## 2 Random variables

## 2a The definition

Discrete probability defines a random variable $X$ as a function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The probability of a possible value $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{P}(X=x)=P(\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)=x\})$. The probability of an interval, say, $\mathbb{P}(a<X<b)$ is the sum of probabilities of all possible values $x \in(a, b)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(a<X<b)=\sum_{x \in(a, b)} \mathbb{P}(X=x) . \tag{2a1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(a<X<b)=P(\{\omega \in \Omega: a<X(\omega)<b\}) . \tag{2a2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuous probability cannot use (2a1), since $\mathbb{P}(X=x)$ it typically 0 . Only (2a2) is used. The set $\{\omega \in \Omega: a<X(\omega)<b\}$ must be an event (otherwise its probability is not defined). The following definition uses intervals of the form $(-\infty, x]$ rather than $(a, b)$, but it is the same, as we'll see. As usual, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is a given probability space.

2a3 Definition. A random variable is a function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

## 2b Distribution function

2b1 Example. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the square $(0,1) \times(0,1)$ with the Lebesgue measure (recall 1f13), and

$$
X(s, t)=|s-t| \quad \text { for } s, t \in(0,1)
$$

(Recall Sect. 1a; interpret $s$ as the time of one friend, $t$ - the other; $X$ shows how long one of them has to wait for the other. Note that $\omega=(s, t)$.)

For $x=1 / 3$ the set $\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \leq x\}$ was considered in Sect. 1a (its probability is 5/9). For another $x \in(0,1)$ it is similar; ${ }^{12}$ the probability is ${ }^{13} 2 x(1-x)+x^{2}=2 x-x^{2}=x(2-x)$. For $x=0$ the set is the diagonal $(s=t)$ of probability 0 ; for $x<0$ the set is empty (therefore, of probability 0 ). For $x \geq 1$ the set is the whole $\Omega$ (therefore, of probability 1 ).

$$
\mathbb{P}(X \leq x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in(-\infty, 0]  \tag{2b2}\\ x(2-x) & \text { for } x \in[0,1] \\ 1 & \text { for } x \in[1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$



[^0]2b3 Definition. A (cumulative) distribution function of a random variable $X$ is the function $F_{X}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
F_{X}(x)=\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \quad \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

So, (2b2) is an example of a distribution function. Note that it is continuous. In contrast, a discrete distribution has a discontinuous distribution function:


Any combination of discrete and continuous is also possible:


2b4 Example. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be as in 2 b 1 , and

$$
Y(s, t)=(t-s)^{+}= \begin{cases}t-s & \text { when } s \leq t \\ 0 & \text { when } s \geq t\end{cases}
$$

(Friend A waits for friend B during $Y$.$) Here \mathbb{P}(Y=0)=1 / 2$, but the rest of the distribution is continuous:


2b5 Example. The uniform distribution $\mathrm{U}(0,1){ }^{1}$ has a very simple distribution function


Turn to decimal digits,

$$
X=\left(0 . \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots\right)_{10}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{k}}{10^{k}}, \quad \alpha_{k} \in\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}
$$

$X \sim \mathrm{U}(0,1)$ means that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ are independent discrete random variables, each one distributed uniformly on $\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}$ (recall 1f4). Binary digits $\beta_{k}$,

$$
X=\left(0 . \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \ldots\right)_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{k}}{2^{k}}, \quad \beta_{k} \in\{0,1\},
$$

are independent random variables, namely, indicators of corresponding independent events $B_{k}=\left\{\beta_{k}=1\right\}, \mathbb{P}\left(B_{k}\right)=1 / 2$ :

(Just infinite coin tossing.)
2b6 Example. Still $X=\left(0 . \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \ldots\right)_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=Y+\frac{1}{2} Z, \quad Y \text { and } Z \text { are independent, } \\
& Y=\left(0 . \beta_{1} 0 \beta_{3} 0 \beta_{5} 0 \ldots\right)_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{2 k-1}}{2^{2 k-1}} \\
& \frac{1}{2} Z=\left(0.0 \beta_{2} 0 \beta_{4} 0 \beta_{6} \ldots\right)_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{2 k}}{2^{2 k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The distribution function of $Y$ is continuous but bizarre:


The distribution function of $Z$ is the same.
2b7 Example. Still $X=\left(0 . \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \ldots\right)_{2}$. Introduce

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}=\beta_{1} \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}=\beta_{3} \beta_{4}, \ldots \\
Y=\left(0 . \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots\right)_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{2 k-1} \beta_{2 k}}{2^{k}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Binary digits $\gamma_{k}$ of $Y$ are independent random variables, namely, indicators of corresponding independent events $C_{k}=\left\{\gamma_{k}=1\right\}, \mathbb{P}\left(C_{k}\right)=1 / 4$ :


The distribution function of $Y$ is continuous but bizarre:


You see, random digits often lead to bizarre distributions. However, discrete probability also can lead to bizarre distributions.

