \frac{(-1)^m}{2^m} (\xi_* + \hat{\xi}_*) \left[DR_{g_0}(-2,0,1,1) \cdot DR_{g_0}(0,-2,1,1) \otimes DR_{g_1}(-1,-1,1,1)^2 \otimes DR_{g_2}(-1,-1,1,1)^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes DR_{g_{m-1}}(-1,-1,1,1)^2 \otimes \begin{matrix} DR_{g_m}(-1,-1,2,0) \cdot \\ DR_{g_m}(-1,-1,0,2) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$g_0 + \cdots + g_m = g - m$

Where $\xi, \hat{\xi} : \prod_{i=0}^{m} \overline{M}_{g_i,4} \to \overline{M}_{g,4}$

via the graphs



Last result of the lecture:

Theorem [HMPPS] Relations!

$$\left[\exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\eta + \Phi(f_2)\right)\right) \cdot \Phi(f_1) \right]_{>g} = 0$$

in $\log R_L^*(\bar{M}_{g,n}, \partial\bar{M}_{g,n})$.

These are Pixton's log DR relations.

The End

