

4 Integral

4a	Introduction	34
4b	Simple functions (unsigned)	35
4c	Measurable functions (unsigned)	36
4d	Integrable functions	42

Lebesgue integral: definition, basic properties. Integral as a new measure. Integral w.r.t. pushforward measure.

4a Introduction

Given a measure space (X, S, μ) and a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we are interested in a measure ν on (X, S) such that

$$(4a1) \quad \mu(A) \inf_{x \in A} f(x) \leq \nu(A) \leq \mu(A) \sup_{x \in A} f(x) \quad \text{for all } A \in S,$$

in order to define the integral by

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \nu(A).$$

In symbols, the relation between μ , f and ν is often written as

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = f,$$

less often as $d\nu = f \, d\mu$, and sometimes¹ as $\nu = f \cdot \mu$; the latter notation is used below.

We start with “simple functions”, then proceed to measurable functions $X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ (“unsigned”), and then to measurable functions $X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ (“signed”).

Throughout, (X, S, μ) is a measure space.

¹See for example Def. 6 in Appendix A5 to lecture notes by Klaus Ritter; there, find “Probability theory (WS 2011/12)”.

4b Simple functions (unsigned)

4b1 Remark. (a) If μ is a measure and $c \in [0, \infty)$, then $c\mu$ is a measure. (By convention, $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.)

(b) If μ_1, μ_2 are measures, then $\mu_1 + \mu_2$ is a measure. (All measures are on the same (X, S) , of course.)

(c) If μ is a measure and $B \in S$, then $A \mapsto \mu(A \cap B)$ is a measure.

By a *simple function*¹ we mean a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(X) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a finite set. For now we assume also $f(X) \subset [0, \infty)$ and call f an unsigned simple function.

4b2 Lemma. For every unsigned simple function f there exists one and only one measure ν satisfying (4a1); and this ν is given by

$$\nu(A) = \sum_{y \in f(X)} y \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y)) \quad \text{for } A \in S.$$

Proof. Uniqueness: it follows from (4a1) that $\nu(A) = y\mu(A)$ whenever $A \subset f^{-1}(y)$; and in general, $\nu(A) = \nu(\bigsqcup_y (A \cap f^{-1}(y))) = \sum_y \nu(A \cap f^{-1}(y)) = \sum_y y\mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y))$.

Existence: the latter formula gives a measure (by 4b1) and, denoting $b = \sup_{x \in A} f(x)$ we have $A = \bigsqcup_{y \leq b} (A \cap f^{-1}(y))$ and therefore $\nu(A) \leq b \sum_{y \leq b} \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y)) = b\mu(A)$; the infimum is treated similarly. \square

We denote this measure ν by $f \cdot \mu$;

$$(f \cdot \mu)(A) = \sum_{y \in f(X)} y \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y)).$$

In particular,

$$(4b3) \quad (\mathbb{1}_B \cdot \mu)(A) = \mu(A \cap B);$$

$$(4b4) \quad (\mathbb{1}_A \cdot \mu)(X) = \mu(A).$$

4b5 Exercise.

$$(f \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_0^\infty \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y, \infty)) \, dy.$$

(Just the Riemann integral of a step function with bounded support.)

Prove it.

¹But note that “simple” functions are much more complicated than step functions. Indeed, the indicator of a measurable set is a simple function, even if the set is quite complicated.

Clearly, $(cf) \cdot \mu = c(f \cdot \mu)$ for $c \in [0, \infty)$. Also, if f is constant, $f(\cdot) = c$, then $f \cdot \mu = c\mu$.

4b6 Lemma. $(f + g) \cdot \mu = f \cdot \mu + g \cdot \mu$ for all unsigned simple functions f, g .

Proof. If A is such that f and g are constant on A , then $((f + g) \cdot \mu)(A) = (f \cdot \mu)(A) + (g \cdot \mu)(A)$ (think, why). And in general, this equality still holds, since A is the disjoint union of such sets:

$$A = \bigsqcup_{y \in f(X), z \in g(X)} (A \cap f^{-1}(y) \cap g^{-1}(z)).$$

□

One says that the map $f \mapsto f \cdot \mu$ is positively linear.