2b8 Example. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable distributed geometrically:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
x & 0 & 1 & 2 & \ldots \\
\mathbb{P}(X=x) & p & p q & p q^{2} & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

where $p \in(0,1)$ is a parameter. Let $Y=\sin X$ (in radians, of course). The distribution function of $Y$ is bizarre, and discontinuous on every interval $(a, b) \subset(0,1)$ :

(The case $p=0.03$ is shown.)

## 2c Density

Usually we deal with smooth distribution functions, like (2b2). Such a function $F$ has a piecewise continuous derivative $f, f(x)=F^{\prime}(x)$, and is the integral of $f$ :

$$
F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \quad F(b)-F(a)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

At some points $f$ may be discontinuous. No need to define a value of $f$ at such points, since these values do not influence the integral.

2c1. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a density of a random variable $X$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(a<X<b)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \tag{2c2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $-\infty<a<b<\infty$.

Unfortunately, 2 c 1 is not a definition, since the integration is not specified. Usually, $f$ is Riemann integrable on every bounded interval ( $a, b$ ), and we may use Riemann integration in 2c2. However, the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}$ is improper (rather than Riemann):

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}=\lim _{a \rightarrow-\infty} \int_{a}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x=\lim _{\substack{a \rightarrow-\infty \\ b \rightarrow+\infty}} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

As you probably guess,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x=1
$$

whenever $f$ is a density of a random variable. ${ }^{14}$
Sometimes $f$ is not Riemann integrable even on bounded intervals.
2c3 Example. Let $X \sim \mathrm{U}(0,1)$ (that is, $X$ is a random variable distributed uniformly on $(0,1)$ ), and $Y=X^{2}$. (Think, say, about the area of a random square.) Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{Y}(y)=\mathbb{P}(Y \leq y)=\mathbb{P}\left(X^{2} \leq y\right)=\mathbb{P}(X \leq \sqrt{y})=\sqrt{y} \text { for } y \in[0,1] ; \\
& F_{Y}(y)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } y \in(-\infty, 0], \\
\sqrt{y} & \text { for } y \in[0,1], \\
1 & \text { for } y \in[1,+\infty) ;\end{cases} \\
& f_{Y}(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{y}} & \text { for } y \in(0,1), \\
0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $f_{Y}$ is not Riemann integrable on $(0,1)$, since it is not bounded. Improper integral is used here:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} f_{Y}(y) d y=\lim _{a \rightarrow+0} \int_{a}^{b} f_{Y}(y) d y
$$

More generally, if $f$ has singularities, say, at $1 / 3$ and $2 / 3$, we write

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+}\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 3-\varepsilon} f(x) d x+\int_{1 / 3+\varepsilon}^{2 / 3-\varepsilon} f(x) d x+\int_{2 / 3+\varepsilon}^{1} f(x) d x\right)
$$

etc.
In principle, a density $f$ may be such a bizarre function that Riemann integration is utterly inapplicable. Then so-called Lebesgue integration must be used in $2 \mathrm{c} 2 .{ }^{15}$

[^1]If $f$ is Riemann integrable on $(a, b)$, then the two-dimensional region $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \in\right.$ $(a, b), y \in(0, f(x))\}$ is Jordan measurable, and its area is equal to $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$. In general, Lebesgue measure of the area is equal to Lebesgue integral of the function.

