Introduction

Total motile count (TMC) is a useful tool for sperm evaluation, composing both quantitative and motility parameters. The combination of three critical sperm parameters into a single indicator, which does not concern the more time consuming sperm morphology, turns it into a handy instrument in the practice of fertility treatments, available in the very same day of the procedure. After being established as a crucial parameter influencing the success of intrauterine insemination [1, 2], TMC was also tested as a predictor for fertilization failure and for pregnancy rates during in vitro fertilization treatment, yielding conflicting results [3-5]. Total motile sperm count was also described as a general predictor of sperm quality [6].

To our best of knowledge, TMC has not been evaluated as a contributory factor in intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) cycles, once this specific procedure had been chosen; ICSI is a commonly used assisted reproduction technology [7] that was developed in order to enable the sperm to bypass the zona pellucida. This technique presents fertilization rates comparable to those observed with conventional IVF in the absence of male factors [8], although the main indication for ICSI has been male infertility [9]. Though very common, conclusive data about which semen parameters truly determine the results of ICSI are still lacking [10-12].

We performed a retrospective cohort study aiming to evaluate the possible role of TMC as a prognostic parameter in cycles designated for ICSI. We also wished to test the existence of a possible threshold value that might be predictive for ICSI cycle outcome in the everyday practice. This is a retrospective cohort study in which the research question is addressed by a locally weighted regression (LOESS) analysis. Primary outcome measures are fertilization rate, good quality embryos rate and implantation rate. A total of 666 patients were included, contributing 1456 cycles. The effect of TMC over the fertilization rate was significant, depicting an inverted U-shaped curve: with up to approximately 10 million motile sperm, fertilization rates increased as TMC increased, but from this point on decreased. A slight increment in the rate of good embryo formation with increasing value of TMC was noted, but this did not reach a statistical significance. TMC values demonstrated no effect in the case of implantation rates. ICSI may offer an advantage related to fertilization rates for the sub-fertile male population, with a motile sperm count up to 10 million.
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Purpose of this analysis, we collaborated with statisticians, thus treating the research question in a novel fashion comprising input from both disciplines: medicine and mathematics.

Materials and methods

Patients

We reviewed the medical records from all IVF/ICSI cycles performed at a university-affiliated reproductive unit (Meir Medical Center, Kfar Saba, Israel), from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2008. All data were obtained from a computerized database. Cycles in which testicular extracted sperm (TESE/TESA) was used were excluded. All cycles were initially designated to undergo ICSI. Results regarding frozen embryos were not included in implantation and pregnancy rate calculations. Primary outcome measures were fertilization rate, good quality embryo rate and implantation rate.

Ovarian stimulation protocols

During the study period, patients were treated by one of two protocols for induction of ovulation; the long protocol, "GnRH agonist" or the "GnRh antagonist protocol." The suitable protocol for each patient was determined by the clinical judgment of the treating physician and if possible with regard to performance exhibited in previous cycles. Patients on the long protocol received Triptorelin (Ferring, Hoofddorp, the Netherlands) and those on the short antagonist protocol, Cetrotide (Serono, Israel). The medications for induction of ovulation were the recombinant FSH (Gonal F Merck Serono SA, Aubunne the Switzerland or Purigon, NV Organon Oss the Netherlands) or the purified urinary FSH+LH (Menogon Ferring SA, Sainet-Prex, Switzerland). Cumulus-oocyte complexes were recovered by transvaginal ultrasound 36 hours after injection of 10,000 IU of purified urinary hCG (Pregnyl Organon Oss) or recombinant hCG (Ovitrelle, Merck Serono SA, Bari, Italy). Embryo transfer (ET) took place on day 2 or 3 after ovum pick-up. A pregnancy test was performed 12 days after the embryo transfer. For this study, we analyzed only clinical pregnancies confirmed by the presence of intrauterine gestational sacs on transvaginal US performed 26-32 days after ET.

Laboratory procedures

The culture media used in our routine laboratory work was P1 supplemented with 10% human serum albumin (HSA) (Irvine Scientific, St. Louis, MO, USA) combined with either Cook Culture System (Cook, Brisbane, Australia) until 2006, or with SAGE (SAGE, Cooper Surgical, Inc., SAGE, Trumbull, CT, USA), supplemented with 10% synthetic serum substitute (SSS), from 2007 onwards.