4b7 Exercise. $(fg) \cdot \mu = g \cdot (f \cdot \mu)$ for all unsigned simple functions f, g . Prove it.¹

In particular,

$$(4b8) \quad (g \cdot \mu)(A) = ((g\mathbb{1}_A) \cdot \mu)(X),$$

since both sides are equal to $(\mathbb{1}_A \cdot (g \cdot \mu))(X)$.

4c Measurable functions (unsigned)

4c1 Definition. The (Lebesgue) integral of a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ over a set $A \in S$ is

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \sup\{(g \cdot \mu)(A) : \text{unsigned simple } g \leq f\}.$$

Immediate consequences (check them):

$$(4c2) \quad \text{if } f \text{ is simple, then } \int_A f \, d\mu = (f \cdot \mu)(A); \quad (\text{simple})$$

$$(4c3) \quad \text{if } f = g \text{ on } A, \text{ then } \int_A f \, d\mu = \int_A g \, d\mu; \quad (\text{locality})$$

$$(4c4) \quad \text{if } f \leq g \text{ on } A, \text{ then } \int_A f \, d\mu \leq \int_A g \, d\mu; \quad (\text{monotonicity})$$

$$(4c5) \quad \text{if } f = c \text{ on } A, \text{ then } \int_A f \, d\mu = c\mu(A); \quad (\text{constant})$$

¹Hint: similar to 4b6.

(4c6) if $a \leq f \leq b$ on A , then $a\mu(A) \leq \int_A f \, d\mu \leq b\mu(A)$. (mean value)

In probability theory, the (mathematical) expectation of a random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is, by definition,

$$\mathbb{E} X = \int_{\Omega} X \, dP.$$

We'll see soon that the map $A \mapsto \int_A f \, d\mu$ is a measure, and then we'll denote this measure by $f \cdot \mu$. First, additivity.

4c7 Lemma.

$$\int_{A \uplus B} f \, d\mu = \int_A f \, d\mu + \int_B f \, d\mu$$

whenever $A, B \in S$ are disjoint.

Proof. $\int_{A \uplus B} f \, d\mu = \sup_g (g \cdot \mu)(A \uplus B) = \sup_g ((g \cdot \mu)(A) + (g \cdot \mu)(B)) \leq \sup_g (g \cdot \mu)(A) + \sup_g (g \cdot \mu)(B) = \int_A f \, d\mu + \int_B f \, d\mu$; we have to prove that $\int_{A \uplus B} f \, d\mu \geq \int_A f \, d\mu + \int_B f \, d\mu$, that is, $\int_{A \uplus B} f \, d\mu \geq (g_1 \cdot \mu)(A) + (g_2 \cdot \mu)(B)$ for all simple $g_1, g_2 \leq f$. We take $g = \max(g_1, g_2)$ (the pointwise maximum); this is also a simple function, and $g \leq f$. Thus, $\int_{A \uplus B} f \, d\mu \geq (g \cdot \mu)(A \uplus B) = (g \cdot \mu)(A) + (g \cdot \mu)(B) \geq (g_1 \cdot \mu)(A) + (g_2 \cdot \mu)(B)$. \square

Second, countable additivity.

4c8 Remark. In Definition 2e2 of a measure, the countable additivity may be replaced with the condition

$$A_k \uparrow A \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu(A_k) \uparrow \mu(A).$$

(Think, why is it equivalent.)

4c9 Lemma.

$$A_k \uparrow A \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_{A_k} f \, d\mu \uparrow \int_A f \, d\mu$$

for $A, A_1, A_2, \dots \in S$.

Proof. $\int_A f \, d\mu = \sup_g (g \cdot \mu)(A) = \sup_g \sup_k (g \cdot \mu)(A_k) = \sup_k \sup_g (g \cdot \mu)(A_k) = \sup_k \int_{A_k} f \, d\mu$. \square

Now we introduce the measure $f \cdot \mu$ by

$$(f \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_A f \, d\mu \quad \text{for } A \in S.$$

The notation is consistent due to (4c2).