Anyway, A DENSITY IS DEFINED IN TERMS OF INTEGRATION rather than differentiation. Consequently, a density may be changed at will (or left undefined) at any point, or finite set of points. ${ }^{16}$

Is There a density of a discrete distribution? A discussion follows.
Y: Consider for instance the function $F=\widetilde{\square}$ and differentiate it. Clearly, $F^{\prime}(x)=0$ for $x \neq 0$. For $x=0$ we have

$$
F^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(0+\varepsilon)-F(0)}{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}=\infty .
$$

So, the function

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { for } x=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is the derivative of $F$. Thus, the density of a discrete distribution exists.
$\mathbf{N}$ : First, you treat $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ as $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0-}$; your derivative is in fact one-sided. Second, it is illegal for $f$ to take on the value $\infty$.
$\mathbf{Y}:$ For $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is is illegal, but for $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ it is legal. One-sided limit... so what? I mean, a discontinuous function is a limit of a sequence of continuous functions, $\xrightarrow{\sqrt{\square}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underset{-\frac{1}{n}}{\sqrt[1]{\longrightarrow}}$ and I take the limit of derivatives,

$$
f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}, \quad f_{n}=F_{n}^{\prime}=n_{n}^{n}
$$

It is as legal as, say, using improper integral instead of Riemann integral. Call it improper derivative, if you want.
$\mathbf{N}$ : Anyway, your 'improper density' is useless. Consider for example

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\infty & \text { for } x=0 \\ \infty & \text { for } x=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

 You see, $2 \cdot \infty=\infty$.
Y: That is right, ' $\infty$ ' does not calibrate a singularity. Let us denote the derivative ofby $\delta$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\stackrel{1 / 2}{\substack{1}} \longrightarrow \quad(x)=\frac{1}{2} \delta(x)+\frac{1}{2} \delta(x-1) \text {, } \\
& F=\stackrel{1 / 3}{\substack{1 / 3}} \Longrightarrow \quad \Longrightarrow(x)=\frac{1}{3} \delta(x)+\frac{2}{3} \delta(x-1) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]$\mathbf{N}$ : If you put $\delta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\infty & \text { for } x=0, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{array}\right.$ you get $2 \delta=\delta$. Your new notation ' $\delta(0)^{\prime}$ ' is not better than our old ' $\infty$ '.

Y: The 'new' function $\delta$ (invented long ago by a physicist Paul Dirac, not by me just now) is specified not by $\delta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\infty & \text { for } x=0, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{array}\right.$ but by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \delta(x) d x= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 0 \in(a, b) \\ 0 & \text { if } 0 \notin[a, b]\end{cases}
$$

$\mathbf{N}$ : A function consists of its values, not integrals. Could you agree if I introduce a 'new' number $\Delta$ 'defined' by $\Delta+1=\Delta, \Delta-1=-\Delta$ ?

Y: However, physicists use Dirac's delta-function! It is useful, and does not lead to paradoxes (unless you insist that it must belong to 'old-fashioned' functions).
$\mathbf{N}$ : Not just physicists. Also mathematicians use Dirac's 'delta-function'. It exists, but not among functions. It exists among so-called Schwartz distributions ${ }^{17}$ (known also as 'generalized functions'). Use them, if you are acquainted with their theory, otherwise you do not know what is legal and what is not.

According to the conventional terminology, A DENSITY IS A FUNCTION (rather than, say, a Schwartz distribution), and the integral in (2c2) is treated (most generally) as Lebesgue integral. ${ }^{18}$

If $X$ has a density $f_{X}$, then its distribution function $F_{X}$ is continuous. ${ }^{19}$ That is, a discontinuous $F_{X}$ has no density. In particular, discrete distributions have no densities.

If $F_{X}$ is continuous, it does not mean that $X$ has a density. Bizarre distribution functions of examples $2 \mathrm{~b} 6,2 \mathrm{~b} 7$ are continuous, but nevertheless, have no densities. ${ }^{20}$

## 2d Distributions

2d1 Proposition. Let $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ (that is, $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel set). Then the set $\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \in B\}$ is an event. ${ }^{21}$

The probability $\mathbb{P}(X \in B)=P(\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \in B\})$ is therefore well-defined for every Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ (not just interval).

2d2 Proposition. Let $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable. Then the function $P_{X}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{X}(B)=\mathbb{P}(X \in B) \tag{2d3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]is a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}) .{ }^{22}$
2d4 Definition. The probability measure $P_{X}$ defined by $(2 \mathrm{~d} 3)$ is called the distribution of a random variable $X$.

Note that $\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, P_{X}\right)$ is another probability space.
Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X}(x)=P_{X}((-\infty, x]) . \tag{2d5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $P_{X}=P_{Y}$ implies $F_{X}=F_{Y}$. The converse is also true.
2d6 Proposition. If $F_{X}=F_{Y}$ then $P_{X}=P_{Y}$.
You can easily deduce 2 d 6 from 1 f 11 .
So, distributions are in a one-one correspondence with distribution functions. A good luck; we cannot draw a distribution itself, but we can draw (the graph of) its distribution function.

