1 Basic ideas

1a	From Cantor's uncountability theorem to Baire	1
		T
1b	From Cantor's uncountability theorem to null sets	2
1c	Two approaches to small sets and typical objects	2
1d	Compact metrizable spaces; sequence spaces	3
1e	"Almost all" versus "quasi all": first examples.	5
$\mathbf{1f}$	Digits of a typical number	6
Hints	to exercises	7
Index		8

1a From Cantor's uncountability theorem to Baire category theorem

By the famous Cantor's uncountability theorem, \mathbb{R} is not countable. Here is one of the proofs. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$; we need $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall n \ x \neq a_n$. To this end we first take $b_1 < c_1$ such that $a_1 \notin [b_1, c_1]$. Then we take $b_2 < c_2$ such that $[b_2, c_2] \subset [b_1, c_1]$ and $a_2 \notin [b_2, c_2]$. And so on; $[b_1, c_1] \supset [b_2, c_2] \supset [b_3, c_3] \supset \ldots$ Their intersection is not empty, and contains no a_n .

Can we generalize it to some sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$ proving that $\bigcup_n A_n \neq \mathbb{R}$? Yes, provided that these sets satisfy the following.

1a1 Definition. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is nowhere dense if every nonempty open interval contains some nonempty open subinterval that does not intersect A.

1a2 Exercise. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is nowhere dense if and only if $Int(Cl(A)) = \emptyset$. Prove it. (Here "Int" stands for interior, and "Cl" for closure.)

1a3 Theorem (Baire). If $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$ are nowhere dense then $Int(\cup_n A_n) = \emptyset$.

1a4 Exercise. Prove the theorem.

Equivalently: $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_n A_n$ is dense; that is, $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_n A_n) = \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\bigcup_n A_n \neq \mathbb{R}$.

Clearly, a singleton is nowhere dense; therefore Cantor's uncountability theorem follows from Baire category theorem.

1b From Cantor's uncountability theorem to null sets

Here is another proof of Cantor's uncountability theorem. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$; we need $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall n \ x \neq a_n$. To this end we take $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots > 0$ such that $\sum_n \varepsilon_n < 1/2$ and consider open intervals $(a_n - \varepsilon_n, a_n + \varepsilon_n)$. A finite number of these intervals cannot cover [0, 1] since their total length is less than 1. (Take the Riemann integral of the sum of indicators...) By the Heine-Borel theorem, the infinite sequence of these intervals still does not cover [0, 1].

1b1 Definition. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a *null set* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots > 0$ and $a_1, a_2, \cdots \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_n (a_n - \varepsilon_n, a_n + \varepsilon_n)$ and $2\sum_n \varepsilon_n \leq \varepsilon$.

1b2 Theorem. If $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$ are null sets then $Int(\cup_n A_n) = \emptyset$.

1b3 Exercise. (a) Prove that $\bigcup_n A_n$ is also a null set.

(b) Prove the theorem.

1c Two approaches to small sets and typical objects

1c1 Definition. Given a set X, a set \mathcal{N} of subsets of X is called (a) an *ideal*¹ (on X), if

$$(A \subset B \land B \in \mathcal{N}) \implies A \in \mathcal{N};$$

$$A, B \in \mathcal{N} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{N};$$

$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}.$$

(b) a σ -ideal (on X), if it is an ideal and

 $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{N} \implies \cup_n A_n \in \mathcal{N}.$

An ideal (or σ -ideal) \mathcal{N} on X is proper if $X \notin \mathcal{N}$.

Clearly, null sets are a proper σ -ideal on \mathbb{R} . The complement of a null set is called a set of *full measure*.

1c2 Definition. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is $meager^2$ if $A \subset \bigcup_n A_n$ for some nowhere dense sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$.

¹This notion of set theory is different from (but related to) ideals in ring theory, order theory etc.

²Or of the first category.

Clearly, meager sets are a proper σ -ideal on \mathbb{R} .

The complement of a meager set is called *comeager*.¹

When a property holds off a null set (in other words, on a set of full measure), one says that it holds *almost everywhere* or *for almost all* elements. Dealing with a probability measure one also says *almost sure(ly)*.

When a property holds off a meager set (in other words, on a comeager set), one says that it holds *quasi-everywhere* or *for quasi all* elements. One also says that this property holds *generically*, for a *generic* element, or for *most* of elements. Sometimes the word "typical" is used rather than "generic".

1d Compact metrizable spaces; sequence spaces

1d1 Definition. (a) A metric space is a pair (X, ρ) of a set X and a metric ρ on X, that is, a function $\rho : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\rho(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$, $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x), \ \rho(x, z) \le \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

(b) Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be two metrics on X; ρ_2 is stronger than ρ_1 if $\rho_2(x_n, x) \to 0 \implies \rho_1(x_n, x) \to 0$ for all $x, x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$;² further, ρ_1, ρ_2 are equivalent, if $\rho_1(x_n, x) \to 0 \iff \rho_2(x_n, x) \to 0$ for all $x, x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$.