4c10 Exercise. (a) If μ is finite and f is bounded,¹ then $f \cdot \mu$ is finite;

(b) if μ is σ -finite and f is finite (everywhere), then $f \cdot \mu$ is σ -finite. Prove it.²

4c11 Theorem (Monotone Convergence Theorem). Let functions $f, f_1, f_2, \dots : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be measurable, and a set $A \in S$. Then

$$f_k \uparrow f \text{ on } A \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_A f_k \, d\mu \uparrow \int_A f \, d\mu.$$

4c12 Lemma. Let measurable $f_1, f_2, \dots : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and $c \in [0, \infty]$ satisfy $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$ and $\forall x \in A \quad \lim_k f_k(x) \geq c$. Then $\lim_k \int_A f_k \, d\mu \geq c\mu(A)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\lim_k \int_A f_k \, d\mu \geq bp$ whenever $0 \leq b < c$ and $0 \leq p < \mu(A)$. Given such b and p , we introduce sets $A_k = \{x \in A : f_k(x) \geq b\}$, note that $A_k \uparrow A$ (think, why) and therefore $\mu(A_k) \uparrow \mu(A)$. For k large enough we have $\mu(A_k) \geq p$. The simple function $g = b\mathbb{1}_{A_k}$ satisfies $g \leq f_k$, whence $\int_A f_k \geq (g \cdot \mu)(A) = b\mu(A_k) \geq bp$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4c11. Clearly, $\lim_k \int_A f_k \, d\mu$ exists and cannot exceed $\int_A f \, d\mu$; we have to prove that $\lim_k \int_A f_k \, d\mu \geq \int_A f \, d\mu$, that is, $\lim_k \int_A f_k \, d\mu \geq (g \cdot \mu)(A)$ for arbitrary simple $g \leq f$.

We have $(g \cdot \mu)(A) = \sum_{y \in g(X)} y\mu(A_y)$ where $A_y = A \cap g^{-1}(y)$; and, by 4c7, $\int_A f_k \, d\mu = \sum_{y \in g(X)} \int_{A_y} f_k \, d\mu$. For each y , on A_y we have $\lim_k f_k = f \geq g = y$; by Lemma 4c12, $\lim_k \int_{A_y} f_k \, d\mu \geq y\mu(A_y)$. The sum over $y \in g(X)$ completes the proof. \square

4c13 Exercise.

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y, \infty]) \, dy.$$

Prove it.³

(The right-hand side is the Lebesgue integral on $(0, \infty)$ of the function $y \mapsto \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y, \infty])$.)

In particular, let $A = X$, and (X, S) be $([0, \infty], \mathcal{B}[0, \infty])$ (μ being an arbitrary measure on this measurable space), and $f = \text{id} : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Then

$$(4c14) \quad \int_{[0, \infty]} \text{id} \, d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu((y, \infty]) \, dy \quad \text{for all Borel measures } \mu \text{ on } [0, \infty].$$

¹Not by $+\infty$, of course.

²Hint: (a) easy; (b) use (a).

³Hint: 4b5; $f_k \uparrow f$; $f_k^{-1}(y, \infty] \uparrow f^{-1}(y, \infty]$; use 4c11 (twice).

Think twice before writing this $\int_{[0,\infty]}$ as \int_0^∞ ; the points 0 and ∞ may be atoms of the measure μ .

In probability theory, for a random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, $P(X^{-1}(x, \infty])$ is the probability of the event $X > x$, denoted $\mathbb{P}(X > x)$, and we get

$$\mathbb{E} X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > x) dx.$$

Positive linearity of the map $f \mapsto f \cdot \mu$ proved in Sect. 4b for simple f will be generalized soon to measurable f . In other words: positive linearity of \int_A (for every given $A \in S$).

For every measurable f there exist simple f_k such that $f_k \uparrow f$. Just choose finite sets $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset [0, \infty)$ whose union is dense in $[0, \infty)$, and take $f_k(x) = \max\{y \in E_k : y \leq f(x)\}$.

4c15 Proposition. $\int_A (f + g) d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_A g d\mu$ for all measurable $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

Proof. We take simple f_k, g_k such that $f_k \uparrow f, g_k \uparrow g$; then $f_k + g_k \uparrow f + g$. By 4c11, $\int_A f_k d\mu \uparrow \int_A f d\mu$, $\int_A g_k d\mu \uparrow \int_A g d\mu$, and $\int_A (f_k + g_k) d\mu \uparrow \int_A (f + g) d\mu$. Thus, $\int_A (f + g) d\mu = \lim_k \int_A (f_k + g_k) d\mu = \lim_k (\int_A f_k d\mu + \int_A g_k d\mu) = \lim_k \int_A f_k d\mu + \lim_k \int_A g_k d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_A g d\mu$. \square

Also, $\int_A (cf) d\mu = c \int_A f d\mu$ for $c \geq 0$ (think, why); thus, \int_A is positively linear.

