

Artin approximation.

The ordinary, the inverse, the left-right, and on quivers.

Dmitry Kerner

TAU-seminar, February 2024.

Abstract

1. Artin approximation is useful.
2. What is this "Weakly-finite singularity type"?
3. Continue the sequence:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathbb{k}^m, o) \leftarrow (\mathbb{k}^n, o) \circ \mathcal{R}, & \mathcal{L} \circ (\mathbb{k}^m, o) \leftarrow (\mathbb{k}^n, o), & \mathcal{L} \circ (\mathbb{k}^m, o) \leftarrow (\mathbb{k}^n, o) \circ \mathcal{R}, \dots ?? \\ \textit{Artin approximation} & \textit{Inverse Artin approximation} & \textit{Left-Right Artin approximation} \end{array}$$

ArXiv: 2310.01521

Prologue (we often have to resolve equations)

Let \mathbb{k} be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Consider equations $F(x, y) = 0$. Here:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_m), \quad F = (f_1, \dots, f_c), \quad \text{with } f_i \in \mathbb{k}\{x, y\}.$$

Want an analytic solution, $F(x, y(x)) = 0$. Usually no chance for explicit solutions.

An approach: resolve order-by-order.

Then take $\hat{y}(x) := \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} y^{(d)}(x) \in \mathbb{k}[[x]]$. $F(x, y^{(d)}(x)) \in (x)^d$, for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$. (Does this limit exist?)

- Is this an analytic solution? ($\hat{y}(x) \in \mathbb{k}\{x\}$?)
- Suppose $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{k}[x, y]$. Is this a Nash solution? ($\hat{y}(x) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle$?)

Example. $(\mathbb{k}^n, o) \xrightarrow{f} (\mathbb{k}^1, o)$ Take a perturbation, $f + h$. Can this be undone by a coordinate change?
Namely, $f(x) + h(x) = f(y(x))$.

More generally, this question for $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$. (With various equivalence relations.)
For deformation theory. For vector fields/foliations. For dynamical systems. ...

Ruling out the trivial case. $F(x, y) = 0$. Suppose $\partial_y F|_{(o,o)}$ is non-degenerate.
Then the (analytic/Nash) solution exists. (Implicit Function Theorem.)
Below $\partial_y F|_{(o,o)}$ is always degenerate.

Trying to resolve the equation $F(x, y) = o$. (Always assume $F(o, o) = o$.)

Geometry. $(\mathbb{k}_x^n, o) \times (\mathbb{k}_y^m, o) \supset V(F(x, y)) \xrightarrow{\text{analytic}} (\mathbb{k}_x^n, o)$. Does there exist an analytic section?

singular \swarrow
a formal section $\hat{y}(x)$

Can this formal section be approximated by analytic sections?

Two main settings:

- (Analytic) \mathbb{k} is a complete normed field. (e.g. $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}_p$.)
 $\mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle =$ (locally convergent power series). $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle \ni F(x, y) = 0$, analytic equations.
- (Nash) \mathbb{k} is any field, $\mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle = \{\text{power series that satisfy polynomial equations}\}$
 $a_d(x)f^d + \dots + a_1(x)f + a_0(x) = 0$, with $a_i(x) \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ and $a_d(o) \neq 0$.
 E.g. (for $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$) $f(x) = \sqrt[d]{1 + q(x)}$, for $q(x) \in (x) \subset \mathbb{k}[x]$.
 $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle \ni F(x, y) = 0$, Nash equations.

The question. Given a system of (analytic/Nash) equations, $F(x, y) = 0$. Given a formal solution, $F(x, \hat{y}(x)) = 0$, $\hat{y}(x) \in \mathbb{k}[[x]]$. Want to approximate it by ordinary (analytic/Nash) solutions. Namely, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we want:

$y^{(d)}(x) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle$, such that: $F(x, y^{(d)}(x)) = 0$ and $\hat{y}(x) - y^{(d)}(x) \in (x)^d$.

(Artin, 1968, 1969) *This approximation exists.* (Name: the Artin approximation)

Artin approximation: *Every formal solution is approximated by ordinary solutions.*

Example 1. Take $f: (\mathbb{k}^n, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{k}^m, o)$ (analytic/Nash). Take a perturbation, $f + g$. Suppose $f + g \widehat{\approx} f$. I.e. $f(x) + g(x) = f(\hat{y}(x))$, a formal coordinate change. Then $f + g \approx f$. Moreover, $\forall d$ can ensure $y^{(d)}(x) - \hat{y}(x) \in (x)^d$.

Example 2. Given a system of analytic/Nash equations $F(x, y) = 0$. Suppose it has the unique (formal) solution, $y(x)$. Then $y(x)$ is analytic/Nash.