2d7 Definition. Random variables $X, Y$ are called identically distributed, if $P_{X}=P_{Y}$.
The latter definition is applicable also to the case of different probability spaces $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}, P_{1}\right),\left(\Omega_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, P_{2}\right)$, when $X: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Y: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (Usually, speaking about two random variables, we mean 'on the same probability space'.)

Every probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ corresponds to some random variable ${ }^{23}$ on some probability space. The proof is immediate: given a probability measure $P: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0,1]$, consider a probability space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, P)$ and a random variable $X: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, X(\omega)=\omega$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R} .{ }^{24}$

2d8 Proposition. Let $P$ be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Then the corresponding distribution function

$$
F(x)=P((-\infty, x])
$$

satisfies the following conditions.
(a) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad 0 \leq F(x) \leq 1$.
(b) $F$ increases, that is, $x_{1} \leq x_{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F\left(x_{1}\right) \leq F\left(x_{2}\right)$.
(c) $F(-\infty)=0$, that is, $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F(x)=0$.
(d) $F(+\infty)=1$, that is, $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F(x)=1$.
(e) $F$ is right continuous, that is, $F(x+)=F(x)$.

You can prove the proposition easily, using a simple but important consequence of sigmaadditivity given below.

[^4]2d9 Exercise. Prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n} \uparrow A \Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right) \uparrow \mathbb{P}(A), \\
& A_{n} \downarrow A \Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right) \downarrow \mathbb{P}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$
Here ' $A_{n} \uparrow A$ ' means that $A_{1} \subset A_{2} \subset \ldots$ and $A=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots$ Similarly, ' $A_{n} \downarrow A$ ' means that $A_{1} \supset A_{2} \supset \ldots$ and $A=A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots{ }^{25}$ And of course, $P\left(A_{n}\right) \uparrow P(A)$ means that $P\left(A_{1}\right) \leq P\left(A_{2}\right) \leq \ldots$ and $P(A)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{k}\right)$.

Did you understand why $F$ must be right continuous but not left continuous? Since

$$
x_{n} \downarrow x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left(-\infty, x_{n}\right] \downarrow(-\infty, x],
$$

however,

$$
x_{n} \uparrow x \quad \nRightarrow \quad\left(-\infty, x_{n}\right] \uparrow(-\infty, x] ;
$$

in fact, $\left(-\infty, x_{n}\right] \uparrow(-\infty, x)$ except for a degenerate case.
Note that all our examples of distribution functions (including bizarre examples) satisfy 2d8(a-e).

2d10 Exercise. Using (2c2) and 2d8(c,d) prove that $F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x=1$ whenever a density exists.

Is there a uniform distribution on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ ? A discussion follows.
Y: For every $n$ there is a uniform distribution $\mathrm{U}(-n, n)$ on the interval $(-n, n)$. Its limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ is the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{R}$.
$\mathbf{N}$ : The distribution function for $\mathrm{U}(-n, n)$ is

$$
F_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in(-\infty,-n] \\ \frac{x+n}{2 n} & \text { for } x \in[-n, n] \\ 1 & \text { for } x \in[n,+\infty)\end{cases}
$$



Its limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ is $F(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x+n}{2 n}=\frac{1}{2}$. However, $F$ is not a distribution function, it violates $2 \mathrm{~d} 8(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$.

Y: I feel, something is wrong with $2 \mathrm{~d} 8(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$.
$\mathbf{N}$ : I can say it in other words. Imagine the uniform distribution $P$ on $\mathbb{R}$. What is $P([-1,1])$ ?
$\mathbf{Y}$ : It tends to 0 , since $[-1,1]$ is an infinitesimal part of the whole $\mathbb{R}$.
$\mathbf{N}$ : You must return to Sect. 1c; especially, see page 4. You say, $P([-1,1])$ tends to 0 , and you must add something like 'when $n \rightarrow \infty$ ', but you have no $n$ here, unless you use an infinite sequence of models (these are $\mathrm{U}(-n, n)$ ) instead of a single model. You must say:

[^5]$P([-1,1])=0$. Similarly, $P([-2,2])=0$ and so on. However, $[-n, n] \uparrow \mathbb{R}$ and you get $P(\mathbb{R})=0$ instead of $P(\mathbb{R})=1$.