4c16 Corollary (of 4c15 and 4c11). $\int_A (\sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_A f_k d\mu$.

4c17 Exercise. ^{1,2} Let $f = 0$ on the Cantor set, and $f = k$ on each interval of length 3^{-k} which has been removed from $[0, 1]$. Find $\int_{[0,1]} f dm$.

In terms of monotone convergence of measures,

$$(4c18) \quad \mu_k \uparrow \mu \iff \forall A \in S \quad \mu_k(A) \uparrow \mu(A),$$

the Monotone Convergence Theorem 4c11 becomes

$$(4c19) \quad f_k \uparrow f \implies f_k \cdot \mu \uparrow f \cdot \mu;$$

and 4c16 becomes

$$(4c20) \quad (f_1 + f_2 + \dots) \cdot \mu = f_1 \cdot \mu + f_2 \cdot \mu + \dots$$

¹Capiński & Kopp, Exer. 4.2.

²Hint: $\sum_{k=1}^\infty kx^{k-1} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k=0}^\infty x^k = 1/(1-x)^2$ for $-1 < x < 1$.

4c21 Exercise. Let (Y, T) be a measurable space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ a measurable map, and $f : Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a measurable function. Then

$$f \cdot \varphi_*\mu = \varphi_*((f \circ \varphi) \cdot \mu).$$

Prove it.¹

We get a “change of variable formula”:²

$$(4c22) \quad \int_B f \, d(\varphi_*\mu) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(B)} (f \circ \varphi) \, d\mu \quad \text{for } B \in T;$$

$$(4c23) \quad \int_Y f \, d(\varphi_*\mu) = \int_X (f \circ \varphi) \, d\mu.$$

In particular, let (Y, T) be $([0, \infty], \mathcal{B}[0, \infty])$, and $f = \text{id} : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$; we also rename φ to f and get

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_{[0, \infty]} \text{id} \, d(f_*\mu);$$

this fact follows also from 4c13 and (4c14).

In probability theory, for a random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, X_*P is the distribution of X , denoted P_X (as was noted before 3d3), and we get

$$\mathbb{E} X = \int_{[0, \infty]} \text{id} \, dP_X$$

and, more generally, $\mathbb{E} f(X) = \int f \, dP_X$ for Borel $f : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

Another special case of 4c21: $Y = X$, $T \subset S$, $\varphi = \text{id}$. In this case $\varphi_*\mu = \mu|_T$; (4c22) becomes

$$\int_B f \, d(\mu|_T) = \int_B f \, d\mu$$

for $B \in T$ and T -measurable f . Extending a measure from T to S we do not change integrals that were defined before. In particular, completion of a measure does not change integrals that were defined before the completion.

Extension of the set X may be treated similarly.

4c24 Remark. Every increasing sequence of measures converges to some measure.

Proof (sketch). Let $\mu_i \uparrow \mu$; clearly, μ is additive; countable additivity (similar to 4c9): let $A_j \uparrow A$, then $\mu(A) = \sup_i \mu_i(A) = \sup_i \sup_j \mu_i(A_j) = \sup_j \sup_i \mu_i(A_j) = \sup_j \mu(A_j)$.

¹Hint: first, f is an indicator; second, f is simple; third, the general case.

²Tao, Exer. 1.4.37; Capiński & Kopp Th. 4.41.