Remark. Given a system of equations, physicists solve it up to order 3 or 4. Engineers solve it up to order 1 or 2. And ... somehow it works.

Question. Maybe it is enough to resolve $F(x, y) = 0$ up to a high enough order? (Do not need to construct a formal solution $\hat{y}(x) \in \mathbb{k}[[x]]$.)

Strong Artin approximation. (Pfister-Popescu) Given $F(x, y)$, there exists a function $\beta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying: if $F(x, y^{(d)}(x)) \in (x)^{\beta_d}$ then exists a (analytic/Nash) solution, $F(x, y(x)) = 0$, and moreover $y(x) - y^{(d)}(x) \in (x)^d$.

How to find/to bound this β ? It is large and complicated.

Fact: β depends only on n, m and degrees of F . (Not on the coefficients of F .)

The inverse question

Artin approximation addresses equations of implicit function type, $F(x, y) = 0$. There are many other functional equations.

The inverse Artin question (Grothendieck, 1961).

Given $y(x) = y_1(x), \dots, y_m(x) \in \mathbb{k}\{x\}, \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle$. Suppose $\hat{F}(y(x)) = 0$. Is this \hat{F} approximated by analytic/Nash relations among $y(x)$?

A counterexample (Osgood 1916, Gabrielov, 1971) There exists an analytic map $(\mathbb{C}^2, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^4, o)$, $x \rightarrow y(x)$, whose components satisfy a formal relation, $\hat{F}(y_1(x), \dots, y_4(x)) = 0$, but do not satisfy any (non-trivial) analytic relation.

Geometry: The image $y(\mathbb{C}^2, o) \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, o)$ lies inside a formal hypersurface germ. But it does not lie inside any analytic hypersurface germ.

Facts: 1. The inverse AP holds for algebraic power series, $y(x) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle$. For any \mathbb{k} .

2. (Shiota, 1998) The inverse AP holds for \mathbb{R} -analytic maps of finite singularity type.

I.e. the map $(\mathbb{R}^n, o) \xrightarrow{y(x)} (\mathbb{R}^m, o)$ is contact-finite.

I.e. the subscheme $V(y(x))_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, o)$ is either one-point or an ICIS.

Local structure of morphisms, $\text{Maps}(X, Y)$

Here $X = V(I_X) \subseteq (\mathbb{k}^n, o)$ and $Y = V(I_Y) \subseteq (\mathbb{k}^m, o)$, germs of schemes.

Analytic ($R_X = \mathbb{k}\{x\}/I_X$, $R_Y = \mathbb{k}\{y\}/I_Y$) or Nash ($R_X = \mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle/I_X$, $R_Y = \mathbb{k}\langle y \rangle/I_Y$).

They are studied up to automorphisms (over \mathbb{k}), $\text{Aut}_X \curvearrowright X$, i.e. $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{k}}(R_X) \curvearrowright R_X$.
And similarly $\text{Aut}_Y \curvearrowright Y$.

Example. The classic case: $I_X = 0$, $I_Y = 0$. Then $\text{Maps}((\mathbb{k}^n, o), (\mathbb{k}^m, o))$.

Aut_X = local coordinate changes in the source.

Aut_Y = in the target.

These define the left-right equivalence

of morphisms, $f \rightsquigarrow \Phi_Y \circ f \circ \Phi_X^{-1}$.

Question (the left-right Artin approximation, \mathcal{LR} -AP) Suppose $\tilde{f} \stackrel{\mathcal{LR}}{\sim} f$,
i.e. $\tilde{f} = \hat{\Phi}_Y \circ f \circ \hat{\Phi}_X^{-1}$. Is this approximated by $\tilde{f} = \Phi_Y \circ f \circ \Phi_X^{-1}$?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{R} := \text{Aut}_X & & \mathcal{L} := \text{Aut}_Y \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} R_X & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & R_Y \\ \Phi_X^\# \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_Y^\# \\ R_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^\#} & R_Y \end{array}$$

Shiota.1998 _{$\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{R}$} **K.2023**_{any \mathbb{k}} : \mathcal{LR} -AP holds for Nash maps, $\mathbb{k}\langle x \rangle/I_X, \mathbb{k}\langle y \rangle/I_Y$.

Shiota.1998. \mathcal{LR} -AP holds for analytic $\text{Maps}((\mathbb{R}^n, o), (\mathbb{R}^m, o))$ of finite
singularity type. i.e. $V(f)_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, o)$ is either a point or an ICIS.

K.2023. \mathcal{LR} -AP holds for analytic $\text{Maps}(X, Y)$ of weakly-finite singularity type.