Y: Recall, you agree to define $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x$ as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^{n} f(x) d x$. Similarly, I may define $P(B)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}(B)$ for any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$; here $P_{n}$ is $\mathrm{U}(-n, n)$. Why not?
$\mathbf{N}$ : First, the limit need not exist. For example, it does not exist for $B=[1,2] \cup[4,8] \cup$ $[16,32] \cup \ldots$ Second, your definition gives $P([n, n+1))=0$ for every $n$, but $P(\mathbb{R})=1$, in contradiction to sigma-additivity.

Y: I feel, something is wrong with sigma-additivity. It is too restrictive.
N: I do not agree. Anyway, let me ask you another question. How could I choose a real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ at random, uniformly on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ ?

Y: What is the problem? Just choose it at once.
$\mathbf{N}$ : No, that is an illusion. Try to do it gradually, like choosing $X \sim \mathrm{U}(0,1)$ by tossing a coin for its binary digits (though you may prefer decimal digits). What are digits of your number (uniform on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ )? They must be independent and uniform, all digits, both of the fractional part and of the integral part.

Y: Nice, that is a way to choose $x$ gradually. Just choose digits by tossing a coin.
$\mathbf{N}$ : And I get a two-sided infinite sequence ( $\left.\ldots, \beta_{-2}, \beta_{-1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Should I write $X= \pm \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta_{k} 2^{k}$ ? Almost surely, the sum does not converge, since infinitely many of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots$ are non-zero. Your two-sided digital monster is not a real number.

Such a set function as

$$
P(B)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{mes}(B \cap[-n, n])
$$

is legal ${ }^{26}$ and sometimes useful. However, $P$ is not a probability measure. Speaking about 'uniform distribution on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ ' one escapes the usual probability theory. In principle, you may try it if you know what are you doing. However, in the framework of the usual probability theory, THERE IS NO UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION ON THE WHOLE $\mathbb{R}$ (or another set of infinite Lebesgue measure). By the way, discrete probability says the same: there is no uniform distribution on $\{1,2, \ldots\}$; there is no countably infinite symmetric probability space.

Every distribution $P$ corresponds to a distribution function $F$ satisfying 2d8(a-e).
2d11 Exercise. Prove that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{P}(X \in(-\infty, x])=F_{X}(x) ; & \mathbb{P}(X \in(-\infty, x))=F_{X}(x-) ; \\
\mathbb{P}(X \in[x,+\infty))=1-F_{X}(x-) ; & \mathbb{P}(X \in(x,+\infty))=1-F_{X}(x) ; \\
\mathbb{P}(X \in[a, b])=F_{X}(b)-F_{X}(a-) ; & \mathbb{P}(X \in(a, b))=F_{X}(b-)-F_{X}(a) ; \\
\mathbb{P}(X \in[a, b))=F_{X}(b-)-F_{X}(a-) ; & \mathbb{P}(X \in(a, b])=F_{X}(b)-F_{X}(a) ; \\
\mathbb{P}(X \in[a, b] \cup(c, d))=F_{X}(d-)-F_{X}(c)+F_{X}(b)-F_{X}(a-) \quad(a<b<c<d) .
\end{array}
$$

2d12 Exercise. Prove that

$$
\mathbb{P}(X=x)=F_{X}(x)-F_{X}(x-)
$$

[^6]and
$$
\mathbb{P}(X \in B)=\sum_{x \in B}\left(F_{X}(x)-F_{X}(x-)\right)
$$
for any finite or countable set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$. Can you generalize the formula for uncountable sets?
2d13 Exercise. For the random variable $X$ of Example 2b8 prove that
$$
\mathbb{P}(X \in B)=\sum_{A \cap B}\left(F_{X}(x)-F_{X}(x-)\right)=\sum_{k: \sin k \in B} p q^{k}
$$
for any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$; here $A=\{\sin k: k=0,1,2, \ldots\}$ is a countable set dense in $[-1,1]$. Can you generalize the formula for non-Borel sets?