4c25 Exercise. $\mu_k \uparrow \mu$ implies $f \cdot \mu_k \uparrow f \cdot \mu$ for unsigned simple f .
Prove it.¹

4c26 Exercise. $(fg) \cdot \mu = g \cdot (f \cdot \mu)$ for all unsigned measurable f, g .
Prove it.²

In particular, if $f : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, then $\frac{1}{f} \cdot (f \cdot \mu) = (\frac{1}{f}f) \cdot \mu = 1 \cdot \mu = \mu$; that is,

$$\nu = f \cdot \mu \implies \mu = \frac{1}{f} \cdot \nu \quad \text{for } 0 < f < \infty.$$

In more traditional notation

$$(4c27) \quad f = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \quad \text{for } \nu = f \cdot \mu$$

the fact 4c26 becomes

$$(4c28) \quad \int_A g \, d\nu = \int_A \left(g \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right) d\mu.$$

4c29 Example. The standard normal distribution on \mathbb{R} (called also the standard Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}) is the probability measure $\gamma = \varphi \cdot m$ where

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \quad \text{is the standard normal density.}$$

If a random variable X is distributed γ (that is, $P_X = \gamma$), then

$$\mathbb{E} f(X) = \int_{\Omega} f(X) \, dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\varphi \, dm = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)\varphi(t) \, dt$$

for every Borel $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

4c30 Exercise. (a) $c\mu\{x \in A : f(x) \geq c\} \leq \int_A f \, d\mu$ for all $c \in [0, \infty]$;³

(b) if $\int_A f \, d\mu < \infty$, then $\{x \in A : f(x) = \infty\}$ is a null set;

(c) if $\int_A f \, d\mu = 0$, then $\{x \in A : f(x) > 0\}$ is a null set.

Prove it.⁴

One says that $f < \infty$ almost everywhere on A , if $\{x \in A : f(x) = \infty\}$ is a sub-null set. (For measurable f it is then a null set.) More generally, given a property of a point of A , one says that this property holds *almost everywhere*

¹Hint: f is a linear combination of indicators; use (4b3).

²Hint: first, do it for simple g using (4b7), $f_k \uparrow f$ and 4c25; second, $g_k \uparrow g$, use (4c19).

³Do not forget: $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ (as noted in 4b1).

⁴Hint: (a) integrate f over this set; (b), (c) use (a).

(a.e.) on A , if it holds outside of some sub-null set (and then, necessarily, outside of some null set). In probability theory this is called “almost surely” (a.s.). Thus,

$$(4c31) \quad \text{if } \int_A f \, d\mu < \infty, \text{ then } \quad f \text{ is finite a.e. on } A;$$

$$(4c32) \quad \text{if } \int_A f \, d\mu = 0, \text{ then } \quad f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } A.$$

If $\mu(A) < \infty$ and f is finite a.e. on A , but unbounded, then $\int_A f \, d\mu$ may converge or diverge. But if $f = 0$ a.e. on A , then $\int_A f \, d\mu = 0$ (even if $\mu(A) = \infty$), since this is evidently true for simple functions. In particular, $\int_Z f \, d\mu = 0$ for all f , if Z is a null set. (Indeed, even the equality $0 = \infty$ holds a.e. on a null set!) It follows by 4c7 that $\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_{A \setminus Z} f \, d\mu$; null sets are negligible.

Two functions are called *equivalent*, if they are equal almost everywhere.

Denoting by $[f]$ the equivalence class of f we may write the equivalence as $[f] = [g]$. If $[f] = [g]$ then $\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_A g \, d\mu$ for all A (just because null sets are negligible). That is, $\int_A [f] \, d\mu$ is well-defined. Also, $[f] \cdot \mu$ is well-defined.

If $[f_1] = [g_1]$ and $[f_2] = [g_2]$, then $[f_1 + f_2] = [g_1 + g_2]$ (think, why); thus, the sum of two equivalence classes is a well-defined equivalence class. Moreover, the same holds for the sum of countably many equivalence classes. Also the relation $[f] \leq [g]$ is well-defined.

Functions may be replaced with equivalence classes in all our statements. For instance, in (4c6):

$$\text{if } a \leq f \leq b \text{ a.e. on } A, \text{ then } \quad a\mu(A) \leq \int_A f \, d\mu \leq b\mu(A);$$

in 4c11:

$$f_k \uparrow f \text{ a.e. on } A \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_A f_k \, d\mu \uparrow \int_A f \, d\mu;$$

and so on. Usually one still writes functions (just for convenience), but means their equivalence classes.

4d Integrable functions

4d1 Definition. A measurable function $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is *integrable*, if $\int_X |f| \, d\mu < \infty$.

