Global charts for moduli spaces of stable maps

Amanda Hirschi

joint work with Mohan Swaminathan

University of Cambridge

June 9th, 2022

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Outline

1. Gromov-Witten invariants in symplectic topology

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

- 2. Global charts
- 3. Applications

Conventions

We fix

- a closed symplectic manifold (X, ω)
- a homology class $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$
- an ω -tame almost complex structure J

Remarks

- an almost complex structure is an auxiliary datum
- the space of ω -tame almost complex structures is contractible

J-holomorphic curves

We are interested in maps $u: C \rightarrow X$ satisfying the elliptic PDE

$$\overline{\partial}_J u := \frac{1}{2} (du + J \circ du \circ j).$$

The moduli space of these solutions enjoys certain analytical advantages. In particular, we have compactness modulo bubbling of *J*-holomorphic maps with uniformly bounded energy

$$E(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_C u^*\omega.$$

Figure: Bubbling off



・ロット 全部 マート・ キャー

GW invariants

Question: How many J-holomorphic curves exist?

 \rightsquigarrow Gromov Nonsqueezing: If $B_r^{2n} \hookrightarrow B_R^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ symplectically, then $r \leq R$.

- Kill isotropy
- Add perturbations to obtain a manifold.
- Add constraints to reduce the dimension.

In algebraic geometry, the theory of GW invariants is much more developped; in particular, with respect to computational methods.

Stable maps

A prestable map is a J-holomorphic map $u: C \to X$ defined on a marked nodal curve (C, \mathbf{x}) . It is stable if Aut (u, C, \mathbf{x}) is finite.

The moduli space of stable J-holomorphic maps of type (g, n) is

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{J}(X,\beta) := \left\{ (u, \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{x}) : \frac{u_{*}[\mathcal{C}] = \beta, \, \bar{\partial}_{J} u = 0,}{\text{stable with } n \text{ marked points}} \right\} / \sim$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^J_{g,n}(X,eta)$ is compact and metric, but

- multiply covered curves
- singular domains



Figure: A nodal surface of genus g = 2 with n = 10 marked points

Virtual fundamental class

A suitable fundamental class over which to integrate is usually not available.

Solution: Construct a virtual fundamental class instead.

- lives in degree of the 'expected dimension';
- reduces to the ordinary fundamental class if the moduli space is cut out transversely.
- the vfc is often locally modelled on the Euler class

In algebraic geometry there are two definitions (Behrend-Fantechi, Li-Tian) which are known to agree.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Frameworks in symplectic geometry

- Pseudocycles: Ruan-Tian,...
- *Kuranishi approach:* Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono, Li-Tian, Joyce, McDuff-Wehrheim, Pardon,...
- Obstruction bundle approach: Ruan, Liu-Tian, Siebert,...

• Polyfolds: Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder, Wehrheim,...

Global charts

A global chart for a moduli space \mathcal{M} is a tuple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ where

- G compact Lie group,
- \mathcal{T} a topological manifold, the *thickening*, with an almost free *G*-action,
- $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{T}$ a vector bundle, the *obstruction bundle*, with a fibrewise linear lift of the *G*-action,
- $\mathfrak{s}\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{E}$ an equivariant section such that

$$\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0)/G \cong \mathcal{M}.$$

We call the chart *smooth* if \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{E} are smooth.

The virtual fundamental class is the composition

$$\check{H}^{d}(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathsf{rank}(\mathcal{E})}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0);\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}^{*} \tau \cap -} H^{\mathcal{G}}_{0}(\mathcal{T};\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{\mathcal{G}}_{0}(*;\mathbb{Q})$$

where

$$d = \dim(\mathcal{T}) - \dim(G) - \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})$$

is the *virtual dimension* of \mathcal{M} .

Main result

Theorem (H.-Swaminathan)

- Given any g, n ≥ 0 the moduli space M^J_{g,n}(X, β) admits a global chart.
- (in progress) Its equivalence class is independent of the choices made during the construction and of the choice of J.