(c) A metrizable space³ is a pair (X, R) where X is a set and R is an equivalence class of metrics on X (metrizable topology; metrics of R are called *compatible*).

(d) A metrizable space (as well as its metrizable topology) is $compact^4$ if every sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Every subset of \mathbb{R} is a metric space with the metric $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$. This space is compact if and only if the set is closed and bounded.

The Cantor set $C \subset [0, 1]$ may be defined as consisting of all numbers of the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x(k)}{3^k}$$

for $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty}$, that is $x : \{1, 2, ...\} \to \{0, 1\}$.

1d2 Exercise. (a) $\varphi : \{0,1\}^{\infty} \to C$ is a bijection;

¹Or *residual*.

²However, a Cauchy sequence in (X, ρ_2) need not be Cauchy in (X, ρ_1) .

³Equivalently, and usually, a metrizable space is defined as a special case of a topological space; but here we do not need the notion of general (not just metrizable) topological space.

⁴Equivalently (for metrizable spaces), and usually, a compact space is defined by the Heine-Borel property: every open cover has a finite subcover.

Tel Aviv University, 2013

(b) if
$$x, x_1, x_2, \dots \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty}$$
 then
 $\varphi(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \varphi(x) \iff \forall k \left(x_n(k) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} x(k) \right)$

Prove it.

The metric $\rho(x, y) = |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|$ is not invariant under permutations of coordinates on $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$, but its equivalence class R is (see 1d2(b)). Thus, we have a compact metrizable space $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$, and moreover, the compact metrizable space $\{0, 1\}^S$ is well-defined for an arbitrary countable set S (irrespective of its enumeration). The space $\{0, 1\}^S$ may also be thought of as the space of all subsets of S.

1d3 Definition. A set A in a metrizable space X is nowhere dense if every nonempty open set contains some nonempty open subset that does not intersect A.

Still, A is nowhere dense if and only if $Int(Cl(A)) = \emptyset$.

1d4 Exercise. (a) Prove that nowhere dense sets are an ideal (on a metrizable space).

(b) On \mathbb{R} , prove that they are not a σ -ideal.

1d5 Exercise. A set $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is nowhere dense if and only if for all m and $t_1, \ldots, t_m \in \{0,1\}$ there exist n > m and $t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_n \in \{0,1\}$ such that all sequences that start with t_1, \ldots, t_n do not belong to A.

Prove it.

1d6 Theorem (Baire). Let X be a compact metrizable space. If $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset X$ are nowhere dense then $Int(\bigcup_n A_n) = \emptyset$.

1d7 Exercise. (a) Prove the theorem.

(b) Find an example of a non-compact metrizable space such that the σ -ideal of meager sets is not proper.

Thus, the proper σ -ideal of meager sets is well-defined on every compact metrizable space, in particular, on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$, and we may speak about generic elements, quasi-everywhere etc. Now, what about null sets? Can we transfer Lebesgue measure from \mathbb{R} to $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ by φ^{-1} ? No, we cannot, since the Cantor set is itself a null set. But on the other hand, endless coin tossing should provide a useful probability measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$; and binary digits can be thought of as endless coin tossing over Lebesgue measure!

We consider the map $\psi : [0,1) \to \{0,1\}^{\infty}$,

$$\psi(u) = \left(b_1(u), b_2(u), \ldots\right),\,$$

where $b_k(u)$ are the binary digits of u, that is,

$$b_k(u) \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k(u)}{2^k} = u, \quad \liminf_k b_k(u) = 0.$$

True, ψ is not a bijection, but do not bother: the countable set $\{x : \liminf_k x(k) = 1\}$ is anyway a null set, and outside it ψ is a bijection,

$$\psi^{-1}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{2^k}$$

We transfer Lebesgue measure to $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ by ψ . That is, a set $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is measurable if $\psi^{-1}(A)$ is Lebesgue measurable, and then $\mu(A)$ is equal to the Lebesgue measure of $\psi^{-1}(A)$. This probability measure μ is sometimes called Lebesgue measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$.¹ It is invariant under permutations of coordinates on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$. Thus, we have a probability space $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$, and moreover, the probability space $\{0,1\}^S$ is well-defined for an arbitrary countable set S(irrespective of its enumeration). It gives us the proper σ -ideal of null sets on such space, and we may speak about almost all elements etc.

1e "Almost all" versus "quasi all": first examples

1e1 Example. The famous strong law of large numbers (SLLN) states that

(a)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x(k) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 for almost all $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty}$.

In contrast,

(b)

$$\liminf_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x(k) = 0, \ \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x(k) = 1 \quad \text{for quasi all } x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty},$$

as we will see soon.

1e2 Example. Consider sets

$$A_n = \left\{ x : x(1) = x(n+1), x(2) = x(n+2), \dots, x(n) = x(2n) \right\} \subset \{0, 1\}^{\infty}.$$

Clearly, $\mu(A_n) = 2^{-n}$, thus $\sum_n \mu(A_n) < \infty$; by the first Borel-Cantelli lemma,

(a1)
$$\mu\left(\limsup_{n} A_{n}\right) = 0.$$

¹It is in fact the Haar measure on the topological group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\infty}$.