Clearly, integrable functions are a vector space. The functional $f \mapsto \int_X |f| \, d\mu$ is (generally) not a norm on this space of functions, but is a norm

on the corresponding space of equivalence classes:

$$\begin{aligned}\|[f]\| &= \int_X |f| \, d\mu; \\ \|[cf]\| &= |c| \|[f]\|; \\ \|[f+g]\| &\leq \|[f]\| + \|[g]\|; \\ \|[f]\| = 0 &\iff [f] = [0].\end{aligned}$$

This normed¹ space is denoted by $L_1(X, S, \mu)$, or just $L_1(\mu)$.²

Integrable functions are finite a.e.; WLOG we may assume that they are finite everywhere.

Every integrable function can be written as the difference of two unsigned integrable functions; in particular,

$$f = f^+ - f^-, \quad \text{where } f^+ = \max(f, 0) \text{ and } f^- = (-f)^+.$$

4d2 Lemma. If unsigned integrable f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 satisfy $f_1 - f_2 = g_1 - g_2$, then $\int_X f_1 \, d\mu - \int_X f_2 \, d\mu = \int_X g_1 \, d\mu - \int_X g_2 \, d\mu$.

Proof. $f_1 + g_2 = f_2 + g_1$; by 4c15, $\int f_1 + \int g_2 = \int f_2 + \int g_1$, that is, $\int f_1 - \int f_2 = \int g_1 - \int g_2$. \square

Thus, we may define

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X g \, d\mu - \int_X h \, d\mu \quad \text{whenever } f = g - h;$$

here f is integrable, and g, h are unsigned integrable. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}[f] \mapsto \int_X f \, d\mu &\text{ is a linear functional on } L_1(\mu), \\ \left| \int_X f \, d\mu \right| &\leq \|[f]\|.\end{aligned}$$

The same holds for \int_A , of course.

A vector-function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$, is called integrable, if its coordinate functions f_1, \dots, f_n are integrable; in this case, by definition,

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \left(\int_A f_1 \, d\mu, \dots, \int_A f_n \, d\mu \right).$$

¹In fact, Banach space; its completeness will be proved later.

²Or $L^1(\mu)$.

With respect to integrability, complex-valued functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ may be treated as just $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ (and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ as $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$).

Applying 4c13 to f^+ and f^- we get (for integrable f)

$$(4d3) \quad \int_A f \, d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(y, \infty)) \, dy - \int_0^\infty \mu(A \cap f^{-1}(-\infty, y)) \, dy.$$

Similarly to (4c14),

$$(4d4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} \, d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu((y, \infty)) \, dy - \int_0^\infty \mu((-\infty, -y)) \, dy$$

for all Borel measures μ on \mathbb{R} such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\cdot| \, d\mu < \infty$.

In probability theory, for an integrable random variable X ,

$$\mathbb{E} X = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > x) \, dx - \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X < -x) \, dx.$$

Applying (4c22) and (4c23) to f^+ and f^- we see that they hold for all integrable f . In particular,

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} \, d(f_*\mu);$$

this fact follows also from 4d3 and (4d4). In probability theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} X &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id} \, dP_X \quad \text{for all integrable } X, \\ \mathbb{E} f(X) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, dP_X \quad \text{for all } P_X\text{-integrable } f. \end{aligned}$$

For vector-functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, similarly,

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{id} \, d(f_*\mu),$$

μ -integrability of f being equivalent to $(f_*\mu)$ -integrability of id . In probability theory,

$$\mathbb{E} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, dP_{X_1, \dots, X_n},$$

where $P_{X_1, \dots, X_n} = X_*P$ is the joint distribution (recall the paragraph before 3d3).

Index

- a.e., 42
- a.s., 42
- almost everywhere, 41
- almost surely, 42

- change of variable, 40

- equivalence class, 42
- equivalent functions, 42
- expectation, 37

- integrable function, 42
- integrable vector-function, 43
- integral, 36, 43

- monotone convergence theorem, 38

- norm, 43

- positively linear, 36

- simple function, 35

- unsigned, 35

- $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$, 41
- $\mathbb{E} X$, 37, 44
- $\mathbb{E} f(X)$, 40, 44
- $[f]$, 42
- $\| [f] \|$, 43
- $f \cdot \mu$, 35, 37
- f^-, f^+ , 43
- $\int f d\mu$, 36, 43
- $L_1(\dots)$, 43
- $0 \cdot \infty = 0$, 35
- P_X , 40