2d14 Definition. A number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an atom of (the distribution of) a random variable $X$, if

$$
\mathbb{P}(X=x)>0 .
$$

The random variable $X$ (as well as its distribution) is called nonatomic, if

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbb{P}(X=x)=0
$$

Clearly, $X$ is nonatomic if and only if $F_{X}$ is continuous. If $X$ has a density then it is nonatomic. The converse is false (think, why). ${ }^{27}$

2d15 Definition. The support of (the distribution of) a random variable $X$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \mathbb{P}(x-\varepsilon<X<x+\varepsilon)>0 .
$$

The support is always a closed set $S$ of probability 1 ; I mean, $\mathbb{P}(X \in S)=1$. In fact, the support is the least closed set of probability 1 .

2d16 Exercise. Find atoms and the support of the bizarre distribution of Example 2b8.

## 2e Quantile function

The notion of a median appears even in newspapers; it was proposed to replace 'mean salary' with 'median salary', that is, a number higher than a half of the salaries and lower than the other half.

2e1 Definition. Let $X$ be a random variable, $x \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(0,1)$. The number $x$ is called a p-quantile of $X$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(X<x) \leq p \leq \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \tag{2e2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A median is an $\frac{1}{2}$-quantile.

[^7]In terms of $F_{X}$ we may rewrite (2e2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X}(x-) \leq p \leq F_{X}(x) \tag{2e3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F_{X}$ is continuous, it means simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X}(x)=p \tag{2e4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2e5 Example. Recall Example 2b1:

$$
F_{X}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in(-\infty, 0], \\ x(2-x) & \text { for } x \in[0,1], \\ 1 & \text { for } x \in[1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

Here $F_{X}$ is continuous, thus (2e2) becomes (2e4), and $x$ is uniquely determined by $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(2-x)=p ; \quad 1-(1-x)^{2}=p \\
& (1-x)^{2}=1-p ; \quad x=1-\sqrt{1-p}
\end{aligned}
$$



It is the function inverse to $F_{X}$, or rather, to the restriction $\left.F_{X}\right|_{(0,1)}$. In particular, the median is

$$
\operatorname{Me}(X)=1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}}=1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.293
$$



Usually, $F_{X}$ is continuous and strictly increasing on some $[a, b]$ such that $F_{X}(a)=0$, $F_{X}(b)=1$. Then, denoting by $F_{X}^{-1}$ the function inverse to $\left.F_{X}\right|_{(a, b)}$, we have $F_{X}^{-1}:(0,1) \rightarrow$ $(a, b)$, and $F_{X}^{-1}(p)$ is a $p$-quantile for every $p \in(0,1)$. The case $a=-\infty, b=+\infty$ is also usual; here, $F_{X}$ is continuous and strictly increasing on the whole $\mathbb{R}$, and $F_{X}^{-1}:(0,1) \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty)$. Of course, it can happen that $a=-\infty$ but $b<+\infty$ (or the opposite).

However, a $p$-quantile need not be unique, since a distribution may have a gap:


$$
\left\{x: F_{X}(x)=p\right\}=\left[x_{p}^{\min }, x_{p}^{\max }\right] .
$$

Here, every $x \in\left[x_{p}^{\min }, x_{p}^{\max }\right]$ is a $p$-quantile. See Example 2 b 6 for a lot of gaps. In fact, the support is the complement of the union of all gaps (treated as open intervals).

On the other hand, a single number may be a $p$-quantile for many values of $p$, since a distribution may have an atom:


$$
F_{X}(x-)=p_{x}^{\min }<p_{x}^{\max }=F_{X}(x) .
$$

Here, $x$ is a $p$-quantile for every $p \in\left[p_{x}^{\min }, p_{x}^{\max }\right]$.
We define the quantile line of $X$ as the set of all $(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \in(0,1) \text { and } x \text { is a } p \text {-quantile, } \\
& \text { or } p=0 \text { and } F_{X}(x-)=0, \\
& \text { or } p=1 \text { and } F_{X}(x)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

For example:


In general, the quantile line is not a graph of a function $p=f(x)$, nor $x=g(p)$, since its intersection with a vertical or horizontal line may be a segment rather than a single point. However, for every $u$ the line $x+p=u$ intersects the quantile line at one and only one point. ${ }^{28}$


The quantile line divides $R^{2}$ into two regions. One region, above the line and to the left, is $\left\{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: F_{X}(x-) \leq p\right\}$; the other region, below and to the right, is $\left\{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p \leq\right.$ $\left.F_{X}(x)\right\} ;$ I mean closed regions; their intersection is the quantile line $\left\{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: F_{X}(x-) \leq\right.$ $\left.p \leq F_{X}(x)\right\}$.