(a2)
$$\sum_{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(x) < \infty \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty}$$

 $(\mathbf{1}_A \text{ being the indicator of } A)$. In contrast,

(b)
$$\sum_{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(x) = \infty \quad \text{for quasi all } x \in \{0, 1\}^{\infty},$$

as we will see soon. That is, quasi every x belongs to A_n for infinitely many n. (Of course, the infinite set of n depends on x.)

1e3 Exercise. Denote by B_n the complement of A_n , and by C_n the set $B_n \cap B_{n+1} \cap \ldots$ Prove that

- (a) C_n is closed;
- (b) C_n is nowhere dense.

Thus, $C = \bigcup_n C_n$ is meager, and its complement $\bigcap_n (A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \dots) = \lim \sup_n A_n$ is comeager, which proves 1e2(b).

1e4 Exercise. Now consider sets $A_n = \{x : x(n) = x(n+1) = \cdots = x(n^2) = 0\}$. Prove that

- (a) the set $\limsup_n A_n$ is comeager;
- (b) $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} x(k) = 0$ for all $x \in \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n$.

A half of 1e1(b) is thus proved; the other half is similar.

1f Digits of a typical number

We return to the map $\psi : [0,1) \to \{0,1\}^{\infty}$, $\psi(u) = (b_1(u), b_2(u), \ldots)$ where $b_k(u)$ are the binary digits of u. Of course, ψ is discontinuous; and nevertheless...

1f1 Exercise. Prove that

(a) If $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is nowhere dense then $\psi^{-1}(A) \subset [0,1)$ is nowhere dense.

(b) If $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is measure then $\psi^{-1}(A) \subset [0,1)$ is measure.

(c) If $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is comeager then $\psi^{-1}(A) \subset [0,1)$ is comeager.

¹The sum of the indicators is integrable, therefore, finite almost everywhere. (This is the proof of the first Borel-Cantelli lemma.)

1f2 Exercise. Let $A \subset \{0, 1\}^{\infty}$. Prove or disprove:

- (a) If $\psi^{-1}(A)$ is nowhere dense then A is nowhere dense.
- (b) If $\psi^{-1}(A)$ is meager then A is meager.

1f3 Remark. A map satisfying the equivalent conditions 1f1(b,c) (but not necessarily (a)) may be called *genericity preserving.*¹ Informally, such map transforms a generic element of the first space into a generic element of the second space.

Combining 1f1 with 1e1(b) and 1e2(b) we see that quasi all $u \in [0, 1)$ satisfy

$$\liminf_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(u) = 0, \quad \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k(u) = 1,$$

and the relation

 $b_1(u) = b_{n+1}(u), \dots, b_n(u) = b_{2n}(u)$

holds for infinitely many n.

All said about $\{0, 1\}^{\infty}$ and binary digits generalizes readily to $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}^{\infty}$ and decimal digits, as well as any other basis. Given comeager sets $A_p \subset \{0, \ldots, p-1\}^{\infty}$, we observe for a generic number $u \in [0, 1)$ the following property: for every basis $p = 2, 3, \ldots$ the corresponding digits of u are a sequence that belongs to A_p .

Hints to exercises

1a4: $[b_1, c_1] \supset [b_2, c_2] \supset \dots$ 1d2: if $x(1) = y(1), \dots, x(n) = y(n)$ then $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \le \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{2}{3^{n+2}} + \dots$; otherwise $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \ge \frac{2}{3^n} - \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} - \frac{2}{3^{n+2}} - \dots$

1d4: (a) $[b_1, c_1] \supset [b_2, c_2] \supset [b_3, c_3]$; (b) the union can be dense.

1d7: (a) similar to 1a4 with balls rather than intervals; (b) try a dense countable set.

1e3: (b) use 1d5.

1e4: (b) try $n \in \{1, 4, 9, 16, \dots\}$

1f1: (a) by 1d5 every binary interval $\left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right)$ contains a binary subinterval such that...(b), (c) follow from (a).

1f2: consider $\{0, 1\}^{\infty} \setminus \psi([0, 1))$.

¹According to Melleray and Tsankov, a *continuous* map with this property is called *category-preserving*; see arXiv:1201.4447, Def. 2.7.

Index

σ -ideal, 2 almost, 3, 5	metric, 3 metric space, 3 metrizable space, 3
Baire theorem, 1, 4	metrizable topology, 3
binary digits, 5	nowhere dense, 1, 4 null set, 2
Cantor set, 3	
comeager, 3	proper, 2
compact, 3	
compatible metric, 3	quasi, 3, 4
equivalent metrics, 3	stronger metric, 3
full measure, 2	typical, 3
generic, 3, 4 genericity preserving, 7	$\{0,1\}^S, 4 \ \{0,1\}^{\infty}, 3 \ 1_A, 6$
ideal, 2	$b_k(\cdot), 5$
Lebesgue measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$, 5	CI, I Int, 1 $\varphi(\cdot), 3$
meager, 2	$\psi(\cdot), 4$