(Analytic) Maps of weakly-finite singularity type Take

$f: (\mathbb{K}^n, o) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^m, o), n \geq m. f' \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}.$ Critical locus $\text{Crit} := V(I_m[f']) \subseteq (\mathbb{K}^n, o).$

Def. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ (dominant). The critical module $\mathcal{C} := \text{Der}(f^* T_Y, T_X) / \text{Der}_X f.$
The critical locus $\text{Crit}_X f := \text{Supp}[\mathcal{C}] \subseteq X.$ (set-theoretically)

Ex. $X \xrightarrow{f} (\mathbb{K}^m, o).$ Then $\mathcal{C} = R_X^{\oplus m} / \text{Der}_X f$ and $\text{Crit}_X f = V(I_m[\text{Der}_X f]).$

$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{Crit}_X & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \Delta_Y \\ \text{discriminant} & & \end{array}$	<p>Def. f is of finite singularity type if $\text{Crit}_X \xrightarrow{f_1} \Delta_Y$ is finite.</p> <p>TFAE for $X \xrightarrow{f} (\mathbb{K}^m, o):$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • f is of finite sing. type. • f is contact finite. • (for $\mathbb{K} = \bar{\mathbb{K}})$ $V(f) \subset X$ is of $\dim = 0$ or an ICIS.
--	---

Suppose $f_1: \text{Crit}_X \rightarrow \Delta_Y$ is not finite. Maybe $f_1: \text{Crit}_X \rightarrow \Delta_Y$ is of finite sing. type? (I.e. $f_1: \text{Crit}_{\text{Crit}_X} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Delta_Y}$ is finite.) If not, then maybe $f_1: \text{Crit}_{\text{Crit}_X} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Delta_Y}$ is of finite sing. type? (I.e. $f_1: \text{Cirt}_{\text{Crit}_{\text{Crit}_X}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\Delta_{\Delta_Y}}$ is finite.) And so on...

Def. (Roughly) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is of weakly finite singularity type if

- i. $\text{Cirt}_{\text{Crit} \dots} =: \text{Crit}_r \xrightarrow{f_1} \Delta_r := \Delta_{\Delta \dots}$ is finite for some $r.$
- ii. (for $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$) Certain logarithmic derivations of $X / \text{Crit}_X, X / \text{Crit}_X / \text{Crit}_{\text{Crit}_X} / \dots$ are integrable.

K.2023. \mathcal{LR} -AP holds for analytic Maps (X, Y) of weakly-finite singularity type.

Artin approximation on quivers

We spoke about several approximation problems for morphisms of scheme-germs

$$Y \leftarrow X \circlearrowleft \mathcal{R}$$

$$\tilde{f} = f \circ \Phi_X$$

Artin approximation

$$\mathcal{L} \circlearrowleft Y \leftarrow X$$

$$\tilde{f} = \Phi_Y \circ f$$

Inverse Artin approximation

$$\mathcal{L} \circlearrowleft Y \leftarrow X \circlearrowleft \mathcal{R}$$

$$\tilde{f} = \Phi_Y \circ f \circ \Phi_X$$

Left-Right Artin approximation

But X could be a multi-germ.

These all are some simple graphs.

Each graph encodes an approximation problem.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R} \circlearrowleft X_1 & & \\ \cdots & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{R} \circlearrowleft X_k & \nearrow & Y \circlearrowleft \mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

Def. A quiver of map-germs: $(\Gamma, \{X_v\}_v, \{f_{wv}\}_{wv})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \searrow & \\ X_v & \xrightarrow{f_{wv}} & X_w \\ & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Def. A morphism of quivers:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_v & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{wv}} & \tilde{X}_w \\ \Phi_v \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_w \\ X_v & \xrightarrow{f_{wv}} & X_w \end{array}$$

$$f_{wv} \circ \Phi_v = \Phi_w \circ \tilde{f}_{wv}$$

E.g.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{k}^n, o) & \xrightarrow{f+g} & (\mathbb{k}^1, o) \\ \Phi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow Id_{(\mathbb{k}^1, o)} \\ (\mathbb{k}^n, o) & \xrightarrow{f} & (\mathbb{k}^1, o) \end{array}$$

Def. $(\Gamma\text{-AP})$ The Artin approximation holds for a quiver $(\Gamma, \{X_v\}_v, \{f_{wv}\}_{wv})$ if any formal morphism, $\{\hat{\Phi}_v\}_v$, is approximated by analytic/Nash morphisms, $\{\Phi_v\}_v$.

K.2023. $\Gamma\text{-AP}$ holds in the Nash case for directed rooted trees.

Thanks for your attention!