Framings

Idea (McLean): Stabilise via framings instead of adding marked points.



A framed smooth stable map is a tuple $(C, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota)$ where (C, \mathbf{x}, u) is a smooth stable map to X and $\iota: C \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is a nondegenerate holomorphic embedding.

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^*(\mathbb{P}^N, m)$ the space of stable nondegenerate regular embeddings of degree m.

N and m depend on certain choices and will be determined later.

How to obtain a framing

Given Hermitian line bundle $O_X(1) \rightarrow X$ with Hermitian connection ∇ such that

$$F^{\nabla} = -2\pi i\Omega$$

with Ω a symplectic form taming J. Define

$$\mathfrak{L}_{u} := \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(x_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}) \otimes u^{*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(1)^{\otimes 3}$$

for $u: (C, \mathbf{x}) \to X$ a stable smooth map of type (g, n). Set

$$m := \deg(\mathfrak{L}_u^{\otimes p}) \qquad \qquad N := m - g$$

for $p \gg 0$ depending on g, n, and $\langle [\Omega], \beta \rangle$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

The **thickening** \mathcal{T} consists of tuples $((\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota), \alpha, \eta)$ where

- (C, x, u, ι) is a framed smooth stable map with u_{*}[C] = β and ι of degree m,
- $\alpha \in H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is such that

$$[\iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)] = \alpha + p \cdot [\mathfrak{L}_u] \text{ in } \operatorname{Pic}(C),$$

• η is an element of

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\iota})} := H^0(C, \iota^* T^{*,0,1}_{\mathbb{P}^N} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}^* TX \otimes \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k)) \otimes \overline{H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k))}.$$

such that

$$\overline{\partial}_{J}u + \langle \eta
angle \circ d\iota = 0$$

 $k \gg 1$ is an integer that is yet to be determined.

Obstruction bundle and section

We let $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{T}$ be the vector bundle whose fibre over $((\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota), \alpha, \eta)$ is

$$\mathfrak{su}(N+1) \oplus H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \oplus E_{(u,\iota)}$$

and we let $\mathfrak{s}\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{E}$ be given by

$$\mathfrak{s}((\boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \iota), \alpha, \eta) = (i \log(\lambda(\boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \iota)), \alpha, \eta).$$

There exists a canonical action by G := PU(N + 1) on \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{E} with respect to which \mathfrak{s} is equivariant.

Notes

- η ∈ E_(u,ι) is the perturbation term which will ensure transversality;
- α chooses the 'correct' line bundle for the framing;
- λ is a *G*-equivariant map to *PGL/G*.
- *i* log: *PGL/G* → su(*N* + 1) is given by the polar decomposition.

$$\mathfrak{s}((\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota), \alpha, \eta) = (i \log(\lambda(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota)), \alpha, \eta).$$

If g = 0, then $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ vanishes, so α is not necessary.

Transversality - choice of k

Theorem (H.-Swaminathan)

There exists a positive integer k_0 such that for any (C, \mathbf{x}, u) in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^J_{g,n}(X, A)$, any basis \mathcal{F} of $H^0(C, \mathfrak{L}^{\otimes p}_u)$ with

$$\lambda(C, \mathbf{x}, u, \iota_{\mathcal{F}}) = [\mathsf{Id}],$$

and any $k \ge k_0$ we have

$$H^{1}(\mathcal{C}, \iota_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(\mathcal{T}^{*0,1}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(k)) \otimes u^{*}\mathcal{T}_{X}) = 0$$

and $D(\bar{\partial}_J)_u + \langle \cdot \rangle \circ d\iota_F$ surjects onto $\Omega^{0,1}(C, u^*T_X)$.

Proof

• For $k \gg 1$ (depending only on N and m) the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^N,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k))\to H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(k))$$

is surjective for any embedded nondegenerate nodal curve of degree m.