Sperm evaluation criteria were consistent through the entire study period. On the day of oocyte retrieval, concentration, motility and volume of fresh sperm were evaluated and the total motile sperm count (TMC) was calculated. The samples were washed in sperm wash medium, separated on a gradient and adjusted for insemination.

The oocytes were denuded using hyaluronidase (Irvine Scientific). Metaphase II (MII) oocytes, selected by the presence of the first polar body, were considered suitable for injection. ICSI was performed according to the procedure described by Van Steirteghem et al. [13]. The injected oocytes were cultured individually in 25 μl medium drops under mineral oil at 37°C, in 5% CO₂ humidified air.

Assessment of fertilization was performed 16-18 hours after injection, using a high power phase microscope. Oocytes with two visible pronuclei were cultured further to the cleavage stages, day 2 or day 3, until the embryo transfer (ET) procedure.

Embryo grading was performed on an inverted microscope as described previously [14]. In brief, the embryos were assessed at 200-400X magnification on an inverted microscope and classified by an embryologist according to the following morphological criteria: grade 4, equal sized, symmetrical blastomers; grade 3, uneven blastomeric fragmentation; and grade 1, >50% blastomeric fragmentation or pronucleated single cell embryos.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed after receiving the approval of the local Institutional Review Board, addressed by one of the co-authors as part of a Masters Degree in Statistics. The computerized database (Microsoft Excel spreadsheet) was checked for accuracy by a second person and then transformed and proc-
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Table 1. Explanatory variables for outcome measures: related significance level (P value) according to log likelihood differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Good fertilizations</th>
<th>Good quality embryos</th>
<th>Implantations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal E2 level</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of induction days</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of coasting</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, for determining the explanatory variables that enter the three LOESS models, we fitted generalized linear models (GLM), specifically logistic regressions, for the probability of successful fertilization, good quality embryos, or implantations rates. This model assumed a linear effect in female partner age, maximal E2 level, number of induction days, and coasting and a polynomial effect of the transformed TMC level. We then computed the log likelihood difference between the three full models to models from which each of the explanatory variables was dropped, one at a time. If the log likelihood change was significant, we kept the variable in the model (Table 1). For modeling Good Fertilization Rate, we used only TMC; for modeling Good Embryos we used Number of induction days, female partner age, maximal E2 level and TMC; for modeling Implantation, we used female partner age, maximal E2 level and TMC.

The LOESS and GLM analysis results are presented in separate scatter plots. The abscissa is the TMC and the ordinates are the different success rates. The blue horizontal line stands for the mean success rate, while the LOESS estimates of the success rate and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals are drawn in red. The estimated success rate produced by the GLM is drawn in green and presented only when the effect of the TMC was significant.

Results

A total of 666 patients were included, contributing 1456 cycles, with a mean of 2.2 cycles per patient. Basic patient characteristics are presented in Table 2. Of the total cycles, 1205 (83%) ended in embryo transfer. A total of
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11,602 oocytes were picked-up and 8352 (73%) were fertilized. Of the fertilizations, 7,671 (92%) were normal at the first evaluation and 3,532 (46%) resulted in a good quality embryo, graded 3-4. Of the good quality embryos, 2,517 were transferred resulting in 476 implantations (18.9% implantation rate) and 392 pregnancies (excluding extra-uterine pregnancies and chemical pregnancies). Though not included in this analysis, 1,039 of the good quality embryos were frozen.

The affect of TMC over fertilization rate was significant; both the LOESS and the logistic regression depicted the same inverted U-shaped affect of TMC on this success rate (Figure 1). Up to the value of approximately 10 million motile sperm, fertilization rates increased as TMC increased. From this point though, the fertilization rates decreased although TMC increased.

The TMC influence on good quality embryo rate and on implantation rates (Figures 2 and 3) is presented only in the LOESS analysis, since the GLM model was not found to be significant. Although we noted a slight increase in the rate of good embryo formation with increasing TMC values, this trend did not reach statistical significance (Figure 2). Moreover, the TMC values were clearly non significant in terms of implantation rate (Figure 3).

Discussion

Assessing the contribution of a certain variable can have a great value in the success of a general treatment plan. Previous literature has focused mainly on ICSI results regarding sperm morphology; severe teratozoospermia treated with ICSI, as compared to standard IVF, was previously reported [21-23] to yield better fertili-
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Though previously doubted in the literature concerning IVF cycles [11], we decided to conduct a study testing the effect of TMC on ICSI outcomes, thus combining sperm’s motility, count and volume on a single handy parameter. We hypothesized that although intracytoplasmic injection bypasses the zona pellucida, the basic sperm characteristics, as reflected by the TMC, might still influence the final outcome.