The graph $\left\{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: p=F_{X}(x)\right\}$ is a subset of the quantile line. Their relation is easy to describe: replace every vertical segment of the quantile line with its highest point, and you get the graph. Using the lowest point instead, you get the graph of the left-continuous function $x \mapsto F_{X}(x-)=\mathbb{P}(X<x)$. Choosing an arbitrary point, you get a function $f$ such that $F_{X}(x-) \leq f(x) \leq F_{X}(x+)$ for all $x$. Then $f(x-)=F_{X}(x-)$ and $f(x+)=F_{X}(x+)$ despite the arbitrary choice.

(An elementary case is shown on the picture, but the statements hold in full generality, including bizarre functions of $2 \mathrm{~b} 6,2 \mathrm{~b} 7,2 \mathrm{~b} 8$.)

Similarly, we may replace every horizontal segment of the quantile line with a single point chosen arbitrarily on the segment. (Horizontal rays at $p=0$ and $p=1$ are just removed.) We get the graph $\{(x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0,1): x=g(p)\}$ of a function $g:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(p)$ is a $p$-quantile.


[^8]2e6 Definition. A function $X^{*}:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a quantile function of a random variable $X$, if $X^{*}(p)$ is a $p$-quantile of $X$ whenever $p \in(0,1)$.


In the invertible case, $X^{*}=F_{X}^{-1}$, and so, a quantile function is unique. In general, $X^{*}(p-)$ and $X^{*}(p+)$ are uniquely determined, but $X^{*}(p) \in\left[X^{*}(p-), X^{*}(p+)\right]$ is arbitrary if $X^{*}$ is discontinuous at $p$. Anyway, $X^{*}$ is an increasing function. ${ }^{29}$ Also, ${ }^{30}$

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{*} \text { is continuous } & \Longleftrightarrow F_{X} \text { is strictly increasing ; }  \tag{2e7}\\
X^{*} \text { is strictly increasing } & \Longleftrightarrow F_{X} \text { is continuous } .
\end{align*}
$$

Try to apply it to Examples 2b6, 2b7, 2 b 8.
The two regions can be described in terms of $X^{*}$ as well as $F_{X}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{X}(x-) \leq p & \Longleftrightarrow x \leq X^{*}(p+), \\
p \leq F_{X}(x+) & \Longleftrightarrow X^{*}(p-) \leq x,  \tag{2e8}\\
F_{X}(x-) \leq p \leq F_{X}(x+) & \Longleftrightarrow X^{*}(p-) \leq x \leq X^{*}(p+) ;
\end{align*}
$$

the latter describes the quantile line; of course, $F_{X}(x+)=F_{X}(x)$.
Looking at the discrete case,

we see that $X^{*}$ is distributed like $X$. Indeed, $X^{*}(\cdot)=x_{1}$ on an interval of length $p_{1}$; $X^{*}(\cdot)=x_{2}$ on an interval of length $p_{2}$; and so on. ${ }^{31}$

2e9 Theorem. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space, $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a random variable, and $X^{*}:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a quantile function of $X$. Consider $X^{*}$ as a random variable on the probability space $(0,1)$ (equipped with Lebesgue measure). Then random variables $X$ and $X^{*}$ are identically distributed.

It means simply that the set $\left\{p \in(0,1): X^{*}(p) \leq x\right\}$ is either $\left(0, F_{X}(x)\right)$ or $\left(0, F_{X}(x)\right]$. (Both cases are possible; think, why.)

Theorem 2 e 9 is useful for simulating random variables. ${ }^{32}$ Having a random numbers generator that gives $p$ distributed uniformly on $(0,1)$, we get $x=X^{*}(p)$ distributed like $X$.

[^9]Moreover, the quantile function may be used for constructing a random variable from scratch. Assume that $F$ is a function satisfying 2d8(a-e). For now we do not know, whether $F=F_{X}$ for some $X$, or not. ${ }^{33}$ Nevertheless we may define the quantile line of $F$ as $\{(x, p) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2}: F(x-) \leq p \leq F(x)\right\}$. It appears that all needed properties of the quantile line follow from $2 \mathrm{~d} 8(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e})$. As we know, a quantile line leads to a quantile function $X^{*}:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Though, there is no $X$ for now. However, we may take $X=X^{*}$; the very $X^{*}$ is a random variable! It appears that $F_{X}=F$. So, Conditions 2d8(a-e) are not only necessary but also sufficient.