• For $k \gg 1$ we have

$$H^{1}(C, \iota_{C, \mathcal{F}}^{*}(T^{*0, 1}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(k)) \otimes u^{*}T_{X}) = 0$$

for a (specific) J-holomorphic stable framed map (u, ι) by Serre vanishing.

- Use Hörmander peak sections coming from the tensor powers of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1).$

Gluing

Let $E \to \mathbb{P}^N \times X$ be a suitable complex vector bundle. We can choose an a.c.s. \tilde{J} on E such that for a smooth map

$$v = (\iota, u, s) \colon C \to E$$

we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{\widetilde{J}}v = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

if and only if

• $\iota: C \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is holomorphic,

•
$$\nabla^{0,1}s = 0$$

•
$$\overline{\partial}_J u + \langle s \rangle = 0$$

By forgetting α , we see that \mathcal{T} determines a subset

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^* \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\tilde{J}}(E,\tilde{\beta}).$$

To account for the choice of α we need the following additional structure.

Letting

$$\pi: \mathcal{C}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^*(\mathbb{P}^N, m)$$

be the universal curve, set

$$\mathcal{L} := \Pi^* R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{g,n}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^*.$$

where

$$\Pi \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{\tilde{J}}(E,\tilde{\beta}) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathbb{P}^N,m)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is the natural forgetful map.

Lemma

There exists an open subset $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{*,\text{reg}}\subseteq\overline{\mathcal{M}}^*$ such that

1.
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{*, \text{reg}}$$
 is a topological manifold;
2. $\Pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{*, \text{reg}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}^{*}(\mathbb{P}^{N}, m)$ is a topological submersion;
3. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}^{J}(X, \beta) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{*, \text{reg}}.$

This determines $\mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ admitting an embedding $\mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$. The bundle map $\mathcal{L} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^*$ restricts to an étale map

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{*,\mathsf{reg}}$$

 $(G, \mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}}, \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}}}, \mathfrak{s}|_{\mathcal{T}^{\mathsf{reg}}})$ is our desired global chart.

Independence of choices made in the construction

We made the following choices: $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, p, and k.

Different choices give rise to different charts. Via a double sum construction we can relate them using the following operations.

(Germ) Replace with $(G, U, \mathcal{E}|_U, \mathfrak{s}|_U)$ for $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ open and G-invariant.

(Stabilisation) Replace with $(G, W, p^*\mathcal{E} \oplus p^*W, \mathfrak{s} \oplus \delta)$ where $W \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{T}$ is a G-vector bundle.

(Group extension) Replace with $(G \times G', P, q^*\mathcal{E}, q^*\mathfrak{s})$ where $P \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{T}$ is a principal G'-bundle with a compatible G-action.

Independence of almost complex structure

(Cobordism) Replace $(G, T, \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ with $(G, T', \mathcal{E}', \mathfrak{s}')$ if there exists a G-cobordism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow[\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}]{\widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$$

between the two charts.

The vfc does not change when switching to an equivalent chart.

Applications

Already seen:

Additive splitting

$$H^{\bullet}(P_{\phi};\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{\bullet}(S^{2};\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{\bullet}(X;\mathbb{Z})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(Abouzaid-McLean-Smith)

• \mathbb{Z} -valued GW invariants (Bai-Xu)

Possible applications:

- product formula
- localisation
- comparison with algebro-geometric GW invariants

Localisation

Lemma (H.-Swaminathan)

The construction of the global chart can be done equivariantly with respect to a nice group action on the target X.

- Construction of vfc requires equivariant Poincaré duality.
- Symplectic toric manifolds have the equivalent of a fan but are less rigid than toric varieties.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Comparison with algebraic GW invariants

• Given a chart with thickening \mathcal{T} construct a cone C in $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0)}$ using a deformation to the normal cone:



Figure: graph construction

This cone is possibly badly behaved as the section is not algebraic.

- Construct *local* holomorphic Kuranishi models.
- Compare with algebraic construction (intrinsic normal cone).

Thank you for your attention.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?