Sperm motility was tested before as an independent factor for ICSI results in a statistical model [24] and reported to be directly correlated to the 2PN rate. Profound cytoskeletal deformities serve as a hypothetical factor that could potentially explain such a correlation [25], while others suggested that the presence of aneuploidies could also be associated with reduced sperm motility and therefore with a compromised result [26]. A very low sperm count (<1 x 10⁴) was also described as a negative predictor for ICSI outcome [27]. In fact, when sperm’s count was confronted with its motility, the latter was the one to be most related to a good result in the ICSI cycle [28].

According to our cohort, there does not seem to be a substantial correlation between TMC and ICSI outcome, though TMC was demonstrated as having a significant influence over normal fertilization rates. The approximate value of 10 million TMC served as a threshold for fertilization success in our cohort. As mentioned before,

Figure 2. The effect of sperm total motile count (TMC) over good quality embryo rate in IVF-ICSI cycles. Blue horizontal line stands for the mean success rate and the LOESS estimates of the success rate and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals are drawn in red.

Figure 3. The effect of sperm total motile count (TMC) on implantation rate in IVF-ICSI cycles. Blue horizontal line stands for the mean success rate. The LOESS estimates of the success rate and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals are drawn in red.
up to this value a positive relation exists between TMC and fertilization rate, while for TMC greater than 10 million, fertilization rates decreased. Stating it differently, this might serve as a guiding tool for determining the advantage of using ICSI procedure and may possibly reflect the existence of sub-populations in our study group. We did not track a different discriminatory value even though the TMC range included counts as low as a few motile spermatozoa.

Our ICSI population was composed mainly from male patients diagnosed with sub-fertility, presenting a rather heterogeneous sperm count. There were 461 observations of TMC above 10 million in our cohort. In cases of unexplained infertility, ICSI was reported to yield significantly higher fertilization rates vs. conventional insemination, but significantly lower implantation rates (17.8% vs. 24.9%) and lower pregnancy rates than with ICSI (33.6% vs. 52.7%) [29]. In another study, concerned with mild male-factor subfertility (defined by the presence of sperm concentrations <20 × 10⁶ per milliliter and/or <40% motility according to the World Health Organization), fertilization failures were less likely to happen after ICSI, though the good quality embryo rate and implantation rate did not differ [30]. Others have found no advantage in any laboratory or clinical field test, meaning there was no statistically significant difference in fertilization rate, implantation rate, clinical pregnancy, live-birth rate, or embryo quality between IVF and IVF-ICSI in cases of unexplained infertility [31]. The literature also presents supportive evidence for worse results when ICSI, rather than IVF, is used for non-male factor infertility [32]. In our cohort, fertilization rates declined in the range of TMC >10 million, but implantation rates did not, consistent with these previous reports.

The lack of correlation between TMC and either good embryo rate or implantation rate may reflect the dominance of the technique over sperm quality even in its worst performance. Though sperm count and sperm motility were separately evaluated and reported to correlate with ICSI outcome, as described above, the reports are scarce. In our model, the combination of the two was tested, which might explain the difference. As for the higher TMC range, which probably reflects indications other than male factor, in these cases, ICSI results are similar to those with very low counts. Recalling the reports demonstrating no advantage of ICSI vs. standard IVF for unexplained infertility, this lack of advantage becomes clearer.

Though our analysis did not control for the protocol type or for female diagnosis, we assume that they were equally distributed across the different sperm categories. We found that the strength of this analysis rose from regarding TMC as a continuum, thus refraining from composing any subgroups for the purpose of both logistic and LOESS regression models. We also believe that the special regression model chosen (i.e. LOESS) provides a visual tool fitting remarkably well for the biological behavior of TMC, our main point of interest.

To conclude: ICSI may offer an advantage related to fertilization rates for the sub-fertile male population, with a motile sperm count up to 10 million. In light of our current findings regarding good embryo rate and implantation rate, we recommend a careful consideration of postponing IVF-ICSI for the sake of male directed medical or surgical procedures; presented data do not support a better outcome to be expected due to rising TMC values in ICSI cycles.
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