2e10 Theorem. For any function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Conditions $2 \mathrm{~d} 8(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e})$ are necessary and sufficient for existence of a probability measure $P$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ such that

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad P((-\infty, x])=F(x) .
$$

If such $P$ exists, it is unique (recall 2 d 6 ), and we get the following fact.
2e11 Corollary. The formula

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad P((-\infty, x])=F(x)
$$

establishes a one-one correspondence between probability distributions $P$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and functions $F$ satisfying 2d8(a-e).

2e12 Corollary. For every function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying ${ }^{34}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x \quad f(x) \geq 0 \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x=1
\end{aligned}
$$

there is one and only one distribution $P$ such that $f$ is a density of $P$.
Indeed, the function $F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1}$ satisfies $2 \mathrm{~d} 8(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e})$.

[^10]
[^0]:    ${ }^{12}$ The set $\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \leq x\}=\{(s, t) \in(0,1) \times(0,1):|s-t| \leq x\}$ is a Borel set, since it is the intersection of the open set $(0,1) \times(0,1)$ and the closed set $\left\{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|s-t| \leq x\right\}$. The set is also Jordan measurable (just a polygon), therefore its Lebesgue measure is equal to its area.
    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Or}$, even simpler, $1-2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1-x)^{2}=x(2-x)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{14}$ We'll return to the point later.
    ${ }^{15}$ We'll return to Lebesgue integral later. If you are curious to see a bizarre density, here is the simplest example known to me:

    $$
    f(x)=1+\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin \left(\cdot 2^{k} x \pi\right)\right) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) .
    $$

    Sorry, I am unable to draw its graph; it is dense in the rectangle $[0,1] \times[0,2]$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{16}$ In fact, on any set of zero Lebesgue measure.

[^3]:    ${ }^{17}$ Schwartz distributions are in general not probability distributions; the two ideas of 'distribution' are related but different.
    ${ }^{18}$ It conforms with proper and improper Riemann integration when the latter is applicable.
    ${ }^{19}$ Which follows from the theory of Lebesgue integration.
    ${ }^{20}$ There is a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a density, the so-called absolute continuity. These bizarre functions are continuous but not absolutely continuous.
    ${ }^{21}$ That is, belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. As usual, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is a given probability space.

[^4]:    ${ }^{22} \mathrm{~A}$ probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ was defined in Sect. 1e for any $\sigma$-field $\mathcal{F}$ on any set $\Omega$. In particular, it is well-defined for the case $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})=(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$.
    ${ }^{23}$ Of course, there are many such random variables.
    ${ }^{24}$ In the next section we'll see that, moreover, every probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ corresponds to some random variable defined on the standard probability space, $(0,1)$ with Lebesgue measure.

[^5]:    ${ }^{25}$ Generally, $A_{n} \rightarrow A \Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$ also for non-monotone sequences $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ if $\lim A_{n}$ is defined appropriately. However, we do not need it now.

[^6]:    ${ }^{26}$ However, one must bother about existence of the limit.

[^7]:    ${ }^{27}$ I do not like the term 'continuous distribution' since it is somewhat ambiguous; some people interpret it as 'nonatomic distribution', others as 'distribution that has a density'.

[^8]:    ${ }^{28}$ The quantile line can be described by continuous functions $u \mapsto x_{u}, u \mapsto p_{u}$ of the new variable $u=x+p$. Moreover, $0 \leq x_{u+\Delta u}-x_{u} \leq \Delta u, 0 \leq p_{u+\Delta u}-p_{u} \leq \Delta u$. Of course, $x+p$ is only a convenient trick; $x+2 p$ works equally well.

[^9]:    ${ }^{29}$ Not strictly increasing, in general.
    ${ }^{30}$ Strict increase of $F_{X}$ does not relate to $x$ such that $F_{X}(x)=0$ or $F_{X}(x)=1$.
    ${ }^{31}$ Arbitrary values at jumping points do not matter.
    ${ }^{32}$ Other ways may be more effective for special distributions, but this way is quite universal.

[^10]:    ${ }^{33}$ In other words, we do not know, whether or not $F(x)=P((-\infty, x])$ for some probabilty measure $P$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$; recall the paragraph before 2 d 8 .
    ${ }^{34}$ The function must be good enough for its integral to exist; recall Sect. 2c

